

## INDEX

*Note:* Author names are indexed only where there is significant discussion or citation.

- actio popularis*
  - Barcelona Traction* 357
  - collective action as 435, 455–6
  - link to *jus cogens* and *erga omnes* obligations 357–8, 360–1, 367–8, 435, 445, 455–6
  - Riphagen Report on State Responsibility 357
  - South West Africa Cases* 358
- aggression as peremptory norm 223–8:
  - see also* war crimes (serious violations of humanitarian law) (peremptory norm status)
- Affirmation of the Nürnberg Principles of International Law (UNGA Res. 95(1)) 225
- as crime against the peace / international crime 196, 224–5, 268–71
- international instruments
  - classifying as 226–7
- Declaration on Principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations (UNGA Res. 2625 (XXV)) 225–6
- definition 224
- Definition of Aggression (1970) 225
- Grotius 223–4
- ICC 5 226
- individual responsibility, dependence on responsibility of the State 228
- Kellogg–Briand Pact (1928) 225–7
- natural law and 223–4
- opinio juris* 225–6
- right of individual to be free from 223–4
- social need (*sive necessitatis*) 227–8
- State practice 226–7
- UN Charter Preamble and Article 1(1) 225
- use of force distinguished 224
- aggression as peremptory norm, jurisprudence
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 224
  - Barcelona Traction* 225–8
  - Kuwait Airways* 227–8
  - Nazi Conspiracy and Aggression* 225, 227
  - Nicaragua* 224–6
- Ago, Robert (on State responsibility) 10, 55–6, 128, 201–5, 272–3
- Alien Tort Statute (US)
  - applicability
    - impact of VCLT codification of *jus cogens* 278
    - jus cogens* norms 281–2
    - non-*jus cogens* norms 282–3
  - offences against the law of nations at time of enactment 284–5

- applicability of ATS principles as
  - part of US Federal common law 284
- immunity *ratione personae*, recognition of 295–6
- individual civil responsibility 277–85, 340
- jurisprudence: *see* individual civil responsibility, jurisprudence
- jus cogens* / law of nations as synonyms 28, 208–9, 280–5
- Allott, Philip 110, 447
- Alston, Philip 153–4
- American Constitution 44–5, 48
- amnesty and *jus cogens* 319–37
  - comity and 333
  - definition 319–20
  - domestic courts jurisprudence 325–31
  - EAC, ECCC and SCSL provisions 337
  - erga omnes* obligations, as breach of 320, 335–6, 338
  - IACtHR jurisprudence 323–5
  - invalidity 321–31, 340, 443
    - non-recognition obligation, distinguishability/linkage 325–31, 334–5
  - jurisdiction of international tribunals, relevance 321–2
  - jus cogens* violations as crime against international community as a whole and 320
  - Latin American jurisprudence 326–8
  - Libyan Amnesty (2012) 337
  - Lomé Agreement amnesty (Art. IX) 322–3, 337
  - non-recognition obligation (ILC 41 (2)) 320, 331–8, 340, 443
  - pardon distinguished 319–20
  - rationale 319–20
  - right to grant and recognition by third-States distinguished 320–1
  - SCSL jurisprudence 322–3
  - as sovereign act under domestic law 319–20, 347
  - State practice 320–1
  - amnesty and *jus cogens*, jurisprudence
    - Allieu Kondewa* 331
    - Almonacid Arellano* 324
    - AZAPO 328–30
    - Barrios Altos* 323, 327
    - Extradition of Ricardo Miguel Cavallo* 325–6, 332–3
    - Furundžija* 321–2, 331
    - Gallón Giraldo y Otros* 326–7
    - Garzón Real* 330–1
    - Gbao* 322–3, 335
    - Gelman* 324–5
    - Gomes-Lund* 324
    - Kallon and Kamara* 322–3, 331
    - Kondewa* 322–3
    - Nibia Sabalsagaray Curutchet* 327–8
    - Ould Dah* (ECtHR) 331–2
    - Ould Dah* (France) 332
    - Rafael Saravia* 334
    - Review of the Constitutionality of the Rome Statute* 327
    - In re S. African Apartheid Litigation* 333–4
    - Santiago Martín Rivas* 327, 336
    - Spanish Jurisdiction to Investigate Crimes of Genocide and Terrorism Committed during the Chilean Dictatorship* 325, 330–1
    - Víctor Raúl Pinto* 327
  - Antarctic as common heritage 258–9
  - erga omnes* obligations 258–9
  - apartheid as peremptory norm 236–41
    - Apartheid Convention (1974) 239–40
    - as breach of right to equality 236
    - CERD (1965) 239–40
    - as crime against humanity 239–40
    - definition (Apartheid Convention) 236
    - Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, Declaration on (1963) 238
    - erga omnes* obligation to take action 361
    - Geneva Conventions Additional Protocol I 239–40

- apartheid as peremptory norm (cont.)  
     higher interests / legal conscience of  
         the international community  
         236–9  
     ICC 7(1)(j) 239–40  
     ILC Articles on State Responsibility  
         (2001) 239–40  
     jurisprudence 240–1: *see also*  
         apartheid as peremptory norm,  
         jurisprudence  
     *opinio juris* 238–9  
     persistent objector rule 236–8  
     self-invalidation of domestic  
         amnesty 325–31  
     State practice 239–40  
     UN opposition to inclusion in peace  
         agreements (*Amnesty*, 2009)  
         336–7  
     UNGA resolutions condemning 238  
     UNSCR 282 (1970) 238  
     as violation of human dignity 236–8
- apartheid as peremptory norm,  
     jurisprudence  
     *Application of CERD* 240–1  
     *Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)*  
         240–1  
     AZAPO 240–1  
     *Barcelona Traction* 240–1  
     *Continued Presence of South Africa*  
         in Namibia 236–9, 251, 361  
     *Juridical Condition and the Rights of*  
         *Undocumented Migrants* 240–1  
     *R (on the application of European*  
         *Roma Rights Centre)* 240–1  
     *Sarei* cases 240–1  
     *Siderman de Blake* 240–1
- Aquinas, Thomas 36–7, 113–16
- Aristotle 27, 34, 111–12, 115–16
- Austin, John 119–21
- aut dedere aut judicare*: *see* extradite or  
     prosecute obligation (*aut dedere*  
     *aut judicare*); punishment as  
     *erga omnes* obligation
- Bentham, Jeremy 118–20
- bilateralism vs community interests  
     32–3
- Brierly, James 27, 109–11, 113
- Brownlie, Ian  
     apartheid 238  
     authority of ICJ 38(1) 124  
     ‘considerations of humanity’ 33  
     continuing validity of customary  
         international law 128  
     crimes against humanity 219–20  
     humanitarian intervention 364  
     *jus cogens* 134, 200–2  
     piracy 205–6  
     slavery 210  
     State practice 144–7, 153–4
- Cançado Trindade, Antônio Augusto  
     ‘conscience’ 32–3, 139–42, 232–3,  
         253–5  
     *International Law for Humankind*  
         32–3, 130, 139–42, 200, 253–5,  
         257–8, 263, 447  
     *Jurisdictional Immunities* 62, 139–40,  
         405  
     *Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite*  
         232–3, 385, 401
- categorical imperative (Kant) 37–9,  
     43–4, 68–9, 96, 177, 180,  
     213–14
- China (PRC), human dignity under the  
     Constitution 46–7
- Cicero (*dignitas hominis*) 34–5, 37–9,  
     67, 69–70, 111–12
- civil society: *see also* social coexistence /  
     social need (*sive necessitatis*)  
     collective reason as basis 176–7  
     consent, relevance 175–6  
     general will as governing concept  
         175, 177–8, 432–3  
     human dignity as basis 180  
     international civil society  
         cosmopolitanism and 450–1  
     *jus cogens* as basis 104, 180–1, 351,  
         433–8, 452  
     as protection of public order  
         protections and duties / *erga*  
         *omnes* norms 434–5, 452, 455–6  
     ‘responsibility to protect’ and 383  
     State responsibility for violation  
         of *erga omnes* obligations 435,  
         456

- as protection of interests and values
  - necessary to community 101–2, 104, 433–4, 455–6
- as public right 98–9, 433
- as realization of natural law rights and values 96–8, 175–6
- as realization of security 97–8, 342, 431–2
- as realization of the social contract xli, 13–14, 96–9, 101–2, 176–7, 342, 344, 431–8, 452
- reason as basis 176–7
- social contract as mediation of
  - fundamental principles into public order norms 178–80, 452–5
- variety as reflection of diversity of theories/conceptions 342, 431–3, 454
- civitas humana* 111–12
- collective action / obligation to
  - cooperate (ILC 41(1)) 358–61, 419–22: *see also* humanitarian intervention as lawful means under ILC 41(1)
- as *actio popularis* 435, 455–6
- by States acting as organs of the international community 29–31, 436–7
- countermeasures 435–6
- examples 362–3
- Libya crisis (2011) 365, 419–22, 427–8
- obstacles to 364–5
- ‘responsibility to protect’ 367–8, 436–7
- unilateral action, exclusion 435–6
- common heritage as peremptory norm 253–60
- Antarctic 258–9
- conservation and preservation as functional focus 255
- cultural heritage 260–2: *see also* cultural heritage as common heritage
- environment 259–60
- erga omnes* / *jus cogens* obligations distinguished 262–4
- high seas (UNCLOS, Part VIII) 255–6: *see also* high seas as common heritage (UNCLOS, Part VII)
- interests / legal conscience of the international community 253–5, 262–3
- outer space, the moon and other celestial bodies 257: *see also* outer space, the moon and other celestial bodies as common heritage
- res communis* 253–4
  - as source of *jus cogens* 75–6
- Congo, Democratic Republic of (DRC), human dignity under the Constitution 46–7
- ‘conscience’, role
  - amnesty and 327–8
- Canção Trindade 32–3, 139–42, 232–3, 253–5
- Dupuy 76–7
- Geneva Conventions / Additional Protocol II (1977) 59–60, 63–4, 79–81
- humanitarian intervention 366–7
- international criminal law 346
- jus cogens*, as basis of 75–6
- Martens Clause 63–4, 79–81, 215–16
- Nuremberg Tribunal 143, 216–17, 220–1
- opinio juris* and 138–43, 178, 180, 204–5, 442
- UDHR 51–4
- UNCLOT 56–7, 75–7, 103–4, 140–1
- Unification of Penal Law Conference (Madrid, 1933) 228–9
- VCLT *travaux préparatoires* 55
- ‘conscience’, role, jurisprudence
  - Domingues* 31, 159–60
  - Fisheries Case* 122–3, 140
  - Nicaragua* 63–4
  - Reservations to the Genocide Convention* 63, 81–2, 140, 229–30

