

INDEX

- A. v Governor of Arbour Hill*, 456, 457
A v Secretary of State for the Home Department, 707
 Aboriginal rights, 186
 Aborigines, 45
 Act Governing the Punishment of Police Offences, 670
actio popularis review, 423, 431, 434
actiones populares, 242
 activism, 399–400
Adalah Legal Centre for Arab Minority Rights in Israel v. Minister of Interior (2006), 488, 505, 506
 Administrative Court (Austria), 80–1, 107
Administrative Law, 704
 adversary system, 60
 Advocates General, 285–6
Ahad-Ha'am, 510
Air Caledonie International v Commonwealth (1988), 63
 airlines travel, regulation of, 58
 Alberta, Canada, anti-discrimination statute, 164
Alexkor case, 584, 585, 593
Al-Kateb v Godwin, 56, 59, 62
Al-Khawaja and Tahery v. United Kingdom, 265
Allgemeine Auslegungslehre als Methodik (Betti), 668
Amalgamated Society of Engineers v Adelaide Steamship Company (Engineers' Case), 49–50, 57, 65
 American Constitution (1789), 725
 American Revolution, 723
amicus curiae, 165, 285, 571–2
Amod v Multilateral Motor Vehicle Accident Fund, 595
Amsterdam decision, 350
analogia iuris, 172
 analogies, 53, 56–7, 138, 172, 214, 260–1, 292, 371–2, 373, 407–8, 455–6, 485–6, 533–4, 577–8, 622, 623, 653, 695, 739. *See also* arguments
Ancien Régime, 323
 Appellate Jurisdiction Act 1876 (United Kingdom), 682
 Arbour, Louise, 190
 arguments
 absent, 340–2
 analogies. *See* analogies
 applicability of Constitution, 216–17, 371–2, 408, 486–7, 531–3, 579–80, 621–2, 654–6, 694–5, 738–9
 applicability of constitutional law, 454
 applicability of treaties, 292–3
 based on structural values and principles, 459–61
 case disposition, 737
 chain structure (one-line conclusive reasoning). *See* chain structure (one-line conclusive arguments)
 combined, 420
 concept or principle not mentioned in text, 376, 583, 742
 concrete issue, 371
 concurrences, 369–70
 deference, 706
 dialogic, 651–2

- arguments (*cont.*)
 - disposition, 370
 - dissents, 369–70
 - doctrinal analysis of legal concepts
 - or principles, 220–1, 411–13, 490–1, 539–41, 625–6, 660–3, 699–700
 - domestic harmonising, 294–5, 581–2, 657, 739–40
 - economic, 416–17, 497, 627–8, 665–7, 702–4
 - establishing/debating text of
 - Constitution, 214–16, 342–4, 371, 408, 486, 529–31, 578–9, 653–4, 694
 - formal approaches, 93–4
 - general topic, 370–1, 737–8
 - harmonising, 218–19, 263, 295–6, 373, 374–5, 410–11, 433, 488–9, 535–8, 582, 623–4, 658–9, 695–8, 740–1
 - historical circumstances, 497
 - historical-intentional, 433–4
 - implicit concepts and principles, 297–8
 - incrementalism, 260–1
 - individual rights, 461–2
 - interpretive, 456–9
 - legs of a chair (parallel conclusive), 652–3
 - level of generality, 47–9
 - linguistic-logic formulae based on
 - silence, 297–8, 413, 492
 - moral, 264, 416–17, 496–7, 627–8, 665–7, 702–4
 - non-legal, 224–5, 301–3, 377–8, 416–17, 434, 463, 494–7, 546–7, 585, 627–8, 665–7, 702–4, 744
 - one-line conclusive. *See* chain structure (one-line conclusive arguments)
 - ordinary meanings of words of
 - Constitution, 217–18, 293–4, 373, 409–10, 432–3, 488, 534–5, 580–1, 656–7, 695, 739
 - originalism, 745
 - parallel conclusive, 692–3
 - precedents, 220, 296–7, 375, 411, 455–6, 489–90, 538–9, 583, 624–5, 659–60, 698, 741–2
 - references to foreign law, 94–5, 225–7, 303–4, 378–9, 418–19, 498–9, 548–9, 586–7, 629, 668–9, 705–6, 745
 - references to previous decisions, 344–5
 - references to previous judgments, 260–1
 - references to scholarly works, 225, 303, 378, 417–18, 434, 462–3, 498, 547–8, 585–6, 667–8, 704–5, 744
 - references to wording of
 - Constitution, 373, 488
 - reliance on general principles,
 - international law and consensus, 261–2
 - security considerations, 495–6
 - settled usage, 745
 - from silence. *See* arguments from silence
 - sociological, 416–17, 627–8, 665–7, 702–4
 - structure of, 92–3, 132–7, 170, 211–13, 259–60, 291–2, 334–9, 368–9, 407, 432, 452–3, 484–5, 529, 549–51, 576–7, 619–21, 650–3, 692–4, 735–7
 - subsidiarity, 262–3
 - substantive approaches, 95–7
 - teleological/purposive referring to
 - purpose of Constitution-maker, 223–4, 298–301, 377, 415–16, 493–4, 544–6, 585, 664–5, 701–2
 - teleological/purposive referring to
 - purpose of text, 222–3, 376, 413–15, 492–3, 542–4, 584, 627, 664, 700–1
 - textual interpretation, 263
 - transitory provisions, 432
 - travaux préparatoires*, 263
 - weight of, 97–8, 143–4, 185–7, 227, 264–5, 304–6, 345–6, 380, 421, 463–4, 499–501, 588–9, 670–1, 708–11, 745–6

- arguments from silence
 - argumentum a contrario*, 298
 - Australian High Court, 55
 - Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, 141
 - in Czech Constitutional Court, 221–2
 - European Court of Justice, 297–8
 - German Federal Constitutional Court, 376
 - Hungarian Constitutional Court, 413
 - in Israeli Supreme Court, 492
 - Italian Constitutional Court, 541–2
 - South African Constitutional Court, 583–4
 - Spanish Constitutional Court, 626–7
 - Supreme Court of the United States, 742–3
 - Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 663–4
- Arribas Anton v. Spain*, 613
- Assembly and Parade Act (Taiwan), 675
- Association of Judges of Ireland (AJI), 442
- association of states, 316, 317
- Aston Cantlow v. Wallbank*, 705, 716
- asylum cases, 108
- Asylum Court (Austria), 107
- attendus que*, 307
- Auriol, Vincent, 329
- Ausstrahlungswirkung*, 201
- Australia, 16
- Australian Capital Television v Commonwealth* (1992), 41, 43, 46–7
- Australian Communist Party v Commonwealth* (1951), 63, 66
- Australian Constitution
 - British model, 36–7
 - drafting of, 36
 - High Court of Australia and, 36–8
 - social role of, 44–5
 - as a statute of British Parliament, 70
 - US model, 37
- Australian Electoral Commission, 42
- Australian High Court, 36–73, 764, 765, 784
- Australian Constitution and, 36–8
 - concurring opinions in, 63–4
 - constitutional issues in, framing of, 64
 - constitutional litigation, 38–9
 - constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 45–59, 69: analogy, 56–7; non-legal (moral, sociological and economic), 57–9; precedents, 49–51, 52–6; structural inference, 49–51, 52–6; textualism, 49–51; types of, 51–2
 - comparative perspective on, 69–71
 - core methods, 49–51
 - criticism of, 71–3
 - evaluation of, 71–3
 - fundamental values, 43–5
 - legal scholarship and context for, 40–5
 - pathology of, 71–3
 - rule of law, 42–3
 - dissenting opinions in, 63–4
 - 40 leading cases, 73–4
 - glocal constitutionalism in, 791, 794–7
 - judges, 39–40, 774
 - salaries of, 42
 - judicial candour and rhetoric of, 59–63
 - key concepts, 65–9
 - democracy, 67–8
 - federalism, 65–6
 - nation, 67
 - separation of powers, 66
 - oral arguments, 39
 - power of, 38–9
 - proceedings, 39
 - special leave applications, 39
 - structure of constitutional
 - arguments, 46–9
 - level of generality, 47–9
 - one-line conclusive arguments, 46–7
- Austrian Constitution
 - amendment of, 78
 - Article 7, 106–7

- Austrian Constitution (*cont.*)
 Article 87, 107
 concept of human rights in, 79–80
 court system and, 80
 focus on liberal rights, 79
 40 leading cases, 112–13
 fundamental principles of, 102
 history of, 75–7
 key concepts in
 basic procedural rights, 107–8
 democracy, 105
 economic rights, 107–8
 federalism, 104
 government form, 104
 human dignity, 106–7
 human rights, 105–6
 principle of equality, 106–7
 proportionality test, 105–6
 rule of law principle, 105
 state form, 104
 Wesensgehalt, 105–6
 nation state concept in, 102–4
 as an open constitution, 80
 secularism and, 102–4
 sovereignty and, 102–4
 Austrian Constitutional Court, 75–112,
 113, 767
 academic debate, 100
 change of approaches of, 90
 comparative perspective on, 109–12
 implied presuppositions, 109–10
 key concepts, 110
 procedural aspects, 110–11
 competences, 82–3
 constitutional arguments
 structure of, 92–3
 types of, 93–7: formal approaches,
 93–4; references, 94–5;
 substantive approaches, 95–7
 weight of, 97–8
 constitutional litigation, 82–5
 constitutional reasoning in, 75–81
 arguments in, 88–109
 change of, 88–92
 constitutional context, 77–80
 historical context of, 75–7
 human rights and, 90
 judicial activism and, 90–1
 length of, 99
 target audience, 99
 criticism of, 112–13
 democratic principle in, 101
 dialogue with foreign courts, 100
 doctrine of “creeping total revision,”
 78
 equality principle in, 101
 evaluation of, 112–13
 framing of constitutional issues in,
 101–2
 function of, 81
 German Constitutional Court,
 compared with, 91–2
 glocal constitutionalism in, 792
 judges, 85–7
 appointment of, 85
 diversity, 86
 membership, 86
 other occupations of, 86–7
 qualifications of, 86
 salaries, 88
 judgments
 concurring opinions, 100–1
 dissenting opinions, 100–1
 length of, 100–1
 judicial candour and rhetoric of,
 98–100
 legal scholarship and, 87–8
 media and, 85
 pathology of, 112–13
 procedure of, 83–5
 rapporteur, 99
 review of statutes, 82–3
 rule of law principle in, 101
 website, 85
 workload, 83
 Austrian Constitutional Law, 89
 Austrian Convention, 77
 Austrian court system, 80–1
 Austrian Legal Informatics System, 85
 Austrian Nazi, 76
 Austro-fascism, 76
 authority-based arguments, 171
 autonomous interpretation, 258
 autonomy
 Canada, 154, 158–9
 ECJ approach to, 317, 319

