

INDEX

- asset pricing, 24–25, 39–40
- assumptions, in formal economics
 - models, 85–89
 - efficiency, 86
 - equilibrium in, 85–86
 - profit maximisation in, 85
 - real world applications and, 88–89
- Becker, Gary, 34–35
- behavioural research, 28–31, 39
 - on corporate governance, 29
 - on retail investor protection, 28–29
 - working as an ‘economic irritant’, 28, 29–30
- bias
 - conservative, 45
 - in empirical research, 101, 118–123
 - demand characteristic, 122–123
 - home, 120–122
 - observer, 122–123
 - omitted variable, 129–130
 - publication, 120–122
 - selection, 107–108, 118–119
- Calabresi, Guido, 38
- calibration, of formal economics
 - models, 26–27
- capital asset pricing model (CAPM), 95–96
- capture problem, 172
- causation, in empirical research, 128–134
- ceteris paribus* judgments
 - in empirical research, 107
 - in formal economics models, 94
- Coase, Ronald, 38
- coding of data, 124–128
- coherence, 41–45, 47, 63, 135, 194–195, 201
- common language, as promise, 162–172, 199–200
- ECJ and, 8–9
- economic clichés and, 163–165
 - among experts, 165–172
 - capture problem, 172
 - in EU, 171–172
 - law making by, 167–172
 - Lamfalussy process, 8, 167–171
 - inside information and, 167–171
 - MAR and, 167–171
 - legal origins thesis and, 164
 - for legislators, 77–78
- common law, external point of view
 - under, 49–50
- compensation in stock corporations.
 - See* remuneration in stock corporations
- complexity, stripping away of, 8, 35, 67–68, 194–199
 - culture of experimentation and, 150–158
 - ECJ and, 8–9, 195–198
 - for EU legislators, 76–77
 - legal applications of, 158–162
 - through legal web, 150–158
 - external point of view and, 155
 - internal point of view and, 155
 - long-term effects, 155–158
 - neo-classical economics and, 158
 - neo-institutionalist theory and, 158
 - noise traders and, 196
 - reasonable investor and, 194–195
- Comte, Charles, 65
- conservatism, in economic
 - methodology, 48
- conservative bias, 45
- construction, of formal economics
 - models, 83

- context of discovery, in empirical research, 109
- contingent capital model, 26
- corporate governance
 economics and
 behavioural research on, 29
 formal models for, 27–28
 empirical research on, 115
 firm value and, 119, 123
- corporate governance models, 27–28
- corporations, delisting of, 103–105
- correlation, in empirical research, 128–134
- courts
 ECJ, 8–9, 183, 195–198
 judiciary in, economic transplants and direct impact on, 181–183
 ECJ and, 183
 epistemic deference and, 178–181
 internal point of view as influence on, 175–181
 lack of impact on, 175–181
 sampling of decisions, in empirical research, 119–120
- Critical Legal Studies Movement, 50–51, 64–65
- culture of experimentation, 150–158
- data, in empirical research
 availability of, 110–118
 averages and, 123–124
 big data, 52, 93
 coding of, 124–128
 interpretation of, 124–128
 linking of, 108–110
 omitted variables, 119–120, 129–130
 selection of, 118–123
- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 180
- death penalty, example of, 106, 115
- deductive-hypothetical reasoning, 46
- delisting of corporations, 103–105
- demand characteristic bias, 122–123
- difference in differences, 113
- diffusion, 9
- directors's dealings, 143–144
- diversity, on corporate boards, 22, 111–112, 116
- doctrinal-normative arguments, in economic methodology, 58
- Dodd-Frank Act, 142
- Duhem's argument, 108–110, 116–118, 133–134
- Dworkin, Ronald, 38, 48
- ECJ. *See* European Court of Justice
- ecological validity, 113
- econometrics, 31–33
- economic clichés, 135–136, 163–165
- economic imperialism, 34
 law and, 37–40
 classic scholarship development on, 38
 contemporary scholarship on, 38–40
 neo-classical theory on, 24
 scientific method and, 34–36
 as term, 34
- economic irritants, 28, 29–30
- economic markets. *See* capital markets; stock markets
- economic methodology, 14–15
 back-up arguments, 46–47
 conservatism and, 48
 conservative bias in, 45
 deductive-hypothetical reasoning in, 46
 doctrinal-normative arguments in, 58
 European-style, 42, 51
 internal perspective in, 59
 external point of view, 48–55
 in common law environments, 49–50
 internalist point of view in comparison to, 55–58, 59
 legal realism and, 49, 53
 through legal scholarship, 49–50
 for legislators, 80–81
 scepticism and, 50–52
 scientists and, 52–55
 formalist school and, 45–46
 Hume's law and, 56
 internal point of view in, 42–48
 complexity promise and, 155, 156–157

