

INDEX

- abduction 9, 147
- accountability 6, 54
- Aceh Monitoring Mission 183
- adequate causality 33 *see also* causation
- affirmative action 145
- agent, definition of 66
- Ago, R. 28, 29, 35–6, 43–4, 46, 47, 48, 84, 85, 88, 89–91, 94, 137–9, 151, 174–5, 177
- aid or assistance 10, 100, 104, 114, 115, 122, 135, 142, 143, 144 *see also* complicity
 - high threshold requirements 14
- aircraft refuelling 147
- Albania 38–9, 123
- Algeria 182
- amici curiae* 56
- anti-fraud operations 83–4
- Anzilotti, D. 67–70, 107, 151
- apartheid 47
- Arangio-Ruiz, G. 151, 225, 226
- Argentina 189
- armed conflict 20
- Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations (ARIO) Articles 3
 - concept of responsibility 7
 - structure of 20
 - wrongful acts of states 5
- Articles on the Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA) Articles 3
 - concept of responsibility 7
 - structure of 20
- Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) 183
- assurances and guarantees of
 - non-repetition 22–3, 208–50, 216–17, 237 *see also* shared responsibility, same
 - wrongful act; shared responsibility, several
 - wrongful acts
- attribution of conduct 17, 21, 60–97
 - exclusive attribution of transferred organs 83–96
 - Article 7 ARIO in practice 93–6
 - effective control in Article 7 ARIO 88–9
 - effective control in Article 7 ARIO and drafting history of Article 6 ARSIWA 89–91
 - misleading wording of Article 7 ARIO 91–3
 - organs transferred to a state 83–8
 - organs transferred to an international organisation 88–96
 - multiple attribution, types of 67–83
 - conduct by one person/entity 67–78
 - conduct by two or more persons/entities 79–80
 - direction or control 76–8 *see also* direction and control
 - European Union (EU) organs 73–6
 - functions and instructions 76–8
 - independent responsibility, principle of 80–3
 - joint organs established ad hoc 71–3
 - possible simultaneous application of attribution rules 67–71
 - shared responsibility and 60–7, 96–7

- attribution of responsibility 10, 15, 17, 20, 21
 - basis of 107–9
 - concept of 101–13
 - attribution, concept of 101–2
 - attribution of responsibility vs. attribution of conduct 102–4
 - different factual contexts 104–6
 - distinct theoretical bases 106–7
 - default rules of 113–27
 - aid or assistance 115–17
 - circumvention 123–7
 - coercion 120–1
 - common requirement of knowledge 121–3
 - direction and control 117–20
 - international organisation–state relationship, difficulties in 119–20
 - potential ambiguities 118–19
 - responsibility of international organisations 124–6
 - responsibility of states 126–7
 - distinctions 109–10
 - independent responsibility 98–101
 - multiple attribution, possibility of 111–13
 - ILC approach 111–13
 - limitations on multiple attribution 113
- Aust, H. P. 152
- Australia 72–3, 112, 128, 235
- Austria 141–2
- autonomy of the state 114
- Axis Powers 241–3
- Azevedo, P. 131
- Belgium 196
- benevolent neutrality 186
- bilateral relations 22, 44, 81–2, 140
- Bosnia and Herzegovina 94
- breach of international obligations 16, 17, 20–1, 25–59
 - breach consisting of a composite act 46–9
 - breach of identical obligation 115
- causation 28–31 *see also* causation definitions 25
 - erga omnes* obligations, specificity of 31–5
 - general issues 26–31
 - obligation to prevent 37–46
 - ratione loci* 39–42
 - ratione materiae* 42–5
 - ratione personae* 38–9
 - ratione temporis* 45–6
 - obligations of means and obligations of result 35–7
 - observations 59
 - shared responsibility:
 - states and international organisations 49–54
 - states and private actors 54–9
- Brierly, J. 150
- Brownlie, I. 14, 15, 81, 106–7, 144
- causation *see also* adequate causality; causation under breach of international obligations
 - causal responsibility 9, 129–30
 - equivalent causal theory 29–30
 - equivalence conditions, theory of 229, 243, 244
 - foreseeability test 31, 164, 165
 - protective purpose causal theory 30, 33
 - Schutzzwecktheorie* 30
 - tatausfüllende Kausalität* 28
 - tatbegründende Kausalität* 28
- cessation 22–3, 208–50, 215–16, 236
- Channel Tunnel Fixed Link Intergovernmental Commission 60, 72, 78
- China 148–9
- circumstances precluding wrongfulness 16, 22, 169–207
 - application of 172
 - categories 169–70
 - challenges in situations of shared responsibility 199–202
 - conceptual background 172–9
 - preclusion of wrongfulness 175–7
 - primary and secondary rules, distinction between 177–9
 - shared responsibility 172–3
 - conceptual difficulties 203–7

