

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

- accountability
  - of experts 7–8, 308–9
  - of EU agencies/technocracy 323, 328–30, 334–5, 400, 401–2
  - in UN Special Procedures on Human Rights 252–4
  - of IRAs 78–9
  - for market collapse 335
  - mechanisms for 86–90
  - see also* democracy
- acid rain regime 116–17, 120
- Actor–Network Theory 190
- adaptive learning 130
  - at IPCC 134, 137, 143, 144, 145–6
  - and reflection 130–1
- administration
  - cost reductions of
    - in EU 374–5, 380
    - in Netherlands 376, 377–8
  - transmission-belt model of 338–9
- advocacy networks/groups 28, 58, 59
  - and legal expertise 60
- agencies
  - of EU
    - accountability of 323, 400, 402
    - decision-making powers of 384, 386, 388–94, 398
    - formation of 321–3, 383–4
    - judicial review of decisions
      - of 11, 385, 386–8, 393–9, 400–1
    - risk management by 399–400, 402
    - semi-autonomy of 323–4, 326
    - see also* ESAs
  - governance by 330–1
  - regulatory *see* IRAs
- agenda-setting 110–11
  - expert involvement in 114–15, 124
- air pollution regime 108, 118
- airlines *see* carrier sanctions policies; private carriers
- ALOP (Appropriate Level of Protection) 204–6, 207–8
- American Pragmatism 23
- animal, plant, and pest risks 201, 202, 215
- anti-science populism 151
- Artegodan v. Commission* case (EU) 395–6
- Asbestos* case (WTO) 227–8
- asylum-seekers *see* refugees
- audit society, global 87
- Australia – Apples* case (WTO) 229, 235
- authoritative expertise
  - and epistemic communities 36
  - of scientists 26, 220, 390, 391–4
- autonomy
  - of EU agencies 321–3
  - of expertise 113, 120
  - of IRAs 78–9, 80–1
  - see also* semi-autonomy
- behavioural change 129, 130–1
- better regulation initiatives (BR)
  - of EU 362, 363–73, 379, 380–2
  - and legitimacy 364–5, 369, 373–5, 379–80
  - in Netherlands 364, 375–80, 381
- bias *see* impartiality of experts
- Bin Laden, Osama, killing of 255
- biodiversity regime 115–16, 122, 155, 166, 168–9

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

404

## INDEX

- biopolitics 175, 181–4
  - and experts/expertise 186
  - at WTO 186, 192–3
  - WTO as mechanism of 175, 184–6
- Biotech* case (WTO) 195, 228, 232–3, 234
- border control regimes 269, 307–8
  - of EU 268–9
  - privatization of 269–70, 305
- boundary organizations 150
- British Airways, and carrier sanctions
  - policies 302
- Brookings Institute, on UN Special
  - Procedures 260–1
- burden of persuasion 229
- CAC (Codex Alimentarius Commission
  - of SPS Agreement) 85, 180, 194–5, 211–14
  - risk assessment policies of 195, 211–14, 215
  - criticism of 195–6
- Cambodia, UN human rights reporting
  - on 261–2
- capitalism 358
- carrier sanctions policies 273–4, 288, 289–91, 296–7
  - in Netherlands 297, 298–301
  - and output legitimacy of migration
    - policies 304–10, 311–12
  - in United Kingdom 297–9, 302–4
- change, behavioural 129, 130–1
- citizenship 281
- civic science 151, 167
- civil servants, expertise of 361–2
- civil society organizations *see* NGOs
- CJEU (Court of Justice of the European Union), judicial review of
  - EU agency opinions by 11, 387, 394–9, 400–1
- climate change regime 84–5, 108–9
  - agenda-setting in 115
  - effectiveness of 111, 122–3
  - opposition to 117
  - scientific expertise/science–policy
    - interface in 120, 122–3, 125, 145, 164–6, 168
  - see also* IPCC
  - ‘climate gate’ 143–4
- co-production of scientific expertise
  - and expert knowledge 150
- codes of conduct 90–1
  - for UN Special Rapporteurs on Human Rights 253–4
- cognition failure 333, 336–7
- collective actors 129
- commercial interests of private
  - carriers 305
- communication
  - of knowledge 146
  - with experts 229–33
- comparability criterion for risk
  - assessments 207–8
- comparative effectiveness tests for
  - necessity 209
- consensual knowledge/expertise
  - 116–17, 121–2, 124, 190
- consensus
  - in environmental governance
    - regimes 168
  - in epistemic communities 33
- constructivism/constructivist
  - institutionalism 22–3, 24–5, 345–8, 350–1
- criticism on 357–9
- on expert involvement in crisis
  - management 342–3, 357
- frames in 23–4
- on international relations/politics
  - 19–21
- Continued Suspension* case (WTO)
  - 204–7, 215, 224, 226–7, 230–1, 232, 234–5
- Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) 155
- Convention to Combat Desertification 155–6
- Convention on Long-range
  - Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP) 108, 118
- courts, international 88
  - see also* CJEU; International Criminal Court
- credibility criterion for learning 132
  - at IPCC 133, 136, 147