- economic methodology (cont.)
 in EU, 59
 external point of view in
 comparison to, 55–58
 judiciary influenced by, 175–181
 legal scholarship and, 59–60
 external point of view, 49–50
 in U.S., 59
 legal theory and, 61–63
 legislation and legislators and, 61–63
 monitoring of, 62–63
 rules for, 62
 teleological arguments, 47
 in U.S., 42, 45–46
 legal scholarship for, 59
 economic models. *See* formal
 economics models; models
 economic theory, 23–33, 38
 legislators influenced by, 78–81
 neo-classical, 24
 economic transplants. *See also* common
 language; complexity; judiciary;
 measurability
 complexity in, stripping away of,
 67–68
 defined, 58–61
 in EU, 68–69
 future promises from, 201–204
 legislators and, 75–76, 79–81
 common language for, 77–78
 external point of view as factor in,
 80–81
 predictive power of, 80
 natural legal audience for, 3
 objective evidence for, 3–4
 objective knowledge and, 65–66
 relocation of, 1
 economics. *See also* economic
 methodology
 behavioural research in, 28–31, 39
 on corporate governance, 29
 economic irritants, 28, 29–30
 on retail investor protection,
 28–29
 corporate governance and
 behavioural research on, 29
 formal models for, 27–28
 empirical research on, 31–33, 39
 finance and, 23–25, 39
 asset pricing and, 24–25
 neo-institutionalist theory for, 24
 formal models, 26
 calibration of, 26–27
 for capital markets, 25–28
 for corporate governance, 27–28
 legal applications for, 26
 predictions through, 30–31
 historical development of, 13–18
 mathematical techniques in,
 14–15, 84
 macroeconomics, 13, 19
 microeconomics, 19
 neo-classical theory on, 24
 normative judgments in, 18–19
 political science roots for, 20
 positive, 18, 21
 as social science, 19
 as theory, 23–33, 38
 as value-free, 14–15
 welfare and, 13
 economics envy, 65–66. *See also* physics
 envy
 économie politique, 13
 efficiency
 of formal economics models, 86
 Kaldor-Hicks efficiency tests, 145
 Efficient Capital Markets Hypothesis,
 31, 39–40, 47, 86, 90–91, 196
 efficient markets, 95–96
 portfolio theory and, 96
 empirical research, 31–33, 39
 arguments from, 100–108
 causal relationships in, 105–108
 delisting of corporations, 103–105
 framing of, 100–102
 in *Mueller v. Oregon*, 102–103,
 185–189
 biases in, 101, 118–123
 demand characteristic, 122–123
 home, 120–122
 observer, 122–123
 omitted variable, 129–130
 publication, 120–122
 selection, 107–108, 118–119
 causation in, 128–134
ceteris paribus requirements in, 107

- context of discovery in, 109
 on corporate governance, 115
 correlation in, 128–134
 covariance adjustments in, 128–134
 data in
 availability of, 110–118
 averages in, 123–124
 coding of, 124–128
 interpretation of, 124–128
 linking of, 108–110
 omitted variables, 119–120, 129–130
 selection of, 118–123
 Duhem's argument and, 108–110,
 116–118, 133–134
 experiments in, 110–116
 design of, 110–113
 in legal contexts, 113–116
 natural, 111–112
 panel data studies in, 113
 randomised, 111
 regression discontinuity design
 in, 112
 Hempel-Oppenheim scheme
 and, 108
 heterogeneous effects in, 130
 hypotheses in, development of,
 110–118
 hypothetico-deductive methodology
 for, 108–109
 indices, 98
 legal applications of, 100–102
 in experiments, 113–116
 sampling court decisions, 119–120
 legal origins thesis and, 99
 Lord's paradox and, 131–132
 models in, data linkage with,
 108–110
 objectivity of, 98
 premises in, 101
 problem of underdetermination
 in, 109
 proportionality tests of, 106
 purpose of, 97–98
 Quine's argument in, 109
 on shareholder activism, 99–100, 107
 simplification of, 98–99
 epistemic deference, 178–181
 to expert witnesses, 179
 from transfer of knowledge, 179
 equilibrium, in formal economics
 models, 85–86
 EU. *See* European Union
 European Commission, 22–23, 76, 78
 regulatory impact assessments and,
 138–140
 REFIT proposals, 138–139
 European Court of Justice (ECJ), 8–9,
 183, 195–198
 European Union (EU). *See also*
 Germany
 common language among experts,
 171–172
 economic methodology in, 42, 51
 internal perspective in, 59
 economic transplants in, 68–69
 legislators in, 73
 principle of subsidiarity and, 73
 regulatory impact assessments in,
 138–140
 event studies, 114–115, 188, 189–190
 experiments, in empirical research,
 110–116
 design of, 110–113
 in legal contexts, 113–116
 natural, 111–112
 panel data studies in, 113
 randomised, 111
 regression discontinuity design in, 112
 experts
 common language among, 165–172
 capture problem, 172
 in EU, 171–172
 law making by, 167–172
 epistemic deference to, by
 judiciary, 179
 on measurability promise, 189–194
 external point of view
 complexity promise and, 155, 156–157
 in legal methodology, 48–55
 in common law environments,
 49–50
 internalist point of view in
 comparison to, 55–58, 59
 legal realism and. *See* legal realism
 through legal scholarship, 49–50
 for legislators, 80–81
 scepticism and, 50–52
 scientists and, 52–55

