

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- AB 32. *See* Global Warming Solutions Act
- adaptation policies, climate change, 15,
239–41, 251–52
- administrative capacity
California climate policy and, 83, 113
New York State climate policy and, 83,
183–84
state-level climate policy influenced by, 227
as theoretical factor, 83, 91, 104
- advocacy coalitions
in California, 122–24, 147–49, 158–63
for climate policies, 65–66, 243–44, 247
at federal level, presence and absence of, 199,
204, 208, 215, 220–21
for greenhouse gas emissions regulation, 247
in New York State, 181–83
opponents of, 65–67, 147, 160–61, 182, 205,
217–18, 226
- advocacy coalitions theory, 9, 62–67, 94,
243–45, 268
- agendas. *See* political agendas; public agendas
- air pollution. *See also* air pollution policy;
smog; smog events
as cause of climate policy, 93, 101–3
co-benefits of climate policy for, 17, 57, 93,
104–5
- Air Pollution Foundation, 118–19
- air pollution policy. *See also* California Air
Resources Board
during Carey administration, 287
county air pollution control districts in
California, 116–18
- exemption from preemption by federal
standards, 30, 46, 114, 120–22, 140–41
local mobilization as factor in, 117–21
Mulford-Carrell Act (California) and,
114–15, 121–22, 132, 239
in New York State, 171, 285
Pure Air Act (California) and, 114–15, 121
related to climate policy through co-benefits,
115–22, 228–29
Stamp Out Smog Group and, 119, 121
state regulation of motor vehicles, 118–20
- Air Quality Act, California, 122
- Alliance for Clean Energy, 182
- Alliance to Save Energy, 75
- Alquist, Al, 125. *See also* Warren-Alquist Act
- American Clean Energy and Security Act,
proposed federal, 216
- American Wind Energy Association, 146, 182
- anti-nuclear movement, 124–25, 128–29, 173
- appliance standards, 25, 45, 198–99, 201
under Warren-Alquist Act (California), 126
- Australia, 2–4, 223
- Beame, Abe, 172–73
- biofuels, 27, 41, 211, 215, 259, 264–65
- biomass, 26, 39–40, 129
- blackouts and brownouts, 176–78, 286
- Blair, Tony, 151
- Bluewater Network, 142–43, 146, 163. *See also*
Pavley bill
- British Columbia, 3–4, 45, 249
- Brower, David, 123–24

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)

- Brown, Jerry, 5, 41–42, 112, 132–33, 140, 142, 157–58, 238, 295
 anti-nuclear movement and, 128–29
 energy policy under, 127–29, 236
 renewable energy policy under, 129
- Brown, Pat, 119, 128
- Browner, Carol, 207
- BTU Tax proposal, 204–6
- building codes, energy efficiency through, 25
 in California, 35–37
 in New York State, 38
- Burton, John, 148
- Bush, George H. W., 238, 244
 climate policy under, 61–62, 191–92, 201–4, 206–7
- Bush, George W., 238, 245
 climate policy under, 61–62, 73–75, 191–92, 194, 208–10, 226
 rejection of Kyoto Protocol, 140–41, 179, 208–10
- Bustamante, Cruz, 154
- CAFE program. *See* Corporate Average Fuel Economy program
- Cahill, John, 180
- California, climate and energy policy in, 6–8, 112–15, 123–31. *See also* air pollution policy; California Air Resources Board; California case studies; Global Warming Solutions Act; Pavley bill; Warren-Alquist Act
- AB 32 and, 149–63
 administrative capacity and, 83, 113, 164
 anti-nuclear movement and, 128–29
 appliance standards in, 25, 45, 126
 during Brown, J., administration, 127–29, 236
 building codes and, 35–37
 case studies of, 115, 229–30, 234–36
 CEC and, 35–36, 38–39, 112–14, 126
 citizen ideology and, 82, 133–34, 165
 Clean Air Act (federal) and, 114, 122, 140–41
 coalitions and, 143–49, 158–61
 county air pollution control districts, 116–18
 CPUC and, 30–31, 36, 38–39, 113–14, 130–31, 143
 cross-sectoral policies in, 32–33
 during Davis administration, 137, 142, 152–54, 236
 decoupling policies, 17–18, 21–22, 26–30, 36–37, 131
 during Deukmejian administration, 112, 131
 development of, 3, 112–15
 economic composition and, 84–85
 education levels and, 84, 133, 165
 electricity crisis and, 139–41
 emissions trading in, 33, 45
 energy crises and, 123–32
 energy efficiency policies, 35–37, 127–31
 environmental organizations as influence on, 129–30, 140–47, 150, 157–58, 160, 162, 165–66, 237
 Environmental Quality Act and, 112, 115–18, 123–24, 132
 explicit climate policies, 6–7, 16, 49–50, 138–61
 federal climate policy influenced by, 45–46
 fossil fuel production and, 81–82
 future development of, 295
 green party strength and, 80–81
 greenhouse gas reduction targets in, 32–33
 income level as influence on, 84
 institutional power of governors in, 79
 interest group mobilization in, 80–81
 justifications of, in policy documents, 153
 key data and, 7
 Kyoto Protocol as influence on, 140
 legal capacity under, 164
 legal cases concerning, 124
 local government involvement in, 263
 low-carbon fuel standard in, 6–7, 41
 major policy changes, 21–22
 Mulford-Carrell Act, 114–15, 121–22, 132, 239
 neocorporatism and, 80–81
 New York State climate policy compared to, 42–44, 85, 188–90
 other states' climate policies influenced by, 45–46
 pluralism as influence on, 80–81
 political agenda for, 115, 135–38
 Proposition 23 and, 161–63, 228, 247
 public agenda for, 115, 135–38
 Pure Air Act, 114–15
 during Reagan governorship, 126
 renewable energy, policy reversal on, 131
 renewable energy policy, 6–7, 38–39, 127–31, 137
 during Schwarzenegger administration, 149–61, 236
 separation of powers and, 139–64
 smog events and, 115–22, 228–29
 Stamp Out Smog Group and, 119, 121
 structural barriers to, 79–82
 structural factors promoting, 83–86

