

## THE HANDBOOK OF ISRAEL'S POLITICAL SYSTEM

There is growing interest in Israel's political system from all parts of the world. This *Handbook* provides a unique comprehensive presentation of political life in Israel from the formative pre-state period to the present. The themes covered include: political heritage and the unresolved issues that have been left to fester; the institutional framework (the Knesset, government, judiciary, presidency, the state comptroller, and commissions of inquiry); citizens' political participation (elections, political parties, civil society, and the media); the four issues that have bedeviled Israeli democracy since its establishment (security, state and religion, the status of Israel's Arab citizens, and economic inequities with concomitant social gaps); and the contours of the political culture and its impact on Israel's democracy. The authors skillfully integrate detailed basic data with an analysis of structures and processes, making the *Handbook* accessible to both experts and those with a general interest in Israel.

**Itzhak Galnoor** is Herbert Samuel Professor of Political Science (emeritus) at Hebrew University of Jerusalem, and Academic Director at the Chazan Center at the Van Leer Institute. He has been a Visiting Professor at many international universities, and served on the Executive Committee of the International Political Science Association (IPSA) and edited its *Advances in Political Science* book series, published by Cambridge University Press. He is the author of: *Privatization Policy in Israel: State Responsibility and the Boundaries between the Public and the Private* (with others, 2015). In 2015 Galnoor was awarded the Lifetime Achievement Award of the Association of Israel Studies (AIS) and in 2016 the Scholar Achievement Award of the Israel Political Science Association.

**Dana Blander** is a senior researcher at the Israel Democracy Institute (IDI) in Jerusalem, the editor of IDI's online magazine "Parliament," and a clinical psychologist. Her research focuses on Israeli politics and political philosophy. She received her degrees in Political Science and Psychology from the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. Her doctoral thesis, "Ambivalence as a Challenge to the Political Order," won the Hebrew University Berger Prize for academic excellence. In 2010–11 she was AICE Visiting Professor at Tufts University.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-09785-8 — The Handbook of Israel's Political System  
Itzhak Galnoor , Dana Blander  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

# The Handbook of Israel’s Political System

**Itzhak Galnoor**  
Hebrew University, Jerusalem

**Dana Blander**  
Israel Democracy Institute, Jerusalem

**Gila Svirsky**  
Senior Translator

**Ira Moskowitz**  
Translator



Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-09785-8 — The Handbook of Israel's Political System  
Itzhak Galnoor , Dana Blander  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781107097858](http://www.cambridge.org/9781107097858)

DOI: 10.1017/9781316160978

Originally published in 2013 by Am Oved Publishers as *The Political System of Israel*, written in the Hebrew by Itzhak Galnoor and Dana Blander (ISBN 978-9-651-32349-2).

First published in English by Cambridge University Press in 2018. Principal Translator, Gila Svirsky; Translator, Ira Moskowitz.

© Itzhak Galnoor and Dana Blander 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

ISBN 978-1-107-09785-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

*To my beloved children:*

*Shaked*

*Alon*

*Amir*

*Klil*

*Dana*

*To my beloved grandchildren:*

*Rotem*

*Zohar*

*Maayan*

*Oren*

*Ori-Yeela*

*Itzhak*

*May they live as equal, happy citizens.*

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-09785-8 — The Handbook of Israel's Political System  
Itzhak Galnoor , Dana Blander  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> xii
<i>List of Tables</i>	xv
<i>Preface</i>	xix
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxv
 PART I: ESTABLISHING THE STATE – THE SUPREMACY OF POLITICS	 1
<b>1 The Formative Early Years of the State (1948–1953)</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 The Yishuv Period – The Beginning of Israeli Democracy?	3
1.2 Establishment of the State	5
1.3 Transitional Institutions and the Legal Infrastructure	8
1.4 Transition Put to the Test	13
1.5 The Elections of 1949–1951	20
1.6 The State and Its Meaning	31
 <b>2 Does Israel Have a Constitution?</b>	 <b>35</b>
2.1 Constitutions	37
2.2 Developments upon the Founding of Israel	39
2.3 The Debate (1950) – Arguments For and Against	42
2.4 “The 1949 Constitution”	45
2.5 Developments until the 2000s	51
2.6 The Basic Laws and their Significance	54
2.7 Subjects Missing from Israel’s Basic Laws	61
2.8 Constitutional Rulings	67
2.9 So Does Israel Have a Constitution?	74
 PART II: INSTITUTIONS MATTER	 79
<b>3 The Presidency and the Symbols of Power</b>	<b>81</b>
3.1 Shaping the Institution of the Presidency	81
3.2 The Basic Law: The President (1964)	82
3.3 The Functions of the President	84
3.4 Does Israel Need the Institution of President?	96
3.5 The Future of the Institution of the President	97