- falsification, of formal economics
 models, 84–85
- Fama, Eugene, 95–96
- female representation, on corporate boards. *See* diversity, on corporate boards
- filter, for economic transplants, 10
- finance, economics and, 23–25, 39
 asset pricing and, 24–25
 neo-institutionalist theory for, 24
- formal economics models, 26
 assumptions about, 85–89
 efficiency, 86
 equilibrium in, 85–86
 profit maximisation in, 85
 real world applications and, 88–89
 calibration of, 26–27
 for capital markets, 25–28
 CAPM, 95–96
 construction of, 83
 for corporate governance, 27–28
 criticism of, 82, 86–87
 for efficient markets, 95–96
 portfolio theory and, 96
 falsifying, 84–85
 fitting of, 82–83, 84–85
 legal applications for, 26
 mapping of, 82–85, 92–96
 with *ceteris paribus* judgments, 94
 through empirical counterparts, 94–95
 with past real world data, 93
 predictive power of, 30–31, 89–90
 MAR and, 2, 90
 for real estate asset pricing, 83
 regression analysis for, 90–92
 covariance in, 91–92
 data requirements for, 91
 testing, 84–85
 transaction costs in, 85
- formalism, formalist school, 45–46, 48
- Freirechtsschule, 59
- Friedman, Milton, 18
- game theory, 39
- Geltl v. Daimler AG*, 195
- gender quotas, on corporate boards. *See* diversity, on corporate boards
- Germany
 delisting of corporations in, 103–105
 regulatory impact assessments in, 140–141
 renumeration in stock corporations in, 74–75
- going native, 54
- groupthink, 116–117
- Hart, H. L. A., 56
- Hempel-Oppenheim scheme, 108
- Holmes, O. W., 52
- home bias, 120–122
- Hume's law, 56
- hypothetico-deductive methodology, 108–109
- IKB-case, 190–191
- impact assessment theory, 136–137
- imperialism. *See* economic imperialism
- information asymmetries, 39–40
- inside information, 167–171, 187–188
- insider trading, example of, 46–47, 90–91, 143–144
- internal point of view, in legal methodology, 42–48, 135–136, 158
 complexity promise and, 155, 156–157
 in Europe, 59
 external point of view in comparison to, 55–58
 judiciary influenced by, 175–181
 judiciary influenced by, through economic transplants, 175–181
- irritants, 28–29, 31
- January effect, 87
- judiciary, economic transplants and
 direct impact on, 181–183
 scientific reliability factors in, 183
 ECJ and, 183
 epistemic deference and, 178–181
 to expert witnesses, 179
 from transfer of knowledge, 179
 internal point of view as influence on, 175–181
 lack of impact on, 175–181

- Kaldor-Hicks efficiency tests, 145
 knowledge. *See also* transfer of knowledge
 objective, 15
- Lafonta v. AMF*, 197–198
 Lamfalussy, Alexander, 167–171
 Lamfalussy process, 7
 common language among experts
 and, 8, 167–171
 inside information and, 167–171
 MAR and, 167–171
- law, economic imperialism and,
 37–40
 classic scholarship development
 on, 38
 contemporary scholarship on, 38–40
 law and economics, 37–38, 39–40, 52
 legal origins thesis, 99, 164
 common language and, 164
 legal realist movement, 49, 64–65
 New Legal Realism, 53
 legal scholarship, economic
 methodology and, 59–60
 external point of view through,
 49–50
 in U.S., 59
 legal theory, economic methodology
 and, 61–63
 legal transplants, defined, 1
 legislation, economic methodology
 and, 61–63
 monitoring of, 62–63
 rules for, 62
- legislators
 economic methodology and,
 61–63
 monitoring of, 62–63
 rules for, 62
 economic theory as influence on,
 78–81
 economic transplants and, 75–76,
 79–81
 common language for, 77–78
 external point of view as factor in,
 80–81
 natural functions of, 79–80
 predictive power of, 80
- in EU, 73
 complexity and, stripping away of,
 76–77
 measurability promises for, 74–76
 legisprudence, 63
 Lord's paradox, 131–132
- map analogy, models and, 83
 mapping, of formal economics models,
 82–85, 92–96
 with *ceteris paribus* judgments, 94
 through empirical counterparts, 94–95
 with past real world data, 93
- Market Abuse Regulation (MAR), 2, 90
 Lamfalussy process and, 167–171
 inside information and, 167–171
- markets. *See* capital markets
 mathematics, in economics, 14–15, 84
 measurability, as promise, 8, 66–67,
 184–194
 costs and benefits of, 145–146
 deference to experts, 189–194
 ECJ and, 8–9
 identification of economic
 transplants, 185–189
 inside information and, 187–188
 reasonable investors, 186–187, 190
 Kaldor-Hicks efficiency tests, 145
 non-quantifiability of, 147
 normative challenges to, 189–194
 preferences in, 145
 proxies and, 149
 quantification of, 143–145
 rebound effects and, 146
 regulatory impact assessments and,
 136–150
 effects of, 147–150
 in EU, 138–140
 in Germany, 140–141
 for OECD, 137–138
 purpose of, 137–150
 in U.S., 141–147
- microeconomics, 19
 Mill, John Stuart, 14
 models, economic. *See also* formal
 economics models
 CAPM, 95–96
 corporate governance, 27–28