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

333

- for transportation, 40–42
- Western Climate Initiative and, 3–4, 8, 24, 249
- for wind energy, 38–39, 46, 129–31
- California Air Resources Board (CARB), 6–7, 28–42, 113–14
- AB 32 and, 143, 157–58
- Mulford-Carrell Act and, 114–15, 121–22, 132, 239
- Pavley bill and, 143
- transportation regulation by, 40–42
- California effect, 4–5, 60
- California Energy Action Plan, 36–37, 149–50
- California Energy Commission (CEC), 35–36, 38–39, 112–13, 126
- greenhouse gas emissions and, 149–50
- purpose of, 113–14
- California Environmental Protection Agency, 32, 154, 157, 283–84
- California League of Conservation Voters, 146
- California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)
 - energy efficiency policies and, 36
 - greenhouse gas emissions and, 149–50
 - purpose of, 113–14
 - renewable energy policies and, 38–39, 130–31
- California Solar Initiative, 32
- California State Assembly, 79, 143
- California State Senate, 79, 143
- California Tomorrow, 129–30
- Canada. *See also* British Columbia
 - climate policy in, 3–4
 - emissions rates in, 2
 - regional agreements with U.S. states and, 24
- Cantwell, Maria, 217
- cap-and-trade programs. *See* California Air Resources Board; emissions trading programs; Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative
- CARB. *See* California Air Resources Board
- carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions
 - biofuel development and, 259
 - explicit policies for, 29
 - implicit policies for, 6–12
 - industrial production of, 29
 - intensity, 106
 - regulatory policies for, 28–41, 219
 - share of greenhouse gas emissions, 15
- carbon pricing, 253. *See also* carbon taxes; emissions trading programs
- carbon sinks, 15
- carbon taxes
 - CARB and, 143
 - emissions trading compared to, 17–20
 - in Japan, 21
 - public opinion on, 78
 - in the U.K., 24
- Carey, Hugh, 173–75
 - air pollution policy, 287
 - New York State energy policy under, 176–78, 236
- Carter, Jimmy, 194–95
 - congressional opposition to, 199–200
 - election of, 198
 - energy policy under, 197–200, 236
 - renewable energy programs, 199–200
- case studies
 - California, 124, 229–30, 234–36
 - federal government, 29–30, 42, 46, 73–74, 157–58, 209–10, 215, 218–21, 229–30, 234–36
 - methods and data for, 102–3
 - New York State, 229–30, 234–36
- CEC. *See* California Energy Commission
- Cheney, Dick, 210
- Christie, Chris, 35
- citizen ideology, 55, 91–92, 104
 - California climate policy and, 82, 133–34, 165
 - New York State climate policy and, 82
 - political culture and, 82
 - smog events and, 133
- Citizens for Clean Air, 172–73
- Clark, Wilson, 129
- Clean Air Act, federal
 - amendments to, 202–3
 - California climate policy and, 114, 122–23, 140–41
 - California exemption from federal preemption in, 30, 46, 114, 140–41
 - EPA and, 29–30, 202–3, 247
 - legal capacity under, 164, 270
 - Massachusetts v. EPA* and, 73
 - New York State climate policy and, 187
 - Pavley bill and, 46, 140–41
- clean technology sector, 56, 60, 68, 94–95, 146, 160, 247
- Clean Water Act, federal, 174
- Cleaner, Greener Communities Program, 42
- Climate Action Registry, 156
- Climate Action Team, California, 159
- climate and energy policies. *See also* California, climate and energy policy in; cross-sectoral climate policies; federal government, climate and energy policy by; New York State, climate and energy policy in

