

### A HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION TO ENGLISH LAW

There are some stories that need to be told anew to every generation. This book tells one such story. It explores the historical origins of the common law and explains why that story needs to be understood by all who study or come into contact with English law. The book functions as the prequel to what students learn during their law degrees or for the SQE. It can be read in preparation for, or as part of, modules introducing the study of English law or as a starting point for specialist modules on legal history or aspects of legal history. This book will not only help students understand and contextualise their study of the current law but it will also show them that the options they have to change the law are greater than they might assume from just studying the current law.

**Russell Sandberg** is a Professor of Law at Cardiff University. He is the author of *Law and Religion* (Cambridge, 2011), *Religion, Law and Society* (Cambridge, 2014) and *Subversive Legal History: A Manifesto for the Future of Legal Education* (Routledge, 2021).





# A HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION TO ENGLISH LAW

Genesis of the Common Law

RUSSELL SANDBERG

Cardiff University







Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India 103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/highereducation/isbn/9781108423335

DOI: 10.1017/9781316106990 © Russell Sandberg 2023

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2023

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

A Cataloging-in-Publication data record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN 978-1-107-09058-3 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-46273-1 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To Emma, with love.





## Contents

Prologue: The Man of Law's Tale		page xi
1	The Need for Legal History	1
	I Introduction	1
	II Maitland and the Common Law	4
	III Why History?	7
	1 History Contextualises Law	8
	2 History as Comparative Law	9
	3 History Shows That Law Is Not Fixed	9
	4 History Highlights the Necessity of Legal Change	9
	5 History Highlights the Nature of Legal Change	10
	6 History Questions the Relationship between Law and Society	10
	7 History Serves as Critique	11
	IV Stories of the Common Law	12
	V Conclusions	16
2	The Architects of Legal History	19
	I Introduction	19
	II The Intellectual History Tradition	20
	III The Social History Tradition	22
	IV Radical Approaches	26
	1 Critical Legal History	26
	2 Feminist Legal History	30
	3 Critical Race Theory	35
	4 Subversive Legal History	38
	V Conclusions	41
3	The Anglo-Saxon Legacy	45
	I Introduction	45
	II The Seamless Web	46
	III From Feud to Compensation	49

vii



V111	Contents	
	1 The Blood Feud	50
	2 Compensating Wrongs	52
	3 Fault	53
	IV The Late Anglo-Saxon Legal System	55
	1 Laws	56
	2 Courts	57
	3 Proof	61
	V Conclusions	62
4	The Norman Conquest (c.1066–1154)	65
	I Introduction	65
	II What Have the Normans Ever Done for Us?	66
	III Feudalism	70
	1 Feudal Tenures	72
	2 Feudal Incidents	74
	3 Feudal Courts	75
	4 The Sarum Oath	76
	IV The Position of Slaves and Women	77
	V Conclusions	79
5	The Father of the Common Law (c.1154–1215)	85
	I Introduction	85
	II The Becket Controversy	86
	III The Angevin Advance	88
	IV The Writ System	92
	1 Maitland and The Forms of Action	94
	2 The Writ of Right	95
	3 The Possessory Assizes	97
	4 The Writs of Entry	100
	5 The Maitland–Milsom Debate	102
	V Conclusions	109
6	The Myth of Magna Carta (c.1215-1272)	113
	I Introduction	113
	II The Great Charter?	115
	1 Feudalism	116
	2 Courts	117
	3 Governance	119
	4 Immigration	120
	III The Origins of Parliament	123
	1 Magna Carta and the Charter of the Forest	125
	2 The Statute of Merton 1235	126