- models, economic (cont.)
 in empirical research, data linkage
 with, 108–110
 real estate asset pricing, 83
 securities pricing, 26
Mueller v. Oregon, 102–103,
 185–189
- natural experiments, 111–112
 natural sciences
 objective knowledge in, 15
 physics as, 18
 Popper and, 15–16
 neo-classical theory, on economics, 24
 complexity promise and, 158
 neo-institutionalist theory
 complexity promise and, 158
 for finance, 24
 New Legal Realism, 53
 noise traders, 196
 nudge, 28–29
- objective knowledge, 15
 economic transplants and, 65–66
 objectivity, scientific, 18–23
 observer bias, 122–123
 OECD. *See* Organisation for Economic
 Co-operation and Development
 omitted variable bias, 129–130
 Organisation for Economic Co-
 operation and Development
 (OECD), 137–138
- paradigm change, 16
 physics, 18
 physics envy, 17–18, 19, 37, 65–66
 political economy, 14
 political science, economics and, 20
 Popper, Karl, 15–16
 on scientific method, 17
 portfolio theory, 96
 positive economics, 18, 21
 Posner, Richard, 38
 predictive models, formal economics,
 30–31, 89–90
 predictive power, 30, 80
 MAR and, 2, 90
 principle of subsidiarity, 73
- problem of underdetermination, 109
 profit maximisation, 85
 promises, of economic transplants. *See*
 common language; complexity;
 measurability
 proportionality tests, 106
 proxies, 77–78, 117, 144–145
 measurability as promise
 and, 149
 stock market prices as, 96
 proxy advisors, 109–110
 publication bias, 120–122
- Quine's argument, 109
- randomised experiments, in empirical
 research, 111
 real estate asset pricing models, 83
 reasonable investors
 complexity promise and, 194–195
 measurability promise and, 186–187,
 189, 190, 194–195
 rebound effects, 146
 REFIT. *See* regulatory fitness and
 performance programme
 initiative
 regression analysis, for formal
 economics models, 90–92
 covariance in, 91–92
 data requirements for, 91
 regression discontinuity design, in
 empirical research, 112
 regulatory fitness and performance
 programme initiative (REFIT),
 138–139
 regulatory impact assessments,
 136–150
 in EU, 138–140
 in Germany, 140–141
 long-term effects of, 147–150
 for OECD, 137–138
 purpose of, 137–150
 in U.S., 141–147
 remuneration, in stock corporations,
 32, 60–61, 74–75, 76–77,
 163–164
 retail investor protection, 28–29, 53,
 55, 144, 151, 152–153

- scepticism, in economic methodology, 50–52
- scientific method, 17
 economic imperialism and, 34–36
- scientists, economic methodology and, 52–55
- securities pricing models, 26
- selection bias, 107–108, 118–119
- shareholder activism, 99–100, 107, 119, 121, 124
- Smith, Adam, 13
- social sciences, 16–17
 economics as, 19
- stock markets
 delisting of corporations and, 103–105
 proxies and, 96
- stripping away complexity.
See complexity, stripping away of
- teleological arguments, in economic methodology, 47
- testing, of formal economics models, 84–85
- transaction costs, 85
- transfer of knowledge, 179
- United States (U.S.)
 Dodd-Frank Act in, 142
 economic methodology in, 42, 45–46
 legal scholarship for, 59
 legal realist movement in, 49
 regulatory impact assessments in, 141–147
- vagueness, of legal rules, 44, 64, 74
- welfare, economics and, 13
- women, on corporate boards. *See* diversity, on corporate boards