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)

334

Index

climate and energy policies. (cont.)

- adaptation policies, 15, 239–40, 251–52
- air pollution, co-benefits for, 17
- appliance standards as, 25, 45, 126
- in Australia, 3–4
- for biofuels, 215
- building codes and, 25, 36
- California effect as influence on, 4–5, 60
- in Canada, 3–4
- carbon taxes, 17–20, 24, 143
- for CO₂ regulation, 28–41, 219
- collective action and, 2
- effectiveness of, 17–20, 46–50
- emissions trading programs, 3–5, 8, 17–20, 24, 33, 45
- energy diversification, co-benefits for, 17
- energy efficiency and, 17, 25–26
- in EU, 2, 21
- explicit, 6–12, 16
- feed-in tariffs, 26–27, 39–40, 44, 131
- financial incentives in, 17
- fuel economy standards, 21–22, 27, 30, 198
- geothermal energy, 128–30, 279
- in Germany, 2, 21, 27
- for global commons, 1
- greenhouse gas emissions targets, 2, 32–35
- implicit, 6–12, 16
- institutional power of governors and, 58, 79, 89–90, 169–70
- major policy changes, 20
- market-based instruments and, 17
- mitigation policies, 15–17
- multi-level governance strategies for, 4–5
- pluralism as influence on, 227
- political agendas for, 115, 135–38, 168–71, 192–97
- political commitment to, 124–30, 142–43, 152–57, 177–82, 197–98, 200–202, 204, 206–7, 209–11, 239–42
- public agendas for, 63–64, 115, 135–38, 192–97
- public benefits funds, 26, 87
- public opinion on, 61, 76–78, 196–97
- renewable energy, 6–7, 26–27, 39–40, 127–31
- RPSs, 6–7, 26–27
- solar energy, 32, 78, 130
- at state level, 3–6, 20–32
- subnational, 3–4, 258
- traditional regulatory policies, 17
- for transportation, 27–29
- types of, 15–20
- in U.K., 3–4
- voluntary measures, 17

climate change

- adaptation policies for, 15, 239–41, 251–52
- denial of, 5, 213–14, 223, 252
- mitigation, policies for, 15–17
- public awareness of, 135–36, 168, 192–93, 251
- public opinion on, 76–77, 135–36
- scientific consensus on, 201–2, 214, 240–41
- UCS reports on, 141, 150–51
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1, 21–24
- Clinton, Bill
 - BTU Tax Proposal, 204–6
 - climate policy under, 191–92, 204–8, 226
 - congressional opposition to climate policy, 204–6
 - Kyoto Protocol and, 206–8
- CO₂ emissions. *See* carbon dioxide emissions
- coal
 - air pollution and, 129, 172–73
 - consumption, 35, 129, 259
 - industry, lobbying by, 74–76, 102, 204, 228
 - power plants fired by, 29, 35–37, 129–30, 133, 178, 219, 259
 - prices, 131, 291
 - production, 92–93, 102, 271
 - public opinion and, 78
- Coalition for Clean Air, 146
- collective action problem, climate policies and, 2
- Collins, Susan, 217
- Combined Heat and Power Partnership, 207
- Congress, U.S., 73–74, 198, 204
 - and climate policy under Bush, George H. W., 201–4
 - and climate policy under Bush, George W., 208–10
 - and climate policy under Clinton, 204–6
 - and climate policy under Obama, 214–17
 - and energy policy under Carter, 198–200
 - and energy policy under Ford, 197–98
 - and energy policy under Reagan, 200–201
 - hearings on climate change, 192–93, 201
- Connecticut, 31–32, 87
- Consolidated Edison, 171–73, 175–76, 286
- Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) program, 21–22, 27, 30, 198, 260, 294
- CPUC. *See* California Public Utilities Commission
- critical junctures, theory of, 67–68, 269
- cross-sectoral climate policies
 - in California, 32–33
 - by federal government, lack of, 21–24