	Contents	ix
	3 The Provisions of Oxford 1258 and the Provisions	
	of Westminster 1259	127
	4 The Statute of Marlborough 1267	128
	IV Women under Medieval Law	131
	V Conclusions	134
7	The English Justinian (c.1272–1307)	137
	I Introduction	137
	II The Statutes of Edward I	139
	1 The Statute of Westminster 1275	141
	2 The Statute of Wales 1284	142
	3 The Statute of Westminster II 1285	143
	III The Decline of Feudalism	145
	IV The Origins of the Law of Obligations	148
	1 Covenant	149
	2 Trespass	150
	V Conclusions	153
8	The Black Death (c.1307–1485)	157
	I Introduction	157
	II The Origins of Labour Law	159
	1 The Ordinance of Labourers 1349	163
	2 The Statute of Labourers 1351	164
	III Effect upon the Legal System	166
	IV Effect upon Substantive Law	169
	1 The Action on the Case	169
	2 Assumpsit	173
	3 Treason	177
	4 Murder	179
	V Conclusions	182
9	The Tudor Transformation (c.1485–1603)	189
	I Introduction	189
	II The Legal Renaissance	191
	1 The Common Law Courts	196
	2 The New Conciliar Courts	199
	3 The Court of Chancery	201
	III The Reformation of Statute Law	205
	1 Regulating the Relationship with Rome	209
	2 Cromwell's Constitutional Clash	210
	3 A Religious Revolution	211



x Contents	
IV The Tudor Common Law	215
1 Consideration	215
2 Ejectment	218
3 Trusts	219
4 Murder and Manslaughter	223
V Conclusions	229
10 The Stuart Suicide (c.1603–1649)	233
I Introduction	233
II Coke the Lawyer	236
1 Disputes with the Ecclesiastical Courts	238
2 Tensions between the King and the Common Law	239
3 The Conflict with Chancery	241
4 The End of Coke's Judicial Career	242
5 Coke the Parliamentarian	243
III Coke the Husband	247
IV Coke the Jurist	251
V Conclusions	256
Epilogue: Destiny of the Common Law	261
Afterword	269
Index	272



## **Prologue**

#### The Man of Law's Tale

It was five thousand years since all of life on Earth had been destroyed. Just before the solar flares hit the planet, representatives from the human race had boarded a giant space ship. Aboard that ship, named 'Freedonia', those representatives entered cryogenic chambers and underwent suspended animation. For five thousand years, they were asleep out amongst the stars. They were only to be revived once it was safe to return to the Earth.

Five thousand years previously, the Council of the World Executive had decided that in order to preserve and eventually replicate humanity's achievements, the ship would be peopled with representatives from each country. Furthermore, reflecting the highly compartmentalised society that existed at the time and the need to build a new earth society, there would be one representative from each occupation within the delegation from each country.

Now, as the survivors of the human race were revived from their suspended animation, the commanders of the mission met not only with the lead representatives from each of the countries but also with the representatives from each occupation so that lessons could be learnt from their previous experiences on their return to the purified Earth.

Today, the commanders were meeting with the lawyers and the time had come for them to meet with the volunteer who had boarded the ship to represent the English legal profession. The Man of Law shook hands with the commanders. It was his first meeting for five thousand years; it was their sixth meeting that morning.

One of the commanders began the meeting with a frown. 'We do not seem to have a copy of your country's constitution in the electronic depository', he shrugged, already tired of administrative errors.

'Ah', the Man of Law exclaimed, 'that is because we don't have one. Well, there's no one written constitution or constitutional document. Instead, our constitutional rules are scattered in a variety of legal forms.'

The commander frowned further. 'And what about your code of civil law and your code of criminal law'?, he enquired, scrolling on the electronic screen in front of him trying unsuccessfully to find them.

'We don't have any codes of law as such', replied the Man of Law, pushing up his glasses. 'The law of England is to be found in many different sources but the two most important types are Acts of Parliament – often called statutes – which are made and passed by Parliament to which the monarch then gives Royal Assent; and the decisions made by judges.'

хi



xii Prologue: The Man of Law's Tale

'Do you not even distinguish between civil and criminal law?' responded the commander, treating himself to a short laugh.

'Yes, of course, we distinguish the two', replied the Man of Law, grabbing his lapels. 'Criminal law largely applies to rules that are enforced by the State. So, criminal cases usually the form of "R v. Bloggs", R being short for the Crown, personifying that they are being brought by the State. Technically R stands for "Rex" if the monarch is male or "Regina" if the monarch is female.'

The commander nodded, which the Man of Law took as encouragement to continue his lecture.

