

COMPARATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL REASONING

To what extent is the language of judicial opinions responsive to the political and social context in which constitutional courts operate? Courts are reason-giving institutions with argumentation playing a central role in constitutional adjudication. However, a cursory look at just a handful of constitutional systems suggests important differences in the practices of constitutional judges whether in matters of form, style or language.

Focusing on independently verified leading cases globally, a combination of qualitative and quantitative analysis offers the most comprehensive and systematic account of constitutional reasoning to date. This analysis is supported by the examination of eighteen legal systems around the world, including the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice. Universally common aspects of constitutional reasoning are identified in this book, and contributors also examine whether common law countries differ from civil law countries in this respect.

ANDRÁS JAKAB is Director of the Institute for Legal Studies at the Centre for Social Sciences of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. He is also Professor of Constitutional and European Law at the Catholic University Pázmány Péter in Budapest.

ARTHUR DYEVRE is Associate Professor of Empirical Jurisprudence at the KU Leuven Faculty of Law, Belgium.

GIULIO ITZCOVICH is Associate Professor of Philosophy of Law in the Department of Legal Science at the University of Brescia, Italy. He is also a permanent fellow of the Tarello Institute for Legal Philosophy at the University of Genoa.



COMPARATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL REASONING

Edited by

ANDRÁS JAKAB

Hungarian Academy of Sciences / Pázmány Péter Catholic University

ARTHUR DYEVRE

KU Leuven Faculty of Law

GIULIO ITZCOVICH

University of Brescia





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi – 110002, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107085589 DOI: 10.1017/9781316084281

© Cambridge University Press 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-08558-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



CONTENTS

List of Contributors	page vii
Preface x	
Note on Online Material	ls xii

Introduction: Comparing Constitutional Reasoning with Quantitative and Qualitative Methods 1

ANDRÁS JAKAB, ARTHUR DYEVRE AND GIULIO ITZCOVICH

- 1 The High Court of Australia 36
 CHERYL SAUNDERS AND ADRIENNE STONE
- 2 The Austrian Constitutional Court 75
 KONRAD LACHMAYER
- 3 The Supreme Federal Tribunal of Brazil 115 CONRADO HÜBNER MENDES
- 4 The Supreme Court of Canada 154 HUGO CYR AND MONICA POPESCU
- 5 The Constitutional Court of the Czech Republic 199
- 6 The European Court of Human Rights 237
 JANNEKE GERARDS
- 7 The European Court of Justice 277 GIULIO ITZCOVICH
- 8 The French Constitutional Council 323
 ARTHUR DYEVRE
- 9 The German Federal Constitutional Court 356
 MICHAELA HAILBRONNER AND STEFAN MARTINI

V



i	CONTENTS
10	The Constitutional Court of Hungary 394 ANDRÁS JAKAB AND JOHANNA FRÖHLICH
11	The Supreme Court of Ireland 438 EOIN CAROLAN
12	The Israeli Supreme Court 471 suzie navot
13	The Constitutional Court of Italy 516 TANIA GROPPI AND IRENE SPIGNO
14	The Constitutional Court of South Africa 560 CHRISTA RAUTENBACH AND LOURENS DU PLESSIS
15	The Spanish Constitutional Court 604 MARIAN AHUMADA RUIZ
16	The Constitutional Court of Taiwan 641 WEN-CHEN CHANG
17	The Supreme Court (House of Lords) of the United Kingdom 679 TAMAS GYORFI
18	The Supreme Court of the United States 723 HOWARD SCHWEBER AND JENNIFER L. BROOKHART
	Conclusion 761 ANDRÁS JAKAB, ARTHUR DYEVRE AND GIULIO ITZCOVICH
	Appendix 798 Index 821



CONTRIBUTORS

MARIAN AHUMADA RUIZ, Professor of Constitutional Law, Faculty of Law, Autonomous University of Madrid.

JENNIFER L. BROOKHART, Researcher, University of Wisconsin–Madison.

EOIN CAROLAN, Senior Lecturer, School of Law, University College Dublin.

WEN-CHEN CHANG, Professor, National Taiwan University College of Law, Taiwan.

HUGO CYR, Dean, Faculty of Political Science and Law, Université du Québec à Montréal.

ARTHUR DYEVRE, Associate Professor, KU Leuven Faculty of Law.

LOURENS DU PLESSIS, Extraordinary Professor, North-West University (Potchefstroom), South Africa.

JOHANNA FRÖHLICH, associate researcher and professor of comparative constitutional law, Law School, University San Francisco de Quito, Quito; doctoral candidate, Faculty of Law and Political Sciences, Pázmány Péter Catholic University, Budapest.

JANNEKE GERARDS, Professor of Fundamental Rights Law, Utrecht University, the Netherlands.

TANIA GROPPI, Full Professor of Public Law, University of Siena.

TAMAS GYORFI, Senior Lecturer, University of Aberdeen, School of Law.

vii



viii

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

MICHAELA HAILBRONNER, Senior Research Fellow, University of Münster.

