

ETHNICITY AND INTERNATIONAL LAW

Ethnicity and International Law presents a historical account of the impact of ethnicity on the making of international law. The development of international law since the nineteenth century is characterised by the inherent tension between the liberal and conservative traditions of dealing with what might be termed the 'problem' of ethnicity. The present-day hesitancy of liberal international law to engage with ethnicity in ethnic conflicts and ethnic minorities has its roots in these conflicting philosophical traditions. In international legal studies, both the relevance of ethnicity and the traditions of understanding it lie in this fact.

MOHAMMAD SHAHABUDDIN is a lecturer in law at Keele University, where his research focuses on the postcolonial critique of the concept of ethnicity and its role in the making of international law.





ETHNICITY AND INTERNATIONAL LAW

Histories, Politics and Practices

MOHAMMAD SHAHABUDDIN

Lecturer in Law, Keele University





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107096790

© Mohammad Shahabuddin 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Shahabuddin, Mohammad, author.

Ethnicity and international law: histories, politics and practices / Mohammad Shahabuddin.

New York: Cambridge University Press, 2016.

LCCN 2016004588 | ISBN 9781107096790 (hardback)

LCSH: Ethnic groups – Civil rights. | Minorities – Legal status, laws, etc. | International law – History. | Ethnic relations – History. | Ethnic conflict.

LCC K3242 .S49 2016 | DDC 342.08/73–dc23

LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2016004588

ISBN 978-1-107-09679-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For My Family





Foreword

page ix

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-09679-0 - Ethnicity and International Law: Histories, Politics and Practices Mohammad Shahabuddin Frontmatter More information

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements xi List of abbreviations xiii Table of cases xiv Introduction 1 1 Ethnicity in the discourse on the 'self' and the 'other': liberal and conservative traditions and their implications Introduction What does 'ethnicity' mean? Ethnicity: from 'otherness' to the conservative image of the 'self' Ethnicity in the liberal construction of the nation 30 Social Darwinism: the scientific logic of assimilation and exclusion Ethnicity, traditions, and nineteenth-century international law 46 Conclusion 2 Colonialism and international law: a story of two traditions 62 Introduction 62 The ethnic 'other' in German colonialism and international law 63 The background of German colonialism The projection of the ethnic 'self' on the colonial 'other' through exclusion The ethnic 'otherness' reflects back on the understanding of the

vii

The liberal approach to the colonial 'other' and international law

International law and colonialism in the liberal tradition

From assimilation to association: the case of the French civilising

mission

Conclusion

82

89



viii CONTENTS

3 Ethnicity in interwar international law: the minority protection regime in perspective 98
Introduction 98
Minority rights as a compromise between the liberal and conservative traditions 100
The liberal West and its conservative 'other' within Europe 111
The ethnic 'other' within the ethnic 'self': a case of reciprocal exclusion 122
Conclusion 134

4 Ethnicity in the era of liberalism: human rights, minority protection, and the post–Cold War paradigm shifts 136 Introduction 136 Understanding the 'minority' as an ethnic phenomenon 140 Dismantling ethnicity in minority 'protection' 148 The post–Cold War European context: minorities as a problem of the East 165 Conclusion 176

5 Liberal individualism meets conservative passion: international law responses to ethnicity in ethnic conflicts 178
 Introduction 178

Ethnicity, ethnic conflicts, and international law

Democratic peace and ethnic conflicts 185
Liberal exceptionalism vis-à-vis ethnicity 191
Ethnic accommodation and the liberal challenge 197
Ethnicity: from the Badinter Commission to the Dayton

180

Agreement 203 Conclusion 215 Conclusion 217

Ethnicity and international law: an engagement with consequences 218 Ethnicity and international law: a future direction? 221

Bibliography 232 Index 253



FOREWORD

What we might term 'ethnic conflict' has been an enduring feature of human history. International law has responded to this phenomenon through a variety of means, and the 'rights of minorities' is a topic that has featured prominently in the discipline since perhaps the beginnings of modern sovereignty in the Peace of Westphalia. Scholars have written extensively on the issue and the related questions of self-determination, secession, autonomy, and now, the topic of remedial secession. It is in this context that Dr. Shahabuddin presents his own work on this classic theme. Remarkably, he succeeds in this book in presenting a fresh perspective on a problem that has been the subject of so much writing. This he achieves principally by reversing the traditional analytic framework which presents all the legal challenges associated with the management of ethnic conflict as a derivative, a by-product, of international law; Dr. Shahabuddin also argues - with a persuasiveness derived from deep research into history, political theory, and the history of international law - that ethnic conflict has shaped international law and, indeed, sovereignty itself as law attempts to account for its political and sociological origins. The 'self-other' distinction that is at the heart of ethnic conflict assumes a number of other forms and roles in international affairs, and these affect each other in complex ways.