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

335

- Midwestern Regional Gas Reduction Accord, 24
 in New York State, 32–33
 at state level, 24
 Western Climate Initiative, 3–4, 8, 24, 249
- Cuomo, Andrew, 5, 39–40, 185–86
- Cuomo, Mario, 171, 179
- D'Amato, Alfonse, 180
- Davis, Gray, 137, 142, 152–54, 236
- decision-making agendas. *See* political agendas
- Democratic Party, 58–59
 elected officials and climate policy, 73–74, 119, 123–25, 127, 130, 132–33, 142–43, 147–48, 154–58, 164, 173, 177–78, 181, 184–86, 197–220, 287
- Denmark, climate policies in, 2
- Department of Energy
 during Bush, George H. W., administration, 205–6
 during Carter administration, 197–99
 energy efficiency policy and, 25–26, 202–3
 during Reagan administration, 201
- Deukmejian, George, 112, 131
- Doha Amendment, 1
- drought, 15, 58, 63–64, 150–51, 201, 282
- Earth Day, 123
- Ecological Society of America, 141
- economic composition
 California climate policy influenced by, 84–85
 heavy industry share, 84
 manufacturing share, 84, 92
 New York State climate policy influenced by, 84–85
 theories of, 56
- economic growth. *See* economic processes
- economic processes, as causes of climate policy
 economic growth, 59–61, 64–65, 95
 fossil fuel prices, 57–58, 200–201
 unemployment, 61, 95
- EDF. *See* Environmental Defense Fund
- education levels
 California climate policy and, 84, 133, 165
 New York State climate policy and, 187–88
 postmaterialism and, 56
 state-level climate policy influenced by, 227
 as theoretical factor, 56, 84, 92, 104, 133, 165, 227
- election results, as causes of climate policy, 54–55, 58–59, 64, 66–67, 74, 127–28, 152–54, 179–81, 185–86, 197–98, 200–201, 205, 207, 211, 236–37
- electoral systems, openness of, 90. *See also* proportional representation
- electricity crisis in California, 139–41
 Global Warming Solutions Act and, 239
- emissions. *See* greenhouse gas emissions
- emissions trading programs. *See also* Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative
 in California, 33, 45
 carbon taxes compared to, 17–20
 in EU, 2, 151, 163
 at federal level, 21
 in New York State, 45
 public opinion on, 78
 RGGI, 3–4, 8, 24
 in state-level climate policies, 3–4, 8, 24
- endangerment finding by EPA, 209–10, 217–18
- Energy Conservation and Production Act, federal, 178, 262
- energy crises, 127–31
 California energy policy and, 123–32
 federal energy policy and, 197–200
 New York State energy policy and, 176–77
 oil crises and, 127, 197
- energy efficiency policies. *See also* appliance standards; California, climate and energy policy in; Corporate Average Fuel Economy program; Energy Star program; federal government, climate and energy policy by; New York State, climate and energy policy in
 through building codes, 25, 35–38
 in California, 35–37, 130–31
 as climate policies, 17
 at federal level, 25–26
 federal preemption of state standards for, 31
 in New York State, 37–38, 286
 at state level, 25–26
 for utilities, 26–30
 for vehicle fuels, 5
- Energy Independence and Security Act, federal, 219, 260
- Energy Policy Act of 1992, federal, 203–4, 207
- Energy Policy Act of 2005, federal, 210
- Energy Policy and Conservation Act, federal, 178, 262
- Energy Star program, federal, 25, 48, 207
- Environmental Defense Fund (EDF), 45, 75, 94, 129–30
- Environmental Entrepreneurs, 146

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)