- circumstances precluding (cont.)
 - consent 180–3
 - countermeasures 187–91
 - development of circumstances
 - regime 174–5
 - differentiated approach 207
 - distress 194–5
 - general features 173–9
 - force majeure* 191–4
 - provisions 170–2
 - necessity 195–9
 - self-defence 183–7
 - circumvention 10, 21, 53, 100, 113, 115, 124–6, 133
 - controversial status of 11
 - civil society 6
 - climate change 8, 20
 - Coalition Provisional Authority 71, 145
 - coercion 10, 21, 100, 114, 115, 124, 133
 - Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR) 40
 - compensation 201 *see also* reparation
 - complicity 15, 17, 21–2, 134–68 *see also*
 - aid or assistance
 - constituent elements of
 - responsibility for 140–61
 - cognitive element of complicity 150–6
 - material element of complicity
 - and its scope 141–50
 - opposability element of obligation
 - breached 156–61
 - distribution of responsibility 161–7
 - emergence and codification of
 - responsibility for 137–40
 - shared responsibility framework 134–6
 - theoretical and practical questions 167–8
- concurrent responsibility 9–10
- Congo, the 90
- contribution, notion of 7
- control theory 107–8
- cooperation 4, 24
 - multiplicity of actors 6
- co-perpetration 241–4
- Corten, O. 139–40, 145–6
- countermeasures 16, 24, 312–40
 - concept of countermeasures 312–13
 - delimitation 314–15
 - differentiated responsibility 330–7
 - approach 330–1
 - ‘lesser wrongs’, emerging
 - restrictions on 331–4
 - equally shared responsibility 322–9
 - independent wrongful acts 323–4
 - interrelated wrongful acts 324–7
 - scope of responsibility 327–9
 - essential features of the regime 316–18
 - international organisations 337–9
 - outlook 339–40
 - questions and scenarios 318–22
 - differentiated responsibility 321–2
 - equally shared responsibility 320–1
 - international organisations 322
 - questions 318–19
 - scenarios 320–2
- Crawford, J. 15, 26, 157–8, 159, 189, 225–6, 231, 248
- crime, transborder, 4
- crimes of abstract danger 37
- cultural heritage 5
- cumulative responsibility 9, 10
- customary law obligations 27–8, 56, 135
- Cyprus 84, 85, 94
- de lege ferenda* 16, 17, 54
- de lege lata* 54
- differentiated approach 17, 24
- diplomatic relations 24
- direction and control 21, 66, 78, 87, 100, 114, 115, 124, 133 *see also* direction or control
- dispute settlement 24
- dolus specialis* 49, 53
- due diligence 36, 37, 41–2, 43, 44–5, 141, 145–7
- Eagleton, C. 15, 107–8
- effective control 89, 90–1, 92, 109 *see also* attribution of conduct
- effet utile* 166
- environmental harm 57
 - carbon dioxide emissions 1
 - climate change 1
- environmental impact assessment 46
- environmental law 5