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

405

- crises
  - and epistemic communities
    - concept 35
  - and European integration 341
  - expert involvement in management
    - of 342–3, 351, 357
  - French *Régulation* theory on 343, 349–50, 351, 358–9
  - and reflective learning 145
    - see also* debt crisis
- cultures 93–4
- cyber warfare 57–8
- debt crisis 359
  - EU management of 315, 341–2
    - expert involvement in 318–19, 342, 351, 354–6, 357, 359
- decision-making processes
  - in EU 3, 7, 84
    - and accountability 323, 328–30, 400, 401–2
    - and agencification 321–4, 383–4
      - and decision-making powers 384, 386, 388–94, 398
      - and judicial review 11, 385, 386–8, 393–9, 400–1
      - and risk management 402
    - and epistemic communities
      - concept 38
      - see also* EU, Commission
  - expert involvement in 3, 11, 12, 15, 21, 121–4, 216
    - and biopolitics 186, 192–3
    - and legitimacy 6–7, 173–4, 175–6, 177–81, 193, 216–17, 225, 235–6, 380
    - rationales for 5–6, 47
    - scientific expertise 45–7, 114–20, 124–5, 145
      - and transparency 10, 372, 380–1
    - rationality of 74–5
      - see also* policy making
- decisions
  - binding/non-binding 3
    - quality of 5–6
- delegation, theory of 79
- democracy
  - and audit societies 87
  - and epistemic communities concept 35–6
  - and technocracy 190–1, 337–8
    - in EU 317–18
      - see also* accountability
  - democratization, of sciences 196–7
  - desertification regime 155–6
  - detention, of irregular migrants 272–3
  - developing countries
    - critical attitude towards scientific expertise 120–1
    - perceived bias of UN Special Rapporteurs on 254–5
  - disciplinary power 182
  - discourse theory/discursive reasoning 198
    - applied to risks 198–9
  - dispute settlement, WTO/trade-related 218–19
    - expert involvement in 217–18, 220–3, 233–5, 236–7
      - communication with 229–33
      - diversity of 227–9
      - impartiality of 225–7
      - and legitimacy 235–6
      - quality of 223–5
    - on risk assessments 195, 203–8, 210–11, 215
  - distrust, of scientific expertise 48–9, 51
  - diversity of experts 227–9
  - domains of knowledge 25–6
    - and governance 27–8
  - double-loop learning 130
  - drones, human rights criticism on use of 254–5
  - EC – Biotech* case *see* *Biotech* case
  - EC – Hormones* case (WTO) 208, 226, 234
  - ECHA (European Chemicals Agency) 393
  - economic governance *see* financial governance
  - education
    - virtues acquired through 100
    - see also* learning