336

Index

- environmental organizations, 94
 - Alliance for Clean Energy, 182
 - Alliance to Save Energy, 75
 - Bluewater Network, 142–43, 146, 163
 - California climate policy influenced by, 165–66, 237
 - California energy policy influenced by, 142–43
 - California League of Conservation Voters, 146
 - California Tomorrow, 129–30
 - Citizens for Clean Air, 172–73
 - Coalition for Clean Air, 146
 - Ecological Society of America, 141
 - EDF, 45, 75, 94, 129–30
 - federal climate policy influenced by, 237
 - Friends of the Earth, 94
 - Group Against Smog Pollution, 123
 - Hudson Riverkeeper, 182–83
 - membership in, 75, 105, 123
 - National Audubon Society, 94
 - National Parks and Conservation Association, 94
 - National Wildlife Federation, 94
 - Nature Conservancy, 94
 - New York State climate policy influenced by, 237
 - NRDC, 75, 94, 146, 182–83
 - during Obama administration, 213
 - Pace Law School Energy Project, 182
 - Pavley and, 142–43
 - Planning and Conservation League, 146
 - renewable energy policy influenced by, 129–30
 - Resources for the Future, 75
 - Sierra Club, 75, 94, 123–26, 129–30, 146
 - Stamp Out Smog Group, 119, 121
 - UCS, 141, 150–51
 - Wilderness Society, 94
 - World Resources Institute, 75
 - World Wildlife Federation, 75
- Environmental Protection Agency, California. *See* California Environmental Protection Agency
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), U.S.
 - appliance standards and, 25, 291
 - biofuels and, 27
 - Clean Air Act and, 29–30, 202–3, 247
 - emissions trading and, 45
 - Energy Star program and, 25
 - greenhouse gas regulation by, 29, 209–10, 218–20, 242, 247
 - ozone-depleting substances regulation and, 29, 202–3
 - state-level implementation of policies by, 3–4
 - waivers for California standards by, 27–41
- Environmental Quality Act, California, 112, 115–18, 123–24, 132
- Environmental Quality Review Act, New York State, 173–74
- EPA. *See* Environmental Protection Agency, U.S.
- European Union (EU)
 - climate policies in, 2
 - Emissions Trading System, 2, 151, 163
 - Kyoto Protocol commitments, 2
 - renewable energy targets, 2
- explicit climate policies, 6–12, 16, 24, 29, 40–41, 88
- federal government, climate and energy policy
 - by, 6–8, 20–32. *See also* Obama, Barack, federal climate policy under
 - appliance standards and, 25
 - during Bush, George W., administration, 61–62, 73–75, 191–92, 194, 208–10, 226
 - during Bush, George H. W., administration, 61–62, 191–92, 201–4, 206–7
 - CAFE program, 21–22, 27, 30, 198
 - California climate policy influences on, 45–46
 - during Carter administration, 197–200, 236
 - case studies of, 29–30, 42, 46, 73–74, 157–58, 209–10, 215, 218–21, 229–30, 234–36
 - during Clinton administration, 191–92, 204–8, 226
 - compared to other industrialized democratic countries, 2, 220
 - cross-sectoral instruments, lack of, 21–24
 - development of, 191–92, 220–24
 - Energy Conservation and Production Act, 178, 262
 - energy crises and, 197–200
 - for energy efficiency, 25–26
 - Energy Policy Act of 1992, 203–4, 207
 - Energy Policy Act of 2005, 210
 - Energy Policy and Conservation Act, 178, 262
 - environmental organizations as influence on, 237
 - in the EU, 151, 163
 - executive authority in, 296
 - financial incentives in, 17–18
 - during Ford administration, 194–95, 197–98, 236

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)