CONRADO HÜBNER MENDES, Professor Doctor of Constitutional Law at the University of São Paulo.

GIULIO ITZCOVICH, Associate Professor in Philosophy of Law, University of Brescia, Italy.

ANDRÁS JAKAB, Director of the Institute for Legal Studies, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Centre for Social Sciences, Budapest; Professor of Constitutional and European Law at the Catholic University Pázmány Péter in Budapest.

ZDENEK KÜHN, Associate Professor of Jurisprudence at Charles University Law School and Judge at the Supreme Administrative Court of the Czech Republic.

KONRAD LACHMAYER, Research Chair, Institute for Legal Studies, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Centre for Social Sciences, Budapest; Research Fellow at the Durham Law School (UK), ERC Project on "Neo-Federalism".

STEFAN MARTINI, Walther Schücking Institute for International Law, Kiel University.

SUZIE NAVOT, Professor of Law, The Striks School of Law, The College of Management Academic Studies, Rishon Lezion (Israel).

MONICA POPESCU, Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, Université Laval.

CHRISTA RAUTENBACH, Professor of Law, North-West University (Potchefstroom), South Africa and Ambassador Scientist, Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Germany.

CHERYL SAUNDERS, Laureate Professor Emeritus, Melbourne Law School.

HOWARD SCHWEBER, Professor of Political Science and Legal Studies, University of Wisconsin–Madison.



LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

ix

IRENE SPIGNO, Professor of Constitutional Law, Universidad Autonoma de Coahuila (Mexico) and Director of the Centre of Comparative Constitutional Studies, Inter-American Academy of Human Rights (Mexico).

ADRIENNE STONE, Kathleen Fitzpatrick Australian Laureate Fellow, Professor Director, Centre for Comparative Constitutional Studies, Melbourne Law School.



PREFACE

This volume is the result of a research project which lasted almost five years. Since September 2011, the CONREASON project has generously been funded by the VolkswagenStiftung in the form of a Schumpeter Fellowship at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law in Heidelberg. The Max Planck Institute provided us with the perfect research environment, for which we have to thank its director, Armin von Bogdandy. The VolkswagenStiftung was very flexible on several occasions in order to fit our newly emerging costs into the original budget; we thank Hagen Hof, Silke Bertram and Nataliya Moor for this. We are also grateful to the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, which financially supported both the statistical analysis and one of our workshops. In the publication of the preparatory (theoretical) results of our research, the German Law Journal was open for fruitful cooperation, the result of which was a special issue in August 2013. We thank Russell Miller, German Law Journal editor-in-chief, for his openness to our original proposal.

In organising our first workshop in Heidelberg (15 February 2013), Margit Dagli helped us in every little detail, whereas in our second workshop in Budapest (7–8 February 2014), Mónika Ganczer proved to be of invaluable assistance. At these two workshops, we could enjoy helpful feedback not only from all the authors, but also from some further colleagues who took up the difficult task of commenting the draft versions of several chapters: Aileen Kavanagh, Zoltán Szente, Stefan Martini and Konrad Lachmayer (the latter two are also authors). We received statistical help from László Göndör, Ádám Telek, Eszter Vay-Farkas, Borbála Greskovits and Zsófia Papp.

The project has been presented in different stages at different events where we received numerous useful remarks and suggestions. We are grateful to the participants of the *Dienstagsrunde* at Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law of 15 November 2011 (especially to Matthias Goldmann, Simon Hentrei, Nele Yang, Carlino



PREFACE Xi

Antpöhler, Johanna Dickschen, Stephan Hinghofer-Szalkay, Stephan Schill, Mateja Steinbrück Platise and Armin von Bogdandy), to the participants of the Bielefeld workshop on "Index Building in Socio-Legal Scholarship" on 10 June 2014 (especially to Tom Ginsburg, Mirjam Künkler, Asli Bali, Dawood Ahmed, Moamen Gouda, Tamir Moustafa, Mila Versteeg and Zachary Elkins), to the audience of the *Rechtskulturen* lecture on the CONREASON project at the Humboldt University Berlin on 20 November 2013 (especially to Christoph Möllers and Maximilian Steinbeis) and to all colleagues present at the first presentation of our concluding chapter on 23 January in Budapest at the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. For interesting discussions on the concept of leading cases, we are grateful to Nele Yang and Rainer Grote.

Cambridge University Press and especially Elizabeth Spicer helped us throughout the project with a constructive and positive approach in order to find the final form of this publication.

We would like to thank all of them.

Budapest / Leuven / Brescia, 1 September 2016



NOTE ON ONLINE MATERIALS

The full dataset which underlies the analysis in this book is available for download at www.cambridge/9781107085589. It is our hope that other scholars may make use of the data we have collected in their own research.

Accompanying the dataset is, for every court surveyed, a sample judgment in English from the high and a case note which explaining its significance. We hope that students and legal scholars may find these informative.

Finally, the data recording the responses of the experts who verified our authors' choice of cases examined is also available for download.