<b>4</b>	<b>The Knesset: First among Equals?</b>	99
4.1	The Parliament in a Parliamentary Democracy and in Israel	99
4.2	Changes in the Status and Functioning of the Knesset	101
4.3	The Constitutional Infrastructure	103
4.4	The First Role of the Knesset – Representation	104
4.5	The Second Role of the Knesset – Legislation	110
4.6	The Third Role of the Knesset – Oversight of the Executive Branch	119
4.7	Knesset Factions, Knesset Members	134
4.8	Immunity of Knesset Members	137
4.9	The Knesset and the Other Branches – A Balance of Power	140
<b>5</b>	<b>The Executive Branch and Attempts to Strengthen It</b>	143
5.1	The Executive Branch in Parliamentary Systems and in Israel	143
5.2	The Constitutional Infrastructure	148
5.3	The Political Fabric of the Government	151
5.4	The Prime Minister	154
5.5	The Ministers	160
5.6	Types of Responsibility in the Executive Branch	167
5.7	Direct Election of the Prime Minister (1996–2003)	173
5.8	Relations between the Central and Local Governments	183
5.9	The Executive Branch and the Parliament	195
<b>6</b>	<b>The Judiciary’s Growing Involvement in Public Life</b>	197
6.1	Rulers and Judges	197
6.2	The State and the Role of the Judiciary	197
6.3	The Sources of Law in Israel	201
6.4	Structure and Function of the Judiciary in Israel	205
6.5	The Status of the Judiciary in Israel	211
6.6	Public Trust and Oversight of the Judiciary	215
6.7	The Supreme Court	218
6.8	Judicial Review	222
6.9	Judicialization of Public Life	230
<b>7</b>	<b>Monitoring Mechanisms: The State Comptroller and State Commissions of Inquiry (SCIs)</b>	233
7.1	Guardians of the Public Interest	233
7.2	The Institution of State Comptroller in Israel	235
7.3	State Commissions of Inquiry (SCIs)	244
7.4	Monitoring Mechanisms: Advantages and Disadvantages	262
	PART III: POLITICAL SOCIETY	265
<b>8</b>	<b>Political Participation: Have Israeli Citizens Given up on the Political System?</b>	267
8.1	Introduction: Patterns of Political Participation	267
8.2	Factors Influencing Political Participation	270

Contents	ix
8.3 Changing Routes of Political Participation	285
8.4 Political Participation of Specific Groups	302
8.5 Have Israeli Citizens Given up on the Political System?	311
<b>9 Political Parties: Can We Get Along Without Them?</b>	<b>315</b>
9.1 The Institution Called a “Party”	315
9.2 Parties in Israel	318
9.3 Legal Underpinnings	320
9.4 Characteristics of a Multiparty Regime	322
9.5 Mapping the Parties	329
9.6 Parties as Organizations: Internal Democracy	338
9.7 Party and Campaign Financing	345
9.8 Can We Do Without Parties?	348
<b>10 Elections: The Vague Verdict of the Israeli Voter</b>	<b>352</b>
10.1 Several Principles of Democratic Elections	352
10.2 Elections and Electoral Systems	354
10.3 The Electoral System in Israel	357
10.4 Election Campaigns	366
10.5 Election Results	370
10.6 Direct Election of the Prime Minister	373
10.7 Main Considerations of the Voters	374
10.8 Voting Patterns of Certain Groups	380
10.9 Upheavals and Trends	384
10.10 Local Authority Elections	391
<b>11 Government Coalitions: A Steering Mechanism in the Political System</b>	<b>396</b>
11.1 Definition: Coalitions as a Steering Mechanism	396
11.2 The Legal Foundation and Political Practice	397
11.3 Types of Coalitions	401
11.4 Theories of Coalition Formation	403
11.5 Data on Coalitions in Israel	405
11.6 Coalition Negotiations	410
11.7 Notable Coalition Negotiations	412
11.8 Decision, Indecision, and Coalition Crises	430
11.9 The Opposition	433
11.10 The Centrality of the Coalition in Israeli Politics	436
<b>12 Civil Society: The Third Sector that Grew Unnoticed by the State</b>	<b>438</b>
12.1 Civil Society, Social Capital, and the Third Sector	438
12.2 Legal Underpinnings, Funding Sources, and Support	444
12.3 Development of the Third Sector in Israel	448
12.4 The Third Sector in Israel: An Overview	455
12.5 Spheres of Third-Sector Political Activity	458