- ECtHR approach to, 256, 262, 271
 Hungarian Constitutional Court, 411
 Hungary, 425
 Ireland, 438, 460, 466
 Italy, 554–5
 personal, 256, 262, 271
 Spain, 612–13, 625–6, 632–3, 637
 Aviation Security Act, 368, 388–9
AXA General Insurance Ltd, Petitioners, 703, 716
 AZAPO case, 579
 Azerbaijan, 238
 Azoulai, Loïc, 310
- Baden-Württemberg, 359
Baker v. Carr (1962), 727
 Balfour Declaration of 1926, 154, 438
 Barak, Aharon, 480, 483, 488, 492, 498, 500
Barber, 303
Bar-On v. Israel Knesset, 494
Barron v. Baltimore (1823), 738, 742, 748
Barzilai case, 504, 506, 509, 510
 Basic Law (Germany), 9.3. *See also*
 German Federal Constitutional Court
 analogical reasoning and, 373
 Article 1, 371
 Article 2, 370, 383
 Article 103, 389–90
 Article 120, 371
 Article 19, 388
 Article 20, 385–6
 Article 31, 386
 Article 59, 374
 Article 79, 386, 388
 Article 93, 363
 as an objective order of values, 360
 prohibition of unconstitutional parties, 356
Bato Star Fishing case, 575, 579, 580, 584
 Belgian Arbitration Court, 226
 better placed argument, 257
 Betti, Emilio, 668
 Bill of Judicial Rights (Israel), 482
 Bill of Rights (Czech Republic), 217–18, 223
 Bill of Rights (South Africa), 594
 binding summary, 123, 125
Bioethics (1994), 343
Black-Clawson International Ltd v Papierwerke Waldhof-Aschaffenburg AG, 701
 black-letter law scholarship, 169
 Böckenförde, Ernst-Wolfgang, 358
Bosman (1995), 302, 319
 Brandeis, Louis D., 23
 Brandeis brief, 23
 Brandt, Willy, 360
 Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, 31, 115–52, 779, 780, 782
 activism of, 116, 117–18
 caseload, 126–8
 comparative perspective on, 147
 constitutional arguments, 132–47
 structure of, 132–7: cases, selecting, 133–4; classification of, 135–6; decisions, 134–5
 types of, classifying and quantifying, 136–7
 weight of, 143–4
 constitutional litigation and, 120–8
 constitutional reasoning
 argument types in, 137–43:
 analogies, 138; applicability of Constitution, 138; doctrinal analysis of legal concepts or principles, 141; economic arguments, 142;
 establishing/debating text of Constitution, 138; harmonising arguments, 139; linguistic-logic formulae based on silence, 141;
 moral arguments, 142;
 non-legal arguments, 142;
 ordinary meanings of words of Constitution, 139; precedents, 139–40; references to foreign (national) law, 142; references to scholarly work, 142;
 sociological arguments, 142;
 teleological/purposive arguments, 141–2

- Brazilian Federal Supreme Court (*cont.*)
 legal and political culture as
 context for, 117–20
 legal scholarship and, 131–2
 constitutional review from 1988,
 125–6
 evaluation and criticism of, 147–52
 cultural inclinations and
 procedural variables in, 151
 individual authorship, 148
 intermittent reason-dropping, 151
 public sessions, 149–50
 soloist and anti-deliberative
 mentality, 151
 surface transparency, 148–9
 40 leading judgments, 152, 153
 framing of constitutional issues in,
 146
 height of popularity in 2014, 116
 history, 115–17
 judges
 appointment of, 128–9
 socioeconomic and academic
 backgrounds of, 129
 judicial candor/rhetoric in, 144–5
 key concepts, 146–7
 Mensalão case, 116–17
 opinions, 145–6
 concurring, 145–6
 dissenting, 145–6
 length of, 145–6
 seriatim format of, 145–6, 147
 strong, 150
 paradigmatic decisions of, 117
 Brighton Declaration (2012), 257
 Brind case, 697
 British Columbia (Attorney General) v.
 Canada (Attorney General), 187
 ‘British fishing vessels’ case of 1991,
 293
 Brown v. Board of Education (1954), 29,
 742, 744, 748
 Buckley v. AG, 440
 Bundesrat, 386
 Bundestag, 365
 Bundestreue, 386
 Bundesverfassungsgericht. *See* German
 Federal Constitutional Court
- Burger Court, 750
Byrne v. Ireland, 439
- Cahier du Conseil constitutionnel*, 331
Cahill v. Sutton, 445
Calder v. Bull (1798), 733, 744, 753–4
 Campbell, Kim, 162
- Canada
 constitutional history, 154–6
 constitutional interpretation in,
 159–60, 162
 constitutional review in, 157–8
 American model, 163
 in concreto model, 164
 reference mechanism, 164
 constitutional tradition in, 156–7,
 159
 formation of, 154–6
 Canada Act, 155
 Canadian Charter of Rights and
 Freedoms, 155, 160–1, 787
 Canadian Supreme Court, 9, 154, 764,
 780
 cases, 166–7
 comparative perspective, 193–5
 constitutional arguments
 structure of, 170
 types of, 171–85
 weight of, 185–7
 constitutional litigation and, 163–7
 decision rules, 165
 permission to intervene, 165
 rules of evidence, 165–6
 statute of no force or effect,
 declaration of, 163–4
 constitutional norm in, applicable
 establishment of, 171–5:
 analogies, 171–2;
 establishing/debating text of
 Constitution, 172–3
 constitutional reasoning
 legal and political culture as
 context for, 154–62
 legal scholarship and, 169–70
 decisions
 concurring opinions, 189–90
 dissenting opinions, 189–90
 length of, 189–90

- evaluation, pathology and criticism
 - of, 196–7
- 40 leading cases, 197–8
- framing of constitutional issues in, 190–1
- glocal constitutionalism in, 791–4
- judges, 167–9
 - appointment of, 168
- judicial candour and rhetoric of, 187–9
- key concepts, 191–3
- candour, judicial. *See* judicial candour and rhetoric
- Carmichele* case, 584
- Casagrande* (1974), 293
- case disposition, 17
- case-based reasoning, 258–9
- caseload
 - Austrian Constitutional Court, 83
 - Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, 126–8
 - European Court of Justice (ECJ), 282–3
 - German Federal Constitutional Court, 363–5
 - South African Constitutional Court, 574
 - Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 689
 - Supreme Court of the United States, 729–30
 - Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 646–7
- Certification of the Constitution* case, 578, 584
- chain structure (one-line conclusive arguments), 47, 48, 135, 212, 338–9, 529, 650–1, 735, 783, 788, 802. *See also* arguments
- Chamber (ECtHR)
 - composition of, 253–4
 - judgments, 251
- Charlottetown Accord* (1992), 156, 162
- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 100, 105–6, 312, 320
- Article 47, 108
- asylum cases and, 108
- French Constitution and, 344
- Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, 190–1, 193
- Chisholm v. Georgia* (1793), 30, 733, 742, 744, 753–4, 816
- Christian Democratic party (Germany), 359
- Cilfit* (1982), 304
- citizenship, 314
- Cityview Press v ANCO*, 468
- Civil Code (France), 323
- Civil Code (Spain), 608–9, 622
- Civil Law, 14–15
 - constitutional opinions, 769–70
 - mixed systems, 769
 - vs.* Common Law, 768–82
- Civil Liberties and Human Rights in England and Wales* (Feldman), 704
- Civil Procedure Code (Austria), 83
- Civil Service Tribunal, 278
- Civil War, 723–4
- claim of non-compliance with a fundamental precept (CNFP), 122–3
- Clarke, Kenneth, 744
- cluster analysis, 776–80
- Code of Hammurabi, 224
- Cole v Whitfield* (1988), 51, 53, 63
- Coleman v Power* (2004), 41
- Colliard, Jean-Claude, 329
- Commissionnaires du gouvernement*, 285
- common good interpretation, 256
- Common Law, 14–15, 43–4
 - classic, 769
 - constitutional opinions, 769–70
 - courts, 26
 - jurisdiction, 9
 - mixed systems, 769
 - vs.* Civil Law, 768–82
- common law rights, 43–4
- Commonwealth of Australia
 - Constitution Act 1900, 49
- Commonwealth Parliament (Australia), powers of, 56, 66
- Communicable Disease Control Act, 653

- comparative constitutional reasoning,
 - descriptive theories, 13–25
- comparative constitutional scholarship,
 - empirical methods in, 3–10
- Comparative Constitutions Project, 10
- competence, vertical distribution of, 293
- concurring opinions
 - Australian High Court, 63–4
 - Austrian Constitutional Court, 100–1
 - Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, 145–6
 - Canadian Supreme Court, 189–90
 - in Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic, 230–1
 - Czech Constitutional Court, 230–1
 - European Court of Human Rights, 268–9
 - European Court of Justice, 306–7
 - French Constitutional Court, 347–9
 - German Federal Constitutional Court, 381–2
 - in High Court of Australia, 63–4
 - Hungarian Constitutional Court, 422–3, 431
 - Irish Supreme Court, 464–5
 - Israeli Supreme Court, 506–7
 - Italian Constitutional Court, 552
 - South African Constitutional Court, 591–2
 - Spanish Constitutional Court, 632–3
 - Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 712–14
 - Supreme Court of the United States, 748–9
 - Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 674
- Conseil constitutionnel* (France), 226, 381
- Conseil d'Etat*, 325, 328, 330, 336, 337, 793
- consensus interpretation, 256
- Constituent Assembly (Israel), 471–2
- Constitution Act of 1867* (Canada)
 - adoption of, 162
 - legislative power over trade and commerce, 176
 - office of Prime Minister and, 157
- quasi-unitary state and, 180
 - s. 91, 174
 - s. 92, 182
 - ss. 55–57, 158
- Constitution Act of 1982* (Canada)
 - adaptation litigation, 162
 - constitutional norm in, applicable establishment of, applicability of Constitution in context, 173–5
 - interpretation of, 175–85:
 - arguments based on absence of textual references, 182;
 - doctrinal analysis of legal concepts or principles, 181–2;
 - harmonising arguments, 177–80;
 - moral arguments, 183–4;
 - non-legal arguments, 183–4;
 - precedents, 180–1;
 - references to foreign (national) law, 184–5;
 - references to scholarly work, 184;
 - sociological arguments, 183–4;
 - teleological/purposive referring to purpose of Constitution-maker, 183;
 - teleological/purposive referring to purpose of text, 182–3;
 - wording and ordinary meaning of words, 175–7
- division of legislative powers and, 155
- increased workload from adoption of, 189
- office of Prime Minister and, 157
- official languages, 170
- text of, 172–3
- Constitution of Brazil (1934), 124
- Constitution of Brazil (1998), 117
 - amendments, 122
- anti-formalist movements and, 119
- applicability of, 138
- article 108, 121
- Constitution, 787
- constitutional litigation and
 - concentrated and abstract control, 122–3
 - diffuse and concrete control, 123, 124–6