'In contrast, civil cases are usually between two individuals. So, the case is referenced as "Bloggs v. Jones". Oh, and by the way, the word "v" is written as "v" but pronounced as "and". The two parties are known as the claimant (the person bringing the claim, who used to be known as the plaintiff) and the defendant (the person defending the claim). There's a variety of different forms of civil law and they can be distinguished in different ways. There's property law and there's the law of agreements, known as contract law. There's also the law of private wrongs, known as tort law – that's from the French word meaning "wrong". That covers the situation where, say, I commit a wrong against you: if it's a criminal wrong, then the State could prosecute me; but if you suffer damage, then you could sue me in tort.'

The Man of Law paused for breath and the commander took advantage of this to interrupt. 'So, English law was made by the judges then?' he enquired.

'Well', replied the Man of Law, 'that's an interesting question. Parliament is supreme and creates the law through Acts of Parliament. And technically, the judges are there to declare or apply the law rather than to create it. However, as they apply the law to new circumstances, they are inevitably creating law. We call that – well, we called that – the common law.'

The commander's frown increased further.

'It's not a free for all', exclaimed the Man of Law, 'because of the system of precedent. Basically, the decisions of higher courts are binding on lower courts. The principle that the case establishes becomes binding precedent. We call that part of the judgment the *ratio decidendi*, to give you the Latin. Other things that the judge says that are not the point that determines the judgment are known as *obiter dicta*. Working out what is *ratio* and what is *obiter* in a legal judgment is a key lawyerly skill. It's often not clear cut.'

The commander interjected, 'So you are telling me that English law has no singular constitutional document, no criminal or civil codes and that your role is to find and interpret laws in thousands of Acts of Parliament and how they have been interpreted by the common law judges?'

The Man of Law nodded.

'But how can we get a sense of your achievements and accomplishments then?' the commander continued. 'How can we see how your law has developed? How can we understand English law if there is no one constitution or code, no singular book of law?'

'Ah', interrupted the Man of Law. 'There is no book. But there was a man.'

The Man of Law proceeded to tell the commander that many books had been written that sought to describe the common law at any one moment and that as time went on many



Prologue: The Man of Law's Tale

xiii

books had been written devoted to exploring in detail particular areas of English law such as criminal law or contract law. However, he noted, one author stood out: 'the legal historian Frederic William Maitland (1850–1906).'

'The common law developed in a pragmatic and piecemeal way', the Man of Law continued. 'It had no singular author but developed over time with each generation adapting it to fit their circumstances and needs. It was characterised by change but also by continuity. And that means that the English common law can only be truly understood historically.'

The commander nodded and the Man of Law warmed to his theme.

'You cannot really understand the common law as a whole', the Man of Law continued. 'You can only ever see parts of it. One approach, favoured by the law schools, was to explore the common law bit by bit, examining each area and what the law was at that time, looking at statutes and the decisions of senior courts. But that only gives you part of the picture. English law needs to be understood historically.'

'And this Maitland fellow was the pioneer of the historical study of the common law?' asked the commander.

The Man of Law grinned and proceeded to explain that, although there had been important legal historians before and after Maitland, it was Maitland who is seen as the founder of the study of English legal history. It was his work that established the framework for understanding the history of the common law, and it was Maitland who stressed the need to understand the past in its own terms rather than reading back later expectations and values.

'I see', replied the commander. 'But if we look at English law through a man's work rather than looking at a constitution or a code, are we not just seeing his interpretation?'

'That is true', considered the Man of Law. 'You could say that the common law is really a collection of memories that became stories and those stories then mutate into being histories. But you are right: they are always interpretations. It is important that we retell the stories of the common law but also that we question and are critical about those stories. We need to cast critical light upon the stories that the common law tells and the stories that we forget. We need to listen to Maitland but also need to dialogue with him.'

The commander paused and considered this before asking, 'What are the main stories of the common law? What do we need to know in order to understand the development of English law and to shape its future?'

'There are so many stories', the Man of Law replied. 'One group of stories surrounds the origins of the common law – its genesis. These are the stories about how the legal system developed in England before and after the Norman Conquest of 1066 during the medieval period up to and including the Civil War. It is not a continuous tale of progress, far from it. It's a tale – no it's a number of tales – of how legal ideas and institutions adapted, developed and then fell out of use; how they grew and regressed; and how law is always moulded by human hands and shaped by human experience. The common law is created by people and also effects people, advantaging some and disadvantaging others.'

The commander nodded. The Man of Law then proceeded to tell the stories that you will find in this book.