- consent
  - civil society formation 175–6
  - Conference on Yugoslavia
    - Arbitration Committee 148–9
  - customary international law,
    - relevance to 148–9, 157–8
  - evidence of rule and of consent /
    - binding force distinguished 156–8
  - general principles of international
    - law, relevance to 157–8
  - jus cogens*, relevance to 17–18, 148–9, 154–8
  - law of nations 115, 121
  - pacta sunt servanda* principle and 157
  - positive law alternative to sovereign/
    - State as source of law 121, 124–5
  - social contract and: *see* social
    - contract/contractarianism
  - Vienna Convention on Succession of
    - States in Respect of Treaties (1978) 148–9
- Constitutional Design Group report (2011) 47–8
- constitutional law and human dignity
  - 44–8: *see also* German
    - Constitution (Basic Law) and human dignity; ICCPR and human dignity as source of rights (ICCPR Preamble); ICESCR; UDHR; UN Charter
  - American Constitution 44–5, 48
  - Basic Law (Germany) 45–6
  - codifying conventions 51–4
  - Constitutional Design Group report (2011) 47–8
  - EU law and jurisprudence 48–51:
    - see also* EU law and human dignity
  - European constitutional law
    - (national and European law) 46
  - as general legal principle 45–6
  - as implied provision 48
  - jus cogens* and 44–5, 66
  - Life Imprisonment* case 45–6
  - in non-liberal States 46–7
  - Oppenheim 297–8
    - regional variation 47–8
  - consular immunity as functional
    - immunity *ratione materiae* 300
  - contractarianism: *see* social contract/
    - contractarianism
  - cosmopolitanism xxxix, 188, 447, 450–2
    - perpetual peace theory 450–1
  - Côte d'Ivoire, human dignity under the
    - Constitution 46–7
  - countermeasures by non-injured State (ILC 54) 360–1, 414, 416, 435–6
  - Crawford, James
    - ILC Reports on State Responsibility (3rd and 4th) 10, 353–4
    - International Crimes of States* 11, 268–71, 273–4, 360–1
    - Responsibility for Breaches of Communitarian Norms* 25
    - The System of International Responsibility* 12
  - crimes against humanity, classification
    - as / peremptory norm status 219–23
    - Affirmation of the Nürnberg
      - Principles of International Law (UNGA Res. 95(1)) 220
    - apartheid 239–40
    - decisions of international tribunals
      - 221–3: *see also* crimes against humanity, jurisprudence
    - definition 219–20
    - ICC Statute 88, 220–1
    - as international crime 221
    - international instruments classifying
      - as 196, 221
    - Nuremberg Tribunal 219–20
    - State practice 221
    - Turkish atrocities against
      - Armenians, Three-Power
        - declaration condemning (1915) 193–4, 220
    - World Summit Outcome (2005) 220–1
  - crimes against humanity, jurisprudence
    - Anić* 222
    - Arancibia Clavel* 222

- Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* (2006) 221
- Arrest Warrant* 221
- Bouterse Case* 222
- Ferrini* 222
- Jurisdictional Immunities* 222
- Kallon and Kamara* 222
- Kupreškić* 222
- Mantelli* 222
- Miguel Castro-Castro Prison* 222
- Milde* 222
- Muna* 222
- Nikolić* 220–1
- Priebke* 222
- Princz* 222
- Riveros* 222
- Sarei* 222
- Scilingo Manzorro* 222
- Tomás Rojas case* 222
- Yeo case* 222
- Cuba, human dignity under the Constitution 46–7
- cultural heritage as common heritage 260–2
  - Acts Constituting a Crime against the Common Heritage of Humanity (UNESCO 2001) 261
  - Cultural Heritage Convention (1972) 260
  - destruction as international/war crime 261–2
  - erga omnes* obligations 262
  - Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions Convention (2005) 261
  - Protection of the Underwater Cultural Heritage Convention (2001) 261
  - Safeguarding of Intangible Cultural Heritage Convention (2003) 261
  - UNESCO Declaration Concerning the Intentional Destruction of Cultural Heritage (2003) 261–2
  - UNESCO Declaration on Principles of International Cultural Co-operation (1966) 260–2
  - Universal Declaration on Cultural Diversity (2001) 261
  - customary international law as positive law 126: *see also opinio juris*; peremptory norm, definition/ classification as (VCLT 53); State practice
  - consent, relevance 157–8
  - historical contextualism 137
  - jurisprudence
    - Ayyash* 128
    - Continental Shelf (Libya v. Malta)* (1985) 126
    - Nicaragua* 127
    - North Sea Continental Shelf* (1969) 126–7
  - multilateral treaty as codification/ modification of customary international law 132–3, 400–1
  - social need (*sive necessitatis*) as essential element 136–7, 151
  - State practice and *opinio juris* as essential elements 126
  - opinio juris* as evidence of 128, 136–44, 152
  - opinio juris* as prime consideration 126–8, 136–7, 154, 443–4
  - State practice as prime consideration 126
  - customary international law as source of peremptory norm 26–7, 73–4, 93–4, 128, 137–8
  - in combination with general principles of law / principles of international public order 134–6, 344, 442–3
  - ‘custom by omission’ 146–7, 152–3
  - customary international law and peremptory norms distinguished 3–4, 71–2, 77, 134–5
  - modification by subsequent practice, effect 132, 155
  - Restatement of Foreign Relations Law (Third) 128
  - rules created for humanitarian purpose 31–2
  - universality of practice, relevance 147–50

- customary international law as source
  - of peremptory norm, jurisprudence
  - Ayyash* 144, 152–3
  - Domingues* 128, 159–60
  - Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons* 128, 218–19
  - Lotus* 152–3
  - North Sea Continental Shelf* (1969) 128, 154
  - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 128
- De Luna, Antonio 22, 26–8, 55–6, 73, 79, 124–5, 145
- de Visscher, Charles 137
- death penalty, prohibition as customary international law / *jus cogens* 135, 262–3
- derogation: *see* non-derogation (VCLT 53)
- diplomatic immunity 296, 298–9
  - ICC 98(1) 290–1
  - as ‘immunity *ratione personae*’ 300
- due process, as peremptory norm / general principle of law
  - recognized by civilized nations 86–7
- Dugard, John
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 23, 82–3, 139, 224, 227–8, 340–1
  - South African amnesty 329
- Dupuy, René-Jean 76–7
- ECCC (Extraordinary Chambers in the Court of Cambodia), establishment 197–8
- ECHR and human dignity 48–9
- Enlightenment social contract theory 15, 178: *see also* social contract / contractarianism
- environment as common heritage 259–60
  - erga omnes* obligations 259–60
  - Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project* 259–60
  - Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons* 260
  - Protection of Global Climate (UNGA Res. 43/53) 259
  - as shared burden 259–60
  - Stockholm Declaration (1972) 259
- erga omnes* obligations
  - Ago Report on State Responsibility 10
  - amnesty as breach of 320, 335–6, 338: *see also* amnesty and *jus cogens*
  - Antarctic 258–9
  - Arango-Ruiz Report on State Responsibility 10, 352
  - bilateral obligations distinguished 9–10
  - consent to ICJ jurisdiction distinguished 379
  - consequences of breach (ILC 41) 353–4, 357
  - Crawford Reports on State Responsibility 10
  - cultural heritage 262
  - definition 8–9, 351–2
  - derivation from *jus cogens* norms
    - dependent on *opinio juris* 352–3, 437–8
  - environment 259–60
  - ‘gross or systematic’ seriousness threshold (ILC 40) 360–1, 414–16, 425–7
  - ‘likely to be addressed by the competent international organizations’ 414–16, 425–7
  - high seas 256
  - ILC Commentary on draft Art. 9 9
  - inclusion in codifying conventions 354–5
  - international public policy and 8–11
  - interrelationship with *jus cogens* 8–11, 82–3
  - jus cogens* obligations distinguished 262–4, 351–4, 397
  - legal interest of all States in fulfilment 9–11, 23, 351–6, 444–5
  - outer space 257–8
  - as progressive area of international law 353–4

- self-determination 251–2
- State practice as evidence of 146–7
- State as sole instrument for
  - enforcement 351–83, 445–6
- State-based legal framework 444–6
- universality 10, 354–5
- erga omnes* obligation to prevent and punish violations of peremptory norms 355–63: *see also* humanitarian intervention as lawful means under ILC 41(1)
- collective action: *see* collective action / obligation to cooperate (ILC 41(1))
- context-dependent measures 361
- conventions establishing *erga omnes* obligations and those not distinguished 400–1
- countermeasures by non-injured State (ILC 54) 360–1, 414, 416, 435–6
- due diligence obligation 355–6, 386–7, 391
- first-State incapacity to prevent 358
- ICJ standing 398–402: *see also* ICJ standing in respect of *erga omnes* obligations
- ILC 40 and 41 356–7, 359–60
- ILC 48(1)(b) 398–9, 412–14
- legal interest of all States in the fulfilment of obligations as justification for action 356–7
- as means of upholding public order protections and duties 434
- non-assistance obligation (ILC 41 (1)): *see* non-assistance/aid in the maintenance of illegal situation (ILC 41(1))
- non-recognition of effects of legality of violation (ILC 41(2)): *see* non-recognition of effects of violation of peremptory norms (ILC 41(2))
- non-refoulement* obligation 389–91
- obligation to cooperate (ILC 41): *see* collective action / obligation to cooperate (ILC 41(1))
- obligation to punish 371: *see also* punishment as *erga omnes* obligation
- as obligations of result 384
- as positive duty (ILC 40 and 41) 354–6, 359–60, 370–1, 373–4, 383, 384, 387–9, 397–8, 419–20, 434, 444–5, 455–6
- subsidiary status of *erga omnes* obligations 436–7, 444–5
- third-State right to act to suppress breach (*actio popularis*) 357, 398–9, 445
- erga omnes* obligations, jurisprudence
  - Application of the Genocide Convention* 9, 355
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 9, 355, 379
  - Barcelona Traction* 8–11, 351, 356–7
  - Construction of a Wall* 9, 353, 357, 358–60, 419–20
  - Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia* 9, 357, 358–60, 361, 419–20
  - East Timor* 9, 379
  - Furundžija* 10, 357, 352
  - Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons* 353
  - Nicaragua* 353
  - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 9, 384
  - Reservations to the Genocide Convention* 355
  - South West Africa Cases* 358
- EU Charter of Fundamental Rights (CFR) and human dignity (Chapter I) 49
- inviolability of right to human dignity (CFR 1) 49
- protection of rights fundamental to human dignity (CFR 2-5) 49
- as universal value (CFR Preamble) 49
- EU law and human dignity 46, 48–51
- Charter of Fundamental Rights 49
- ECHR as origin 48–9
- Manuel Wackenheim* (ICCPR 26) 49–50
- Omega* 50–1



- extradite or prosecute obligation (*aut dedere aut judicare*)
  - aut dedere aut punire* principle 371–2
  - Draft Code of Crimes against the Peace and Security of Mankind (1996) 373
  - as function of individual criminal responsibility 272
  - Grotius 371–2, 379–81, 436
  - ILC 4 (international custom as a source of the obligation *aut dedere aut judicare*) 375
  - ‘crimes that affect the international community as a whole’ limitation 375
  - ILC work on (Galicki Reports) 372–5
  - Libya crisis (2011) 420, 422–3
  - Lomé Agreement IX, effect 371
  - principle of accountability and 383
  - as related to peremptory norms / *erga omnes* obligation 271–2, 371, 445
  - third-State right to demand extradition for breach of *erga omnes* obligations 379–83
  - universal jurisdiction as basis 376–8: *see also* universal jurisdiction
- extradite or prosecute obligation (*aut dedere aut judicare*), jurisprudence
  - Guatemala Genocide Case* 379–81
  - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 381–3
- extra-State nature of international community interests xxxix, 29–32, 101–2, 104, 260, 357–8, 453–4
- Fitzmaurice, Gerald
  - General Principles of International Law* 130
  - natural law (‘Some Problems Regarding the Formal Sources of International Law’) 109–11
  - Third Report on the Law of Treaties 4, 6, 31, 55, 72–3, 77–8, 109–11, 339
- force, use of 224, 365–6
- Foreign Minister immunity *ratione personae* 287–8
- formal sources of international law: *see* sources of international law
- (ICJ 38(1)) (as positive law based on law-making authority of sovereign State)
- frameworks relevant to *jus cogens* 447–51
  - cosmopolitanism xxxix, 188, 447, 450–2
  - international constitutionalism xxxix, 447–50, 452
  - New Haven School 54, 447, 448
  - normative individualism xxxix, 447–8, 452
- free will of the individual 37, 111
- ‘general international law’ 134–6
- general principles of law recognized by civilized nations (ICJ 38(1)(c))
  - as compromise between divergent interpretations 129
  - consent, relevance to 157–8
  - human dignity 33–5, 40, 41, 45–6, 51–4, 58, 62, 66, 81–2, 84, 101–2, 138–9, 178
  - as means of filling gaps / avoiding *non liquet* 129–30
  - as means of introducing morality and natural law into international law 129–30
  - principles recognized in *foro domestico* 129–30
  - as reflection/expression of natural law 129–30
  - as underlying principle (Fitzmaurice) 130
- Geneva Conventions / Additional Protocol II (1977)
  - ‘conscience’, role 59–60, 63–4, 79–81
  - denunciation clauses 215–16
  - human dignity and 59–60
  - humanitarian protection of individual as core objective 214
  - Martens Clause and 215–16