- constitutional reasoning and, 118
- establishing/debating text of, 138
- historical evolution of, 121
- ordinary meanings of words, 139
- Constitution of Canada, 158–9
- Constitution of France (1946)
 - Article 1, 342
 - Preamble, 326, 333, 342–3, 346–7
- Constitution of France (1958)
 - Article 11, 339
 - Article 60, 339
 - Article 89, 339
 - 40 leading cases, 340
 - Preamble, 324, 346–7
- Constitution of Hungary (1949), 400, 401
- Constitution of Hungary (1989), 400, 401, 404
- Constitution of Ireland (1922)
 - enactment of, 438
 - fundamental rights provision in, 439
 - judicial review in, 468–9
- Constitution of Ireland (1937)
 - adoption of, 438
 - Article 5, 459
 - Article 6, 459, 460
 - Article 15, 459
 - Article 26, 444
 - Article 29, 454, 459
 - Article 40, 451, 466–7
 - Article 45, 455
 - comparative perspective, 467
 - constitutional court in, 444
 - fundamental rights in, 439–40
 - judicial review in, 468–9
- Constitution of Italy (1948)
 - Article 134, 519
 - Article 135, 519
 - Article 136, 519
 - Article 137, 519
 - Article 51, 545
 - implementation of, 516
 - non-formalistic view of, 517–18
 - as a political agreement between anti-fascist parties, 518
- Constitution of South Africa (1996), 787
- Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia, 49
- constitutional arguments
 - Austrian Constitutional Court, 93–7
 - Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, 132–47
 - in Czech Constitutional Court, 213–27
 - European Court of Human Rights, 259–73
 - European Court of Justice, 292–303, 304
 - in French Constitutional Court, 334–7, 339, 340–5
 - German Federal Constitutional Court, 368–80
 - Hungarian Constitutional Court, 406–26
 - Irish Supreme Court, 452–63
 - Israeli Supreme Court, 485–99
 - Italian Constitutional Court, 528–9, 546–7
 - South African Constitutional Court, 576–89
 - Spanish Constitutional Court, 619–31
 - Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 692–711
 - Supreme Court of the United States, 733–46
 - Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 650–3
- Constitutional Council (France), 324, 325, 331, 344–5, 763
 - argumentative practices of, 336
 - global constitutionalism in, 793
 - opinions of, *vs.* French Supreme Court opinions, 337–8
 - pseudo-syllogism in, 336–7
- constitutional court, 12
- Constitutional Court Act (Austria), 83
- Constitutional Court Complementary Act (South Africa), 565–6
- Constitutional Court of Hungary. *See* Hungarian Constitutional Court (HCC)
- Constitutional Court of Italy. *See* Italian Constitutional Court

- Constitutional Court of South Africa (CCSA). *See* South African Constitutional Court
- Constitutional Court of Taiwan. *See* Taiwanese Constitutional Court
- Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic. *See* Czech Constitutional Court
- Constitutional Court Rules (CCR), 565–6, 572–3
- constitutional democracy, 516
- Constitutional Interpretation Procedure Act (Taiwan), 643–4, 669
- constitutional judges
 - balancing tests, use of, 22–3
 - decisions, 17–18
 - language of rights, 21–2
 - opinion writing of, 18–20
 - public perception of, 20–1
- Constitutional Law of Canada* (Hogg), 170
- constitutional laws
 - global evolution with local particularities (glocal), 791–4
 - sources of, 32
- constitutional pluralism, 316, 317
- constitutional reasoning, 10–13
 - anti-formalist theory of, 21
 - common law vs. civil law, 768–82
 - comparative, 13–25
 - future research, 791, 794–7
 - generic, 782–91
 - global trends in, 782–91
 - in leading cases, 25–32
 - legal culture as context for, 199–201
 - legal scholarship and context for, 40–5
 - patterns and variability of, 761–7
 - political culture as context for, 199–201
 - as a rhetorical exercise, 23
- Constitutional Reform Act 2005 (United Kingdom), 685–6, 691
- constitutional review, American model of, 163
- constitutional ruling, 24
- Constitutional Service of the Federal Chancellery (Austria), 84
- constitutional tribunal, 12
- constitutionalism, 192
- Contro Yuan (Taiwan), 642, 656, 664
- Convention for Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, 489
- Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), 658, 659
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disability (CRPD), 658
- Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 658
- Cooper v. Aaron* (1958), 748
- copy-paste quotations, 309–10
- core rights, 272–3
- Costa* (1964), 318
- Coty, René, 329
- Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service* (1985), 681
- Council of Europe
 - Committee of Ministers, 247
 - enlargement of, 239
- Council of Grand Justices (Taiwan), 641, 643–4, 663
- Country Reports Questionnaire, 798–807
 - arguments in constitutional reasoning, 801–6
 - framing of constitutional issues, 805
 - judicial candour and rhetoric of, 804
 - key concepts, 805–6
 - length, dissenting and concurring opinions, 805
 - structure of constitutional arguments, 802
 - types of arguments in constitutional reasoning, 802–3
 - weight of arguments, 803–4
- comparative perspective, 806–7
- constitutional reasoning from a comparative perspective, 806–7

- evaluation, pathology and criticism, 807
- legal, political, institutional and academic context, 799–801
 - Court and constitutional litigation, 800–1
 - judges, 801
 - legal and political culture as context for constitutional reasoning, 799–800
 - legal scholarship and constitutional reasoning, 801
- Countryside Alliance* case, 701
- Cour de Cassation*, 6, 325, 328, 331, 336, 337, 345, 793
- Court of Appeal (Ireland), 446
- Court of Constitutional Guarantees 1933–1936 (Spain), 606–39
- Court of First Instance, 278
- Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU), 95, 100
- Courts of Appeal (Canada), 164
- Crime and Court Act 2013 (United Kingdom), 691
- criminal charge, 263
- Crotty v AG*, 454
- Crotty v An Taoiseach*, 460
- cumulative parallel structure, 135, 802
- Czech Constitutional Court, 31, 188, 199–235, 764, 779, 780, 782
 - comparative perspective, 233
 - constitutional arguments in, 213–27
 - analogies, 214
 - applicability of Constitution, 216–17
 - arguments from silence, 221–2
 - doctrinal analysis of legal concepts or principles, 220–1
 - establishing/debating text of Constitution, 214–16
 - harmonising arguments, 218–19
 - non-legal arguments, 224–5
 - ordinary meanings of words of Constitution, 217–18
 - precedents, 220
 - references to foreign law, 225–7
 - references to scholarly work, 225
 - structure of, 211–13
 - teleological/purposive referring to purpose of constitution-maker, 223–4
 - teleological/purposive referring to purpose of text, 222–3
 - weight of, 227
- constitutional complaints, 205
- constitutional litigation and, 201–8
 - decision types, 207–8:
 - admissibility, 208; judgments on merits in constitutional review of laws, 207; judgments on merits relating to constitutional complaints, 207–8
 - decision-making in, 202–5
 - proceedings, 205–7: decisions based on merits, 207; panel, 205–6; paperwork, 206; petitioners, 206
- constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 200
 - legal culture as context for, 199–201
 - legal scholarship and, 210–11
 - political culture as context for, 199–201
- criticism of, 233
- development of Czech law and, 200
- duty of law of courts, 201
- evaluation of, 233
- 40 leading cases, 235–6
- framing of constitutional issues in, 231
- history of, 202
- judges, 208–10
 - from academia, 209
 - appointment of, 208–9
 - composition of Court, 208
 - law clerks, 210
 - rightist and anti-communist, 209–10
- judicial candour and rhetoric of, 227–30
- key concepts in, 231–3
- opinions
 - concurring, 230–1
 - dissenting, 230–1

- Czech Constitutional Court (*cont.*)
 length of, 230–1
 pathology of, 233
 powers of, 203–5
 review of constitutional complaints, 204–5
 review of constitutionality of laws, 203–4
 Czech Republic
 Constitution, 787
 Constitutional Court. *See* Czech Constitutional Court
 constitutional law, 215
 development of law in, 200
 law schools, 210
- Data Retention Directive, 100
- Day, Jonathan, 731
- de Gaulle, Charles, 326, 339
- De Lange* case, 580, 584
- Declaration of the Rights of Man (1789), 324, 342, 371
- Declaration on the European Identity of 1973, 312
- declaratory action of constitutionality (DAC), 122
- Defrenne* (1976), 296, 302
- Dehler, Thomas, 360
- democracy, 22–3, 764, 776, 785, 786, 805–6
 Australia, 67–8
 Austria, 101, 105
 Canada, 192
 ECtHR and, 262, 270–1
 Germany, 383–4
 Hungary, 398, 424
 Israel, 507–8
 Italy, 554
 South Africa, 595–6
 Spain, 636
 United Kingdom, 715
 United States, 750–1
- Der Hüter der Verfassung* (Schmitt), 668
- Derbyshire County Council v Times Newspapers Ltd*, 696
- devolution, 716
- dialogical structure, 48, 136
- Dicey, Albert Venn, 704
- Die Parlamentarischen Regierungssysteme in Europa* (von Beyme), 668
- direct action of unconstitutionality (DAU), 122
- diritto vivente* doctrine, 403
- dissenting opinions
 in Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic, 230–1
 in High Court of Australia, 63–4
- Dixon, Rosalind, 16
- Doctors for Life* case, 584
- doctrinal analysis of legal concepts or principles, 141
- doctrine of necessity, 174–5
- doctrines, 18
 generic constitutional, 32
- domestic harmonising arguments, 54, 218, 816, 818
- Dominion of Canada, 154–6
- double jeopardy, 624
- D.P.P. v O'Shea*, 450
- Dred Scott v. Sanford* (1857), 738, 744
- Drier, Horst, 366
- due process, 554
- dura lex sed lex* principle, 302
- duty of military service to non-citizens, 542
- Dworkin, Ronald, 749
- ECJ. *See* European Court of Justice (ECJ)
- economic arguments, 142, 416–17, 497, 627–8, 665–7, 702–4. *See also* non-legal arguments
- economic crisis, 547
- economic rights, 102, 107–8
- ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
- effective remedies, 271–2
- effectiveness principle, 256
- Eighth Amendment, 740
- Eisenberg v. Minister of Construction and Housing* (1993), 485
- El-Al Israel Airlines v. Danielowitz* (1994), 496
- Eleventh Amendment, 734
- Elfes* case, 360, 370