12.6	The Third Sector among Groups in Israeli Society	463
12.7	The Third Sector in Israeli Democracy	472
<b>13</b>	<b>The Media in Israel: Do They Strengthen or Weaken Democracy?</b>	477
13.1	Media and Politics	477
13.2	The Legal Infrastructure: Formal and Informal Arrangements	485
13.3	Media Development in Israel – Print Media	496
13.4	Media Development in Israel – Broadcast Journalism	502
13.5	The Media and Groups in Israeli Society	510
13.6	The Media and the Political System	516
13.7	Democratic Politics and the Media	525
PART IV: UNRESOLVED POLICY PROBLEMS		531
<b>14</b>	<b>Security Reigns Supreme</b>	533
14.1	The Meaning of Security and Security Policy	533
14.2	The Institutions of the Security System	540
14.3	The Legal Infrastructure	543
14.4	Wars and Peace Agreements	548
14.5	Attitudes about Security	563
14.6	Civilian–Military Relations	570
14.7	On Militarism	580
14.8	External Oversight of the Defense System	595
14.9	Public Oversight of the Defense System	607
14.10	Security Policy and Democracy	616
<b>15</b>	<b>Arab Citizens of Israel</b>	620
15.1	The Challenge to the Political System of Israel’s Arab Citizens	620
15.2	The Historical Context	622
15.3	Legal Underpinnings	626
15.4	Arab Society in Israel	632
15.5	Political Participation	637
15.6	Participation on the Local Level	652
15.7	Civil Society	659
15.8	Policies toward Arab Citizens	663
15.9	Legal Rulings	673
15.10	A National Minority and Israeli Democracy	676
<b>16</b>	<b>Politics, Society, and Economics: How Did the State Provide for the Society?</b>	681
16.1	Socioeconomic Policy	681
16.2	The Yishuv Period: Inclusion and Separation	685
16.3	The Statist Period: State = Society (Israel’s Early Years)	689
16.4	The Melting Pot Policy Fails, Cleavages Emerge	696
16.5	State and Domestic Policy in the Pluralist Period	701
16.6	The Newcomers and their Political Participation	719
16.7	Old Cleavages and New Inequality	726

Contents	xi
<b>17 Religion and State: Between Social Conflict and Political Accommodation</b>	729
17.1 The Challenge	729
17.2 Who and What is a Jew?	733
17.3 Political Arrangements: The “Status Quo” and its Gradual Erosion	742
17.4 The Institutional Infrastructure of Religious Affairs	746
17.5 Religion: State, Community, and Individuals	750
17.6 Religious Parties in the Political System	769
17.7 Decisions	786
17.8 Religion and Democracy	792
Appendix 1: Main legislation on Jewish religious issues, by subject	799
Appendix 2: Significant court rulings on issues of state and religion	802
PART V: DEMOCRACY IN ISRAEL	809
<b>18 Political Culture in Israel</b>	811
18.1 Political Heritage and Political Culture	811
18.2 Political Culture in Israel	814
18.3 Ethical Conduct and a Robust Democracy	820
18.4 Steering Capacity and Policy	832
18.5 Is the Political Culture in Israel Democratic?	847
18.6 Threats to Democracy in Israel	854
<i>Bibliography</i>	861
<i>Index</i>	921

Figures

1.1	The first Knesset (1949): Alignment of parties based on security and foreign policy, and the coalition formed	<i>page 25</i>
1.2	The second Knesset (1951): Alignment of parties based on security and foreign policy, and the two coalitions formed	31
4.1	The effective number of parties in Knessets 1–20 (1949–2015)	109
4.2	Private members’ and government-sponsored bills submitted each month on average in Knessets 1–18 (1949–2013)	112
5.1	Number of local authorities, by type, 1951–2015	185
8.1	Self-reported party membership, 1969–2014	293
8.2	Strikes and strikers (1970–2013)	298
9.1	Map of the parties on security issues after election of the twentieth Knesset (2015)	331
9.2	Map of the parties on religion–state issues after election of the twentieth Knesset (2015)	331
9.3	Map of the parties on socioeconomic issues after election of the twentieth Knesset (2015)	332
9.4	Map of the parties in the 1950s – international orientation and socioeconomic ideology	335
9.5	Map of the parties in the late 1970s – positions on the territories and socioeconomic ideology	335
9.6	Map of the parties in the late 1990s – positions on the territories and religion–state relations	336
10.1	Voter turnout 1949–2015	371
10.2	Average voter turnout for Knesset elections by period	371
10.3	Number of seats won by the major political blocs 1949–2015	387
10.4	Voter turnout for Knesset and local elections 1949–2013	392
10.5	Local election results for mayor in Jewish and mixed towns by political bloc 1950–2003 (%)	393
11.1	Coalitions according to the four models – hypothetical distribution of 120 Knesset seats	404
11.2	Ministers without portfolios in new governments (1949–2015)	412
11.3	The fourth coalition (December 1952) by the parties’ positions on security and foreign affairs	414