- residual obligations under general principles of the law of nations 215–17
- genocide as peremptory norm 228–32
  - The Crime of Genocide (UNGA Res. 96(I)) 228–30
  - Genocide Convention (1948) 229–30
  - ICTY Statute 230
  - interests / legal conscience of the international community 229–30
  - as international crime / crime against the peace 196, 228–9, 268–71
  - international instruments classifying as 230–1
  - jurisprudence: *see* genocide as peremptory norm, jurisprudence
  - opinio juris* 229–32
  - origin of term 228–9
  - State practice 230–1
  - State responsibility for 392–3
  - Unification of Penal Law Conference (1933) 228–9
  - World Summit Outcome (2005) 230
- genocide as peremptory norm, jurisprudence
  - Abelesz* 231–2
  - Application of the Genocide Convention* 231–2, 392–3
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 231–2
  - Barcelona Traction* 231–2
  - Brđanin* 231–2
  - Guatemala Genocide Case* 231–2
  - Jelisić* 231–2
  - Jorgić Case* 231–2
  - Kayishema and Ruzindana* 231–2
  - Kristić* 231–2
  - Lozano* 231–2
  - Muna* 231–2
  - Munyaneza* 231–2
  - Nikolić* 230
  - Reservations to the Genocide Convention* 229, 231–2
- German Constitution (Basic Law) and human dignity 45–6
  - as general principle of law 45–6
  - Life Imprisonment* case 45–6
  - Omega* 50–1
- German Constitution (Basic Law) and *jus cogens* 101
- globalization, effect 25, 192–3
- Grotius, Hugo
  - aut dedere aut punire* 371–2, 379–81, 436
  - human dignity 223
  - humanitarian intervention 363–4
  - just war 223–4
  - mare liberum* 255
  - natural law [as law of reason] 115
  - Piracy Jure Gentium* 208–9
  - res communis/publica juris gentium* 253–5
  - social contract 14
- Hamilton, Alexander (US Founding Father) 44–5
- Hart, H. L. A. 120–1
- Head of Government immunity
  - ratione materiae* 303–8
  - ratione personae* 287–8
- Head of State immunity
  - compliance with warrant to arrest in absence of waiver 292–3
  - domestic and international courts distinguished 297
  - identity with State as basis 287–8
  - prosecution in international tribunals 288–90
  - ratione materiae* 287–99
  - ratione personae* 287–8
- Henkin, Louis 16–18, 200
- hierarchy of norms
  - as breach of principle of equality of legal rules 122–3
  - jus cogens* / *jus dispositivum* distinction 4, 72–3, 77, 90–1, 93–4, 102, 104, 118–19, 121–2, 125–6, 132, 178, 357–8, 442–3, 451
- hierarchy of norms, jurisprudence
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 90–1
  - Furundžija* 91–2
  - Reservations to the Genocide Convention* 90–1
  - South West Africa Cases* (Tanaka J) 90–1, 143

- high seas as common heritage (UNCLOS, Part VII) 255–6
- Deep Sea Bed Agreement (1994) 256
- erga omnes* obligations 256
- Obligation to Negotiate Access to the Pacific Ocean (Bolivia v. Chile)* 256
- Responsibilities and Obligations of States Sponsoring Persons and Entities with Respect to Activities in the Area* 256
- higher interests and values of international community
  - erga omnes* obligation to prevent violations of peremptory norms as protection 383, 384, 435, 445–6, 455–6
  - as ‘extra-State’ interests 101–2, 453–4
  - human dignity as underlying principle 33–4, 43, 66, 93, 101–5, 138–40, 344, 346, 457
  - individual’s interests as prime consideration 32, 441, 443–4
  - international community as procedural and substantive expression of 101
  - international crimes and: *see* aggression as peremptory norm; apartheid as peremptory norm; crimes against humanity, classification as / peremptory norm status; genocide as peremptory norm; piracy; slavery / slave trade; terrorism as peremptory norm; torture as peremptory norm; war crimes (serious violations of humanitarian law) (peremptory norm status)
  - jus cogens* / peremptory norms as expression of 4, 23–4, 32, 93, 101, 103–5, 138–9, 141–3, 145, 180–2, 346, 352–3, 441–4, 454
  - normative force of general legal principles and 151
  - public order norms as expression of 21, 93, 442–3, 454–5
  - social contract as articulation of 99–100, 175, 178, 346, 455
  - social nature of 345, 454–5
- historical exigencies/contextualism 99–104, 137–8, 342–7, 352–3, 454
- historicity of positive law
  - formal source requirement 120–1, 124–5, 442
  - social contract 17–18, 100, 176–8, 180–1
- Hobbes, Thomas 14, 96, 100, 115–16, 175–9, 342, 431–2
- Hohfeld, Wesley 341–2
- human dignity
  - as animating principle of *jus cogens* 33–4
  - Aquinas 36–7
  - Aristotle 34
  - categorical imperative (Kant) 37–9, 43–4, 68–9, 96, 177, 180, 213–14: *see also* Kant, Immanuel
  - Christianity and 35–7
  - Cicero (*dignitas hominis*) 34–5, 37–9, 67, 69–70, 111–12
  - civil society and 180
  - constitutional law 44–8, 66: *see also* constitutional law and human dignity
  - diversity of concept in practice 43
  - as duty of respect 35, 39, 67, 69–70, 92, 441–2: *see also* morality, respect as basis
  - EU law and 46
  - as general principle of law 33–5, 40, 41, 45–6, 51–4, 58, 62, 66, 81–2, 84, 101–2, 138–9, 178
  - Geneva Conventions / Additional Protocol II (1977) and 59–60
  - higher interests and values of international community, as basis of 33–4, 43, 66, 93, 101–5, 138–40, 344, 346, 457
  - humanity as alternative term 62
  - as inherent quality / foundational principle 35–9, 41–3, 51–2, 61, 180, 454

- international law as articulation of
  - 42–3
- as ‘juridical conscience of mankind’
  - 138–9, 178, 180, 442, 454–5
- jus cogens* and: *see jus cogens* and human dignity
- as moral concept 67–71, 453–4
- natural law origin 34–5, 70–1
- philosophy of 33–4
- Pico della Mirandola, Giovanni 37
- as ‘placeholder’ 40
- religious, philosophical and
  - historical approaches 36, 40
- Renaissance Humanism 37
- respect for as actualization of
  - principle 35, 39–40, 45–6, 48–51, 68–70, 92
- as right vs functional expression of
  - humanity 40
- rule of law and 41–3, 70
- social coexistence and 43, 70–1, 93, 180, 441–2
- Stoics 35, 38, 111–12
- terrorism and 241–50
- Thucydides 42–3
- ‘time immemorial’ concept 42–3, 101–2
- torture as peremptory norm and 232–3
- UN Charter, as basis of 52, 55, 58, 188–9
- universality principle 37–9, 49, 51–2, 68–9
- human rights movement and the legal
  - personality of the individual 27, 188–99
- jus cogens* and codified treaty rights
  - compared 190–1
- LaGrand* 190–1
- UDHR 189–90
- UN Charter 188–9
- humanitarian intervention as lawful
  - means under ILC 41(1) 363–71
- breach of obligations to international
  - community as a whole as justification 366–8
- Grotius 363–4
- ‘humanitarian self-defence’ 369–70
  - conditions 369
- preventive self-defence (*Caroline* test) compared 369
- as self-defence (UN Charter 51) 369–70
- imminence threshold (‘extreme humanitarian distress . . . accepted by the international community as a whole’) 369–70
- Kosovo (1999) 363–4
- Libya (2011) 363–5
- Libya crisis and 412–14
- moral vs legal justification 366–7
- not ‘unambiguously illegal’ 364
- ‘responsibility to protect’ (World Summit Outcome) xxxviii, 216–17, 367–8
- Ban Ki-moon’s statement on 367–8
- erga omnes* principles / *actio popularis* 367–8
- international responsibility of the
  - State distinguished 413
- sovereignty as responsibility 368
- Syria (2013) 364–5
- use of force in self-defence (UN Charter 51) / pursuant to UNSC resolution (UN Charter 42) 364–6
- humanitarian law: *see* war crimes (serious violations of humanitarian law) (peremptory norm status)
- ICCPR 4 (non-derogation) 88–9
- crimes against humanity invoking
  - individual responsibility and 88
- General Comment 5: Article 4: Derogations (1981) 89
- General Comment 7: Article 7 (Prohibition of Torture or Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment) (1982) 89
- General Comment 29: Derogations during a State of Emergency (2001) 88
- interrelationship with *jus cogens* / peremptory norms 88

- ICCPR and human dignity as source of rights (ICCPR Preamble) 49–50, 52–3
- ICCPR as constitutional instrument 51–2
- legal personality of the individual and 189–90
- Manuel Wackenheim* 49–50
- ICESCR
  - as constitutional instrument 51–2
  - human dignity as basis (Preamble) 52–3
  - legal personality of the individual and 189–90
- ICJ standing in respect of *erga omnes* obligations 398–402
- consent to jurisdiction, relevance 378–9, 401–2
- conventions establishing *erga omnes* obligations and those not distinguished 400–1
- ILC 48(1)(b) (invocation of responsibility for *erga omnes* violations) 398–9, 412–14
- ICJ standing in respect of *erga omnes* obligations, jurisprudence
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* (2002) 401–2
  - Barcelona Traction* 400–1
  - East Timor* 401–2
  - Nicaragua* 400–1
  - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 399–402
  - Reservations to the Genocide Convention* 400–1
- ICTR (establishment) 198
- ICTY (establishment) 198
- immunity *ratione materiae* 299–317
  - acts attributable to State 285–6, 299–300
  - acts not sanctionable by State (*jus cogens* violations / international crimes) 300–3, 307–8, 317, 404–5
  - civil proceedings before domestic courts 308–17
  - classification of act as preliminary issue 301–2
  - commonality of practice in international and domestic proceedings 308
  - as conduct-based immunity 299–300
  - consular immunity as 300
  - customary international law, significance 316–17, 319
  - distinguishability of criminal and civil proceedings immunities
  - ECtHR, US and civil law vs Commonwealth jurisdictions 314–17
  - Immunity of State Officials from Foreign Criminal Jurisdiction, ILC Report (2010) 317–18
  - Torture Convention vs *jus cogens* considerations 315
- ILC Articles on State Responsibility (2001) 300
- Nuremberg Charter 302
- political influences 318–19
- prosecution
  - domestic courts 303–8
  - international tribunals 302–3
- Samantar vs Jones* approach 308
- as substantive defence from liability 301–2
- Torture Victim Protection Act (US) 309–10
- unauthorized or ultra vires acts (ILC 7) 299–300
- immunity *ratione materiae*, jurisprudence
  - Al-Adsani* 305–6
  - Arrest Warrant* 313–14, 378–9
  - Belhas v. Ya'alon* 309
  - Blaškić* 299–300, 302–3
  - Bouterse* 305–6
  - Chuidian* 299–300, 309–10
  - In re Doe* 300–1
  - Eichmann* 300
  - Fang v. Jiang* 315–16
  - Furundžija* 302–3
  - Jones* (ECtHR) 312–16
  - Jones* (UK courts) 299–300, 311–16, 318–19

- Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* 319
- Kahled Nezzar* 305–6
- Lozano* 305–6
- Matar v. Dichter* 309
- Nazi Conspiracy and Aggression* 302
- Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 306–7
- Ould Dah* 305–6
- Pinochet (No. 3)* 303–4, 313–15
- Samantar* 299–300, 309–11, 318–19
- Siderman de Blake* 310–11
- Sosa* 313–14
- Zhang v. Zemin* 315–16
- immunity *ratione personae* 287–99
- applicability to high officials as representatives of the State 285–6, 288
- arrest and extradition, compatibility with 292–3
- civil proceedings before domestic courts 295–6
- comity as basis 287–8
- diplomatic immunity as 300
- international and domestic proceedings distinguished 295
- jus cogens* violations
- domestic courts 293–4
- international tribunals 293–4
- international and domestic proceedings distinguished 296
- limitation to period of office 288
- par in parem* considerations
- domestic courts 295
- international and domestic proceedings distinguished 296
- international tribunals 290–1
- procedural nature 288
- prosecution
- domestic courts 294–5
- in home country 288
- international tribunals 287–8
- as status immunity 288
- waiver: *see* immunity *ratione personae*, waiver
- immunity *ratione personae*, jurisprudence
- Al Bashir* 289–91, 293–5
- Arrest Warrant* 287–8, 292–5
- Doe I v. Israel* 295–6
- Gbagbo* 288
- Habyarimana v. Kagame* 295–6
- Lafontant v. Aristide* 287–8, 295–6
- Manoharan v. Rajapaksa* 295–6
- Mugabe Case* 295–6, 298–9
- Pinochet (No 3)* 287–8, 294–5
- Gaddafi (France)* 294–5
- Gaddafi (ICC)* 289, 292–4
- Gaddafi (ICC) and Gaddafi (France)* compared 297
- Samantar* 287–8
- Sharon* 295
- Taylor* 288, 293–5
- Ye v. Zemin* 293, 295–6
- immunity *ratione personae*, waiver
- by home State 287–8
- need for in case of request for surrender or assistance (ICC 98) 290–1
- Security Council referral to ICC as 291–3
- sovereign equality (UN Charter 2(1)) and 291–2
- individual as beneficiary of peremptory norms 31–4, 339
- ATS jurisprudence as evidence of 284–5
- Geneva Conventions 214
- ‘group’/‘collective’ rights 339
- imprecision of concepts 33–4
- individual responsibility as corollary/correlative (bifurcation) 191–2, 200, 266, 267, 340–2, 443–4
- Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* (2002) 340–1
- Hohfeld on 341–2
- mutuality of rights 342
- as sole beneficiary 443
- UN Charter 188–9
- war crimes / humanitarian law 213–15, 223–4

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-08176-5 - Jus Cogens: International Law and Social Contract

Thomas Weatherall

Index

[More information](#)

490

INDEX

- individual civil responsibility 276–85, 340
  - Alien Tort Statute (US) 277–85, 340
  - domestic law provision for parallel civil and criminal proceedings 276–7
  - erosion of distinction between public and private acts 266–7, 347
  - Libya crisis (2011) 424–5
  - reparation claims (*action civile*) 276–7
  - reparations (ICC 75) 276–7
- individual civil responsibility, jurisprudence
  - Adamu* 284
  - Adhikari* 280, 282
  - Benas v. Baca* 284
  - Bivens* 284
  - Filartiga* 277–9
  - Hawkins* 276–7, 284
  - Kiobel* 279–80
  - Marcos Human Rights Litigation* 280
  - Mehinović v. Vucković* 280, 282
  - Princz* 280
  - Qi* 280, 282
  - Réunion Aérienne* 276–7
  - Rwanda Genocide Case* 276–7
  - Sandhu v. Burke* 282
  - Sarei* 280–1
  - Siderman de Blake* 278
  - Sosa* 277–80
  - Taveras* 280–1
  - White v. Paulsen* 284
  - Xuncax v. Gramajo* 282
- individual criminal responsibility 191, 267–76: *see also* International Criminal Court Statute (1998) (ICC) (Rome Statute); State responsibility for violation of criminal norms / liability for prosecution
  - ad hoc* criminal tribunals established post-1990 198
  - Affirmation of the Nürnberg Principles of International Law (UNGA 95(1)) 195
  - for aggression 228
  - amnesty: *see* amnesty
  - aut dedere aut judicare*: *see* extradite or prosecute obligation (*aut dedere aut judicare*)
  - as corollary of individual rights 191–2, 200, 266, 267: *see also* individual as beneficiary of peremptory norms, individual responsibility as corollary / correlative (bifurcation)
  - functional advantages 275–6
  - ILC Articles on State Responsibility (2001) 273–4
  - ILC Draft Code of Offences against the Peace and Security of Mankind (1954) 195–6
  - international cooperation in fighting transnational crime, significance 192–3
  - international criminal code, possibility of (1928) 195, 346
  - International Criminal Court, draft Convention (1937) 193–4
  - international and transnational crimes distinguished 193, 266
  - Libya crisis (2011) 424–5
  - military tribunals (post-WWI) 193–4
  - Nuremberg Tribunal 194–5, 266
  - peremptory norms, dependence on 199
  - piracy 205–6, 268–71: *see also* piracy as procedural core of international criminal tribunals 196–8
  - as responsibility dependent on that of the State 228
  - as responsibility distinct from that of the State 199
  - as responsibility limited to individuals 272–5
  - Rome Statute (1998) 198
  - Sosa* 205–6
  - Treaty of Versailles (1919) 193–4
  - Turkish atrocities against Armenians, Three-Power declaration condemning (1915) 193–4, 220
- individual legal personality, development of concept 185–8
- human rights movement 188–99



- individual as unit of mankind 26, 28, 30–1
- jurisprudence
  - LaGrand* 190–1
  - Paquete Habana* 185–6
- jus cogens* and codified treaty rights compared 190–1
- minorities rights (League of Nations) 186–7
- procedural capacity (Central American Court of Justice, International Prize Court and Mixed Arbitral Tribunals) 187
- institution of law / rule of law
- interrelationship between law and morality 70, 85–6
- interrelationship with human dignity 41–3, 70
- as means for promoting common good (*ubi societas, ibi jus*) 25, 436–7
- international community of States as a whole (VCLT 53) 24–9:
  - see also* civil society; social coexistence / social need (*sive necessitatis*)
- Aristotle's *polis* and 27
- 'as a whole' 28–9
  - universality distinguished 28, 147–50
- 'common good' as principle and purpose 24, 27, 29
- extra-State nature of interests xxxix, 29–32, 101–2, 104, 260, 357–8, 453–4
- globalization, effect 25, 192–3
- higher interests and values of: *see* higher interests and values of international community
- holistic vs aggregation approach 28–9
- law as instrument for the promotion of (*ubi societas, ibi jus*) 25, 436–7
- as layered concept / procedural and substantive construct 29, 101, 123, 346, 441–3
- literal interpretation 28
- 'of States' 26–8: *see also* State as primary subject of international law
- Reservations to the Genocide Convention* 29
- as source of *jus cogens* / peremptory norms 17–18, 23–4, 100–1, 121–2, 442–3
- as synonym for 'mankind' (Tomuschat) 25–6
- 'the international community' 24–6
- uniformity of practice, relevance 30–1
- universality and *opinio juris* distinguished 28, 147–50
- international constitutionalism xxxix, 447–50, 452
  - 'constitutional rules of the international community' 55, 449–50
- international crimes, definition/ classification as: *see also* aggression as peremptory norm; apartheid as peremptory norm; crimes against humanity, classification as / peremptory norm status; genocide as peremptory norm; individual criminal responsibility; piracy; slavery / slave trade; terrorism as peremptory norm; torture as peremptory norm; war crimes (serious violations of humanitarian law) (peremptory norm status)
- aggression 196, 224–7, 268–71:
  - see also* aggression as peremptory norm
- breach of peremptory norm 268–71
- crimes against humanity 221
- crimes committed by individual, limitation to 11–12
- destruction of cultural property 261–2
- enumeration of peremptory norms compared 268–71
- genocide 196, 228–9, 268–71: *see also* genocide as peremptory norm



- international crimes, definition/  
classification as: (cont.)
  - ILC Articles on State Responsibility (1997) 272–3
  - Nuremberg Tribunal 267
  - peremptory norm violations xxxvii, 268–71
  - piracy 205–6
  - seriousness of violated law as
    - determining factor 266
  - slavery / slave trade 209–10, 268–71
  - terrorism 268–71: *see also* terrorism as peremptory norm
  - torture 268–71: *see also* torture as peremptory norm
  - transnational crimes distinguished 193, 266
  - war crimes 217–18
- International Criminal Court Statute (1998) (ICC) (Rome Statute)
  - complementarity of international and domestic legal orders 198, 292–3
  - exercise of jurisdiction (ICC 13) 291–2
  - ICC independence of UN (ICC–UN Negotiated Relationship Agreement (2004)) 291–2
  - individual responsibility / individual legal responsibility as core principle 198
  - overview 198
  - waiver of immunity requirement (ICC 98) 290–3
- international law: *see* customary international law; *jus gentium*; natural law as source of *jus cogens* in international law
- international public policy (*ordre international public*) / *jus cogens* relationship
  - AFP and PLO v. Alstom and Veolia* 464
  - definition and characteristics of ‘public order’ 21, 121–2
  - development of concept xxxvii
  - diversity of norms 44
  - erga omnes* obligations 8–11
  - human dignity as ‘public right’, relevance 43–4
  - international public policy as source of international law 4
  - invalidation of law contrary to as evidence of 22–3
  - jus cogens* / peremptory nature as essential element 21–3, 55–6, 121–3
  - morality and 4, 21, 70–5, 92, 133–4
  - origin of concept 21–3
  - positive legal principles and 33
  - as reaction to WWII atrocities 4, 266, 454
  - social coexistence and 23–4, 70
  - social need and 136–44
  - VCLT drafting history and 3–4, 7, 22, 73: *see also* VCLT 53 and 64 (*jus cogens*), drafting history
- international responsibility: *see also* individual civil responsibility; individual criminal responsibility; State responsibility for violation of criminal norms / liability for prosecution; State responsibility for violation of *erga omnes* obligations; State responsibility for violation of peremptory norms
- overview 11–12
- Jenks, J. Wilfred 32–3, 157, 447
- jus cogens* (general): *see also* *erga omnes* obligations
  - agreement on principle and on content distinguished: *see* peremptory norm, definition/ classification as (VCLT 53), crystallization as law / exhaustive list, relevance
  - agreement on principle and on content distinguished: *see also* peremptory norm, definition/ classification as (VCLT 53)
  - authority of 67–94
  - consent, relevance 17–18, 148–9, 154–8