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

406

## INDEX

- effectiveness
  - of carrier sanctions policies 304
  - of international environmental management regimes 110–11, 112–14
  - climate change regime 111, 122–3
  - ozone layer protection regime 111, 122
  - and scientific expertise 112–14
  - of science–policy interfaces 170
  - test for necessity 209
- efficiency, of EU financial governance 327
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) 392–3
- judicial review of opinions of 394–5
- EFSF (European Financial Stability Facility) 353
- EFSM (European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism) 353
- EMA (EMEA, European Medicines Agency)
  - judicial review of opinions of 394, 395–8
  - powers of 391–2, 399–400
  - risk management by 402
- environmental governance 107–10, 125, 153–4
  - consensus in 168
  - effectiveness of 110–11, 112–14
  - epistemic communities in 37–8, 40–1, 43
  - scientific expertise in 105–7, 113–20, 124–5, 155–6, 165–4
  - policy impact of 45–7, 121–4, 131–2, 145
  - see also* science–policy interfaces
- Environmental Protection Agency (US) 72
- epistemic communities 10–11, 21, 29–32, 51–2, 60, 291–2
  - application of concept of 37–40
  - in environmental management/governance 37–8, 40–1, 43
  - in human rights governance 41, 241–2, 262
  - to legal expertise 28–9, 60–2
  - to private carriers 288–9, 291–3, 300, 311
  - failed 43
  - influence/success of 36, 42–3
  - theory 34–7, 42
  - criticism of 32–4
- ESAs (European Supervisory Agencies) 325, 356
  - accountability of 328–30
  - and ESFS 326
  - expertise in 328
- ESFS (European System of Financial Supervision) 315, 319, 332–3
- efficiency of 327
- and ESAs 326
- and risk regulation 333–4, 339–40
- ESRB (European Systemic Risk Board) 356
- ethics *see* virtue ethics, codes of *see* codes of conduct
- EU
  - better regulation initiatives (BR) 362, 363–73, 379, 380–2
  - Commission 369, 389–90
  - EU agency opinions endorsed by 390–1, 399–400
  - impact assessment system of (IAs) 69, 366, 370–3, 381, 382
  - Court of Justice *see* CJEU
  - decision-making processes in 3, 7
  - and agencification/agencies 321–4, 383–4
  - and accountability 323, 328–30, 400, 401–2
  - decision-making powers of 384, 386, 388–94, 398
  - and judicial review 11, 385, 386–8, 393–9, 400–1
  - risk management by 402
  - see also* ESAs
  - and epistemic communities
  - concept 38
  - expert involvement in 84, 318–19, 321–3
  - financial governance of 319–21, 324–6, 341–2, 351–4

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

407

- EFSM and EFSF (stability mechanism and stabilisation facility) 353
- ESFS (system for financial supervision) 315, 319, 326, 332–3
- expert involvement in 318–19, 342, 351, 354–6, 357, 359
- and politics 336
- rationality and efficiency of 327, 333–4, 339–40
- and risk regulation 333–4, 339–40
- integration process 341
- Lisbon Treaty 316, 387–8
- migration policies of 268–9, 270
- technocracy in 14, 315, 316–17
  - and democratic governance/ accountability 317–18, 334–5
- Euro Plus Pact (EU) 352
- evidence
  - absence of, and international environmental management regimes 119–20
  - of climate change 135, 141
  - in risk assessments 203
  - sufficiency of 203–6, 208–11, 232–3
- expertise 82–3
  - autonomy of 113, 120
  - biopolitical reliance on 186
  - of civil servants 361–2
  - consensual 121–2
  - and governance 365–6
  - theories of 350–1, 362
  - see also* knowledge; legal expertise; scientists/scientific expertise
- experts 2–3, 12, 47
  - accountability of 7–8, 308–9
    - in EU agencies and technocracy 323, 328–30, 334–5, 400, 401–2
    - in UN Special Procedures on Human Rights 252–4
  - communication with 229–33
  - diversity of 227–9
  - framing by 49, 58
  - impartiality of 188–9, 225–7, 254–5
  - involvement of
    - in crisis management
      - debt crisis 318–19, 342, 351, 354–6, 357, 359
      - theoretical analysis of 342–3, 351, 357
    - in decision-making processes 3, 11, 12, 15, 21, 121–4, 216
    - and biopolitics 186, 192–3
    - in EU 318–19, 321–3
      - see also* better regulation initiatives
    - and legitimacy 6–7, 173–4, 175–6, 177–81, 193, 216–17, 225, 235–6
    - rationales for 5–6, 47
    - and transparency 10
    - see also* technocracy
  - in policy making 66–74, 81, 131–2, 359–60
  - of EU 368–9
    - see also* better regulation initiatives
  - on human rights 241–2
    - see also* United Nations
  - legitimacy of 197–9
  - on migration 263–4, 274–81
    - criticism of 265–6, 274–81, 285–6
    - output legitimacy of 283–4, 286–7
    - regulatory 63–6, 74–80
  - in trade-related dispute
    - settlement 217–18, 220–3, 233–5, 236–7
  - and politics 12–15, 47–52, 83–5, 86–90, 124
  - power of 11, 384, 386, 388–94, 398
  - quality of 223–5
  - selection of 8–10, 12, 100–1, 221–2, 246
  - virtue ethics of 94–9, 101
  - see also* scientists/scientific expertise
- feminist critiques, of scientific values 189–90