The provocative argument Dr. Shahabuddin makes is that we might see international legal responses as playing out an ongoing and apparently insuperable tension between what he terms 'liberal' and 'conservative' views of ethnicity. Liberalism sees ethnicity as peripheral and undesirable and primitive, a feature of politics that must be discouraged and suppressed; the conservative approach rather sees ethnicity as a fundamental aspect of political identity and the nation that must be integrated into any political arrangement. Dr. Shahabuddin covers new and interesting ground in tracing the evolution of these traditions and, in particular, the relationship between their formation and the colonial policies of Germany and France. The book is notable for presenting a



X FOREWORD

rich account of the attempts of international law to deal with the challenge of the 'self' and 'other' through different phases and episodes in history and for offering a new genealogy of this theme, one that includes that colonial encounter as well as the more traditional story of the minority treaty system.

The questions raised by Dr. Shahabuddin's work are profound. The liberal approach may be inadequate as it continuously compromises to accommodate ethnic identities that it would rather not recognise, and yet, it is easy to imagine how the adoption of a 'conservative' approach to statehood could result in the subordination of minorities, inequalities, ethnic cleansing, and worse. His historical approach suggests we are condemned to repeating, albeit in some new guise, the same essential dynamic. If this is the case, his work could suggest two very different responses. If the project is to somehow resolve this tension, then we must develop another politics of 'self' and 'other' in order to erode the dichotomy at the heart of the problem? We might inquire into how other historical and political traditions approach the question of pluralism and the complexities of identity. Perhaps, however, this dichotomy is inescapable and inevitable because it is itself a product of modern sovereignty when applied to plural societies - in which case what is required is a radical re-thinking of sovereignty itself? Alternatively, we see the tension between the two traditions as productive, creating new ways of dealing with a complex and endlessly mutating phenomenon. After all, globalisation, rather than eroding these dichotomies, seems to have reproduced, transformed, and exacerbated them. Minorities are changing in their political and social character, and ethnic identifications persist. Some diaspora communities living in liberal democracies seem to be vehemently intent on asserting ethnic identities in the homelands they have left. Liberal democracies themselves are now struggling to maintain their identity and renunciation of ethnic politics as they are transformed by migration and refugees.

Dr. Shahabuddin has written a fine work that sheds important light on an issue that continues, despite its ancient lineage, to challenge the ambitions of contemporary international law.

> Antony Anghie Salt Lake City, November 2015



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book originated as a doctoral thesis completed at the School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS), University of London. I am thankful to Matthew Craven for all the engaging debates and discussions during supervision meetings and, more importantly, for incessantly provoking me to ask better questions. I also thank my thesis examiners – Antony Anghie and David Kennedy – for offering valuable advice on converting the thesis into a book. I must specially register my sincerest gratitude to Antony not only for writing the Foreword to this book but also for his kind mentoring and moral support over many years and in so many different ways, which facilitated my intellectual transformation. I am indebted to him for his generosity.

Completing this colossal task has been made possible by the help and support of Sundhya Pahuja, who took the trouble of reading the manuscript and provided valuable comments. I also thank Patrick Thornberry and Catriona Drew for reading draft chapters and helping me present arguments more coherently.

I am grateful to a number of friends for their important contributions at various stages of the publication of this book. Of them, Jean D'Aspremont, Luis Eslava, Michael Fakhri, Charlotte Peevers, and Jothi Rajah deserve special mention. I take this opportunity to thank the Third World Approaches to International Law (TWAIL) and Harvard Law School's Institute for Global Law and Policy (IGLP) network of scholars; engagements with them have enriched my scholarship in significant ways.

I am grateful to Ichiro Araki and Hyuck-soo Yoo for inviting me to Yokohama National University for a year and thereby enabling me to resume writing this book after a long pause. It would be less than fair if I did not also thank my colleagues at Jahangirnagar University and Keele University for their encouragement and support.

Parts of this book have been presented in various conferences and previously published, albeit in different forms, in journals and edited



xii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

volumes. I am grateful to the publishers, numerous conference participants, and my readers for their constructive feedback.

Special thanks to Elizabeth Spicer and Richard Woodham at Cambridge University Press for their support in publishing this book. I am also thankful to Allison Turner for her help with editing. I thank Integra for completing the painstaking task of copy-editing and typesetting.

Writing this book was made possible by the sacrifice of Aznin and our two sons – Ehan and Eshan. I respectfully recall their patience when I had to work long hours in my office, sometimes even on weekends. My mother and other family members from Dhaka also expressed their excitement about the work. I appreciate each of them. And finally, memories of my father, who would have been so happy to see this work published, have always been an important source of inspiration for writing this book.