- constitutional law 44–5
- as customary international law
  - expressing general principles of law related to humanity and human dignity 139
- as derogation from fundamental legal principle xxxvii, 123
- formal source of 156–8
- frameworks relevant to: *see* frameworks relevant to *jus cogens*
- hierarchy of norms: *see* hierarchy of norms
- international law, entry into / codification (VCLT 53 and 64) 3–8: *see also* VCLT 53 and 64 (*jus cogens*)
- impact on ATS 278
- as response to demands of society 452
- international public policy and: *see* international public policy (*ordre international public*) / *jus cogens* relationship
- jus dispositivum* distinguished 4, 72–3, 77, 90–1, 93–4, 102, 104, 118–19, 121–2, 125–6, 132, 178, 357–8, 442–3, 451
- ‘law of nations’ as synonym (US jurisprudence) 28, 208–9, 280–5
- law of obligations origin 3
- norms as part of common law 284
- Nuremberg Tribunal (IMT) and 199
- overlapping nature of elements / responses to 427–30
- overview 3
- parallelism with social contract: *see* social contract / contractarianism
- persistent objector principle, applicability 149–50, 155, 236–8
- as positive law created by States as organs of the international community 22, 30–1, 79, 125–6, 145, 346
- as public order / public order norms 21–3, 137–8, 180, 442–3
- as reaction to perceived deficiencies in inter-State system of international law 102–5
- risk of overstating 202–3
- in Roman law 3
- social coexistence and: *see* social coexistence / social need (*sive necessitatis*), *jus cogens* and
- social contract and: *see* social contract / contractarianism
- State immunity for violations: *see* State immunity from jurisdiction and *jus cogens*
- as universal [legal] principle 59–60, 121–2
- jus cogens* and human dignity 54–66
- codification treaties adopting as operative general principle 58
- in constitutional law 44–5
- human dignity as animating principle 33–4, 54, 454
- jus cogens* protections related to human dignity xxxviii, 49, 51–2, 57–8, 67, 71, 82, 92–3
- New Haven School 54
- New Problems of Extradition* (IIL 1983) 57–8
- Restatement of Foreign Relations Law (Third) 54
- State immunity and 60–2
- UNCLOT proceedings 56–7
- jus cogens* and human dignity, jurisprudence
  - Aleksovski* 65
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* (2006) 63
  - Construction of a Wall* 64–5
  - Corfu Channel* 62–4
  - Ferrini* 61
  - Furundžija* 65
  - Kallon and Kamara* 65
  - Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons* 64
  - Milde* 60–1
  - Nicaragua* 63–4
  - Reservations to the Convention on Genocide* 63

- jus cogens* and morality 71–83  
 ‘conscience’, role: *see* conscience, role  
 De Luna, Antonio 22, 55–6, 73, 79  
 emergence of inescapable  
   international public order and  
   (Waldock) 55–6, 73  
 formal sources of peremptory norms,  
   relevance 77–9  
 governments’ reactions to 1966 Draft  
   Articles 55–6, 73–6  
 incorporation of moral  
   considerations into positive law  
   xl, 75–6, 84–5  
 McNair, Arnold 22, 73, 75  
 Martens Clause 63–4, 79–81, 83,  
   142–3, 215–16  
 moral quality of norms as source of  
   peremptory status xxxvii, 82–6,  
   92, 441–2  
 norms ‘expressive of rules of  
   international morality’  
   (Lauterpacht) 3–4, 55, 67, 71–2,  
   133–4, 139  
 ‘not only legal rules but  
   considerations of morals and of  
   international good order’  
   (Fitzmaurice) 4, 72–3  
 omission of ‘morality’ from VCLT,  
   relevance 77–8  
 State practice 84–5  
 UNCLOT proceedings 57, 75–7  
 VCLT *travaux préparatoires* 71–5  
*jus cogens* and morality, jurisprudence  
   *Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)*  
   (2006) 23, 82–3, 139, 221, 224,  
   227–8  
   Oscar Chinn 71–3  
   *Reservations to the Genocide*  
   *Convention* 71–2, 77, 81–2  
*jus cogens* and *opinio juris* / social need /  
   State practice, jurisprudence  
   *Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)*  
   (2002) 139  
   Ayyash 144, 152–3  
   *Construction of a Wall* 144, 146–7  
   *Corfu Channel* 144  
   *East Timor* 146–7  
   *Fisheries Case* 140  
   *Jurisdictional Immunities of the State*  
   139–40  
   Kallon and Kamara 139  
   Kupreškić 142–3, 180  
   *Legality of the Threat or Use of*  
   *Nuclear Weapons* 144  
   *Nazi Conspiracy and Aggression*  
   142–3  
   Nicaragua 143  
   Princz 179  
   *R v. Immigration Officer at Prague*  
   *Airport* 139  
   *Reservations to the Genocide*  
   *Convention* 140, 146, 150  
   *sive necessitatis* (social need) 136–44,  
   203  
   *South West Africa Cases* 143  
   Tadić 143  
*jus gentium*  
   Cicero 111–12  
   convergence with natural law 112–13  
   *jus civile* as parallel system 112–13  
   as mirror of private law 113  
   Roman application of 112–13  
   as supranational law 113  
 just war (*bellum justum*) 113–14, 223–4  
 Kant, Immanuel  
   categorical imperative 37–9, 43–4,  
   68–9, 96, 177, 180, 213–14  
   human dignity and respect 68–70  
   natural law 118–19, 343–4  
   perpetual peace theory 450–1  
   public right 43–4, 98–9, 343–4, 433,  
   450–1  
   social contract 14, 17–18, 46, 98–9,  
   177–8, 180, 343–4, 433  
 Kellogg–Briand Pact (1928) 144, 225–7  
 Kelsen, Hans 120–1  
 Lauterpacht, Hersch  
   First Report on the Law of Treaties  
   (1953) 3–4, 22, 54–5, 67, 71–2,  
   77–8, 109–11, 133–5, 139  
   *Genocide Convention* (Separate  
   Opinion) 395–6  
   *An International Bill of the Rights of*  
   *Man* 114–15

- International Law and Human Rights* 109–11
- natural law 109–11
- norms ‘expressive of rules of international morality’ 3–4, 55, 67, 71–2, 133–4, 139
- legal positivism: *see* positive law / legal positivism
- Lemkin, Raphael 228–9
- Liberal approach xxxix
- Libya crisis (2011) 409–30
- ACTHR provisional measures order 414–15
- applicability of *jus cogens* legal framework 427–8
- background 409–12
- collective action (ILC 41(1)) 365, 419–22
- political transformation as preferred outcome 421–2
- consequences for breaches of obligations *erga omnes* 416–23
- duality of individual and State responsibility 423–7
- erga omnes* obligation to prevent and punish violations of peremptory norms 412–16
- exercise of entitlement to invoke responsibility for *erga omnes* obligations (ILC 48(1)(b)) 412–14
- extradite or prosecute obligation 420, 422–3
- ‘gross or systematic’ seriousness threshold (ILC 40) 414–16, 425–7
- individual civil responsibility 424–5
- individual criminal responsibility 424–5
- interventionist response 429
- ‘likely to be addressed by the competent international organizations’ test 414–16, 425–7
- non-recognition/non-assistance obligation (ILC 41(1)) 417–19, 421–2, 427–8
- overview 427–8
- resolution of crisis
- Constitutional Declaration (3 August 2011) 427
- UNSCR 2009 (2011) (relaxation of emergency measures and establishment of UNSMIL) 427
- ‘responsibility to protect’ 412–14
- Situation in the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya* (ICC) 415–16
- State responsibility for acts of individual attributable to the State 425–6
- State responsibility for violation of *erga omnes* obligations 426–8
- of successor State 427
- UNSCR 1970 (2011) 420, 424–5
- UNSCR 1973 (2011) 420–1
- UNSCR 2016 (2011) (termination of mandate for collective action) 427
- violation of peremptory norms 410
- life, right to as peremptory norm 262–3
- death penalty, relevance 135, 262–3
- Locke, John 14, 96–7, 175–9, 343, 432
- MacCormick, Donald 85–6
- McDougal, Myres 41–2, 54, 70–1, 447
- McNair, Arnold 22, 73, 75
- Maritain, Jacques 25
- Martens Clause 63–4, 79–81, 83, 142–3, 215–16
- minorities rights (League of Nations) 186–7
- monism 43–4, 91–2, 200
- morality
- as doctrine of duties 21, 35, 69–70, 81–2, 92
- human dignity and 67–71, 453–4
- jus cogens* and: *see jus cogens* and morality
- Kupreškić* 213–14
- law, interrelationship 70, 85–6
- as limiting principle 67–70
- ‘moral reason’ 67–8
- morality as natural law and moral considerations in positive law distinguished 76–7, 101–2
- respect as basis 35, 67–71, 93
- social coexistence and 441–2
- South West Africa Cases* 85–6

- Mosler, Hermann 21, 25–6, 32–3, 57–8, 447
- multilateral treaties
- customary international law, as codification of 132–3
  - customary international law, as State practice evidencing 132–3, 145–6
  - ‘general multilateral treaty’ 131–3
  - as source/means of modifying peremptory norm 131–3
- natural law (general)
- aggression as peremptory norm and 223–4
  - ancient law 111–14
  - as basis of *jus cogens* 57, 109–11
  - civitas humana* 111–12
  - core tenets 111, 115–17
  - as divine law 113
  - free will of the individual and 37, 111
  - Greek origin (*physei dikaion*) 111–12
  - human dignity and 34–5, 70–1
  - just war (*bellum justum*) 113–14, 223–4
  - as law of sociability 115–17
  - morality and 76–7, 101–2, 109–11
  - pacta sunt servanda* 115
  - positive law distinguished: *see*
    - natural law vs positive law
  - protection of the individual 223–4
  - realization through civil society 96, 175–6
  - Roman law as ‘written reason’ (*ratio scripta*) 113
  - social contract as mediator 16, 35, 111, 343–4
  - universality 70–1, 111–14, 115, 117–18
- natural law as law of reason
- Aquinas 113–14
  - Aristotle’s approach 111–12
  - Brierly 109–11
  - Cicero 35
  - Fitzmaurice 109–11
  - Grotius 115
  - Sophists 111–12
  - Stoics 35, 111–12
  - natural law as source of *jus cogens* in international law 109–23, 442–3: *see also jus gentium*
  - Aquinas 113–14
  - binding effect 117
  - Cicero (*jus gentium*) 111–12
  - general principles of international law and 129–30
  - Grotius 115
  - independent and mediated source distinguished 122–3
  - Lauterpacht 114–15
  - as mirror of private law 113, 117–18
  - Pufendorf 115–17
  - social contract / ‘the general will’ as model 180
  - Vattel 117–19
- natural law vs positive law
- ancient law 111–12
  - erosion of natural law 118–19
  - Fisheries Case* 122–3
  - Grotius (*jus naturale* vs *jus voluntarium*) 115
  - incorporation of natural law, dependence on legal form 121–3
  - internal vs external source as distinguishing feature 119–20
  - Middle Ages 113–14
  - Pufendorf (*naturalem* vs *positivum*) 115–17
  - South West Africa Cases* 122–3
  - UNCLOT 76–7
  - Vattel (*droit des gens nécessaire* vs *droit des gens volontaire*) xxxviii, 117–19
- New Haven School 54, 447, 448
- New Problems of Extradition* (IIL 1983) 57–8
- non-assistance/aid in the maintenance of illegal situation (ILC 41(1)) 358–61
- Libya crisis (2011) 417–19
- non-derogation (VCLT 53/general) 86–9: *see also* ICCPR 4 (non-derogation)
- Bueno Alves v. Argentina* 89