- emancipatory constitutional reasoning, 119
- Empty Chair Crisis, 288
- equality, 22–3, 764, 785, 786
 - Austria, 106–7
 - ECJ approach to, 316
 - France, 351
 - Germany, 389
 - Israel, 508
 - Italy, 553
 - principle of, 101
 - right to, 102
 - South Africa, 596
 - Spain, 635–6
 - United Kingdom, 718
 - United States, 754
- erga omnes*, 123, 124, 125, 248
- Establishment Clause, 726, 752
- EU Charter for Fundamental Rights, 80
- European Area, 318
- European Arrest Warrant* case, 212, 217–18, 219, 223, 231
- European Coal and Steel Community, 277
- European Commission of Human Rights, 237, 238
- European Communities Act 1972, 684–5
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
 - Article 1, 240
 - Article 5, 263
 - Article 6, 108, 263
 - Article 7, 263
 - Article 8, 458–9
 - Article 10, 697
 - Article 21, 252
 - Article 32, 248
 - Article 45, 249
 - Article 6, 425
 - Austrian Constitution and, 76, 80, 90, 96
 - Austrian constitution and, 101
 - ECtHR and, 237
 - European Union law and, 374
 - Human Rights Act and, 684–5
 - as a living instrument, 255
 - Protocol 9, 238
 - Protocol 11 and, 238
 - Protocol 14, 245
 - Protocol 15, 241, 257
 - Protocol 16, 252–3
 - Registry, 245
 - Section 2(1), 458–9
- European Council, 277
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), 8, 26, 80, 237–75, 779, 782, 783, 784
- Austrian Constitutional Court and, 95, 100
- cases, applications, 238
- Chamber, 249–50
- comparative perspective, 273–5
- constitutional arguments, 259–73
 - structure of, 259–60
 - types of, 260–4: analogical reasoning, 260–1; harmonising arguments, 263; incrementalism, 260–1; moral arguments, 264; reference to previous judgments, 260–1; reliance on general principles, international law and consensus, 261–2; subsidiarity, 262–3; textual interpretation, 263; *travaux préparatoires*, 263
 - weight of, 264–5
- as a constitutional court, 239–40
- constitutional litigation in, 242–4, 251
 - admissibility, 242–3
 - competences, 247–8: declaratory judgments, 247–8; force of interpretation, 247–8; suggestions, 247–8
 - discretionary power in case selection, 244–6: filtering, 244–5; Grand Chamber, 245–6
 - fact-finding missions, 243–4
 - hearings, 243–4
 - individual applications, 242–3
 - judgments and decisions, 248–51: admissibility of decisions, 249; importance of, 251; reasoning and publication of, 249–50; single judges, 248–9

- ECtHR (*cont.*)
- constitutional reasoning
 - arguments of, 259–73
 - basic principles of, 255–9:
 - autonomous interpretation, 258; better placed argument, 257; case-based reasoning and incrementalism, 258–9;
 - common ground or consensus interpretation, 256; Convention as a living instrument, 255; effectiveness principle, 256; margin of appreciation doctrine, 257; meta-teleological interpretation, 256; primarity, 257; subsidiarity, 257
 - composition of, 266
 - legal culture as context for, 237–41
 - legal scholarship and, 254–9:
 - response to scholarly work, 254–5
 - political culture as context for, 237–41
 - duality of, 239–40
 - 40 leading cases, 275–6
 - framing of constitutional issues in, 269–70
 - German Court and, 375
 - Human Rights Act and, 697
 - judges, 251–4
 - backgrounds of, 253
 - composition of, 253–4
 - election of, 251–2
 - impartiality of, 252–3
 - independence of, 252–3
 - selection of, 253
 - judicial candour and rhetoric of, 265–8
 - audience, 267–8
 - composition of reasoning, 266
 - dialogue, 265–6
 - objective style, 266–7
 - key concepts, 270–3
 - core rights, 272–3
 - democracy, 270–1
 - effective remedies, 271–2
 - fair balance, 272
 - human dignity, 271
 - personal autonomy, 271
 - pluralism, 270–1
 - procedural fairness, 271–2
 - proportionality, 272
 - rule of law, 270–1
 - secularism, 270–1
 - state neutrality, 270–1
 - opinions, 268–9
 - concurring, 268–9
 - dissenting, 268–9
 - length of, 268–9
 - Strasbourg Court and, 91
 - subsidiarity of supervisory system in, 240–1
- European Court of Justice (ECJ), 3, 26, 277–320, 783
- comparative analysis, 319–20
 - constitutional arguments
 - structure of, 291–2
 - types of, 292–304: analogies, 292; applicability of treaties, 292–3; domestic harmonising arguments, 294–5; harmonising with international law, 295–6; implicit concepts and principles, 297–8; linguistic-logic formulae based on silence, 297–8; non-legal arguments, 301–3; ordinary meaning of the words, 293–4; precedents, 296–7; references to foreign law, 303–4; references to scholarly works, 303; teleological arguments referring to purpose of text, 298–300; teleological arguments referring to purpose of Treaty-maker, 300–1
 - weight of, 304–6
 - as a constitutional court, 279, 289
 - constitutional issues in, framing of, 310–14
 - as non-constitutional issues, 311–14
 - subject matter of judgments, 310–11
 - constitutional litigation and, 277–83

- constitutional reasoning in
 - arguments in, 289–319
 - legal scholarship and, 286–8
 - political culture as context for, 288–9
 - sensu lato*, 290
 - sensu stricto*, 290
- evidence, 282–3
- 40 leading cases, 320–2
- French influence on, 353
- heads of jurisdiction in, diversity of, 278–9
- judges, 283–6
 - Advocates General, 285–6
 - annual salary, 285
 - appointment of, 283–6
- judgments, 306–7
 - concurring opinions, 306–7
 - copy-paste quotations, 309–10
 - dissenting opinions, 306–7
 - French model's influence on, 307–8
 - impersonality of, 308–10
 - informatisation, 308–10
 - length of, 306–7
 - precedents, 308–10
 - subject matter of, 310–11
 - translation, 308–10
- judicial candor/rhetoric in, 306
- key concepts, 315–19
 - fundamental rights, 316
 - principle of equality, 316
 - rule of law, 315–16
 - sovereignty, 317–18
- preliminary rulings, 280–1
- rule of procedure, 282–3
- sui generis* nature of, 277–82
- ultra vires*, 224, 234
- workload, 282–3
- European Economic Community (EEC), 315
- Euroclerosis, 288
- Ex Parte Milligan* (1866), 738, 743
- Examination Yuan (Taiwan), 642
- exceptionalism, 16
- excise duty, 48
- executive branches, 19
- Executive Yuan (Taiwan), 642
- extraordinary appeal, 124
- Factortame* decision, 684–5
- fair balance, 272
- fair trial, right to, 102
- Favoreau, Louis, 331
- Federal Court of Appeal (Canada), 164
- federalism, 43, 786, 805–6
 - Australia, 65–6
 - Austria, 104
 - Canada, 192
 - Germany, 386
 - Israel, 510
 - Italy, 554
 - South Africa, 595–6
 - Spain, 637
 - United States, 752
- Fedsure Life Assurance Ltd.* case, 577, 591
- Feldman, David, 704
- Fifth Amendment, 742
- Fifth Republic, 324, 326, 330
- First Amendment, 726
- First Knesset (Israel), 471
- Fletcher v. Peck* (1810), 742, 816
- force of interpretation, 248
- foreign law, references to, 5
 - Czech Constitutional Court, 225–7
 - European Court of Justice (ECJ), 303–4
 - Italian Constitutional Court, 548–9
 - South African Constitutional Court, 586–7
 - Spanish Constitutional Court, 629
 - Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 705–6
 - Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 668–9
- formal approaches, 93–4
- formal constitution, 158
- formalism, 14, 15, 20
- 40 leading cases, Codebook, 807–14
- Foucault, Michel, 496, 772
- Fourteenth Amendment, 723–4, 734, 736, 743, 753
- Fourth Republic, 329
- Frankfurter Dokumente*, 356

- Free Exercise Clause, 752
- free speech, 786
- Freedom of Association* (1971), 324, 327, 333, 342, 346
- freedom of expression, 805–6
 - France, 351
 - Germany, 390
 - Italy, 556
 - South Africa, 596
 - Spain, 638
 - United Kingdom, 718
 - United States, 755
- Freedom of Expression Institute, 571–2
- French Constitutional Court, 323–54, 766
 - comparative perspective, 351–4
 - constitutional arguments, structure of, Supreme Court opinions vs. Constitutional Council opinions, 337–8
 - constitutional arguments in
 - structure of, 334–7, 339: chain structure (one-line conclusive reasoning), 338–9
 - types of, 340–5: absent arguments, 340–2; establishing text of the Constitution, 342–4; references to previous decisions, 344–5
 - weight of, 345–6
 - constitutional litigation and, 326–8
 - constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 334–51
 - legal culture as context for, 323–6
 - legal scholarship and, 330–4
 - political culture as context for, 323–6
 - 40 leading cases, 354–5
 - framing of constitutional issues in, 349–51
 - glocal constitutionalism in, 792, 793
 - judges, 329–30
 - membres de droit*, 329–30
 - ordinary members, 329
 - judicial candour and rhetoric of, 346–7
 - key concepts, 351
 - opinions
 - American vs. French form, 334–5
 - concurring, 347–9
 - dissenting, 347–9
 - length of, 347–9
 - fundamental precept, 123
 - Fundamental Principles Recognized by the Laws of the Republic (FPRLR), 325, 327, 333, 347
 - fundamental rights, 316, 390–1, 425, 784
 - Furman v. Georgia* (1972), 735, 740, 743, 754
 - game theory, 8
 - gay rights, 109
 - GCHQ case*, 716–17
 - General Court, 278
 - generic human rights provision, 10
 - Geneva Convention, 488
 - Georgia, 238
 - German Constitution, Article 21, 499
 - German Federal Constitutional Court, 9, 330, 356–92, 549, 780, 782
 - activist approach in, 234
 - case load and litigation, 363–5
 - comparative analysis of
 - Austrian Constitutional Court, 91–2
 - Hungarian Constitutional Court, 426–7
 - comparative perspective, 391–2
 - constitutional arguments
 - structure of, 368–9
 - types of, 369–80: analogies, 371–2, 373; applicability, 371–2; arguments from silence, 376; concept or principle not mentioned in text, 376; concrete issue, 371; concurrences, 369–70; disposition, 370; dissents, 369–70; establishing or discussing text, 371; general topic, 370–1; harmonising arguments – domestic law, 373; harmonising arguments – international law, 374–5; non-legal arguments, 377–8; ordinary meanings of words of

- Constitution, 373; other methods/arguments, 379–80; precedents, 375; reference to wording of Constitution, 373; references to foreign legal material, 378–9; references to scholarly work, 378; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of Constitution-maker, 377; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of text, 376
- weight of, 380
- constitutional litigation and, 361–5
- constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 367–91
 - legal culture as context for, 356–61
 - legal scholarship and, 366
 - political culture as context for, 356–61
- establishment of, 357
- 40 leading cases, 392–3, 774
- framing of constitutional issues in, 382–3
- free speech and, 765
- general statute, 361–2
- glocal constitutionalism in, 793–4
- influence of, 226
- judges, 365–6
- judgments, 95
- judicial candour and rhetoric of, 380–1
- jurisdiction, 362–3
- key concepts, 383–91
 - basic procedural rights, 389–90
 - democracy, 383–4
 - equality, 389
 - federalism, 386
 - freedom of expression, 390
 - fundamental rights, 390–1
 - government form, 385
 - human dignity, 388–9
 - nation, 385–6
 - privacy rights, 390–1
 - proportionality, 387
 - rule of law, 383
 - secularism, 385
 - sovereignty, 384–5
 - state form, 385
 - Wesensgehalt*/core of a constitutional right, 388
- opinions
 - concurring, 381–2
 - dissenting, 381–2
 - length of, 381–2
- oral arguments, 364
- references to foreign law, 427
- Geschäftsordnung*, 361
- Ghaidan v Godin-Mendoza*, 710
- Gibbons v. Ogden* (1824), 742
- Giscard d'Estaing, Valéry, 329
- globalisation, 15
- glocal constitutionalism, 791–4
- gouvernement des juges*, 324
- Governing Body Foundation, 571–2
- government form, 805–6
 - Austria, 104
 - Germany, 385, 751
 - Israel, 509
 - Italy, 555
 - Spain, 637
 - United Kingdom, 716
 - United States, 751
- Government of Wales Act 1998, 684–5
- Grand Chamber, 244
 - composition of, 253–4
 - constitutional role of, 245–6
 - discretion of, 245–6
 - judgments, 251
 - publication of judgments and decision, 249–50
- Great Depression, 724
- Griffin, John, 683
- Griswold v. Connecticut* (1965), 735, 738–9, 755
- Grogan* (1991), 302
- Grzelczyk*, 314
- Günther, Frieder, 359
- Gyorfí, Tamas, 765
- habeas corpus*, 124
- habeas data*, 125
- Häberle, Peter, 359
- Hague Convention, 488