ABBREVIATIONS

CDDH Steering Committee for Human Rights, Council of Europe

CERD International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial

Discrimination

COE Council of Europe

CSCE Conference on the Security and Co-operation in Europe

ECE East and Central European

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR European Court of Human Rights
ECOSOC Economic and Social Council

EU European Union

FCNM Framework Convention on National Minorities

FRUS Foreign Relations of the United States HCNM High Commissioner on National Minorities

HR Human Rights

HRC Human Rights Committee

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

ICESCR International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

ICJInternational Court of JusticeIMFInternational Monetary FundNATONorth Atlantic Treaty OrganisationNGONon-Governmental Organisation

OSCE Organisation for Security and Co-operation in Europe

PCIJ Permanent Court of International Justice

SDP Social Democratic Party

SFRY Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia UDHR Universal Declaration of Human Rights

UN United Nations

UNDM United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Persons Belonging to

National or Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic Minorities

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation

WGM Working Group on Minorities, United Nations

xiii



TABLE OF CASES

- Aaland Islands Case. Report of the International Commission of Jurists Entrusted by the Council of the League of Nations with the Task of Giving an Advisory Opinion upon the Legal Aspects of the Aaland Islands Question (1920). League of Nations Official Journal, Special Supplement No. 3 8, 194, 207
- Aaland Islands Case. Report Submitted to the Council of the League of Nations by the Commission of Rapporteurs (1921). League of Nations Council Doc. B7
 [C] 21/68/106 8, 207
- Acquisition of Polish Nationality Case. PCIJ Report (1923) Series B, No. 7 123 Anguelova v. Bulgaria. ECHR (2002) Application Nos. 43577/1998 and 43579/ 1998 153
- Bernard Ominayak, Chief of the Lubicon Lake Band v. Canada. HRC (1990) No. 167/1984, CCPR/C/38/D/167/1984 157
- Certain German Interests in Polish Upper Silesia Case. PCIJ Report (1926) Series A, No. 7 109
- Chorzow Factory Case. PCIJ Report (1925) Series B, No. 3 109
- Chorzow Factory Case. PCIJ Report (1928) Series A, No. 17 109
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Arbitration Commission Opinion No. 1 (1991). European Journal of International Law 3 (1992) 182–183 8, 205
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 2 (1991). European Journal of International Law 3 (1992) 183–184 $\,$ 8
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 3 (1991). European Journal of International Law 3 (1992) 184–185 8, 206
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 4 (1992). European Journal of International Law 4 (1993) 74–76 175
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 5 (1992). European Journal of International Law 4 (1993) 76–77 174
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 6 (1992). European Journal of International Law 4 (1993) 77–80 174
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 7 (1992). European Journal of International Law 4 (1993) 80–84 $\,$ 174
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 8 (1992). European Journal of International Law 4 (1993) 84–88 206

xiv



TABLE OF CASES

xv

- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 9 (1992). European Journal of International Law 4 (1993) 88–90 206
- Conference on Yugoslavia. Opinion No. 10 (1992). European Journal of International Law 4 (1993) 90–91 175
- Customs Régime between Germany and Austria (Protocol of 19 March 1931) Case. PCIJ Report (1931) Series A/B, No. 41 102
- East Timor (Portugal v. Australia) Case (Judgment). ICJ Reports (1995) 195 Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso and Mali) Case (Judgment). ICJ Reports (1986) 137, 206
- Gillot v. France. HRC (2002) No. 932/2000, CCPR/C/75/D/932/2000 157

 Greco-Bulgarian Communities Case (Advisory Opinion). PCIJ Report (1930) Series B,

 No. 1731 116, 173
- International Status of South-West Africa Case (Advisory Opinion). ICJ Reports (1950) 195
- J.G.A Diergaardt et al. v. Namibia. HRC (2000) No. 760/1996, CCPR/C/69/D/760/ 1996 157
- Kitok v. Sweden. HRC (1988) No. 197/1985, CCPR/C/33/D/197/1985 157, 158 Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia
- (South West Africa) Case (Advisory Opinion). ICJ Reports (1971) 137, 195 Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory
- (Advisory Opinion). ICJ Reports (2004) 195
- Lovelace v. Canada. HRC (1981) No. 24/1977, A/36/40 158
- Minority Schools in Albania Case. PCIJ Report (1935) Series A/B, No. 64 107, 108

 Moldovan and Others v. Romania, ECHR (2005) Application Nos. 41138/1998 and
- Moldovan and Others v. Romania. ECHR (2005) Application Nos. 41138/1998 and 64320/2001 153
- Questions Relating to Settlers of German Origin in Poland Case. PCIJ Report (1925) Series B, No. 6 108
- Rights of Minorities in Upper Silesia (Minority School) Case. PCIJ Report (1928) Series A, No. 15 109
- South West Africa (Ethiopia v. South Africa; Liberia v. South Africa) Case (Second Phase). ICJ Reports (1966) 195
- Velikova v. Bulgaria. ECHR (2000) Application No. 41488/1998 153
- Western Sahara Case (Advisory Opinion). ICJ Reports (1975) 195