

## THE CRISIS OF GERMAN HISTORICISM

Hannah Arendt and Leo Strauss – two major political thinkers of the twentieth century, both of German-Jewish background and forced into exile in America – were never friends or intellectual interlocutors. Yet they shared a radical critique of contemporary idioms of politically oriented discourses and a lifelong effort to modify reflective approaches to political experience. Liisi Keedus reveals how Arendt's and Strauss's thinking about political modernity was the product of a common intellectual formation in Weimar Germany, by examining the cross-disciplinary debates guiding their early work. Through a historical reconstruction of their shared interrogative horizons – comprising questions regarding the possibility of an ethically engaged political philosophy after two world wars, the political fate of Jewry, the implications of modern conceptions of freedom, and the relation between *theoria* and *praxis* – Keedus unravels striking similarities, as well as genuine antagonisms, between the two thinkers.

LIISI KEEDUS is a research fellow at the Erik Castrén Institute of International Law and Human Rights at University of Helsinki. She is affiliated with Tallinn University and the Boccaccio Intellectual History Programme at the European University Institute, Florence.



### IDEAS IN CONTEXT

Edited by
David Armitage, Richard Bourke, Jennifer Pitts, and John Robertson

The books in this series will discuss the emergence of intellectual traditions and of related new disciplines. The procedures, aims, and vocabularies that were generated will be set in the context of the alternatives available within the contemporary frameworks of ideas and institutions. Through detailed studies of the evolution of such traditions, and their modification by different audiences, it is hoped that a new picture will form of the development of ideas in their concrete contexts. By this means, artificial distinctions between the history of philosophy, of the various sciences, of society and politics, and of literature may be seen to dissolve.

The series is published with the support of the Exxon Foundation.

A list of books in the series will be found at the end of the volume.



# THE CRISIS OF GERMAN HISTORICISM: THE EARLY POLITICAL THOUGHT OF HANNAH ARENDT AND LEO STRAUSS

LIISI KEEDUS

University of Helsinki





# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107093034

© Liisi Keedus 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-09303-4 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-47151-1 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Dedicated to the memory of Uku Masing (1909–1985), a scholar, a poet, and a free man





# Contents

Acknowledgements		<i>page</i> viii
	Introduction	I
I	The untimely generation	12
2	The problem of politics in Arendt's and Strauss's early writings	66
3	History and political understanding: An ambivalent symbiosis	IOI
4	Liberalism and modernity: Rethinking the question of the "proud"	135
5	Retrieving the problem of <i>theoria</i> and <i>praxis</i> : The antagonisms	161
	Epilogue	191
Bibliography		196
Index		221

vii



# Acknowledgements

The writing of this book unnoticeably became a long journey, and the debts incurred along the way have been correspondingly many. It is a great pleasure to acknowledge them.

This book would not have been without the help of two people. First, I am most indebted to Martin Van Gelderen for his extremely generous guidance and unwavering encouragement since my earliest efforts as an intellectual historian. As importantly, the intensity and life that his scholarship gives to the thought of the past has always been and remains for me a great inspiration. Second, I thank Andrei Apostol, who has suffered the ineptest of my drafts and the worst of my wit, yet remained my most obliging reader from the beginning to the end. Some of the arguments explored in the book were first suggested by him, and certainly many of its findings were further polished upon his insistence.

The book was born as a dissertation at the European University Institute in Florence, where I enjoyed the help and companionship of many scholars and friends. I owe a special debt of gratitude to Bo Stråth for generously sharing with me his time, ideas, and knowledge over many years. I am also most thankful to my dearest friends in Florence who made it all even more worthwhile and whom I have come to miss sorely: Paolo Aranha, Aleksandra Djajic Horvath, Emilie Delivré, Otto Horvath, Nuschin Kianzad, Majda Ruge, Christian Thauer, Fredrik Thomasson, Camil Ungureanu, and Victoria Matteucci-Veliz and Fernando Veliz. I also would like to thank Ruth Nirere-Gbikpi and Serge Noiret for their enormous help with even the most impossible of books and articles that I needed for my research.

I have been fortunate to meet so many scholars who took an interest in this book along the way. To start from the beginning, I thank Wolfgang Hardtwig for his kind invitation to his doctoral seminars in Berlin (and for enduring my German with impressive patience). These seminars became an occasion to befriend Tim Müller, with whom I had long and very

viii



# Acknowledgements

ix

enjoyable conversations about German-Jewish émigrés. During my first months as a graduate student, Rodrigo Chacun invited me to the New School of Social Research to attend the conference on Leo Strauss, without which I would have missed out on a great deal of what Strauss means in the United States. I made two very good friends there, Svetozar Minkov and Steven Lenzner, who have been my guides to "Strauss in America," and not only, ever since. I am grateful to Patchen Mitchell and Linda Zerilli for our discussions in Chicago. My debates with John McCormick were also a great pleasure, and I am very thankful for his invaluable comments on the first draft of the book manuscript. I am also much indebted to Joseph Cropsey and Nathan Tarcov for granting me access to the Leo Strauss Papers. My heartfelt thanks to Raymond Geuss and Steven Aschheim for giving me new ideas about how to take this study a step further.

It is also a pleasure to express my gratitude to the two anonymous readers of the first draft of my manuscript, whose comments and criticism were a *sine qua non* in bringing this preliminary project to fruition. In its final stages, I was kindly hosted by the Institute of Government and Politics at Tartu University. The writing of the book was supported by grants from the Tartu University Publication Fund and the European Social Fund.

Chapter 4 has partly been previously published as "Liberalism and the Question of the "Proud": Hannah Arendt and Leo Strauss as Readers of Hobbes," in *Journal of the History of Ideas* 73, No. 2 (2012). Portions of Chapters 1 and 3 have previously appeared as "Hannah Arendt's "Histories": A Contextual Perspective" in *Philosophical Topics* 39, No. 2 (2011) and "Thinking Beyond Philosophy: Hannah Arendt and the Weimar Hermeneutic Connections" in *Trames* 18, No. 4. I am thankful for permission to republish the research presented in these articles.

Finally, I will always remain indebted and wish to thank the many members of my family — especially my parents, grandparents, aunts, brothers, and sisters — for their support not just during the past years but for as long as I can remember. And of course, I owe a special thanks to those of you who kept company to my little daughter while I was finishing the book.