- harmonising arguments, 139, 218–19, 263, 294–5, 488–9, 535–8, 624, 695–8
- domestic, 54, 373, 410, 535–7, 581–2, 657, 739–40, 816, 818
- international, 55, 374–5, 410–11, 537–8, 582, 658–9, 696, 740–1
- Harrari Resolution, 471
- Hartz IV*, 372
- Hassam* case, 587
- Havel, Václav, 204, 208, 216
- HCC. *See* Hungarian Constitutional Court (HCC)
- hearsay evidence, 262
- Heart of Atlanta Motel* (1964), 754
- herrschende Meinung*, 28
- Hesse, Konrad, 358
- Heydon* case, 700
- High Court of Australia. *See* Australian High Court
- High Court of Justice (Israel), 471
 - constitutional litigation and, 474–7
 - as defender of democratic values, 482
 - direct judicial review, 475–6
 - indirect judicial review, 476
- historical arguments, 171
- historical considerations, 546
- Holländer, Pavel, 200, 211
- homosexuality, 109
- Honeywell* decision, 374
- Horev v. Minister of Transportation* (1997), 503, 506, 510
- House of Lords
 - Appellate Committee, 685–6
 - judicial appeals in, 682
 - Select Committee on the Constitution, 681
- Household Registration Act (Taiwan), 661
- Huang v Secretary of State for the Home Department* (2007), 707
- human dignity, 22–3, 765, 776, 785, 786, 805–6
 - Austria, 106–7
 - Canada, 192
 - ECtHR approach to, 262, 271
 - France, 351
 - Germany, 388–9
 - Hungary, 425
 - Israel, 508
 - Israeli, 508–9
 - Italy, 556
 - South Africa, 596
 - Spain, 637
 - United Kingdom, 717
 - United States, 754
- Human Rights Act 1998 (United Kingdom), 684–5, 688, 692, 693, 694–5, 697, 710–11
- Hungarian Constitutional Court (HCC), 30, 31, 394–434, 779
 - comparative analysis, 426–7
 - constitutional arguments, 406–26
 - structure of, 407, 432
 - transitory provisions, 432
 - types of, 407–21: analogies, 407–8; applicability of Constitution, 408; combined arguments, 420; doctrinal analysis of legal concepts or principles, 411–13; economic arguments, 416–17; establishing/debating text of Constitution, 408; harmonising arguments, 410–11, 433; historical-intentional arguments, 433–4; linguistic-logic formulae based on silence, 413; moral, 416–17; non-legal arguments, 416–17, 434; ordinary meanings of words of Constitution, 432–3; other methods/arguments, 419–20; precedents, 411; references to foreign national law, 418–19; references to scholarly works, 417–18, 434; sociological arguments, 416–17; teleological/purposive arguments referring to purpose of text, 413–15; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of Constitution-maker, 415–16; wording and ordinary meaning of words, 409–10
 - weight of, 421

- constitutional interpretation in, 394–5
 - activism, 399–400
 - teleological interpretation, 396–7
 - text-positivism, 394
- constitutional litigation and, 401–5
 - actio popularis* review, 402, 405
 - ex ante* examination of statutes, 403
 - jogegységi határozat*, 403
 - a limine* rejected cases, 404
 - living law doctrine, 403
 - unconstitutional emission, 403–4
- constitutional reasoning in
 - arguments in, 406–26
 - legal culture as context for, 400–1
 - legal scholarship and, 406
 - political culture as context for, 400–1
 - positive features of, 429
 - problems with, 427–8
- criticisms of, 427–30
- evaluation of, 427–30
- 40 leading cases, 435–7
- framing of constitutional issues in, 423
- in general, 429–30
- judges, 405, 430
- judicial candor/rhetoric in, 421–2
- key concepts, 423–6
 - democracy, 424
 - human dignity, 425
 - proportionality, 425
 - rule of law, 424
 - Wesensgehalt*, 425
- locus standi* rules, 431
- official journal, 404
- opinions
 - concurring, 422–3, 431
 - dissenting, 422–3, 431
 - length of, 422–3
- pathology of, 427–30
- transformation of constitutional system and, 430–4
- Hunting Act 2004 (United Kingdom), 694–5
- Hurtado v. California* (1884), domestic, 818
- Imperial Conference (1926), 154
- implied constitutional rights, 466–7
- In re Article 26 and the Abortion Information Bill 1995*, 444, 453
- incidental power, 69
- incrementalism, 258–9
- indigenous societies, 43–4
- individual rights, 461–2
- Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, 488
- intergovernmental immunity, 65
- International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, 107
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 654, 658
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), 654, 658
- international harmonising arguments, 55
- Internationale Handelsgesellschaft* (1970), 303–4
- interpretive methods, 32
- interstate trade, 58
- Ireland
 - Free State system, 439
 - War of Independence, 438
- Irish Supreme Court, 438–69, 779
 - appeals, 445–6
 - constitutional arguments, 463–4
 - structure of, 452–3
 - types of, 453–63: analogies, 455–6; applicability of constitutional law, 454; arguments based on structural values and principles, 459–61; individual rights, 461–2; interpretive arguments, 456–9; non-legal arguments, 463; precedents, 455–6; scholarly work, 462–3
 - weight of, 463–4
- as a constitutional court, 444–6
- constitutional litigation and, 443–6
 - adversarial structure, 443–4
 - Article 26 proceedings, 444
 - concrete structure, 443–4

- Irish Supreme Court (*cont.*)
 - dual appellate functions, 445
 - constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 449
 - legal culture as context for, 438–43
 - legal scholarship and, 447–9
 - political culture as context for, 438–43
 - 40 leading cases, 469–70
 - framing of constitutional issues in, 465–6
 - judicial candor/rhetoric in, 464
 - key concepts
 - autonomy, 466
 - implied constitutional rights, 466–7
 - separation of powers, 467
 - key concepts in, 466–7
 - proportionality, 466
 - sovereignty, 466
 - opinions
 - concurring, 464–5
 - dissenting, 464–5
 - length of, 464–5
- Israel
 - basic laws in, 472, 484
 - constitutional case law, 820
 - constitutional revolution in, 472
 - establishment of state in 1948, 471
 - First Knesset, 471
- Israeli Supreme Court, 471–514, 780
 - comparative perspective, 510–12
 - constitutional arguments in, 483–510
 - structure of, 484–5
 - types of, 485–99: analogies, 485–6; applicability of Constitution, 486–7; doctrinal analysis of legal concepts or principles, 490–1; economic arguments, 497; establishing text of the Constitution, 486; harmonising arguments, 488–9; historical circumstances, 497; linguistic-logic formulae based on silence, 492; moral arguments, 496–7; non-legal arguments, 494–7; ordinary meanings of words of Constitution, 488; other methods/arguments, 499; precedents, 489–90; reference to wording of Constitution, 488; references to foreign (national) law, 498–9; references to scholarly work, 498; security considerations, 495–6; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of Constitution-maker, 493–4; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of text, 492–3
 - weight of, 499–501
- constitutional history and, 471–2
- constitutional interpretation in, 481–2
- constitutional litigation and, 474–7
- constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 483–510
 - judicial activism and, 482–3
 - legal culture as context for, 473–4
 - legal scholarship and, 480–1
 - political culture as context for, 473–4
 - storytelling style of, 352
 - theories, 481
- counter-arguments in, 501
- criticisms of, 512–14
- evaluation of, 512–14
- 40 leading cases, 514–15
- framing of constitutional issues in, 507
- glocal constitutionalism in, 792
- judges, 477–8
- judgments
 - concurring opinions, 506–7
 - degree of generalizations in, 503–4
 - degree of rhetoric in, 504–5
 - dissenting opinions, 506–7
 - judicial candour in, 500–1
 - length of opinions, 506–7
 - target audience, 502–3
 - translation of educational works and, 502–3
- key concepts
 - basic procedural rights, 510

- equality, 508
- federalism, 510
- government form, 509
- human dignity, 508–9
- nation, 509–10
- proportionality, 508
- secularism, 509
- sovereignty, 509–10
- Wesensgehalt*, 509
- key concepts in, 507
 - democracy, 507–8
 - rule of law, 507–8
- Knesset and, 473
- pathology of, 512–14
- technical language in, 502
- Italian Constitutional Court, 516–58, 767
 - comparative perspective, 556–8
 - competences of, 519–21
 - constitutional arguments, 528–9
 - structure of, 529, 549–51
 - types of, 529–49: analogies, 533–4; applicability of Constitution, 531–3; arguments from silence, 541–2; doctrinal analysis of legal concepts or principles, 539–41; establishing text of the Constitution, 529–31; harmonizing arguments, 535–8; non-legal arguments, 546–7; precedents, 538–9; references to foreign law, 548–9; references to scholarly work, 547–8; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of Constitution-maker, 544–6; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of text, 542–4; wording and ordinary meaning of words, 534–5
 - constitutional litigation and, 518–24
 - oral arguments, 521–2
 - rules of procedure, 521
 - constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 528–9
 - legal culture as context for, 516–18
 - legal scholarship and, 525–8
 - political culture as context for, 516–18
 - constitutional review in, 519–20
 - decisions
 - effects of, 522–4
 - types of, 522–4
 - 40 leading cases, 528–9, 558–9
 - framing of constitutional issues in, 552–3
 - judges, 524–5
 - appointment of, 524–5
 - collegiality principle, 525
 - exclusion of separate opinions, 525
 - requirements, 524–5
 - judicial candour and rhetoric of, 551–2
 - key concepts, 553–6
 - basic procedural rights, 554
 - democracy, 554
 - equality, 553
 - federalism, 554
 - freedom of expression, 556
 - government form, 555
 - human dignity, 556
 - proportionality, 555–6
 - rule of law, 555
 - secularism, 555
 - sovereignty, 554–5
 - state form, 556
 - Wesensgehalt*, 555
 - opinions
 - concurring, 552
 - dissenting, 552
 - length of, 552
 - separate, exclusion of, 525
 - power of, 519
 - roles of, 516
- Jackson, Robert, 756
- Jackson v Attorney General* (2005), 700, 702, 703, 704, 705, 708
- judges
 - Australian High Court, 39–40, 774
 - Austrian Constitutional Court, 85–7
 - balancing tests, use of, 22–3
 - Canadian Supreme Court, 167–9
 - Czech Constitutional Court, 208–10