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

408

## INDEX

- financial governance
  - of EU 319–21, 324–6, 341–2, 351–4
  - EFSM and EFSF (stability mechanism and stabilisation facility) 353
  - ESFS (system for financial supervision) 315, 319, 326, 332–3
  - expert involvement in 318–19, 342, 351, 354–6, 357, 359
  - rationality and efficiency of 327, 333–4, 339–40
  - and risk regulation 333–4, 339–40
  - and politics 335, 336, 338–9
- financial institutions, international 86–7
- food safety risks 198, 200–1, 202–3, 214–15
  - assessment of 203, 206
- forest governance regime 158–60, 169–70
- fragmentation
  - of climate change regime 157–8, 164–4
  - in EU Commission 369
  - of forest governance regime 159–60, 164–4
  - of international law 52–5
    - and legal expertise 55
  - of water governance regime 164–4
- frames/framing 23–4, 59
  - by experts 49, 58
  - of risks 202–3, 214–15
- Framework Convention on Climate Change (UN) 108–9, 134, 157–8
  - see also* IPCC
- French *Régulation* analysis 348–9
  - on capitalism 358
  - and constructivist institutionalism 350–1
  - on crises 343, 349–50, 351, 358–9
- global audit society 87
- Global Environmental Assessment Project (GEA) 131–2
- Global Environmental Outlook (GEO, UNEP) 155
- Global Forest Resources Assessment (GFRA, FAO) 160
- governance 152, 365
  - agency 330–1
  - biopolitical 182–3
  - and domains of knowledge/expertise 27–8, 365–6
  - fragmentation degree of 164–4
  - global, virtue ethics applied to 89–90
  - scientific *see* scientists/scientific expertise, and politics
- governmentality concept 338
- governments
  - good 315–16
  - legitimate 184
  - liberal 183–4
- Growth and Stability Pact (EU) 352–3
- Hague Declaration on the Future of Refugee and Migration Policy (UN) 277–8
- health, assessment of effects of food safety risks on 202–3, 206
- human rights governance
  - and epistemic communities concept 41, 241–2, 262
- UN Special Procedures on, expert involvement in 242–4, 245, 250–1, 260
  - accountability of 252–4
  - country rapporteurs 247–8
  - criticism of 254–9, 261
  - legitimacy of 251–2
  - reform/review of 257–60, 261–2
  - Special Rapporteurs 246–7, 248–9
- human rights law 244
- hybrid organizations 150
- ICRW (International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling) 107–8, 117
- ideas, constructivist analysis of 347–8
- ideational analysis 343–4
- impacts 110–11
  - assessments of (IAs) 381
  - of European Commission 69, 366, 370–3, 381, 382

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

409

- in Netherlands 378, 379
  - of EU agency opinions 389–94
  - of scientific expert involvement in
    - environmental governance 45–7, 121–4, 131–2, 145
- impartiality of experts 188–9, 225–7, 254–5
- input legitimacy 6–7, 178, 217, 282, 363
  - and better regulation initiatives 364–5
  - at WTO 178–9, 235–6
- institutionalism, constructivist *see*
  - constructivism/
  - constructivist
  - institutionalism
- instrumental use of expert
  - knowledge 69, 72–3, 74–6, 77–8, 80
- interests
  - commercial, of private carriers 305
  - public 151
  - social construction of 343–5, 348, 358
  - of states 31–2, 116–17
- International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (ICRW) 107–8, 117
- International Criminal Court, lobby
  - for establishment of 60
- international humanitarian law
  - 53–4, 61
- International Law Commission 53
- international law, fragmentation of 52–5
  - and legal expertise 55
  - see also* human rights law; law of
    - armed conflict;
    - international
    - humanitarian law
- international organizations, and
  - epistemic communities
  - concept 36–7, 38
- international relations 19
  - constructivist analysis of 19–21
  - epistemic communities in 30, 31–2
- International Whaling Commission (IWC) 107–8, 117
- IOM (International Organization for Migration)
  - expert involvement at 264
  - on irregular migration 266, 275–6
- IPBES (Intergovernmental Science–Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services) 115–16, 155, 166
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) 108–9, 115, 126–7, 132, 158
  - criticisms on 138, 139, 141, 143–4, 147
  - organizational learning at 133–47
  - scientific expertise at 84–5
- IRAs (Independent Regulatory Agencies) 64–5
  - autonomy and accountability of 78–9, 80–1
  - expert involvement in 69, 70–1, 74–80
  - legitimacy of 75, 78
- irregular migration 264–5, 266–8
  - combating of 267–70, 272–4, 286
- concept
  - expert use of 265, 274–81, 285
  - NGO criticism on 276–7
- IWC (International Whaling Commission) 107–8, 117
- Japan–Apples* case (WTO) 203–4, 205–6, 210–11, 215
- judicial mechanisms, international 88
- judicial review
  - of EU agency opinions 11, 385, 386–8, 393–9, 400–1
  - of regulatory policy making 77–8
- justice
  - demanded of experts 99
  - and risks 196, 198–9, 209–11
  - and trade reductions 211
- KLM, and carrier sanctions policies 297, 299–301
- knowledge
  - communication of 146
  - consensual 116–17, 124, 190
  - domains of 25–6
  - and governance 27–8