- Comisión Técnica Mixta de Salto Grande* Headquarters Agreement case 86–7
- dispute settlement provisions (Genocide Convention) as exception 151
- ICCPR 4 88–9
- as indicator of universality of peremptory norms 89–90, 453–4
- pacta sunt servanda* principle 115
- as peremptory norm criterion/ consequence of xxxvii, 5, 89, 151
- treaty violation, effect 86–7: *see also* treaty, invalidation in case of conflict with peremptory norm (VCLT 53 and 64)
- unilateral/non-treaty-related acts by State, applicability to 7, 88–9
- Vattel 118–19
- non-recognition of effects of violation of peremptory norms (ILC 41(2))
- amnesty 320, 331–8, 443
- Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia* 419
- international public policy and 21
- invalidity of act distinguished 325–31, 334–5
- Libya crisis (2011) 417–19, 421–2, 427–8
- morality and xl
- threshold test for non-recognition/ non-maintenance and suppression distinguished 360–1
- non-refoulement* obligation 389–91
- conventions reflecting 389–91
- erga omnes* obligation to prevent and punish violations of peremptory norms 389–91
- General Comment 7: Article 7 (Prohibition of Torture or Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment) (1982) 389
- IACHR Report on the human rights of asylum seekers in Canada (2000) 390
- Refugees Convention (RC 33) 389
- scope of application 389–91
- non-refoulement* obligation, jurisprudence
- Ahmed v. Austria* 390
- Ahmed Zaoui* 390
- C (Hong Kong) 390
- C, KMF and BF (Hong Kong) 390
- Chahal* 389–90
- MBB 391
- Modise* 390
- Soering* 390
- Suresh* 391
- Tapia Paez* 390
- normative individualism xxxix, 447–8, 452
- Nuremberg Tribunal (IMT)
- Affirmation of the Nürnberg Principles of International Law (UNGA 95(1)) 195, 220, 225
- jus cogens* and 164–5, 199
- Nazi Conspiracy and Aggression* 143, 164–5, 194–5, 198, 216–21, 225, 227, 267–71, 302, 369
- opinio juris* 136–44: *see also* *jus cogens* and *opinio juris* / social need / State practice, jurisprudence; State practice
- aggression 225–6
- apartheid 238–9
- ‘as a whole’ (VCLT 53) / universality of practice and 28, 147–50, 442–3
- ‘conscience’, role 138–43, 178, 180, 204–5, 442
- ‘custom by omission’ 146–7, 152–3
- customary international law and: *see* customary international law as positive law, State practice and *opinio juris*
- development of concept 136–7
- erga omnes* rules and 352–3, 437–8
- evidence of 142–3, 145, 178, 203–4, 345
- genocide 229–32
- outer space 257
- piracy 206–7

- opinio juris* (cont.)
  - as principal determinant of legal norms and obligations 126–8, 136–7, 154, 443–4
  - slavery / slave trade 210–13
  - socialization of international community and 345, 443
  - terrorism 246–9
  - torture 233–4
  - UNGA/UNSCR resolutions as evidence of 143–4
  - war crimes 215–19
- opinio juris*, jurisprudence
  - Ayyash* 128, 144
  - Jurisdictional Immunities* 128
  - Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons* 128, 154
  - Nicaragua* 152, 154
  - North Sea Continental Shelf* 152, 154
- Oppenheim, Lassa 297–8
- outer space, the moon, and other celestial bodies as common heritage 257
  - Declaration of Legal Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space (1963) 257
- erga omnes* obligations 257–8
- multilateral treaties 257
- opinio juris* 257
- State practice 257
- pacta sunt servanda*
  - consent as basis of obligation distinguished 157
  - natural law 115
  - as peremptory norm / non-derogation 115
- Pal, Radhabinod 55
- Paulus, Andreas 25, 33, 447
- peremptory norm, definition/ classification as (VCLT 53)
  - advantages of non-classification 202
  - aggression: *see* aggression as peremptory norm
  - Alien Tort Statute (ATS) and 280–5
  - applicability outside treaty law 6–7
  - bifurcated nature: *see* individual as beneficiary of peremptory norms, individual responsibility as corollary/correlative (bifurcation)
  - common heritage: *see* common heritage as peremptory norm
  - consent, relevance 17–18
  - as ‘constitutional rules of the international community’ 55, 449–50
  - constitutional status of 66
  - crimes against humanity: *see* crimes against humanity, classification as / peremptory norm status
  - crystallization as law / exhaustive list, relevance 3–4, 74–7, 200–2
  - customary international law rules distinguished 3–4, 71–2, 77, 134–5
  - death penalty, prohibition 135, 262–3
  - dispute settlement (VCLT 66(a)) 204
  - due process rights 86–7
  - evolutionary nature 204–5
  - general principle of law recognized by civilized nations distinguished 86–7
  - genocide: *see* genocide as peremptory norm
  - ILC examples of treaties violating *jus cogens* 200–2
  - individual as beneficiary: *see* individual as beneficiary of peremptory norms
  - individual criminal responsibility as necessary precursor 199
  - list 443
    - desirability of identifying peremptory norms 202–3
    - ILC decision not to include in Articles on State Responsibility (2001) 200–2
    - ILC decision not to include in VCLT 200–2
  - modification, possibility of 132, 155



- morality and: *see jus cogens* and morality
- non-derogation as criterion/
  - consequence of 5, 89: *see also* non-derogation (VCLT 53)
- non-refoulement* 389–91
- pacta sunt servanda* 115
- piracy: *see* piracy
- right to life 262–3: *see also* life, right to as peremptory norm
- rights, exclusion because of liability to limitation 262–3
- rules of general [customary]
  - international law created for a humanitarian purpose 31–2
- self-determination: *see* self-determination as peremptory norm
- slavery: *see* slavery / slave trade
- sources/evidence of: *see* peremptory norm, sources/evidence of
- State immunity 60–2
- terrorism: *see* terrorism as peremptory norm
- universality 9, 82–5, 89, 92–4, 100–1, 121–2, 147–8, 180, 441–2
- violations as international crimes xxxvii, 268–71
- violations as shocking the conscience of humankind 31, 52–3
- war crimes: *see* war crimes (serious violations of humanitarian law) (peremptory norm status)
- peremptory norm, sources/evidence of: *see also opinio juris*
  - customary international law: *see* customary international law as source of peremptory norm
  - decisions of international and domestic tribunals 203–4
  - general principles of law / principles of international public order 134–6, 180, 442–3
  - judicial organs, role 162–74, 442–3
    - in absence of international legislature 173–4
  - ad hoc* criminal tribunals 165, 172–3
  - Assay 174
  - domestic courts 167–73
  - Domingues* 31, 159–60
  - ECtHR/ECJ/IACtHR 165–7
  - ICJ 162–4, 172
  - judicial analysis, desirability 204
  - Nuremberg Tribunal 164–5
  - PCA/ICSID 167
  - Siderman de Blake* 174
  - material source/substance vs form /
    - formal source as basis 23, 29–31, 71, 89–90, 123, 159–60, 442–3
  - multilateral codification treaties 203–4
  - natural law 109–23, 442–3
  - positive sources of international law (ICJ 38(1)) 124–30
  - State practice, role 26–7, 73–4, 140–1, 204–5, 442–3
  - summary 203–4
  - treaty law: *see* multilateral treaties; treaty law as source of peremptory norm
  - VCLT 53 130–55
- peremptory norm (VCLT 53),
  - applicability outside the treaty context 7
- Application of the Genocide Convention* 8
- Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* (2002) 8
- Arrest Warrant* 8
- Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* 8
- Kosovo Declaration of Independence* 8
- Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 8
- peremptory norm as norm ‘accepted and recognized by the international community of States’ (VCLT 53) xxxvii, 17–18, 23–4, 81–2, 130–55, 151: *see also* international community of States as a whole (VCLT 53)
- custom ‘as evidence of a general practice *accepted* as law’ (ICJ 38 (1)) compared 131
- ‘general international law’ 134–6



- peremptory norm as norm 'accepted and recognized by the international community of States' (VCLT 53) (cont.)  
     general principles 'recognized by civilized nations' (ICJ 38(1)) compared 131  
     as procedural concept 444  
     as reflection of social need 444  
     State-based legal framework 444–6  
     as UNCLOT modification 131  
     universal jurisdiction resulting from 271–2
- persistent objector rule 149–50, 155, 236–8
- Pico della Mirandola, Giovanni 37
- piracy 205–9  
     codification (UNCLOS 101) 207  
     Committee of Experts for the Progressive Codification of International Law (1926) 206–7  
     definition (UNCLOS 101) 205–6  
     *hostis humani generis* 205  
     ILC recognition of peremptory norm status 207  
     individual criminal responsibility 205–6  
     as international crime 205–6, 268–71  
     jurisprudence: *see* piracy, jurisprudence  
     *opinio juris* 206–7  
     Paris Declaration Respecting Maritime Law (1865) 207  
     universality of prohibition 206–7  
     UNSCR 1918 (2010) 207
- piracy, jurisprudence  
     *Abdirahnam Isse Mohamud* 208–9  
     *Aid Mohamed Ahmed* 208–9  
     *Dire* 208–9  
     *Hasan* case 205–6  
     *Institute of Cetacean Research v. Sea Shepherd Conservation Society* 208–9  
     *Major Bonnet* trial 205  
     *Mohamed Ahmed Dahir* 208–9  
     *Piracy Jure Gentium* 208–9  
     *Robins* 207–8  
     *Samanyolu* 208–9  
     *Tang* 208–9
- positive law / legal positivism: *see also* consent; natural law vs positive law; State as primary subject of international law  
     Austin, John 119–21  
     as consequence of State-oriented international legal order xxxviii  
     definition 119–20  
     historicity / formal source requirement 120–1, 124–5, 180–1, 442: *see also* historicity of positive law  
     international law, rejection 120–1  
     *jus cogens* legal framework, compatibility with xxxix  
     as methodology to distinguish *lex lata* from *lex ferenda* 119–20  
     moral considerations, role xl, 75–6, 84  
     sovereign/State, dependence on / consent as alternative 119–20, 124–5: *see also* consent
- principle of accountability 383
- Protagoras 95–6
- public order: *see* international public policy (*ordre international public*) / *jus cogens* relationship
- public right 43–4, 98–9, 343–4, 433  
     civil society as 98–9, 433  
     diversity of norms 43–4  
     social coexistence and 450–1
- Pufendorf, Samuel 14, 115–17
- punishment as *erga omnes* obligation, jurisprudence  
     *Gbao* 371  
     *Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 373–4
- racial discrimination: *see* apartheid as peremptory norm
- reason  
     as basis of civil society 176–7  
     Cicero 35  
     and human dignity 35–9, 67–70  
     Kant 38–9  
     natural law as law of reason: *see* natural law as law of reason  
     as source of law 38–9  
     UDHR 1 53–4