Figures	xiii
11.4 The seventh coalition (November 1955) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	415
11.5 The tenth coalition (November 1961) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	416
11.6 The fourteenth coalition (June 1967) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	417
11.7 The twenty-first coalition (June 1977) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	419
11.8 The twenty-fifth coalition (September 1984) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	420
11.9 The twenty-ninth coalition (July 1992) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	422
11.10 The thirty-second coalition (June 1996) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	423
11.11 The thirty-third coalition (July 1999) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	424
11.12 Ariel Sharon's coalitions (2001–5) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	426
11.13 Olmert's coalition (2006) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	427
11.14 Netanyahu's coalition (2009) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	428
11.15 Netanyahu's coalition (2013) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	428
11.16 Netanyahu's coalition (2015) by the parties' positions on security and foreign affairs	429
12.1 Funding sources of third-sector organizations by field (% in 2013)	448
14.1 Security expenditures in Israel 1956–2014	538
14.2 Security expenditures in Israel 1988–2014 (% of GDP)	538
14.3 Support for establishment of a Palestinian state 1987–2014 (% Israeli Jews)	567
15.1 Distribution of Knesset seats following elections (1949–2015): Affiliated parties; Arab-Jewish parties; Arab parties	638
15.2 General government grants per capita: To Arab local authorities as a percentage of those to Jewish local authorities (1972–2006)	657
16.1 Percentage of native- and foreign-born Israelis in the Jewish population (1948–2013)	685
16.2 Inflation rates (1970–90) (%)	701
16.3 Monthly income of urban salaried workers among Ashkenazim, Mizrahim, and Arabs (1990–2013) (average income of salaried workers = 100%)	707
16.4 Gini index of inequality in the distribution of household disposable income after transfer payments and direct taxation (1979–2015)	713
16.5 Gini index of income inequality before and after transfer payments and taxes (1999–2015)	714
16.6 Poverty among all families in the population after transfer payments and direct taxes (1979–2013)	716

16.7	Unemployment rates (1970–2014) (%)	717
16.8	Social expenditures as a percentage of total government expenditure (1990–2014)	718
17.1	Number of yeshiva students who received deferrals (1948–2011)	762
17.2	Representation of religious and ultra-Orthodox parties (Aguda parties; NRP/Jewish Home; and Shas) in the Knesset (1949–2015)	775

Tables

1.1	The significance of the 1948 tests for governance and politics	<i>page</i> 20
1.2	Results of the election to the Constituent Assembly (1949)	24
2.1	Basic Laws and their significant features	55
3.1	Presidents of Israel and election support by MKs (number of votes)	87
3.2	Pardon applications and pardons granted	95
4.1	Number of women elected to the Knesset (1996–2015)	107
4.2	Ratio of private to government bills out of all bills tabled in Knessets 1–19 (1949–2015)	112
4.3	No-confidence motions in the Knesset (1949–2015)	121
5.1	Support for the prime ministerial candidate versus support for his party during the period of direct elections	156
5.2	Prime ministers of Israel (1948–2017)	159
5.3	Questions for classifying political systems as parliamentary or presidential	174
5.4	Local authorities in Israel – 2015	185
5.5	Distribution of 252 cities, local councils, and regional councils, by socioeconomic cluster (2008 index) and per capita expenditures (regular budget, 2006)	192
6.1	Growth of population and caseload – total and Supreme Court (rounded)	200
6.2	Scope of law enforcement system in Israel: Judges, judges in rabbinical courts, lawyers, Israel Police, and Prison Service (1960–2014)	207
8.1	Surveys of the degree of public trust in the institutions (%)	275
8.2	Rate of participation by channel – Israel compared with Europe 2010–2012 (%)	286
8.3	Cases adjudicated by the High Court of Justice 1950–2013	290
8.4	Primary voting in the two largest parties before the Knesset elections 2013; 2015	292
8.5	Changes in patterns of political participation in Israel	303
8.6	Identity parties in the fifteenth and twentieth Knessets	312
9.1	Notable party alignments	323