Index

337

- future opportunities for, 251–55
- greenhouse gas emissions, effects on, 48, 48–50
- for industrial and power plant emissions, 5, 29, 209–10, 216–19, 241, 247
- key data and, 7
- Kyoto Protocol and, non-ratification of, 21–24
- main status quo goals of energy policy, 21, 65–66
- major policy changes, 20–22, 191–92, 220–24
- market-based instruments in, 17–18
- national targets in, 21–24
- New York State climate policy influences on, 45–46
 - during Nixon administration, 197
 - pluralist interest group systems and, 222
 - presidential attention to, 194–95
 - during Reagan administration, 191–92, 200–201
 - renewable energy, 26–27
 - separation of powers and, 222
 - structural explanations for, 9, 72–78, 225–29
 - traditional regulations in, 17–18
 - for transportation, 27–29
 - U.S. Congress and, 73–74, 197–204, 208–10, 214–17
 - for utilities, 26–30
 - voluntary programs, 17–18
- feedback. *See* policy feedback
- feed-in tariffs, 26–27, 39–40, 44, 131
- first-mover advantages, 60
- Flournoy, Houston, 127, 236
- focusing events, 58, 61–64, 70–71, 117, 190, 238–39, 282. *See also* drought; hurricanes; oil crises
- Ford, Gerald, 194–95, 197–98, 236
- fossil fuels
 - in California, 81–82
 - industry, interest groups representing, 74–76, 117–18, 160–63, 199–200, 204–5, 208, 210, 215–16, 222, 226–27
 - OPEC and, 75
 - prices, 57–58, 200–201
 - production, 56, 75, 81–82, 92–93, 228
 - framing, of issues, 59, 152–53, 158–61, 243–45
 - Friends of Mammoth* case, 124
 - Friends of the Earth, 94
 - fuel economy standards, state-level, 27–28. *See also* Corporate Average Fuel Economy program
 - Fuller, Louis, 120
 - geothermal energy, 26, 129, 279
 - Germany, climate policies in, 2, 21, 27
 - Gingrich, Newt, 61–62, 206
 - Global Climate Coalition, 74, 226
 - global commons, climate policy for, 1
 - global warming. *See* climate change
 - Global Warming Solutions Act, California, 3, 6–7, 16–17, 28–29, 32–33, 80–81, 121, 136, 149–61
 - advocacy coalition for, 160–63
 - CARB and, 143
 - electricity crisis and, 239
 - opposition to, 160–61
 - Proposition 23 and, 161–63, 228
 - Gore, Al, 151–52, 163, 184, 205, 213, 217, 237
 - government agencies and institutions. *See also* California Air Resources Board; Congress, U.S.; Senate, U.S.
 - California Environmental Protection Agency, 32, 154, 157, 283–84
 - California State Assembly, 79, 143
 - California State Senate, 79, 143
 - CEC, 35–36, 38–39, 112–14, 126
 - CPUC, 36, 38–39, 113–14, 130–31
 - Department of Energy, federal, 201
 - EPA, U.S., 3–4, 25, 29–30
 - New York State Assembly, 79, 285
 - New York State Senate, 79, 285
 - NYSERDA, 33, 42, 182–83
 - Public Services Commission, New York State, 33, 37, 40, 83, 177, 181, 184, 187–88
 - State Energy Office, New York, 38, 83, 183–84, 187, 229–30
 - government ideology, 55, 58–59, 76, 91–94
 - governors. *See* institutional power, of governors; individual governors
 - green parties, political strength of, 54–55, 80–81, 90
 - Green Power Partnership, federal, 21–22, 25, 207
 - greenhouse gas emissions
 - advocacy coalitions for regulation of, 247
 - in Australia, 2
 - in California, 46–47
 - California climate policy and, 6–7, 16, 49–50, 138–61
 - in Canada, 2
 - under CARB, 28–41
 - CEC response to, 149–50
 - CPUC response to, 149–50
 - executive orders for, 136–38, 153, 179, 207, 281

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)

