

Multi-Method Social Science

Reflecting the rising popularity of research that combines qualitative and quantitative social science, *Multi-Method Social Science* provides the first systematic guide to designing multi-method research. It argues that methods can be productively combined using the framework of integrative multi-method research, with one method used to carry out a final causal inference, and methods from other traditions to test the key assumptions involved in that causal inference. In making this argument, Jason Seawright considers a wide range of statistical tools, including regression, matching, and natural experiments. It also discusses qualitative tools including process tracing, the use of causal-process observations, and comparative case-study research. Along the way, the text develops over a dozen multi-method designs to test key assumptions about social-science causation.

Jason Seawright is Associate Professor in the Department of Political Science at Northwestern University and has written extensively about multi-method research, publishing in journals including *Political Analysis*, *Political Research Quarterly*, and *Sociological Methodology*, *Sociological Methods and Research*. He was the author or co-author of several chapters in *Rethinking Social Inquiry: Diverse Tools, Shared Standards* edited by Henry E. Brady and David Collier. He has taught courses on multi-method research design at institutes worldwide.

Strategies for Social Inquiry

Multi-Method Social Science: Combining Qualitative and
Quantitative Tools

Editors

Colin Elman, *Maxwell School of Syracuse University*
John Gerring, *Boston University*
James Mahoney, *Northwestern University*

Editorial Board

Bear Braumoeller, David Collier, Francesco Guala, Peter Hedström,
Theodore Hopf, Uskali Maki, Rose McDermott, Charles Ragin,
Theda Skocpol, Peter Spiegler, David Waldner, Lisa Wedeen,
Christopher Winship

This new book series presents texts on a wide range of issues bearing upon the practice of social inquiry. Strategies are construed broadly to embrace the full spectrum of approaches to analysis, as well as relevant issues in philosophy of social science.

Published Titles

John Gerring, *Social Science Methodology: A Unified Framework, 2nd edition*

Michael Coppedge, *Democratization and Research Methods*

Thad Dunning, *Natural Experiments in the Social Sciences: A Design-Based Approach*

Carsten Q. Schneider and Claudius Wagemann, *Set-Theoretic Methods for the Social Sciences: A Guide to Qualitative Comparative Analysis*

Nicholas Weller and Jeb Barnes, *Finding Pathways: Mixed-Method Research for Studying Causal Mechanisms*

Andrew Bennett and Jeffrey T. Checkel, *Process Tracing: From Metaphor to Analytic Tool*

Diana Kapiszewski, Lauren M. MacLean and Benjamin L. Read, *Field Research in Political Science: Practices and Principles*