- judges (*cont.*)
 decisions, 4–5, 17–18
 European Court of Human Rights, 251–4
 European Court of Justice, 283–6
 French Constitutional Court, 329–30
 German Federal Constitutional Court, 365–6
 Hungarian Constitutional Court, 405, 430
 Irish Supreme Court, 446–7
 Israel Supreme Court, 478–80
 Israeli Supreme Court, 477–8
 Italian Constitutional Court, 524–5
 language of rights, 21–2
 opinion writing of, 18–20
 public perception of, 20–1
 reasoning practices, 5–6
 South African Constitutional Court, 573–5
 Spanish Constitutional Court, 616–17
 Supreme Court of the United States, 730–2
 Supreme Federal Tribunal of Brazil, 128–30
 Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 647–8
- judgments, argumentative and conceptual diversity of, 787–8
- judicial candour and rhetoric
 Australian High Court, 59–63
 Austrian Constitutional Court, 98–100
 Canadian Supreme Court, 187–9
 Czech Constitutional Court, 227–30
 European Court of Human Rights, 265–8
 French Constitutional Court, 346–7
 German Federal Constitutional Court, 380–1
 Italian Constitutional Court, 551–2
 South African Constitutional Court, 589–90
 Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 711–12
 Supreme Court of the United States, 746–7
 Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 671
 Judicial Circuits Act of 1866, 730
 Judicial Committee of the Privy Council (JCPC)
 Canadian appeals to, 158
 jurisprudence, 705
 powers of, 688
 state of emergency and, 173
 judicial empowerment hypothesis, 786
 judicial power, 48
 Judicial Service Commission (South Africa), 573
 Judicial Yuan (Taiwan), 642
 Judiciary Act of 1789, 730
 Judiciary Act of 1869, 730
 Judiciary Act of 1925, 728, 729
 Justastat, 575
 justificatory reasons, 11
- Kadi* (2008), 319, 320
Kartinyeri v Commonwealth (1998), 56, 68
Katz v. United States (1967), 743
 Kavanagh, Aileen, 450
Kebilene, 707
 Kelsen, Hans, 75, 102, 234, 357, 605
 Kennedy, Hugh, 468
Kirk v Industrial Court of New South Wales (2010), 62
 Klaus, Václav, 203, 204, 208, 221
 Klokocka, V., 211
 Knesset, 473, 494, 503
Kol Ha'am (1953), 504
Korematsu v. United States (1944), 738
- La Forest, Gérard Vincent, 193
 Labour Relations Act (South Africa), 592
 Lambert, Edouard, 323
 Lancelot, Alain, 329
Länder, 386
Lange v Australian Broadcasting Commission (1997), 41, 56, 63
 Lasser, Mitchell, 6, 335–6, 352, 353
 Law, David, 9, 20
 law clerks, 210
 Law Lords, 689–91
The Law of Human Rights, 704

- law professors, 87–8, 406, 617–19
Lawrence v. Texas (2003), 740, 744, 754, 755
 legal originalism, 736
 legal reasoning, 6
 legal scholarship
 Australian High Court, legal scholarship and context for, 40–5
 Austrian Constitutional Court, 87–8
 black-letter, 169
 Canadian Supreme Court, 169–70
 Czech Constitutional Court, 210–11
 European Court of Human Rights, 254–9
 European Court of Justice, 286–8
 French Constitutional Court, 330–4
 German Federal Constitutional Court, 366
 Hungarian Constitutional Court, 406
 Irish Supreme Court, 447–9
 Italian Constitutional Court, 525–8
 South African Constitutional Court, 576
 Spanish Constitutional Court, 617–19
 Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 691–2
 Supreme Court of the United States, 732–3
 Taiwanese Contract Law, 648–9
 legalism, 41, 42, 70
 legislative branches, 19
 Legislative Yuan (Taiwan), 642
Leitmotifs, 563, 597–8
 Lepsius, Oliver, 367, 390–1
Les Verts (1986), 315, 320
 LexisNexis, 575
 LGBT rights, 109
Lisbon decision, 344, 350, 352
Lisbon Treaty, 202, 284, 317, 532
 literalism, 580–1
Liversidge v Anderson, 712
 living constitution, 3
 living law doctrine, 403
locus standi rules, 431, 434
 Loewenstein, Karl, 356
 Lords of Appeal in Ordinary (LAOs), 689–91
Lustration case (2001), 226
Lüth, 372

Maastricht decision, 350, 374
Maastricht Treaty, 287, 312, 317
Mabo v Queensland [No 2], 43–4
Magna Carta of 1215, 693
Maguire v Ardagh, 441, 456, 462, 467
Makwanyane case, 563, 582, 585, 586, 591, 596
 Manitoba language case, 172
Mankayi v AngloGold Ashanti Ltd., 593
Marbury v. Madison (1803), 29, 370, 726, 727, 733, 737, 742, 748, 753–4, 755
 margin of appreciation doctrine, 241, 257
 Martial Law Decree (Taiwan), 641
Martin v. Hunter's Lessee (1816), 739, 744
Maryland v. King (2013), 628
Massstäbe, 367, 368
 material constitution, 156
 mation, 752
Mazibuko case, 589
McCulloch v. Maryland (1819), 748
McD v L, 458
McDonald v Bord na gCon (no. 2), 462
McKay v. The Queen (1965), 163
McKenna v An Taoiseach (no. 2), 441, 456, 460, 462
MEC for Education, 572, 594
Meech Lake Accord (1987), 156
Melbourne Corporation v Commonwealth, 63
Melcák case, 215, 220
Menschenbild, 380
 meta-teleological interpretation, 256
Mhlungu case, 580
 militant democracy, 356
Miller v. Minister of Defence (1995), 485
Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism case, 575
Minister of Home Affairs case, 577
Miranda v. Arizona (1966), 754
 mischief rule, 700

- Mitterrand, Francois, 326
 mixed constitution, 316, 317
 Moldova, 238
 monarchy, 385
 moral arguments, 142, 183–4, 264,
 416–17, 496–7, 547, 627–8,
 665–7, 702–4. *See also* non-legal
 arguments
 motivating reasons, 11
Movement for Quality in Government v.
Knesset (2006), 485
 Müller, Peter, 365
 multilevel governance, 316, 317
Munn v. Illinois (1877), 755
- Natal Tamil Vedic Society Trust, 571–2
 nation, 67, 102–4, 385–6, 509–10,
 595–6, 637, 716, 805–6
National Coalition for Gay and Lesbian
Equality v Minister of Home
Affairs, 586–7
National Education Health and Allied
Workers Union v University of
Cape Town, 593
 national emergency doctrine, 173–4
 National Socialism (Germany), 377
 natural justice, 191
ne bis in idem doctrine, 624
Neiman v. Central Election Committee
 (1988), 500
 neoconstitutionalism, 119
 network analysis, 8
New Brunswick Broadcasting Co. v.
Nova Scotia (Speaker of the
House of Assembly), 172
 new constitutional interpretation, 119
 New Deal programs, 724, 772
 New Originalism, 737
 Ninth Amendment, 738–9
Nold (1974), 295–6
 non-discrimination. *See* equality
 non-legal arguments, 772–3. *See also*
 arguments
 Australian High Court, 57–9
 Brazilian Federal Supreme Court,
 142
 Canadian Supreme Court, 183–4
 Czech Constitutional Court, 224–5
 European Court of Justice, 301–3
 German Federal Constitutional
 Court, 377–8
 Hungarian Constitutional Court,
 416–17, 434
 Irish Supreme Court, 463
 Israeli Supreme Court, 494–7
 Italian Constitutional Court, 546–7
 South African Constitutional Court,
 585
 Spanish Constitutional Court,
 627–8
 Supreme Court (House of Lords) of
 the United Kingdom, 702–4
 Supreme Court of the United States,
 744
 Taiwanese Constitutional Court,
 665–7
 Northern Ireland Act 1998, 684–5
Northwestern Health Board v HW, 456
 Nowak, Manfred, 417
nulla poena principle, 263
- obiter dicta*, 588
On Law and Policy in the European
Court of Justice (Rasmussen),
 287
 one-line conclusive arguments. *See*
 chain structure (one-line
 conclusive arguments)
Online Gambling (2012), 344, 350, 351
Operation Dismantle v. The Queen
 (1985), 173
 opinions, 17
 tone, mode and diction of, 770–1
 topical and argumentative structures
 of, 31–2
 writing, 24–5
 Organic Act Regarding the Council of
 Grand Justice (Taiwan), 643–4
 original intent, 816
 original legal understanding, 816
 originalism, 3, 51, 736, 745, 750
 American, 816
 types of, 816
- Palais Royal*, 329
 Palestinian Authority (PA), 488

- Palko v. Connecticut* (1937), 724, 737, 754
Panama Refining Co. v. Ryan, 469
 parallel, individually inconclusive, but together conclusive arguments, 802
 parallel conclusive arguments, 135, 802
 Parliament Act 1911 (United Kingdom), 705
 Parliament Act 1949 (United Kingdom), 694–5
 Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE), 251–2
 Patriation of 1982, 194
Pepper v Hart, 686–7, 702, 703, 708
 permission to appeal, 689
 personal autonomy, 271
 Pescatore, Pierre, 309
 petrification theory (*Versteinierungstheorie*), 89–90, 816
 Pfersmann, Otto, 332
Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association of SA, 594
 Physically and Mentally Disabled Citizens Protection Act (Taiwan), 665–6
Pierce v. Society of Sisters (1925), 755
Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey (1992), 741
 pluralism, 262, 270–1
 political community, purpose of, 44
 political question doctrine, 173, 216, 738, 751
 political science, 7–9
 Portuguese Constitutional Court, 226
 Posner, Eric, 16
Poultry Growers Association v. Government of Israel (2004), 492
 Pound, Roscoe, 15
 pragmatic arguments, 171
praktische Konkordanz (practical concordance), 219, 373
 precedential reasoning, 52–3
 precedents
 Australian High Court, 52–6
 Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, 139–40
 Constitution Act of 1982 (Canada), 180–1
 European Court of Justice (ECJ), 296–7, 308–10
 German Federal Constitutional Court, 375
 Hungarian Constitutional Court (HCC), 411
 Irish Supreme Court, 455–6
 Israeli Supreme Court, 489–90
 Italian Constitutional Court, 538–9
 South African Constitutional Court, 583
 Spanish Constitutional Court, 624–5
 Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 698
 Supreme Court of the United States, 741–2
 Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 659–60
preemptive killings case, 503
Pretty v. United Kingdom, 263, 264
Prigg v Pennsylvania (1842), 744, 754
 primarity, 257
 principle of reasonability, 90
Pringle v Ireland, 460
 prior-period license fee, 49
 privacy rights, 776–80, 805–6
 Germany, 378, 390–1
 Hungary, 351
 South Africa, 596
 Spain, 638
 United States, 755
privatization of prisons case, 509–10
 Privileges and Immunities Clause, 736
 Privy Council, Judicial Committee of, 158, 173
 procedural basic rights, 805–6
 Austria, 107–8
 Brazil, 151
 ECtHR approach to, 271–2
 France, 351
 Germany, 389–90
 Israel, 510
 Italy, 554