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

410

INDEX

- knowledge (cont.)
  - legal expertise as form of 57–8, 61–2
  - localized 82–3
  - scientific expertise as supplier of 115–16
  - utilization of 66–9, 80
    - instrumental 69, 72–3, 74–6, 77–8, 80
    - research into 71–4
    - strategic 69–70
    - symbolic 70, 76, 77–8, 80–1
  - see also* expertise
- knowledge migrants, favouring of 271–2, 285
- knowledge societies 45–6
- Kyoto Protocol 135
  - US withdrawal from 141
  - see also* IPCC
- ladder metaphor for science–policy interfaces 166–70
- de Larosière Commission (EU) 342, 351, 354–6, 357, 359
- law, obeying of 296
- law of armed conflict 53–4, 61
- lawyers *see* legal expertise
- learning, organizational 127–31
  - at IPCC 133–47
  - see also* education
- legal expertise, international 10–11, 44, 45, 52, 58–9
  - as epistemic community 28–9, 60–2
  - and fragmentation of international law 55
  - and politics 13–14, 56–7, 62
- legal language, differences with scientific language 229–30
- legal norms 56–7
- legitimacy 174, 362–3
  - criterion for learning 132
  - at IPCC 133, 136, 137, 139–40, 147
  - of decision-making/policy-making processes 6
  - and better regulation initiatives 364–5, 369, 373–5, 379–80
  - of EU 7, 369, 373–5
  - and expert involvement 6–7, 173–4, 177–81, 197–9, 216–17, 225, 235–6
  - at WTO 175–6, 177–81, 193
- of EU agencies 390
- of governments 184
- of human rights governance 251–2
- of migration policies
  - and carrier sanctions 304–10, 311–12
  - and expert involvement 283–4, 286–7
- of sciences 190
- see also* input legitimacy; output legitimacy
- liability systems, third-party 290–1
- liberal government 183–4
- liminal status of migrants 279–80, 282–3, 286
- Lindela Accommodation Centre (South Africa) 273
- Lisbon Treaty 316, 387–8
- localized knowledge 82–3
- LRTAP (Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution) 108, 118
- Manual of Operations of the Special Procedures of the Human Rights Council 254
- market collapse, political
  - accountability for 335
- MEAs (Multilateral Environmental Agreements) 107–10, 114–15
  - scientific expert involvement in 105–7, 117–19, 155–6
- media coverage, of climate change 142–3
- members of epistemic communities, identification of 34
- ‘merchants of doubt’ 48
- Meroni doctrine 323, 326, 388–9
- methodologies 4
  - for analysis of science–policy interfaces 151–3
- differences between law and sciences in 231–3