9.2	Number of parties in the Knesset with different electoral thresholds (1984–2015)	324
9.3	Index of competition between the two largest parties in the Knesset	327
9.4	Election results: The two largest parties (1965–2015)	328
9.5	Methods of selecting leaders in the largest parties (1949–2015)	344
10.1	Average electoral disproportionality and type of electoral system in twelve democracies, 1945–1996	357
10.2	Comparison of two methods of distributing surplus votes to the largest parties from the seventeenth through the twentieth Knesset elections (2006–2015)	362
10.3	Use of media channels in Knesset election campaigns	369
10.4	Knesset elections: Residents, eligible voters, parties contending, parties entering Knesset, voter turnout, and invalid ballots (1949–2015)	372
10.5	Results of direct election of the prime minister – votes for the candidate versus votes for the party (%)	374
10.6	The 1984 election: Distribution of votes in the general population compared with votes in the “double envelopes”	377
10.7	The 2003 election: Distribution of votes in the general population compared with votes in the “double envelopes”	378
10.8	The share of the two largest parties in the election	385
11.1	Types of government in twenty-one parliamentary democracies (as at November 2008)	403
11.2	Coalitions in Israel 1949–2015	406
11.3	Indicators of steering capacity in various coalitions	437
12.1	New organizations registering with the Registrar of Companies 1981–2013	456
13.1	Access to and use of media channels in Israel – 2002, 2007, and 2013	482
14.1	Wars and military operations, Arab states (1948–2015)	550
14.2	Withdrawals from territories	562
14.3	Direct agreements between the sides involved	562
14.4	Changes in consensus since 1967	568
14.5	Former senior military officers in the Knesset	589
14.6	Former senior military officers who served as prime ministers, defense ministers, party heads, or mayors of large cities (1949–2015)	591
14.7	Reward and punishment in Israeli politics	593
14.8	State commissions of inquiry and government commissions of inquiry on security matters	604
15.1	Education among Arabs and Jews (2010–2011)	633
15.2	Standard of living indicators: Arabs and Jews (2009–2012)	634
15.3	Ranking of Arab localities in the socioeconomic clusters of Israeli localities (2008)	636
15.4	Arab vote distribution in Knesset elections 1949–2015 (rounded %)	645
15.5	Voter turnout among Arabs and Jews for Knesset elections 1949–2015 (rounded-off percentages)	647

Tables

xvii

15.6	Arab voter turnout for the local and the Knesset elections, 1977–2013 (in percentages)	649
16.1	The Arab and Jewish populations in the pre-state period (rounded)	686
16.2	Sources of Jewish immigration to Palestine/Eretz Israel from 1919 to May 1948	687
16.3	Immigration to Israel by continents of origin (1948–1968)	690
16.4	Immigration to Israel from the former Soviet Union (1990–2015)	702
16.5	Immigrants to Israel from Ethiopia (1980–2015)	703
17.1	Religiosity of Jews in Israel (self-defined) 1979–2016 (in rounded %)	734
17.2	Self-reported keeping of tradition or observance of commandments – Jews in Israel (in rounded %)	735
17.3	Jewish Israelis with an academic education by religiosity – 2008 (%)	741
17.4	Jewish pupils in elementary schools by institutional affiliation (%)	756
17.5	Jewish pupils in secondary schools by institutional affiliation (%)	756
17.6	Shas voters: Religious self-definitions (%)	773
17.7	Religious parties: Knesset seats, participation in first post-election coalitions, and coalition weight (1949–2015)	779
18.1	Trust in institutions in the 1990s	818
18.2	Trust in institutions in the 2000s (%)	818
18.3	Perception of corruption in various institutions, Israel compared with the average of 107 states (2013)	825
18.4	Perception of strong tension between groups in Israel (% , 2015)	836
18.5	Israel is defined as both a Jewish state and a democratic state. Personally, which part of the definition is more important for you? (Jews, %)	849

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-09785-8 — The Handbook of Israel's Political System  
Itzhak Galnoor , Dana Blander  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## Preface

### Politics

In many democracies around the world, including Israel, the reputation of “politics” has been sullied. Surveys indicate dwindling public trust of the authorities, political leadership, media, and political parties. This disenchantment derives both from the high expectations of the state that were never realized as well as the improper behavior of some politicians. Nevertheless, the term “politics” will be used in this book in an entirely neutral manner, even biased somewhat toward the positive, in recognition of the onerous tasks imposed on good, dedicated, and honest politicians.

The tasks that we, the citizens, bring to the political system include problems that have proven intractable in other frameworks – the economic market, social organizations, the community, or even the family. Indeed, the issues we relegate to politics are among the most sensitive (security, environment) and elusive, certainly more than issues addressed in the business world. To carry out these tasks, politicians must build coalitions, mobilize support, conduct negotiations, compromise, postpone decisions, and balance the public good with more narrow, conflicting interests. Without these tools, politicians would find it very hard to set policy or implement it, but because of these tools, the image of the “political” has become synonymous with dishonesty or corruption.