- Renaissance Humanism 37  
 reservations to treaties, incompatibility  
   with *jus cogens* 150  
 respect  
   as basis of morality 35, 67–71, 93  
   as integral aspect of human dignity  
     35, 39–40, 45–6, 48–51,  
     67–71  
   rule of law and 41–2  
 ‘responsibility to protect’ (World  
   Summit Outcome) xxxviii,  
   216–17, 367–8, 412–14,  
   436–7  
 Riphagen, Willem 30–2, 357  
 Rome Statute: *see* International  
   Criminal Court Statute (1998)  
   (ICC) (Rome Statute)  
 Rousseau, Jean-Jacques 14, 97–8,  
   176–7, 343, 432–3, 457–8  
 rule of law: *see* institution of law / rule  
   of law  
 Russia, human dignity under the  
   Constitution 46–7  
  
 Saudi Arabia, human dignity under the  
   Constitution 46–7  
 SCSL (Special Court for Sierra Leone),  
   establishment 197–8  
 security of the person  
   civil society and 97–8, 342, 431–2  
   social coexistence and 342  
 self-determination as peremptory norm  
   250  
   Declaration on the Granting of  
     Independence to Colonial  
     Countries and Peoples (1960)  
     250–1  
   Declaration on Principles of  
     International Law Concerning  
     Friendly Relations and Co-  
     operation among States in  
     accordance with UN Charter  
     250–1  
   as *erga omnes* obligation 251–2  
   *Kosovo Declaration of Independence* 8  
   as political principle rather than  
     peremptory norm 253  
   State practice, absence 252–3  
  
 The Right to Self-Determination  
   (1981) (UN Special Rapporteur)  
   251  
 self-determination as peremptory  
   norm, jurisprudence  
   *Construction of a Wall* 252  
   *Continued Presence of South Africa*  
     *in Namibia* 236–9, 251  
   *East Timor* 251–2  
 Shahabuddeen J (*Tadić*) 42–3  
 Simma, Bruno  
   *From Bilateralism to Community*  
     *Interest* 32–3, 161, 253–5,  
     433–4, 447  
   *The Sources of Human Rights Law*  
     (with Philip Alston) 153–4  
 slavery / slave trade 209–13  
   Abolition of Slavery Act 1833  
     (Britain) 212–13  
   as crime against humanity (Elements  
     of Crimes and ICTR, ICTY and  
     SCSL Statutes) 209–10  
   definitions (Supplementary Slavery  
     Convention (1956)) 209  
   ‘extremely grave international crime’  
     209–10  
   General Act of Berlin (1885)  
     210–13  
   ILC Articles on State Responsibility  
     (2001) 213  
   as international crime 209–10,  
     268–71  
   jurisprudence: *see* slavery / slave  
     trade, jurisprudence  
   *opinio juris* 210–13  
   Repression of the African Slave  
     Trade, Brussels Act (1890)  
     210–13  
   Saint-Germain-en-Laye Convention  
     (1919) 210–13  
   Slavery Convention (1926)  
     210–13  
   State practice 212–13  
   Supplementary Slavery Convention  
     (1956) 209, 211–13  
   Traffic in Women and Children  
     Conventions, Protocol  
     amending (1947) 211–12

- slavery / slave trade (cont.)
  - UN Global Plan of Action to Combat Trafficking in Persons (2010) 211–12
  - Universal Abolition of the Slave Trade, Declaration of the Congress of Vienna (1815) 210–11
  - White Slave Traffic Conventions, Protocol amending (1949) 212–13
- slavery / slave trade, jurisprudence
  - Aloeboetoe* 213
  - Barcelona Traction* 213
  - Jurisdictional Immunities* 213
  - Sampson* 213
  - Tang* 209–10, 213
  - Unocal* 213
- social coexistence / social need (*sive necessitatis*) 136–44: *see also*
  - civil society
  - aggression 227–8
  - human dignity and 43, 70–1, 93, 180, 441–2, 453–4
  - jus cogens* and xxxvii, 99–100, 102–5, 140, 203, 345, 437–8, 454–5
  - morality and 441–2, 453–4
  - natural law and 70–1, 453–4
  - public order and 23–4, 70
  - public right and 450–1
  - security of the person and 342
  - social contract / contractarianism and 101–5, 343–4, 455
  - socialization of the international community / expression of *opinio juris* and 103–5, 345, 443
- social contract / contractarianism
  - civil society as realization of: *see* civil society
  - consent/contractual basis 175–82
    - alternatives to consent 17–18
    - historicity / historical document 17–18, 100, 176–8, 180–1
    - tacit consent 175–9, 181–2
    - ‘the general will’ 175–82
  - Enlightenment social contract theory 15, 178
  - historical exigencies/contextualism 99–100, 102–4, 342–7, 454
  - historicity distinguished 100
  - Hobbes 14, 96, 100, 175–9, 342, 431–2
  - international criminal law and 17
  - jus cogens*, parallelism / as expression of 16–18, 95–105, 175–82
  - as fundamental proposition 457–8
  - historical exigencies as
    - determinant 454
  - historical narratives compared 344–5
  - individual as focus 344
  - individual responsibility 346–7
  - role of ‘the general will’ 178, 180
  - social coexistence / social need as
    - parallel driving force 345
  - summary 104–5
- Kant 14, 17–18, 46, 98–9, 177–8, 343–4: *see also* Kant, Immanuel
- Locke 14, 96–7, 175–9, 343, 432
- overview 13–18
- Protagoras 95–6
- as reconciliation individual-oriented
  - jus cogens* and Statism 452
- role in development of political and legal structures xl
- Rousseau 14, 97–8, 176–7, 343, 432–3, 457–8
- social coexistence and 95–6, 101–5, 343–4, 454–5
- state of nature
  - absence of consensus on 95–6, 342
  - as means of escape from xl, 95–105, 437–8
  - variety of forms and functions 13–14, 95–6, 99–100, 102, 342
- sources of international law (ICJ 38(1))
  - (as positive law based on law-making authority of sovereign State) 124–30, 156–8
- as basis of *lex lata* / *lex ferenda*
  - distinction 119–20
- codification in VCLT 53 78, 161–2
- customary international law (ICJ 38 (1)(b)) 126: *see also* customary international law as positive law; customary international

- law as source of peremptory norm
- general principles of law (ICJ 38(1)(c)) 81–2, 129: *see also* general principles of law recognized by civilized nations (ICJ 38(1)(c))
- hierarchy introduced by *jus cogens* as breach of equality of legal rules principle 89, 122–3
- judicial decisions (ICJ 38(1)(d)) 162–74: *see also* peremptory norm, sources/evidence of, judicial organs, role
- jus cogens* (VCLT 53), problems raised by 124–5, 158
- material source/substance vs form / formal source as basis of a peremptory norm 23, 29–31, 71, 89–90, 123, 159–60
- a new source? 160–2
- South West Africa Cases* (Tanaka J) 90–1, 143
- treaty law (ICJ 38(1)(a)) 125–6
- sovereign immunity (general) 285–319: *see also* immunity *ratione materiae*; immunity *ratione personae*; State immunity from jurisdiction and *jus cogens*
- comity as basis 285–6, 402–3
- customary international law as basis 285–6, 402–3
- erosion of traditional immunities of individuals 266–7, 296, 340, 347, 403, 443
- immunity *ratione personae*, immunity *ratione materiae* of the State and jurisdiction immunity of State distinguished 285–6
- jurisdiction and immunity, interrelationship 313–14, 378–9
- Oppenheim 297–8
- par in parem non habet imperium* principle 285–6, 290–1, 295, 296
- as right and obligation 402–3
- sovereign immunity (general), jurisprudence
  - Al-Adsani* 286–7
  - Arrest Warrant* 285–7, 292–3
  - Blaškić* 285–6
  - Hilton v. Guyot* 285–6
  - Jones* (ECtHR) 285–7, 402–3
  - Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* 285–7, 402–3
  - Lambege et Pujol* 402–3
  - Pinochet* (No 3) 297–8
  - Samantar* 286–7
  - Schooner Exchange* 285–6, 402–3
- sovereignty as responsibility 368
- Spanish Constitution and *jus cogens* 100
- State immunity from jurisdiction and *jus cogens* 60–2, 402–8: *see also* immunity *ratione materiae*; immunity *ratione personae*; sovereign immunity (general)
- acts not sanctionable by State (*jus cogens* violations / international crimes) 300–3, 307–8, 317, 404–5
- acts ‘under the color of law’ 300–4, 309–12, 404
- attribution for purposes of State responsibility distinguished 405
- immunity of individual *ratione materiae* distinguished 300–1, 309–10, 317
- applicability to representatives of the State acting in that capacity 286–7
- immunity from criminal responsibility, exclusion 405, 407–8
- Jurisdiction Immunities of State Convention (2004) 286–7
- jus cogens* violations / internationally wrongful acts, limitation of State responsibility to 11–12, 408, 434–5
- par in parem non habet imperium* principle 403–4
- international judicial proceedings and 403–4
- waiver possibility and 403–4

- State immunity from jurisdiction and *jus cogens* (cont.)
  - as procedural rule 403–4
- State immunity from jurisdiction and *jus cogens*, jurisprudence:
  - see also* sovereign immunity (general), jurisprudence
  - AA v. Germany* 405–6
  - Al-Adsani* 406
  - Albers* 406–7
  - Application of the Genocide Convention* 403–4, 407–8
  - Bouzari* 405–6
  - Bucheron* 405–6
  - Distomo Massacre Case* 406–7
  - Frasca* 406–7
  - Iran Terrorism Litigation* 407–8
  - Jones* (ECtHR) 406
  - Jones* (UK courts) 405–6
  - Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* 406–8
  - Kalogeropoulou* 406
  - Margellos* 405–7
  - Natoniewski* 405–6
  - Pinochet (No 3)* 404
  - Princz* 405–6
  - Samantar* 404
  - Sampson* 405–6
  - Siderman de Blake* 405–6
  - Smith v. Libya* 405–6
  - sovereign immunity as principle distinguished 407–8
- State practice 144–51: *see also*
  - customary international law as positive law; international community of States as a whole (VCLT 53); *jus cogens* and *opinio juris* / social need / State practice, jurisprudence; *opinio juris*
  - aggression 226–7
  - amnesty 320–1
  - apartheid 239–40
  - classification as treaty 145–5, 153–4
  - multilateral treaty 132–3, 145–6
  - practice of States acting as members of the international community 145–7
  - classification as collective action as organs of the international community 146–7, 346
  - ‘constant and uniform usage’ 126, 180–1
  - crimes against humanity 221
  - ‘custom by omission’ 146–7, 152–3
  - as evidence of *erga omnes* obligation 146–7
  - as evidence of *opinio juris* 149–51, 178, 180–1, 442–3
  - as evidence of the role of morality 84–5
  - genocide 230–1
  - outer space 257
  - as prime consideration in formation of customary international law 126
  - self-determination, absence of 252–3
  - slavery / slave trade 212–13
  - as source of peremptory norms 26–7, 73–4, 140–1, 204–5, 442–3
  - terrorism 246
  - torture 234–5
  - universality of practice, relevance 28, 147–50, 442–3
  - war crimes 217–18
- State as primary subject of international law 26–7: *see also* individual legal personality, development of concept; international public policy (*ordre international public*) / *jus cogens* relationship
  - as cause of WWI and WWII 187–8, 266–7
  - collective action as organs of the international community 29–31, 436–7
  - individual’s wellbeing / human rights, effect of switch of focus to 27, 32–3
  - international community of States as a whole (VCLT 53) 26–7: *see also* international community of States as a whole (VCLT 53)
  - jus cogens* as international social contract marking break 102–5, 340–1