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

411

- migrants
  - irregular, detention of 272–3
  - knowledge, favouring of 271–2, 285
  - liminal status of 279–80, 282–3, 286
- migration
  - flows 263
  - irregular 264–5, 266–8
    - combating of 267–70, 272–4, 286
  - concept
    - expert use of 265, 274–81, 285
    - NGO criticism on 276–7
  - policies
    - expert involvement in 263–4
      - criticism of 265–6, 274–81, 285–6
    - output legitimacy of 283–4, 286–7, 308–9
    - at private carriers 292, 309–11
    - of Netherlands 270–3, 367
      - see also* carrier sanctions policies
    - privatization of enforcement of 269–70, 273, 280–1, 305
      - see also* carrier sanctions policies
- Millennium Ecosystem Project 155
- modernity, risk concept in 49–50
- Modes of Regulation (MoR) 349
  - crises of 350, 359
- motives 93
- nation-state concept 281
- national policy choice, and epistemic communities concept 39
- nationality 281
- natural sciences *see* sciences
- natural scientists *see* scientists/scientific expertise
- necessity, legal interpretations of 209–11
- negotiation, theory of 79
- Netherlands
  - better regulation initiatives in 364, 375–80, 381
  - migration policies of 270–3, 367
    - carrier sanctions policies 297, 298–301
- neutrality
  - in policy appraisal 14, 76–7
  - of scientific expertise 48–9, 50, 150, 219–20
- NGOs
  - comments
    - on irregular migration 276–7
    - on UN human rights governance 258–9
  - experts from 284
  - participation
    - in IPCC 135–6
    - in project to assist return of refugees 278–9
- non-binding decisions 3
- non-refoulement* principle 292, 306
- norm entrepreneurs, human rights experts as 262
- normative frameworks, for risk assessment 203, 204, 209–11, 213–14
- norms
  - conflicts of 295–6
  - legal 56–7
- North Sea Conference 109–10, 119
- North Sea pollution control regime 109–10, 116–17, 119
- Ofcom (Office of Communications, UK) 71–2
- Olivieri v. Commission and EMEA* case (EU) 394, 396–7
- organizational learning 127–31
  - at IPCC 133–47
- Oslo-Paris Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR) 109–10
- outcomes 110
- output legitimacy 6–7, 178, 217, 282, 363
  - and better regulation initiatives 364–5, 373–5
  - of migration policies 283–4, 286–7, 304–10, 311–12
  - at WTO 179–80
- outputs 110–11

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

412

## INDEX

- ownership, of EU impact assessments 372–3
- ozone layer protection regime 109, 135, 155
  - effectiveness of 111, 122
- persuasion, burden of 229
- policy making
  - expert involvement in 66–74, 81, 131–2, 359–60
  - in EU 368–9
    - see also* better regulation initiatives
  - on human rights 241–2
    - see also* United Nations
  - legitimacy of 197–9
  - on migration 263–4, 274–81
    - criticism of 265–6, 274–81, 285–6
    - output legitimacy of 283–4, 286–7
    - scientific 45–7, 121–4, 131–2, 145
      - see also* science–policy interface
  - in Netherlands 376
  - regulatory 73–4
    - expert involvement in 63–6, 70, 80
    - and politics 75, 76–7
      - see also* IRAs
  - and risk assessment/risk control 200–2, 208–9
- political economy 183–4
- politics
  - constructivist analysis of 19–21
  - and experts/expertise 12–15, 47–52, 83–5, 86–90, 124
  - in EU 334–5
  - legal 13–14, 56–7, 62
  - scientific 13, 134, 189, 190–1, 388–9, 400
  - and financial governance 335, 336, 338–9
  - and regulatory policy making 75, 76–7
  - and virtue ethics 101
- populism 43, 151
- positivist analysis 344
- post-industrial societies 45–6
- post-normal science 151
- post-structuralism 346
- power
  - disciplinary 182
  - of experts 11, 384, 386, 388–94, 398
  - technocratic use of 14
- Pragmatism, American 23
- precautionary policies 119–20
- private carriers
  - commercial interests of 305
  - as epistemic community 288–9, 291–3, 311
  - migration expertise of 300, 309–11
  - as semi-autonomous social field 294–6, 300, 301, 311
- privatization
  - of migration policy enforcement 269–70, 273, 280–1, 305
  - see also* carrier sanctions policies
- problem-solving capacities 111
  - and scientific expertise 113
- problems
  - nature of 111, 151–2, 164
  - and scientific expertise 112–13
- proportionality tests for necessity 209–11
- protection
  - appropriate levels of (ALOP) 204–6, 207–8
  - of citizens against risks, responsibilities of states for 47
- public interest science 151
- public management theory, on expertise 362
- quality
  - of decisions 5–6
  - of experts 223–5
- Rabit system (Rapid Border Intervention Teams, EU) 268–9
- radical constructivism 22
- rationality
  - of decision-making 74–5
  - of EU financial governance 333–4, 339–40
  - of international trade regime 184–5
  - of risk regulation 335–6