- environmental protection 37, 56
 equity principles 45
erga omnes obligations 21, 24, 39, 52,
 206, 218, 253 *see also*
 invocation of responsibility
 European Commission 72, 76
 European Court of Human Rights
 (ECtHR) 30–1, 63, 80, 86, 87,
 94, 123, 155
 judicial decisions of 14
 European Union mixed agreements 50,
 99, 106, 133
 exclusive attribution 62 *see also*
 attribution of conduct,
 multiple attribution
 exclusive economic zone 2
 export credit guarantees 143
- Falklands conflict 189
 fish stocks 2, 8
 Fitzmaurice, G. 193
force majeure 120–1 *see also*
 circumstances precluding
 wrongfulness
 Forces armées de la république
 Démocratique du Congo
 (FARDC) 153–4
 France 72, 78, 86, 182–3, 244
- Gaja, G. 33, 75, 76, 94, 105, 148
 García Amador, F. V. 174
 genocide 4, 8, 36, 40–1, 43, 44, 47, 49,
 122, 135, 151
 in Srebrenica 20, 95
 Georgia 41
 Germany 140, 152, 164, 182, 244
 global governance 4
 good faith 142, 159
 good governance 6
 governmental authority 77
 Greece 149
 gross negligence 95
 guarantees of non-repetition 22–3,
 208–50, 216–17, 237
- harmful outcomes *see also* shared
 responsibility
 multiple actors and 3
 health 5
- Huber, M. 103
 human rights 40
 human rights protection 37, 56
 human rights violations 55, 143, 147
 humanitarian law 40
- imputability 106–7
in limine litis 128
 information and intelligence sharing
 147
 interdependence 4–5
 International Atomic Energy Agency
 (IAEA) 190
 International Court of Justice (ICJ)
 erga omnes obligations 21
 judicial decisions of 14
 International Law Association
 Committee on Accountability
 of International Organisations
 111
 International Law Commission (ILC)
 1, 341–63
 codification of principles 7, 63, 96
 differentiation 355–61
 based on actors 359–61
 on the basis of primary rules
 355–7
 on the public/private continuum
 357–9
 enabling capacity of ILC system
 343–54
 erga omnes obligations 21
 facilitation 343–8
 flexibility of international law 3
 guidance 348–52
 international law, formulation of 3
 issues 341–3
 legacy of 3
 obstacles 352–4
 primary and secondary rules 18,
 34–5, 36
 public and private law dimensions
 18–19
 International Monetary Fund (IMF)
 89, 162–3
 international organisations *see also*
 ASEAN, ARIO, EU, IAEA,
 IMF, NATO, PAHO, UN,
 WHO, WTO

- international organisations (cont.)
 - layered nature of 5
- invocation of responsibility 16, 23, 80, 251–83
 - admissibility 265–80
 - before international courts 270–80
 - European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) 276–8
 - International Court of Justice (ICJ) 271–6
 - of indirect claims 266–70
 - World Trade Organization (WTO) 278–80
 - approaches to 251–6
 - non-invocation, consequences of 280–2
 - observations 282–3
 - standing/*locus standi* 256–65
 - based on injury 259–63
 - erga omnes (partes)* 263–5
- Iran 131, 190
- Iran–Iraq War 130
- Iraq 131, 189
- Iraq War (2003) 71, 79, 86–7, 241
- Italy 78, 83–4
- Jagota, S. P. 151
- joint and several responsibility 130–1, 141, 145
- joint responsibility 9, 10, 19, 50, 100, 130, 144–5, 199
- jurisdiction, concept of 80
- jus cogens* 30, 44, 156, 217
- Klein, P. 145–6
- knowledge 115
- Korea 90
- Koskenniemi, M. 35
- Kosovo crisis 189, 196, 197
- Lebanon 152
- legal personality 126–7
- legal persons 61
- lex specialis* 175
- Libya 78
- Lowe, V. 138, 169
- Lukashuk, I. I. 160
- Mali 182–3
- Malta 78
- maritime law 105–6
- mass atrocities
 - collective R2P 1
- media 6
- mens rea* 151
- Mill, J. S. 229
- mine-laying 123
- Monetary Gold* doctrine 23, 231
- MONUC, *see* UN Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo
- multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) 19
- multilateralisation 137
- multiple attribution 62, 63 *see also*
 - attribution of conduct,
 - attribution of responsibility
- Nauru 72–3, 112
- Nazi war crimes 71–2
- Netherlands 20, 94–6, 140
- New Zealand 72–3, 235
- Nollkaemper, P. A. and Jacobs, D. 206
- non-assistance 24
- non-binding decisions 125
- non-compliance institutions 19
- non-material harm 8
- non-recognition 24
- non-refoulement* obligations 78
- North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO) 149, 187, 196
- Norway 183
- Noyes, J. E. and Smith, B. D. 14
- nuclear damage 57
- obligation to fulfil 38
- obligation to protect 37–8
- obligation to respect 37–8
- operator 57
- opinio juris* 56, 136
- opposability criterion 136 *see also*
 - complicity, aid or assistance
- organisational theory 6
- overflight and landing rights 147