338

Index

- greenhouse gas emissions (cont.)
 in federal climate policies, 48
 leakage and, 6
 in New Jersey, 21–24
 in New York State, 16, 46–47
 in Norway, 2
 as outcome of climate policies, 3, 46–50, 48
 under Pavley bill, 28, 30–31, 40–41
 reduction targets, 2, 5, 24, 32–35, 112–13, 155–57, 183
 in U.S., 3, 7, 15–16, 46–47, 264, 273, 295
 Greenpeace, 75
 Group Against Smog Pollution, 123
- Haley, Daniel, 177, 287
 Hansen, James, 201
 Home Insulation and Energy Conservation Act, New York State, 37, 178
 House of Representatives, U.S. *See* Congress, U.S.
 Hudson Riverkeeper, 182–83
 hurricanes, 63–64, 184–85, 212
 hydroelectric power, 39–40, 263, 277
- implicit climate policies, 6–12, 16–17, 259
 income levels
 postmaterialism and, 56
 as theoretical factor, 55–56, 84, 92
An Inconvenient Truth, 151–52, 184, 213
 industrial and power plant emissions of
 greenhouse gases
 of CO₂, 5, 29
 federal regulations on, 5, 29
 New York State climate policy and, 171–75
 state-level policies for, 29
 infrastructure projects, and New York State
 energy policy, 171–75
 Inhofe, James, 74
 institutional power, of governors
 in California, 79
 in New York State, 79, 169–70
 as theoretical factor, 58, 89–90
 institutions, political. *See* political institutions
 interest group mobilization, 54, 60–61, 90, 93–95, 229–42, 245–47. *See also*
 environmental organizations
 on California climate policy, 80–81, 117–18, 122
 by environmental organizations, 60–61, 94, 136–62, 173, 181–83, 213–14, 241–43
 on federal climate policy, 212–14, 216–17
 by fossil fuel industry, 74–76, 117–18, 160–62, 199–200, 203, 208–10, 216–17, 222, 226–27
 on the Global Warming Solutions Act (California), 158–63
 on New York State climate policy, 80–81, 173, 180–82
 on Pavley bill, 142–46
 on RPS legislation in California, 144–45
 interest group system. *See* neocorporatism; pluralism
 Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act, federal, 203
 international events, 67, 168, 212–14, 242
 issue framing. *See* framing, of issues
- Jackson, Lisa, 215
 Japan, carbon taxes in, 24
- Keese, William, 142
 Knight, Goodwin, 119
 Knox, John, 123–24
 Kyoto Protocol, 1
 California climate policy influenced by, 140
 Clinton and, 206–8
 EU commitments under, 2
 rejection by Bush, George W., 140–41, 179, 208–10
 U.S. non-ratification of, 21–24, 208
- Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), 25
 leakage, of greenhouse gas emissions, 6
 legal capacity, 164, 221
 legislative capacity, 91
 Lieberman, Joe, 141, 209, 211
 Lilienthal, David, 173
 Lindsay, John, 172–73
 local climate policies. *See* subnational climate policies
 Lockyer, Bill, 140, 157–58, 237
 Long, Russell, 140–41
 Los Angeles County Air Pollution Control District, 118–20, 122
 Lovins, Amory, 129
 Low, Robert, 172–73
 low-carbon fuel standards, 6–7, 28–29, 41
 Lowry, Robert, 94
- market-based instruments, 17–18
 Massachusetts, 31–32, 81–82, 87, 291

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

339

- Massachusetts v. EPA*, 29–30, 42, 46, 73–74, 157–58, 209–10, 215, 218–20
- Matisoff, Daniel, 93
- Mayors Climate Protection Agreement, 3–4
- McCain, John, 141, 209, 223–24, 236
- McCarthy, Leo, 130
- McFarland, James, 287
- McGreevey, James, 239
- methane standards, 29, 207, 293
- methods, research, 10–11
- comparative case studies and quantitative data, 102–3
 - content analysis, 115, 135–38, 151, 168–71, 192–97, 229
 - data sources and, 10–11
 - descriptive statistics, 106–8
 - independent variables in quantitative analysis, 89–95, 98–99, 106
 - multicollinearity, exclusions due to, 106–11
 - newspapers, use of data from, 115, 135–38, 151–52, 155–57, 168–71, 192–97, 229, 273, 277, 280, 285, 289
 - path analysis, 99–100
 - Pew Climate Policy Index, 88–89, 261, 272–73
 - political speeches, use of data from, 70–71, 137, 169–70, 200, 229, 277, 279, 281, 283, 285, 290
 - public opinion data and, 270
 - regression analysis and results, 95–98, 110–11
 - research design and, 9–11
 - robustness tests, 100–101, 110–11
 - theory testing and, 69–71
- Midwestern Regional Greenhouse Gas Reduction Accord, 24
- Million Solar Roofs Program, California, 154–55
- mitigation policies, climate change, 15–17.
See also climate and energy policies
- Montreal Protocol, 29
- Moretti, Robert, 127, 132–33
- Motor Vehicle Air Pollution Control Act, California, 120–21
- Mulford-Carrell Act, California, 114–15, 121–22, 132, 239
- multi-level governance, strategies for climate policy, 4–5
- National Appliance Energy Conservation Act, 45
- National Audubon Society, 94
- National Environmental Policy Act, 123
- National Parks and Conservation Association, 94
- National Resources Defense Council (NRDC), 75, 94, 146, 182–83
- National Wildlife Federation, 94
- Nature Conservancy, 94
- neocorporatism, 54, 80–81, 90, 265
- New England Governors' and Eastern Canadian Premiers' Climate Change Action Plan, 3–4
- New Jersey, greenhouse gas emissions targets in, 21–24
- New York State, climate and energy policy in, 6–8. *See also* Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative
- acceleration of, 184–86
 - administrative capacity and, 83, 183–84
 - air pollution and, 171
 - appliance standards in, 25, 45
 - blackouts and, 176–78, 286
 - building codes and, 38
 - California climate policy compared to, 42–44, 85, 188–90
 - during Carey administration, 173–78, 236
 - case studies of, 167–68, 229–30, 234–36
 - citizen ideology and, 82
 - Clean Air Act (federal) and, 187
 - Con Edison and, 172–75, 286
 - cross-sectoral approaches to, 33–34
 - during Cuomo, A., administration, 5, 39–40, 185–86, 236
 - development of, 167–68
 - economic composition and, 84–85
 - education levels and, 84
 - emissions trading in, 45
 - Energy Conservation and Production Act, federal, 178
 - energy crises and, 176–78
 - for energy efficiency, 37–38, 286
 - Energy Policy and Conservation Act, federal and, 178
 - energy production and, 81, 288
 - environmental organizations as influence on, 171–73, 182–83, 185–88, 237
 - Environmental Quality Review Act, 173–74
 - green party strength and, 80–81
 - greenhouse gas reduction targets in, 33–35
 - Home Insulation and Energy Conservation Act, 37, 178
 - income levels and, 84
 - infrastructure projects and, 171–75
 - institutional power of governors in, 79