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

413

- Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD, UN) 160
- reflective learning
  - and adaptation 130–1
  - at IPCC 133, 140, 145
- refugees 267
  - and carrier sanctions policies 305–7
  - and irregular migration 274–7
- Regimes of Accumulation (RoA) 349
  - crises of 350, 359
- regulatory policy making 73–4
  - expert involvement in 63–6, 70, 74–80
  - and politics 75, 76–7
  - see also* IRAs
- regulatory theory
  - and governmentality concept 338
  - see also* French *Régulation* analysis
- risk societies 49–50
  - scientific expertise in 50–1
- risks
  - animal, plant and pest 201, 202, 215
  - assessments of 194–5, 199–200
    - and ALOP setting 207–8
    - evidence presented in 203–6, 208–11, 232–3
    - normative frameworks for 203, 204, 209–11, 213–14
    - and policy making 200–2
    - scientific expert involvement in 195–6, 213–14, 215, 219–20, 388
  - avoidance of, and carrier sanctions policies 306–7
  - food safety 198, 200–1, 202–3, 206, 214–15
  - justice-related aspects of 196, 198–9, 209–11
  - management of 399–400, 402
  - of market collapse 335
  - rationality of regulation of 335–6
    - in financial governance 333–4, 339–40
  - states responsible for protection of citizens against 47
- saliency criterion for learning 131
  - at IPCC 133, 139, 143, 147
- SASFs (semi-autonomous social fields)
  - 294, 298
  - private carriers as 294–6, 300, 301, 311
- Schering Plough v. Commission and the EMEA case* (EU) 397–8
- science–policy interfaces
  - in international environmental governance 148–9, 163–6
  - climate change 156–8
  - forest governance 158–60
  - water governance regime 161–3
- ladder metaphor for 166–70
- methodologies for analysis of 151–3
- theories of 149–51
- sciences
  - civic 151, 167
  - constructivist analysis of 24–5
  - democratization of 196–7
  - legitimacy of 190
  - methodologies of 231–3
  - and values 189–90
- scientists/scientific expertise 10, 44, 55–6, 62, 153
  - authority of 26, 220, 390, 391–4
  - communication with legal experts 229–30
  - distrust of 48–9, 51
  - in international environmental governance 105–7, 112–14, 113–20, 124–5, 155–6, 165–4
  - policy impact of 45–7, 121–4, 131–2, 145
  - see also* science–policy interfaces
- lack of, in EU Commission 389–90
- neutrality of 48–9, 50, 150, 219–20
- and politics 13, 134, 189, 190–1, 388–9, 400
- in risk assessments/risk societies 50–1, 195–6, 213–14, 215, 219–20, 388
- selection of experts 8–10, 12, 100–1, 221–2, 246
- semi-autonomy 294
  - of EU agencies 323–4, 326
  - see also* SASFs