- procedural basic rights (*cont.*)
 South Africa, 596
 United Kingdom, 718
 United States, 754–5
Prolife Alliance decision, 705
 Promotion of Equality and Prevention
 of Unfair Discrimination Act
 (South Africa), 594
 proportionality, 22–3, 68–9, 764, 776,
 786, 805–6
 ECtHR approach to, 272
 France, 351
 Germany, 387
 Hungary, 420, 425
 Ireland, 466
 Israel, 508
 Italy, 555–6
 South Africa, 595–6
 Spain, 634–5
 Taiwan, 662
 United States, 752–3
 Québec, 155
question prioritaire de
 constitutionnalité, 328

R (Anderson) v Secretary of State for the
 Home Department, 705
R (ProLife Alliance) v BBC (2003),
 707
R (Ullah) v Special Adjudicator, 717
R v Barger (1908), 60
R v Kirby; Ex parte Boilermakers’
 Society of Australia (1956), 62
R. v. Oakes, 181
R v Secretary of State for Transport, ex p
 Factortame Ltd (No.2), 684–5
 Radbruch, Gustav, 15
 Rasmussen, Hjalte, 287
ratio decidendi, 139–40, 309, 588
Ratti (1979), 296
Re Haughey, 441, 462
Re Wakim; Ex parte McNally (1999),
 47, 55, 58–9, 60
 reasoning practices, 10
Rechtsstaat, 356, 383
Refah Partisi (Welfare Party) and Others
 v. Turkey, 264

Reference as to the Validity of the
 Wartime Leasehold Regulation,
 184
Reference re Manitoba Language Rights,
 172, 175
Reference re Remuneration of Judges of
 the Provincial Court (P.E.I.),
 161–2, 172
Reference re Same-Sex Marriage, 188
Reference re Secession of Quebec, 172,
 175, 185
 references to scholarly work
 Austrian Constitutional Court, 94–5
 Brazilian Federal Supreme Court,
 142
 Canadian Supreme Court, 184
 Czech Constitutional Court, 225
 European Court of Human Rights,
 254–5
 European Court of Justice, 303
 German Federal Constitutional
 Court, 378
 Hungarian Constitutional Court,
 417–18, 434
 Irish Supreme Court, 462–3
 Israeli Supreme Court, 498
 Italian Constitutional Court, 547–8
 South African Constitutional Court,
 585–6
 Supreme Court (House of Lords) of
 the United Kingdom, 704–5
 Supreme Court of the United States,
 744
 Taiwanese Constitutional Court,
 667–8
Regarding the Luftsicherheitsgesetz,
 753–4
 Rehnquist, William, 743
 religious arguments, 547
 representative government, 67–8
 republic, 385
 Republic of China Constitution, 641
 Article 4, 663
 Article 7, 662
 Article 9–18, 662
 Article 11, 657
 Article 21, 662
 Article 22, 662

- Article 23, 661, 662
- Article 63, 656–7
- Chapter 2, 660
- provisions, 660
- Republic of Ireland Act, 438
- responsible government, 67–8
- Restitution of Land Rights Act (South Africa), 593
- Revolution of 1688, 679–80
- rhetoric. *See* judicial candour and rhetoric
- rights creep, 10
- rights discourse, 23–4
- Rinfret, Thibault, 184
- Roach v Electoral Commission*, 58
- Rodriguez v. British Columbia (Attorney General)*, 176
- Roe v. Wade* (1972), 29, 379, 741, 743
- Rome Statute* decision, 350
- Roosevelt, Franklin, 724, 731
- Roper v. Sims* (2005), 740
- Rousseau, Jean-Jacques, 772
- Rubinstein, Amnon, 480
- rule of law, 22–3, 764, 785, 786, 805–6
 - Australia, 42–3, 66–7
 - Austria, 90, 96, 262
 - in Austrian Constitutional Court, 101, 105
 - ECJ approach to, 315–16
 - ECtHR approach to, 270–1
 - Germany, 383
 - Israel, 507–8
 - Italy, 555
 - South Africa, 595
 - Spain, 636–7
 - United Kingdom, 715
 - United States, 750
- Russia, 238
- Ryan v AG*, 451, 466–7
- Rychetský, Pavel, 208
- SA Association of Personal Injury Lawyers* case, 578
- Saflii, 575
- same-sex marriage, 141, 547, 548
- Sample Judgments, 815
- Sankey, Lord, 159
- SARFU* case, 564
- Sarkozy, Nicolas, 329
- Saunders, Cheryl, 41
- Scalia, Antonin, 352, 741, 743, 776, 792
- Schechter (A.L.A.) Poultry Corporation v United States*, 469
- Schlink, Bernhard, 330
- Schmitt, Carl, 668
- Schnapper, Dominique, 329
- Schumacker* (1995), 293
- Scotland Act of 1998, 684–5
- Scottish Faculty of Advocates, 689–91
- second marriage, legality of, 665–6
- Second Uniform Tax Case*, 58
- secularism, 805–6
 - Austria, 102–4
 - ECtHR approach to, 270–1
 - France, 351
 - Germany, 385
 - Israel, 509
 - Italy, 555
 - South Africa, 595–6
 - Spain, 638
 - United Kingdom, 716
 - United States, 752
- separation of church and state, 385, 752
- separation of powers, 65, 467, 662–3
- settled usage, 745
- Shalit* case, 506, 510
- Shapiro, Martin, 18
- Šilih v. Slovenia*, 271–2
- Simmenthal* doctrine, 539
- Single European Act of 1987, 301, 460
- Sinnott v Minister for Education*, 449–50
- Slaughterhouse Cases* (1873), 732, 736
- Smend, Rudolf, 360
- Smith v. Jones* (1750), 817
- Smith v. Jones* (1795), 817
- Smith v. Jones* (1830), 817, 818
- social arguments, 547
- social context arguments, 171
- Social Order Maintenance Act (Taiwan), 666, 670
- sociological arguments, 57–9, 142, 183–4, 416–17, 627–8, 665–7, 702–4. *See also* non-legal arguments
- Solange II*, 374
- Sólyom, László, 394, 399

- South African Bill of Rights. *See* South African Constitutional Court
- South African Constitutional Court, 9, 560–601, 764, 779
- amici curae*, 571–2
- comparative perspective, 597–9
- competences of, 569–70
- constitutional arguments, 576–89
- structure of, 576–7
- types of, 577–88: analogies, 577–8; applicability of Constitution, 579–80; arguments from silence, 583–4; concepts or principles not mention in the text of Constitution, 583; domestic harmonising arguments, 581–2; establishing/debating text of Constitution, 578–9; international harmonising arguments, 582; non-legal arguments, 585; ordinary meanings of words of Constitution, 580–1; other methods/arguments, 587–8; precedents, 583; references to foreign law, 586–7; references to scholarly works, 585–6; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of Constitution-maker, 585; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of text, 584
- weight of, 588–9
- constitutional litigation and, 565–73
- constitutional reasoning
- arguments in, 576–89
- legal culture as context for, 561–5
- legal scholarship and, 576
- political culture as context for, 561–5
- criticism of, 599–601
- evaluation of, 599–601
- formation of, 565
- 40 leading cases, 601–3
- framing of constitutional issues in, 592–5
- glocal constitutionalism in, 792–3
- interpretative constitutional provisions, 562–5
- judges, 573–5
- judicial candour and rhetoric of, 589–90
- jurisdiction, 566–9
- key concepts, 595–7
- basic procedural rights, 596
- democracy, 595–6
- equality, 596
- federalism, 595–6
- freedom of expression, 596
- human dignity, 596
- nation, 595–6
- privacy rights, 596
- proportionality, 595–6
- rule of law, 595
- secularism, 595–6
- sovereignty, 595–6
- Wesensgehaltsgarantie*, 596
- opinions
- concurring, 591–2
- dissenting, 591–2
- length of, 591–2
- pathology of, 599–601
- proceedings in, 570–3
- regulation of activities and proceedings, 566–9
- types of cases, 571
- workload, 574
- sovereignty, 776, 805–6
- Austria, 102–4
- ECJ approach to, 317–18
- France, 351
- Germany, 384–5
- Hungary, 397–8
- Ireland, 466
- Israel, 509–10
- Italy, 554–5
- South Africa, 595–6
- Spain, 637
- United Kingdom, 715
- United States, 751
- Spanish Constitution, 787
- applicability of, 621
- Articles
- 28.1, 623
- 35.1, 623

- Civil Code, 608–9
- establishment of, 604–5
- as a legal rule, 607
- as *lex suprema* and *lex posterior*, 621
- obligation of citizens and authorities to comply with, 607
- Title IX of, 605
- Spanish Constitutional Court, 604–39, 765, 779
- constitutional arguments, 619–31
 - structure of, 619–21
 - types of, 621–9, 630: analogies, 622, 623; applicability of Constitution, 621–2; arguments from silence, 626–7; doctrinal analysis of legal concepts or principles, 625–6; economic, 627–8; harmonising arguments, 623–4; moral, 627–8; non-legal arguments, 627–8; precedents, 624–5; references to foreign law, 629; sociological, 627–8; teleological/purposive referring to purpose of text, 627
 - weight of, 630–1
- constitutional litigation and, 611–16
 - admission process, 613–14
 - initiation of constitutional proceeding, 612–13
 - intervention in constitutional proceedings, 613
 - judgments, 614–15
 - resolutions, 614
 - written procedures, 614
- constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 619–31
 - comparative perspective, 638
 - legal scholarship and, 617–19
- first epoch, 606–7
- 40 leading cases, 639–40
- framing of constitutional issues in, 633
- judges, 616–17
- judicial candor/rhetoric in, 631–2
- key concepts in, 633–8
 - democracy, 636
 - equality, 635–6
 - federalism, 637
 - freedom of expression, 638
 - government form, 637
 - human dignity, 637
 - nation, 637
 - non-discrimination, 635–6
 - privacy rights, 638
 - proportionality, 634–5
 - rule of law, 636–7
 - secularism, 638
 - sovereignty, 637
 - Wesensgehalt*, 633
- legal culture as context for, 604–11
- opinions
 - concurring, 632–3
 - dissenting, 632–3
 - length of, 632–3
- political culture as context for, 604–11
- as supreme interpreter of Constitution, 611
- types of cases in, 611–12, 616
 - amparo* procedure, 612
 - conflicts jurisdiction, 612
 - constitutional review of legislation, 612
- Spanish Constitutional Tribunal, 31, 226
- Spycatcher* case, 697
- Staatsgerichtshof, 359
- Staatsrechtslehrer*, 330
- stare decisis*, 18, 124, 583, 588, 741, 742
- State (Healy) v Donoghue*, 462
- State (Nicolaou) v An Bord Uchtála*, 463
- state form, 805–6
 - Austria, 104
 - Germany, 385
 - Italy, 556
 - United Kingdom, 715
 - United States, 751
- state nation, 385–6
- state neutrality, 270–1
- Status-Denkschrift*, 360
- Statute of the Region Sardinia, 532
- Statute of Westminster of 1931, 154, 438
- Steenkolenmijnen* (1961), 293
- Stone, Adrienne, 41
- Stone, Julius, 42