To come up with creative solutions or compromises, the political process has sometimes had to merge disparate elements.<sup>1</sup> Such solutions may appear strange, but are often a function of the complexity of the problem and the fact that no simpler practical solution can be found. Bear in mind also that “engaging in politics” is not

<sup>1</sup> For example, the Gaza-Jericho Agreement between Israel and the Palestinian Authority in May 1994 defied geographical logic, but created a common denominator, allowing for an end to the political stalemate. In another example, the Tal Commission, appointed in 2000 to examine the issue of drafting Yeshiva students into the army, recommended a compromise designed to appease both the secular and ultra-Orthodox communities: Young ultra-Orthodox men who elected to discontinue their Torah studies would be allowed to enter the job market after an abbreviated military service. This proposal met opposition from both seculars (as it allowed for the continued shirking of military service) and the ultra-Orthodox (as it spelled the beginning of apostasy), and failed.

confined to national or local government, but can also be found in public organizations or corporations, as well as universities, hospitals, volunteer associations, and sometimes even families. Naturally most of us would deny that we ever “engage in politics,” but prefer to ascribe such behavior to a self-interested other: “They” do dirty politics, while “we” operate out of legitimate interests. A negative approach to politics (a climate of “anti-politics”) endangers democracy because it may stir a demand for “rule by experts” – elected or not elected – who will straighten out the politicians.<sup>2</sup> This belief in the need for “experts,” like the yearning for “strong leaders,” paves the way for a non-democratic regime. In politics, there is legitimate manipulation and sometimes necessary contortions, but these must be done with integrity or risk the loss of credibility. Political behavior must be legitimate, not just in keeping with the law or some code of ethics, but because elected representatives must set a personal example. In short, politics is a social mechanism and therefore not “good” or “bad” in and of itself; note, also, that in some democracies, politics is not perceived as fundamentally bad. In Israel, too, many examples exist of positive politics: a government minister who resigns because a decision conflicts with his world view or conscience; a Knesset member (MK) who tables a bill for the public good despite criticism from her constituents; Knesset members from different parties who make common cause to promote an issue; politicians who turn down an opportunity for an interview on the grounds that they are not familiar with the issue.

For purposes of this book, we will make do with a simple definition of the “political” as compared to the non-political – “the effort to develop and utilize organized social power” (Heller 1933, 301). To which we add that, in democratic politics, cooperative activity to achieve common goals rests upon consensus regarding the rules of the game. The merit of this definition is that politics is defined as a tool for purposeful activity by society. No issue is inherently “political”; it becomes political when it has a common component that requires organized effort (e.g., compulsory education, epidemic prevention, security, law, environmental quality). Issues enter and leave the political system in accordance with the preferences of that society: A decision by society, for example, not to leave it up to a driver to calculate the risk of injury in a car accident led to legislating the mandatory wearing of seat belts. A neutral discussion of politics is intended to restore to it its lost honor, as distinct from the deeds or foibles of specific politicians or dissatisfaction with the political system of Israel (Galnoor 2003).

## Political Systems

A political system is not a concrete entity – it is not the ruler or the government, nor is it a state, institution, or organization, but rather an abstract concept – the sum total of political interactions in a specific society (intended, as noted, to enable cooperative activity).<sup>3</sup> This analytical concept allows us to draw from the range of human endeavor those activities that can be defined as “political.” The market, for example,

<sup>2</sup> In the 2003 Knesset election, a party ran for office named “A Different Israel – Because We’re Fed Up with Politicians.” Purporting to engage in “politics without politicians,” they even convinced 7,144 people to vote for them.

<sup>3</sup> This definition is drawn from Easton (1965, 49–50), who holds that a political system is a series of social interactions of individuals and groups geared to the “authoritative allocation of values.”

is part of the economic system, but the laws and regulations governing it, and their enforcement, are political. Another example: When the state arrogates decision-making about pensions – and makes these payments compulsory – pensions have become political. Note that what is political in one society may not be political in another. Public health services may be the responsibility of the political system in one national or regional government, but not in another. The concept “political system” allows us to think about political activity in terms of interactions within all of society, not just within the governing institutions or nationally. Thus, the analysis also incorporates a discussion of the dynamics of political life, such as the technological breakthroughs of online media and their repercussions on public space; the impact of civil society organizations; the degree of “politicization” of certain issues (such as civil service appointments); or the “politicization” of issues unique to Israel, such as relations between religion and the state.