- positivism as consequence xxxviii
- State as sole instrument for
  - enforcement of *erga omnes* obligations 351–83, 445–6
- State-based legal framework of
  - peremptory norms 444–6
- UN membership 26–7
- VCLT, applicability to 26–7
- State responsibility / individual
  - responsibility for violation of peremptory norms, duality 394–8
- Application of the Genocide Convention* (Preliminary Objections) 396–7
- Application of the Genocide Convention* (Provisional Measures) 395–6
- derivative responsibility of State for violation of *erga omnes* obligations 397–8
- Draft Code of Crimes Against the Peace and Security of Mankind (1996) 394–5
- ICC 25(4) 394–5
- ILC 58 394–5
- Libya crisis (2011) 423–7
- State responsibility (reparations)
  - Ahmadou Sadio Diallo* 393–4
  - collective punishment/sanctions on State, impossibility 392–3
  - ILC Commentary on Draft Article 33 393–4
  - individual as beneficiary 393–4
- State responsibility for internationally wrongful acts 384–94: *see also*
  - State responsibility for violation of criminal norms / liability for prosecution; State responsibility for violation of *erga omnes* obligations; State responsibility for violation of peremptory norms
- act of individual attributable to the State: *see* State responsibility for violation of peremptory norms
- ‘internationally wrongful act’ (ILC 2) 300, 384
- State responsibility for violation of
  - criminal norms / liability for prosecution 272–5
  - exclusion 11–12
  - ICTR/ICTY jurisprudence 274
  - implied exclusion from jurisdiction (ICC 25) 12, 274–5
  - Nuremberg and Tokyo Tribunals 274
  - SCSL, ECCC, STL and CAE jurisdiction 275
- State responsibility for violation of *erga omnes* obligations 384–91
  - Note*: State responsibility in this context is limited to violation of the *erga omnes* obligation to prevent and punish violations of peremptory norms or acts attributable to the State.
  - for act of individual attributable to the State: *see* State responsibility for violation of peremptory norms, for act of individual attributable to the State
  - CCPR General Comment 31: Nature of the General Legal Obligation Imposed on States Parties to the Covenant (2004) 388–9
  - civil society obligations and 435
  - ILC Articles on State Responsibility (2001) 388–9
  - Libya crisis (2011) 426–7
  - positive *erga omnes* duties / due diligence 384, 386–7, 391
  - as sole basis for State responsibility 445
- State responsibility for violation of *erga omnes* obligations, jurisprudence
  - A v. UK* 387
  - Application of the Genocide Convention* 385
  - Blaškić* 274, 393
  - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* 384
  - Velásquez-Rodríguez* 385–7
  - Z v. UK* 387–8

- State responsibility for violation of
  - peremptory norms 391–4
  - for act of individual attributable to the State 12, 391–3, 395–8, 405, 425–6, 445
  - antecedent act of individual requirement 392
  - customary international law 391–2
- State responsibility for violation of
  - peremptory norms, jurisprudence
  - Application of the Genocide Convention* 392–3
  - German Settlers in Poland* 392
- STL (Special Tribunal for Lebanon), establishment 197–8
- Stockholm Declaration (1972) 259
- Stoics 35, 38, 111–12
- Stone, Julius 340
- Syria, human dignity under the Constitution 46–7
- terrorism as peremptory norm 241–50
  - definition 241–50
  - difficulties in agreeing / arising from lack of 242–4
  - domestic legislation 243, 248
  - human dignity and 241–50
  - as international crime 268–71
  - international instruments relating to 243, 247–9
  - opinio juris* 246–9
  - Rome Statute, exclusion from 241–2
  - State practice 246–9
  - Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism Convention (1999) 244
  - UNGA Resolutions on measures to eliminate/prevent terrorism 246
  - UNSC resolutions identifying terrorism as threat to peace and security 246
- terrorism as peremptory norm, jurisprudence
  - Abdelaziz* 249–50
  - Almog* 244, 249–50
  - Arancibia Clavel* 241–2, 249–50
  - Ayyash* 174, 244–5, 249–50
  - Extradition of Ricardo Miguel Cavallo* 249–50
  - Flatow* 244, 249–50
  - Galić* 243
  - Gul* 245
  - Réunion Aérienne* 249–50
  - Spanish Jurisdiction to Investigate Crimes of Genocide and Terrorism Committed during the Chilean Dictatorship* 249–50
  - Tel-Oren* 244
  - Yunis* 249–50
  - Zrig* 246
- Thucydides 42–3
- Tomuschat, Christian
  - Guatemalan Commission for Historical Clarification report (1999) 379–80
- III report on universal criminal jurisdiction (2005) 376–7
- Obligations Arising for States* 25–7, 434
- torture as peremptory norm 232–6
  - convergence of international human rights law, humanitarian law and criminal law 232–3
- Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment (UNGA Res. 3452) 233–4
- definition (Torture Convention) 233–4
- human dignity and 232–3
- ILC Articles on State Responsibility 234–5
- interests / legal conscience of the international community 232–3
- as international crime 268–71
- international instruments classifying as 234–5
- non-refoulement* obligation 389–91
- opinio juris* 233–4
- Special Rapporteur's Report (1986) 234
- State practice 234–5
- Torture Convention 233–5
  - erga omnes* obligations 399–402



- UDHR 233–4
- UN Committee against Torture
  - practice 234–5
- torture as peremptory norm,
  - jurisprudence
- Al-Adsani* 235–6
- Baldeón García* 235–6
- Bayarri* 235–6
- Brothers Gomez Paquiyauri* 235–6
- Ceaser* 235–6
- Delalić* 235–6
- Demir and Baykara* 235–6
- Furundžija* 235–6
- Jones* (ECtHR) 235–6
- Kunarac* 235–6
- Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite*
  - 232–3, 235–6
- Othman* 235–6
- Ramírez* 235–6
- Servellón García* 235–6
- Siderman de Blake* 174
- Simić* 235–6
- Tibi* 235–6
- Ximenes-Lopes* 235–6
- transnational crimes 12, 193, 266
- treaty, invalidation in case of conflict
  - with peremptory norm (VCLT 53 and 64) ('becomes void and terminates') 5–6, 86–7
- applicability
  - treaties concluded prior to emergence of new peremptory norm (VCLT 64) 87
  - treaties concluded prior to VCLT 87
- Comisión Técnica Mixta de Salto Grande* Headquarters Agreement case 86–7
- as customary international law 6
- non-derogation/amendment of peremptory norms and 86–7
- third parties and 6
- treaty law as source of peremptory norm
  - exclusion 125
  - multilateral treaty as source/means of modifying peremptory norm 131–3, 145–6
  - positive law status 125–6
- Tunkin, Grigory 5, 55–6, 93–4, 132, 134
- Turkish atrocities against Armenians,
  - Three-Power declaration condemning (1915) 193–4, 220
- UDHR
  - 'barbarous acts which have outraged the conscience of mankind' (Preamble) 52–3
  - as constitutional instrument 51–2
  - human dignity as basis (Preamble) 52–3
  - importance as codification of human dignity as general principle of law 52–3
  - legal personality of the individual and 189–90
- UN Charter
  - as constitutional instrument 51–2
  - human dignity as basis 52, 55, 58, 188–9
  - individual as beneficiary of peremptory norms 188–9
- UNGA Resolution 41/120 (Setting International Standards in the Field of Human Rights) 52
- UNCLOT
  - 'conscience', role 56–7, 75–7, 103–4, 140–1
  - human dignity as basis for *jus cogens* 56–7
  - jus cogens* and morality 57, 75–7
  - natural law vs positive law 76–7
- UNESCO Declaration Concerning the Intentional Destruction of Cultural Heritage (2003) 261–2
- UNGA Resolution 41/120 (Setting International Standards in the Field of Human Rights) 52
- Unification of Penal Law Conference (Madrid, 1933) 228–9
- universal jurisdiction: *see also* extradite or prosecute obligation (*aut dedere aut judicare*)
- AU–EU Expert Report on the Principle of Universal Jurisdiction (2009) 376, 378–9



- universal jurisdiction: (cont.)
  - consent to ICJ jurisdiction
    - distinguished 378–9
  - customary international law
    - principle 376
  - definition 376
  - domestic law codification 378
  - examples 377–8
  - III (*Universal Criminal Jurisdiction with Regard to Genocide, War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity*) 376–7
  - immunity distinguished 378–9
  - as permissive principle 376
  - violation of peremptory norms as
    - crime against international community as basis 271–2, 375
- universal jurisdiction, jurisprudence
  - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* (2002) 379
  - Arrest Warrant* 378–9
  - East Timor* 379
  - Eichmann* 377–8
  - Furundžija* 271–2
  - Kiobel* 378
  - Pinochet (No 3)* 377–8
  - Sosa* 378
- Vattel, Emerich de xxxviii, 117–19, 160
- VCLT 53 and 64 (*jus cogens*), drafting
  - history 3–8, 54–7, 71–5
  - drafting history: *see also* UNCLOT
  - Fitzmaurice Report (Third) (1958) 4, 6, 31, 55, 72–3, 77–8, 109–11, 339
  - international public order as implicit element 4
  - Lauterpacht Report (First) (1953) 3–4, 22, 54–5, 67, 71–2, 77–8, 109–11, 133–5, 139
  - morality: *see jus cogens* and morality
  - Waldock Report (Second) (1963) 5, 22, 73, 77–8
  - Waldock Report (Fifth) (1966) 23, 27, 73–5, 78, 89–90, 131–3, 159, 200–3, 207
  - Waldock, statements to the ILC/UNCLOT 31, 55, 81–2, 109–11, 215–16
  - Verdross, Alfred
    - ILC statements 55–6, 89–90
    - '*Jus dispositivum*' 23, 29–32, 339
    - Natural Law* (with Herbert Koeck) 111–12
  - Waldock, Humphrey: *see under* VCLT 53 and 64 (*jus cogens*)
  - war crimes (serious violations of humanitarian law) (peremptory norm status) 213–19: *see also* aggression as peremptory norm
  - Brussels Conference on the Laws and Customs of War (1874) 215
  - definition/classification as 214
  - destruction of cultural property 261–2
  - Geneva Conventions 59–60, 63–4, 79–81, 214, 216–17: *see also* Geneva Conventions / Additional Protocol II (1977)
  - ICTY Statute 216–17
  - international crime, conventions and other international instruments
    - classifying as 196, 217–18
  - Martens Clause 215–16
  - Nuremberg Tribunal 216–17: *see also* Nuremberg Tribunal (IMT)
  - opinio juris* 215–19
  - protection of the individual as core
    - objective 213–15, 223–4
  - scope 213–14
  - State practice 217–18
  - World Summit Outcome (2005) 216–17
  - war crimes (serious violations of humanitarian law) (peremptory norm status), jurisprudence
    - Anić* 218–19
    - Arancibia Clavel* 218–19
    - Arrest Warrant* 218–19
    - Ferrini* 218–19
    - Finta* 218–19

<i>Jurisdictional Immunities</i> 218–19	<i>Nazi Conspiracy and Aggression</i>
<i>Kupreškić</i> 213–14, 218–19	216–19: <i>see also</i>
<i>Legality of the Threat or Use of</i>	Nuremberg Tribunal
<i>Nuclear Weapons</i> 218–19	<i>Nicaragua</i> 216–17
<i>Lozano</i> 214, 218–19	<i>Nikolić</i> 216–17
<i>Muna</i> 218–19	<i>Sarei</i> cases 214, 217–19