- Strasbourg Court, 91, 226, 698
Street v Queensland Bar Association, 52
 subsidiarity, 123, 257, 262–3, 765
 substantive approaches, 95–7
sui generis court, 277–82
 summulas, 123
 Sunstein, Cass, 151
 Superior Courts of Ireland, 444
 judges, 446–7
 supranational, 316, 317, 319
 Supreme Administrative Court (SAC), 216
Supreme Court Act (Canada), 166
 Supreme Court (Austria), 80–1
 Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 679
 caseload, 689
 comparative analysis of, 718–20
 constitutional arguments, 692–711
 structure of, 692–4: one-line
 conclusive arguments, 692–3;
 parallel conclusive arguments, 692–3
 types of, 694–708: analogies, 695;
 applicability of Constitution, 694–5; deference, 706; doctrinal
 analysis of legal concepts or
 principles, 699–700; economic
 arguments, 702–4; establishing
 text of the Constitution, 694;
 harmonising arguments, 695–8;
 moral arguments, 702–4;
 non-legal arguments, 702–4;
 precedents, 698; references to
 foreign law, 705–6; references to
 scholarly works, 704–5;
 sociological arguments, 702–4;
 teleological/purposive referring
 to purpose of Constitution-
 maker, 701–2; teleological/
 purposive referring to purpose
 of text, 700–1; wording and
 ordinary meaning of words,
 695
 weight of, 708–11
 constitutional cases in, 680–3
 constitutional litigation and, 687–9
 constitutional reasoning
 arguments in, 692–711
 legal scholarship and, 691–2
 constitutional reasoning in
 lack of codified constitution and,
 679–80
 legal culture as context for, 679–87
 political culture as context for,
 679–87
 40 leading cases, 720–2
 framing of constitutional issues in,
 714–15
 judges, 689–91
 judgments, 689
 judicial candour and rhetoric of,
 711–12
 jurisdiction, 687–8
 key concepts in, 715–18
 basic procedural rights, 718
 democracy, 715
 devolution, 716
 equality, 718
 freedom of expression, 718
 human dignity, 717
 nation, 716
 rule of law, 715
 secularism, 716
 sovereignty, 715
 state form, 715
 system of government, 716
 opinions
 concurring, 712–14
 dissenting, 712–14
 length of, 712–14
 permission to appeal, 689
 procedures in, 689
 reporting in, 689
 Supreme Court Justice, role of, 20
 Supreme Court of Ireland. *See* Irish
 Supreme Court
 Supreme Court of the United States, 8,
 9, 723–59
 caseload, 729–30
 comparative perspective, 756–7
 constitutional arguments, 733–46
 structure of, 735–7
 types of, 737–46: analogies, 739;
 applicability of Constitution,
 738–9; arguments from silence,

- 742–3; case disposition, 737;
 concept or principle not
 mentioned in text, 742;
 domestic harmonising
 arguments, 739–40; general
 topic, 737–8; international
 harmonising arguments, 740–1;
 non-legal arguments, 744;
 originalism, 745; precedents,
 741–2; references to foreign
 legal material, 745; references to
 scholarly work, 744; settled
 usage, 745; wording and
 ordinary meaning of words, 739
 weight of, 745–6
- constitutional litigation and, 725–30
- constitutional reasoning
 - arguments in, 733–46
 - legal culture as context for, 723–5
 - legal scholarship and, 732–3
 - political culture as context for,
 723–5
- evaluation, pathology and criticism
 of, 757–9
- 40 leading cases, 759–60
- framing of constitutional issues in,
 749–50
- influence of, 226
- judges, 730–2
- judicial candour and rhetoric of,
 746–7
- jurisdiction of, 726–9
- key concepts, 750–5
 - basic procedural rights, 754–5
 - democracy, 750–1
 - equality, 754
 - federalism, 752
 - freedom of expression, 755
 - government form, 751
 - human dignity, 754
 - nation, 752
 - privacy rights, 755
 - proportionality, 752–3
 - rule of law, 750
 - secularism, 752
 - sovereignty, 751
 - state form, 751
 - Wesensgehalt*, 753–4
- Opinion of the Court, 730
- opinions
 - concurring, 748–9
 - dissenting, 748–9
 - length of, 748–9
- Rule 24(1), 728
- Supreme Federal Tribunal of Brazil. *See*
 Brazilian Federal Supreme
 Court
- Swiss Federal Supreme Court, 226
- system of government, 716
- Szabó, Imre, 396–7
- Taiwanese Constitutional Court,
 641–77, 764, 779
- caseload, 646–7
- comparative perspective, 676–7
- constitutional arguments
 - structure of, 650–3: chain
 (one-line conclusive
 arguments), 650–1; dialogic,
 651–2; legs of a chair (parallel
 conclusive arguments), 652–3
- types of, 653: analogies, 653;
 applicability of Constitution,
 654–6; arguments from silence,
 663–4; doctrinal analysis of
 legal concepts or principles,
 660–3; domestic harmonising
 arguments, 657; economic
 arguments, 665–7; establishing/
 debating text of Constitution,
 653–4; international
 harmonising arguments, 658–9;
 moral arguments, 665–7;
 non-legal arguments, 665–7;
 ordinary meanings of words of
 Constitution, 656–7;
 precedents, 659–60; references
 to foreign law, 668–9; references
 to scholarly work, 667–8;
 sociological arguments, 665–7;
 teleological/purposive referring
 to purpose of constitution-
 maker, 664–5; teleological/
 purposive referring to purpose
 of text, 664
- weight of, 670–1

- Taiwanese Constitutional Court (*cont.*)
 constitutional litigation and, 643–7
 constitutional reasoning
 arguments in, 649–71
 legal scholarship and, 648–9
 40 leading cases, 677–8
 framing of constitutional issues in,
 674–5
 functions of, 644–5
 judges, 647–8, 774
 appointment of, 647
 qualifications of, 647–8
 judgments, 650
 judicial candour and rhetoric of,
 671
 jurisdictions, 644
 key concepts, 675–6
 opinions
 concurring, 674
 dissenting, 674
 in the first ten years (1948–1958),
 646
 length of, 672–3
 requests for interpretations of, 646
 review of constitutionality or
 unconstitutionality of laws and
 regulations, 645–6
Tal Law case, 485, 506
Tasmanian Dam, 46, 47
Tax Rebate (2007), constitutional
 arguments in, 341
TD v Minister for Education, 448, 465,
 467
 teleological method, 396–7
 teleological reduction, 172
 teleological/purposive arguments,
 171
 case types and, 493
 Constitution Act of 1982 (Canada),
 182–3
 constitutional law and, 493
 European Court of Justice, 298–301
 German Federal Constitutional
 Court, 376, 377
 human rights law and, 493
 Hungarian Constitutional Court,
 413–16
 Israeli Supreme Court, 492–4
 Italian Constitutional Court, 542–6
 purpose of Constitution-maker in,
 183, 377, 415–16, 492–4, 544–6,
 585, 664–5, 701–2
 purpose of text in, 182–3, 298–300,
 376, 413–15, 542–4, 584, 627,
 664, 700–1
 purpose of Treaty-maker in, 300–1
 South African Constitutional Court,
 584, 585
 Spanish Constitutional Court, 627
 structure of, 493
 Supreme Court (House of Lords) of
 the United Kingdom, 700–2
 Taiwanese Constitutional Court,
 664–5
 teleological/purposive interpretation,
 786
 Temporary Provisions (Taiwan),
 641
 terroristic emergency, 546
 TEU. *See* Treaty of European Union
 (TEU)
 text-positivism, 395–9
 textual arguments, 171
 textual interpretation, 263
 textualism, 816
 TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of
 European Union (TFEU)
Tibi case, 506
 Torres Strait Islanders, 45
 transformative constitutionalism,
 596
 transnational harmonization, 218
travaux préparatoires, 183–4, 263, 265,
 301, 343, 415–16, 550, 627,
 701–2
 Treaty of European Union (TEU), 299,
 317
 Article 19, 278
 Article 263(4), 279
 Article 267(1)(a), 280
 Article 267(1)(b), 279
 Treaty on the Functioning of
 European Union (TFEU),
 Article 267(1)(b), 375

- Troper, Michel, 332
 Trstenjak, Veroca, 305
 Tushnet, Mark, 16
- uBuntu*, 596, 598
 Union citizenship, 314
 United Kingdom
 constitutional landscape of, 683–7
 Devolution Acts, 684–5
 lack of codified constitution in, 679–80
 legal systems, 705
 political constitution in, 683
 Revolution of 1688, 680
United Mizrahi Bank Ltd. v. Migdal Cooperative Village (1995), 472, 473, 482, 486, 494, 505, 506
 United States
 American Revolution, 723
 political backlash to liberalism in 1980s, 724–5
 United States Supreme Court. *See* Supreme Court of the United States
United States v. Carolene Products (1938), 735
United States v. Virginia, 743
 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 489
 Urban Renewal Act (Taiwan), 654, 674
- Vade-mecum*, 309
Van Gend en Loos and Costa v. ENEL (1963), 29, 292, 298, 305, 318
 Vedel, Georges, 329
Verfassungsdogmatik, 181–2, 194, 212, 398, 399, 400, 422, 425, 599
Verfassungslehre (Schmitt), 668
Verfassungspraxis, 380
 Versteeg, Mila, 9
Versteinerungstheorie, 89–90, 816
 victim requirement, 242
 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 301
Viking (2007), 293
 vista, 148
 Voeten, Erik, 8
- von Beyme, Klaus, 668
 von Hayek, Friedrich, 15
Vondráčková v. Rejžek, 212
Vriend v. Alberta, 164
- Wagnerová, Eliška, 212, 224
Wakim, 43
Walz v. Tax Comm'n of New York City (1970), 745
 War of Independence (Ireland), 438
 Warren, Earl, 21, 724
 Warren Court, 750
 Washington, George, 726
 Washminster system, 467–9
 Weimar Constitution, 357–8, 371
 Weimar Republic, 359, 366
Weimarer Methodenstreit, 358
 Weinrib, Lorraine, 15
Wesensgehalt, 805–6
 Australian High Court, 69
 European Court of Justice, 316, 317
 German Federal Constitutional Court, 388
 Hungarian Constitutional Court, 425
 Israeli Supreme Court, 509
 Italian Constitutional Court, 555
 South African Constitutional Court, 596, 597
 Spanish Constitutional Court, 633
 Supreme Court of the United States, 753–4
Wesentlichkeitsgebot, 383
West Coast Hotel v. Parrish (1937), 744, 772
Williams v Commonwealth, 61
 wiretapping, 542
 workload
 Austrian Constitutional Court, 83
 Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, 126–8
 European Court of Justice (ECJ), 282–3
 German Federal Constitutional Court, 363–5
 South African Constitutional Court, 574

| | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| workload (<i>cont.</i>) | writ of injunction, 125 |
| Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom, 689 | writ of mandamus, 124 |
| Supreme Court of the United States, 729–30 | <i>Wunsiedel</i> decision, 370, 390 |
| Taiwanese Constitutional Court, 646–7 | Zeman, Miloš, 204, 208, 209 |
| | Zines, Leslie, 41 |
| | <i>Zuma</i> case, 577, 580, 585 |