- pacta tertiis* 167
 Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) 71
 parastatal entities 77
 peacekeeping operations 84, 94–6, 109, 110
 physical injury of a person 8
 piercing the corporate veil 126
 plurality of responsible states 23, 130, 210
 Poland 189
 pollution, transborder 4, 19
 of watercourses 8, 13, 19
 Principality of Andorra 85–7
 Principles of European Tort Law 19
 private corporations 61
 private military and security company 77
 property, destruction of 8
 proportionality principle 175, 317–18, 319, 324, 329, 331, 336, 347, 348
 public goods 5
 public law/administrative law 19

 racial discrimination 41–2
 Raz, J. 8
 refugee law 4, 154
 remedies 80
 rendition 6
 reparation 15, 17, 22–3, 113, 130, 166, 208–50 *see also*
 compensation
 allocating the obligation to make 222–32
 complementary causes 224–7
 cumulative causes 227–32
 form and degree of 11
 obligation to make full 217–22
 representation theory 107
 Republika Srpska 142
 responsibility to protect (R2P) 34, 52, 198
 failure to act upon 1–2
 notion of 5
 Reuter, P. 158
 risk assessment 46
 Russian Federation 41, 123, 154, 160

 San Marino 83–4
 secondary obligations 208–10 *see also*
 assurances; cessation;
 guarantees of non-repetition;
 reparation
 Serbia 20, 36, 40, 43, 135, 141, 142, 151, 159
 serious breaches of peremptory norms 23–4, 284–311
 approaches to 284–6, 310–11
 consequences arising from 304–9
 additional consequences 308–9
 aggravated regime of
 responsibility and shared
 obligations 304–9
 cooperation 304–6
 non-assistance 307–8
 non-recognition 306–7
 shared duty to invoke serious
 breaches 309
 shared responsibility and 286–97
 aggression 292–4
 damage and causal analysis,
 irrelevance of 301–4 *see also*
 causation
 genocide 294–7
 multiparty adjudication, limits to
 297–304
 procedural issues 297–301
 scope and content of peremptory
 norms 286–8
 shared responsibility for serious
 breaches 289–97
 shared responsibility
 assessment/evaluation 249–50
 challenge for international law 12–16
 comparative approach to 24
 complexity of 210–13
 concept of 3, 6–12
 contributions to a harmful
 outcome 7–9
 distribution to individual actors 12
 legal responsibility for
 contributions 9–12
 determination and implementation
 of 24
 differentiation 17–20
 facilitation 17

- shared responsibility (cont.)
 - joint and several responsibility 244–9
 - domestic law analogy 244–6
 - solutions and problems 246–9
 - problems, emergence of 3
 - rise of situations 4–6
 - same wrongful act 232–41
 - assurances and guarantees of non-repetition 237
 - cessation 236
 - guarantees of non-repetition 237
 - possibilities 232–4
 - reparation 237–41
 - solutions 234–41
 - several wrongful acts 213
 - assurances and guarantees of non-repetition 216–17
 - cessation 215–16
 - guarantees of non-repetition 216–17
 - possibilities 213–15
 - reparation 217–32
 - solutions 215–32
 - two types of attribution 210–11
 - wrongful acts 211–13
- Simma, B. 131, 162, 192–3, 309
- single wrongful act, notion of 11
- sovereignty 128
 - violation of third state 8
- Soviet Union, 72 *see also* Russian Federation
- space law 58–9
- Spain 86
- state of origin 57
- Stern, B. 223
- Sweden 140
- Switzerland 83–4, 141, 183
- Syria 141, 154–5
- tempus delicti commissi* 29, 48
- terrorism 147
- tort law origins 19
- torture 9, 10, 56, 147
- transfer of attribution 84–5, 87 *see also* attribution of conduct
- transparency 6
- treaty obligations
 - exoneration from 27
 - violation of 27
- Trusteeship Systems 235, 237
- Turkey 154
- Uganda 40, 43
- UN Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (MONUC) 153–4
- UN Office of Legal Affairs 162
- United Kingdom 39, 60, 71, 72–3, 77, 78, 82, 85, 86–7, 94, 145, 154, 182, 186, 235
- United Nations 20, 61, 72–3, 85, 90, 94 *see also* peacekeeping operations
 - UN Protection Force (UNPROFOR) (Dutchbat) 94
 - UN Secretariat 95
 - UN Security Council 52–3, 143, 189, 197
 - UN Sixth Legal Committee 140, 148
- United States 72, 76, 77, 130, 145, 186
- Uruguay River Commission 45
- US–German Mixed Claims Commission 164
- Ushakov, N. 143
- voting procedures 148–9
- water resources 44
- World Health Organization (WHO) 71
- World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - panel reports 75–6
- wrongful conduct 21
- Yugoslavia 38, 189, 196