Peter Spiegler, *Behind the Model: A Constructive Critique of Economic Modeling*

James Mahoney and Kathleen Thelen, *Advances in Comparative-Historical Analysis*

Multi-Method Social Science

Combining Qualitative and
Quantitative Tools

Jason Seawright

Northwestern University, Illinois



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107097711

© Jason Seawright 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-09771-1 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-107-48373-6 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------------|
| | <i>List of Figures and Tables</i> | <i>page</i> vii |
| | <i>Acknowledgments</i> | viii |
| 1 | Integrative Multi-Method Research | 1 |
| | 1.1 The Multi-Method Boom | 2 |
| | 1.2 Integration, not Triangulation | 4 |
| | 1.3 The Origins of Proportional Representation: An Integrative Example | 10 |
| | 1.4 Overview of the Book | 13 |
| 2 | Causation as a Shared Standard | 19 |
| | 2.1 The Potential-Outcomes Framework | 20 |
| | 2.2 Regression and Causal Inference | 31 |
| | 2.3 Regression and the Potential-Outcomes Framework | 34 |
| | 2.4 Conclusions | 39 |
| 3 | Using Case Studies to Test and Refine Regressions | 45 |
| | 3.1 Identifying a Case's Causal Counterfactuals | 46 |
| | 3.2 Validating Measurement | 48 |
| | 3.3 Testing or Discovering Hypotheses about Causal Pathways | 55 |
| | 3.4 Searching for Confounders | 67 |
| | 3.5 Refining Regressions to Incorporate Qualitative Insights | 70 |
| 4 | Case Selection after Regression | 75 |
| | 4.1 Case Selection Techniques: A Brief Overview | 76 |
| | 4.2 Why Deviant and Extreme-on-the-Independent-Variable Cases Are Usually Best | 85 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| | 4.3 The Fit Between Techniques and Goals: Simulations | 98 |
| | 4.4 Conclusions | 104 |
| 5 | Combining Case Studies and Matching | 107 |
| | 5.1 Finding Omitted Variables | 114 |
| | 5.2 Checking for Measurement Problems | 118 |
| | 5.3 Causal Pathways | 121 |
| | 5.4 Conclusions | 122 |
| 6 | Combining Case Studies and Natural Experiments | 124 |
| | 6.1 True Natural Experiments | 127 |
| | 6.2 Regression-Discontinuity Designs | 138 |
| | 6.3 Instrumental Variables Natural Experiments | 142 |
| | 6.4 Conclusions | 148 |
| 7 | Embedding Case Studies within Experiments | 150 |
| | 7.1 Experiments and the Potential-Outcomes Framework | 151 |
| | 7.2 Case Studies and Experiments: Basic Considerations | 152 |
| | 7.3 Old Issues: Measurement and Causal Pathways | 155 |
| | 7.4 Testing SUTVA | 163 |
| | 7.5 Experimental Realism and External Validity | 166 |
| | 7.6 Conclusions | 169 |
| 8 | Multi-Method Case Studies | 171 |
| | 8.1 Testing Generalizability | 172 |
| | 8.2 Quantifying Effect Sizes | 175 |
| | 8.3 Quantitative Analysis as a Step in Process Tracing | 177 |
| | 8.4 Quantitative Causal Effect Estimates as the Outcomes to be Explained | 181 |
| | 8.5 Multi-Method Research with Other Modes of Qualitative Research | 185 |
| | 8.6 Conclusions | 186 |
| | <i>Appendix: Qualitative Causal Models and the Potential-Outcomes Framework</i> | 192 |
| | <i>References</i> | 208 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 229 |

Figures and Tables

Figures

| | |
|--|---------------|
| 1.1 Google Scholar Search Results Related to Multi-Method Research | <i>page 3</i> |
| 4.1 Confounder | 101 |
| 4.2 Pathway Variable | 103 |

Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.1 Techniques for Choosing Cases | 83 |
| 8.1 Integrative Multi-Method Designs: Questions, Strategies, and Case Selection | 187 |

Acknowledgments

As with any lengthy academic project, the composition of this book has been supported by a wide variety of individuals and institutions. Discussions with my graduate school mentors — David Collier, Ruth Berins Collier, Henry E. Brady, and David Freedman — were invaluable throughout the development of this project. Other colleagues, including Jeb Barnes, Andrew Bennett, Thad Dunning, Colin Elman, John Gerring, Diana Kapiszewski, James Mahoney, Stephen C. Nelson, Benjamin I. Page, Andrew Roberts, and Nicholas Weller, have generously read and given helpful comments on some or all of the book. While they may well disagree with key ideas in this book, it is inarguable that these colleagues have made the final product better.

I would also like to thank years of participants at the Institute for Qualitative and Multimethod Research at the Maxwell School of Public Policy at Syracuse University and at the Summer School in Social Science Data Analysis at the University of Essex, who have given feedback on this book in many preliminary incarnations. I am also grateful for the comments, suggestions, and support offered by participants in the INPUT seminar series at Northwestern University's Political Science Department.

Finally, I want to thank my family for their encouragement and support, and for putting up with what must at times have been exasperating conversations about methodology and the philosophy of science.