### Steering Capacity and Representation

The role of the political system resembles that of steering a ship: keeping the system steady and guiding it toward common goals.<sup>4</sup> Steering capacity is therefore the ability of the political system to secure its self-survival, to invest in its own viability, to adapt to environmental changes, and, of course, to shape policy and make binding decisions. A political system without steering capacity is a ship with a disconnected rudder. It can drift, but it cannot engage in purposeful movement. The concept of “steering capacity” points to the dynamic of political activity that must navigate in situations of flux, trauma, or crisis. For this reason, we prefer “steering” to other terms, such as “governability,” which suggests the ability to govern a specific society; or “capacity to govern,” which ignores the fact that political activity requires not just governance, but also the trust of its citizens and their cooperation in the steering process. Our approach in this book is that focusing on the government does not reveal the range of happenings in the political system, which may include some non-democratic elements that reduce the contribution of citizens, social organizations, and the media. In every democratic society, there are multiple centers of power in addition to the government, and no one of them is entirely sovereign (Dahl 1971, 24). The steering mechanism must connect well the helm and the rest of the ship, the political system and society (on profiles of steering capacity in Israel, see Galnoor 1996). And a *democratic* political system is also measured by its responsiveness to the will of the citizens – its representativeness.

“Representation” in a democracy means that citizens authorize those elected to public office to work toward common goals. At the same time, every democracy grapples with questions about the system of representation, how to ensure oversight of elected officials, and conflicts between the general, public interest and partial, vested interests. Added to this is the media revolution, which is transforming personal and public patterns of behavior, and has already brought about dramatic political changes locally and globally.

<sup>4</sup> The original Greek concept of government refers to the art of holding the steering wheel (Deutsch 1963, 182).

In this book, we focus particularly on the tension between steering capacity and representation, and whether the balance between them has been upset. True representation allows citizens not just to cast a ballot, but to influence the political system and sometimes even become involved in policymaking; true steering capacity requires that the government navigating the political system will act not just according to the legitimate interests of individuals and groups, but also mediate between conflicting interests, and work toward achieving goals for the public at large. In other words, steering capacity means that when the government turns the wheel, the ship actually turns with it; and, in the age of media, that this is not just the *image* of movement.

We start from the first two decades following the establishment of the state, when the steering capacity of the Israeli political system was strong in terms of achieving common goals, withstanding crises, and maintaining stability. This is not a value judgment; no claim is made that these goals were worthy, or that the progress was inclusive of all social groups, or that stability is necessarily a good thing. Indeed, in the relevant chapters we suggest that the steering capacity in the early period was achieved largely at the expense of the representation, openness, transparency, and public oversight of policymaking. The balance, in other words, was tipped toward steering capacity rather than representation. Later, the political system became more responsive to public concerns, and could be said to be more representative. But was it? And if so, how did steering capacity fare? And has the result been that Israeli society is more or less democratic? We will try to answer these questions in the final chapter of this book.

### Structure of the Book

The book opens with the formative years of the political system around the establishment of the state, and considers its development to this day. A factual description is integrated with an analysis of processes and their significance, and does not avoid presenting the positions of the authors on controversial matters.

The five parts of the book:

- Part I: “Establishing the State” presents the formation of the political system in the early years (1948–53) in the context of the political heritage from the Yishuv period, and the supremacy of politics. The second chapter raises the question “Does Israel have a constitution?” and lists the issues that have never been resolved, but left to fester for future generations.
- Part II: The five chapters of “Institutions Matter” examine the institutional framework – the presidency, legislature, executive, and judiciary – as well as the oversight monitoring mechanisms, such as the state comptroller, with the pitfalls of each and subsequent crises in steering capacity.
- Part III: “Political Society” is about the political behavior of citizens and bodies that are not part of the political system. It tracks the political participation of Israeli citizens in various channels (political parties, elections, civil society, media), and declining public trust in the elected organs.
- Part IV: “Unresolved Policy Problems” probes the four issues that have bedeviled the Israeli political system since its inception (security, the status of Israel’s

Arab citizens, economic inequality with concomitant social gaps, and religion–state relations). It traces the sources of these problems and the reasons why they have become political challenges.

- Part V: Finally, “Democracy in Israel” considers the contours of Israel’s political culture, its impact on democracy, and the dangers facing it.

The book is based on the course “The Government of Israel” taught for many years by Professor Galnoor in the Political Science Department of Hebrew University, previously published writing, and his experience as Civil Service Commissioner under Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin (1994–96). Significant insights presented here were developed during his years with the Israel Democracy Institute (1988–93). The book also draws from the publications of Dr. Blander and her work in the Israel Democracy Institute. Above all, this book is the result of seven long years of fruitful work together.

