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This book provides a unique introduction to the study of relationships between gender and biology, a core part of the feminist science research tradition which emerged nearly half a century ago. Lynn Hankinson Nelson presents an accessible and balanced discussion of research questions, background assumptions, methods, and hypotheses about biology and gender with which feminist scientists and science scholars critically and constructively engage. Writing from the perspective of contemporary philosophy of science, she examines the evidence for and ethical implications of biological hypotheses about gender, and discusses relevant philosophical issues including understandings of scientific objectivity, the nature of scientific reasoning, and relationships between biological research and the scientific and social contexts in which it is pursued. Clear and comprehensive, this volume addresses the engagements of feminist scientists and science scholars with a range of disciplines, including developmental and evolutionary biology, medicine, neurobiology, and primatology.
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Preface

In the preface to the first edition of *The Selfish Gene*, Richard Dawkins identified three kinds of reader he had in mind as he wrote it. I also had groups of readers in mind and they helped shape the level, foci, and content of the forthcoming discussion.

The first and most important group of readers are senior undergraduate and graduate students who are assigned this text for a course, or who find it on their own, and are largely unfamiliar with feminist engagements with the biological sciences and/or without an extensive background in biology or philosophy of science. Accordingly, I have worked to present relevant topics and arguments with as much clarity as possible, and to include cases of feminist engagements with biology that are not only representative but also accessible to these readers.

The second and third groups of readers are those I felt, to paraphrase Dawkins, “looking over my shoulder” as I wrote: those with substantial background and expertise in some or even all the topics discussed here. Let’s put feminist scientists and science scholars in the second group, and biologists and philosophers of biology in the third. But let’s remember that many of those whose work we will study are both biologists and feminists, or both philosophers of biology and feminists.

I separate the groups because the “voices” I had in mind reflect different backgrounds and/or interests. Although I don’t always follow the advice of those I think of as looking over my shoulder, at least not in the ways or to the extent they might have me do, keeping them in mind has led to this being a better discussion than it would have been had I not done so.
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