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

414

## INDEX

- single-loop learning 130
  - at IPCC 143
- social learning 127–31
- social science analysis, of interests
  - 343–5, 348, 358
- Social Studies of Knowledge (SSK)
  - literature 32–3
- societies
  - audit 87
  - knowledge 45–6
  - legalization of 52–3
  - risk 49–51
- softer constructivism 22–3
- Sogelma Srl v. European Agency for Reconstruction (EAR) case* (EU) 387
- South Africa
  - private migrant detention facilities
    - in 273
  - project to promote return of refugees
    - 278–9
- sovereign debt crisis *see* debt crisis
- sovereignty 181–2
- SPS Agreement (Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures)
  - 194–5, 199, 211, 214–15, 217, 219
- risk assessments of 194–5, 199–200
  - ALOP setting 207–8
  - evidence in 203–6, 208–11
  - and policy making 200–2
  - scientific expert involvement in
    - 195, 215, 219–20
  - criticism of 195–6
  - and sufficiency of evidence
    - 208–11, 232–3
- risk control measures of 208–9
- trade disputes related to *see* WTO, dispute settlement
- see also* CAC (Codex Alimentarius Commission)
- standard of review concept 234
- states 34–5
  - cooperation with UN human rights regime 256–9
  - interests of 31–2, 116–17
  - migration control by 267–8, 307–8
    - and *non-refoulement* obligations 306
  - and private carrier sanctions
    - policies 296–7, 305
  - nation-state concept 281
  - responsibilities to protect citizens
    - against risks 47
- Stoiber Group (EU) 373
- strategic use of expert knowledge
  - 69–70
- structural crises 350
- suitability tests for necessity 209
- sunset clauses 326
- Suspension case see Continued Suspension case*
- symbolic use of expert knowledge 70, 76, 77–8, 80–1
- Tallinn Manual of International Law Applicable to Cyber Warfare* 57–8
- targeted killing, human rights criticism
  - on 254–5
- technocracy
  - and democracy/accountability
    - 190–1, 323, 328–30, 334–5, 337–8, 400, 401–2
  - in EU 14, 315, 316–18, 334–5
- temperance, demanded of experts 97
- TFEU *see* Lisbon Treaty
- third-party liability systems 290–1
- Thomae v. Commission case* (EU) 397–8
- trade
  - disputes related to *see* WTO, dispute settlement
  - justice-related aspects of reduction
    - in 211
  - rationality of international regime
    - on 184–5
- transmission-belt model of administration 338–9
- transnational advocacy networks/groups 28, 58, 59
  - and legal expertise 60
- transparency of decision-making processes
  - of EU 372, 380–1
  - and expert involvement 10
- treaties, international
  - economic, rationality of 185

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?

Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus

Index

[More information](#)

## INDEX

415

- environmental
  - role of scientific expertise in 105–7
  - see also* MEAs
  - interpretation of 203
- two cultures approach to science-policy interface 149–50
- uncertainty, scientific 335–6
- UNFCCC *see* Framework Convention on Climate Change
- United Kingdom
  - carrier sanctions policies in 297–9, 302–4
  - National Audit Office 72
  - Ofcom 71–2
  - UN human rights criticism on 255
- United Nations
  - Environmental Management Group (UN-EMG) 154
  - Environmental Programme (UNEP) 154
  - Human Rights Council 245, 251
    - Special Procedures on Human Rights, expert involvement in 242–4, 245, 250–1, 260
    - accountability of 252–4
    - country rapporteurs 247–8
    - criticism of 254–9, 261
    - legitimacy of 251–2
    - reform/review of 257–60, 261–2
    - Special Rapporteurs 246–7, 248–9
  - Universal Periodic Reviews 243–4
- Water 162, 163
- United States
  - border controls of 270
  - Environmental Protection Agency 72
  - private migration detention facilities in 273
  - withdrawal from Kyoto Protocol 141
- Universal Periodic Reviews (UPR) (UN Human Rights Council) 243–4
- universality
  - of science 190
  - and virtue ethics 92–4
  - US–Poultry* case (WTO) 208, 215
- values 92–3
  - scientific 189–90
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) 203
- Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer 109
- virtue ethics 88–9
  - of experts 94–9, 101
  - and global governance 89–90
  - and politics 101
  - and universality 92–4
- virtues
  - acquisition of 100
  - of experts 100–1
- War on Terror
  - and border controls 275
  - human rights criticism on 254–5
- water governance regime 161–3, 169–70
- West
  - culture of 93–4
  - UN Special Rapporteurs focusing on 254–5
- whaling regime 43, 107–8, 116–17
  - and scientific expertise 117, 119, 123–4
- World Bank, on administrative cost reductions in Netherlands 377
- World Water Development Report (WWDR) 162–3
- WTO
  - as biopolitical organization 175, 184–6
  - dispute settlement 218–19
    - expert involvement in 217–18, 220–3, 233–5, 236–7
    - communication with 229–33
    - diversity of 227–9
    - impartiality of 225–7
    - and legitimacy 235–6

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-07478-1 - The Role of 'Experts' in International and European Decision-Making  
Processes: Advisors, Decision Makers or Irrelevant Actors?  
Edited by Monika Ambrus, Karin Arts, Ellen Hey and Helena Raulus  
Index  
[More information](#)

---

416	INDEX
WTO (cont.)	and biopolitics 186
quality of 223–5	criticisms of 186–92
on risk assessments 195, 203–8,	and decision-making processes
210–11, 215	174–5, 192–3
experts/expertise at 11, 14–15, 174,	and legitimacy 175–6, 177–81, 193
176–7	<i>see also</i> SPS Agreement