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-09785-8 — The Handbook of Israel's Political System  
Itzhak Galnoor , Dana Blander  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

## Acknowledgments

First and foremost, we thank our students in the Political Science Department of Hebrew University, whose thoughtful questions and contributions have enriched the chapters of this book. Through the long years of writing, we accumulated many debts and we cannot possibly name them all: the students who served as dedicated research assistants for the course; colleagues who shared their thoughts and advice; participants in the departmental seminar where we presented a chapter from the book; and those whose written and public words affected us. To all, we are grateful.

The authors wish to underscore their deep appreciation to the following people who wrote or helped write the first drafts of several chapters: Dr. Amnon Cavari – the chapters on the judiciary and the elections; Dr. Ofer Kenig – the chapters on the political parties, the elections, and coalitions; and Dr. Yael Peled – the chapter on Israel's Arab citizens.

The work of several research assistants greatly improved the content of the book: Naomi Himeyn-Raisch, Paz Carmel, Elihu Sapir, Eyal Tsur, Yuli Rzaev, Benjamin Schvarcz, and Asaf Sheratzky. We thank them and hold them blameless for any errors that remain or data that slipped through our and their fingers despite our best efforts.

It is a privilege and pleasure to express our deep gratitude to several colleagues who took the time to read parts of the Hebrew manuscript and contributed significantly to its improvement: Prof. Leah Achdut, Ms. Shlomit Asheri-Shahaf, Dr. Ariela Aufir, Dr. Amnon Cavari, Prof. Momi Dahan, Prof. David Dery, Prof. Ruth Gavison, Prof. Reuven Hazan, Prof. Menachem Hofnung, Dr. Hagai Katz, Ms. Anna Kempelman, Prof. Ruth Klinov-Malul, Prof. Mordechai Kremnitzer, Prof. David Levy-Faur, Prof. Yehiel Limor, Prof. Moshe Maor, Prof. Suzie Navot, Dr. Yael Peled, Prof. Leslie Sebba, Dr. Neta Sher-Hadar, Prof. Gayil Talshir, Ms. Efrat Waksman, Prof. Yael Yishai, Atty. Nurit Yisraeli, and Prof. Yitzhak Zamir.

Special thanks to Dr. Rivka Markus, head of the Knesset Archive, for her generous assistance in locating documents and data; and to Prof. Elie Rekhess and Dr. Arik Rudnitzky, who shared with us data used in the chapter on Arab citizens of Israel. These individuals are responsible only for the corrections made and errors avoided; we bear responsibility for the rest.

The entire project was supported by a grant from the Israel Science Foundation and written almost entirely at the Van Leer Jerusalem Institute, which provided a

safe harbor for Itzhak Galnoor for many good years. Completion of the Hebrew book was made possible thanks to support for our graduate assistants from the Levi Eshkol Institute and the Bernard Cherrick Center – both at the Hebrew University.

### English Version

This *Handbook* was published with the support of the Israel Science Foundation.

In addition, support for the English translation of the book was provided by the Israel Institute; the Van Leer Jerusalem Institute; and the Israel Democracy Institute.

The translation of this book required several tasks at once: make it lucid for English readers; omit details about Israel that would require long explanations; keep within the page limit, given that an English text can be a third longer than the Hebrew; correct omissions and errors; and update it extensively as the Hebrew book was published in 2013. Orchestrating it all was our senior translator, Gila Svirsky, who translated most of the chapters and the Hebrew bibliography, scanned the entire manuscript to ensure uniformity, and made valuable improvements of the content. Alongside her, doing exceptionally good work, was our second translator, Ira Moskowitz. We are greatly indebted to Gila and Ira. We would like to thank our editors at CUP, Lewis Bateman and Sara Doskow, who took over from Lewis in 2016; Daniel George Brown, the content manager; and Julene Knox, our expert copy-editor, for their enthusiastic support, encouragement, and professional handling of such a complicated manuscript. Thanks also to two anonymous readers, whose comments and good suggestions we tried to follow to the best of our ability.

Updating was carried out with great devotion by Guy Freedman, a Ph.D. student at the Interdisciplinary Center Herzliya (IDC), whose work was supported by the Levi Eshkol Institute. In updating we also received valuable help from Adv. Udit Corinaldi-Sirkis, Prof. Aviad Hachohen, Prof. Nissan Limor, Prof. Rafi Mann, Prof. Hillel Nossek, and Adv. Nir Weiner. The long and detailed index was prepared with devotion by Mrs. Fern Seckbach.

In a book of this length, errors are unavoidable. We would be grateful to readers who draw our attention to them and welcome those who wish to respond:

Itzhak Galnoor at the Jerusalem Van Leer Institute (itzhakg@vanleer.org.il) or  
 Dana Blander at the Israel Democracy Institute (danabl@idi.org.il).

Jerusalem 2017