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)

340

Index

New York State, climate and energy policy in (cont.)

interest group mobilization and, 80–81,

171–73, 180–83, 185–88

key data and, 7

during Lindsay administration, 172–73

major policy changes, 21–22

other states' climate policy influenced by, 45–46

during Pataki administration, 33–35, 38,

167–68, 179–84, 187

during Paterson administration, 185–86

path dependence in, 173

pluralism as influence on, 80–81

political agenda for, 168–71

power plant siting laws and, 171–75

public agenda for, 168–71

reduction targets in, 33–35

renewable energy in, 39–40

RGGI and, 3–4, 8, 24, 34–35, 167–68, 182–83

RPS and, 181

smart-growth programs, 42

smog events and, 171–75

during Spitzer administration, 181, 185

Storm King plant and, 173–74

structural barriers to, 79–82

structural factors promoting, 83–86

for transportation, 42

for wind energy, 178, 182–84

New York State Assembly, 79, 176–77, 285

New York State Energy Research and

Development Agency (NYSERDA), 33, 42, 182–83

New York State Senate, 79, 176–77, 285

newspaper data, 115, 135–38, 151–52,

168–71, 192–97, 229

Nichols, Mary, 283–84

Nixon, Richard, 197

Norway, emissions rates in, 2

NRDC. *See* National Resources Defense Council

nuclear energy, 21, 26–30, 78, 124, 128,

171–75, 197–98, 207, 259. *See also* anti-nuclear movement

Nunez, Fabian, 154–55

NYSERDA. *See* New York State Energy Research and Development Agency

NY-Sun Initiative, 39–40

Obama, Barack, federal climate policy under,

211–20, 236, 294

congressional opposition to, 216–17

greenhouse gas emissions reductions

commitments, 21–24, 257

legislative failures of, 211–12, 216–18

political context for, 215–16

problem awareness and, 212–14

regulatory progress of, xiii, 218–20

oil crises, 124–25, 127–31, 176, 197

oil glut, 200

O'Leary, Hazel, 205

OPEC. *See* Organization of Petroleum

Exporting Countries

Operation Bootstrap, 177

Oregon, 29, 45, 154, 249

Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), 75, 177–78, 197, 200

Ottinger, Richard, 173

Pace Law School Energy Project, 182

Pacific Coast Action Plan on Climate and

Energy, 249

Pacific Gas and Electric, 80–81, 127, 129–31,

139, 162, 215

Pastore, Jim, 197–98

Pataki, George, 238

New York State climate policy under, 33–35,

38, 167–68, 179–84, 187, 236

Paterson, David, 185–86

path dependence theory, 67–69, 133, 164, 173, 187

legal capacity and, 164, 221

policy feedback and, 245–48

Pavley, Fran, 138–39, 156, 163–64, 237

Pavley bill, 28, 30–31, 40–41, 113

CARB and, 143

Clean Air Act (federal) and, 46

interest group mobilization for, 144–45

justifications for, 148, 153

long-term impact of, 114

opposition to, 146–47

political coalitions and, 143–49, 158–61

Schwarzenegger and, 152–58

Pelosi, Nancy, 211

Pew Charitable Trust, 75, 94–95

Pew Climate Policy Index, 88–89, 261,

272–73

Pisani, Joseph, 287

Planning and Conservation League, California,

146

pluralism, 53, 90

federal climate policy influenced by, 222

state-level climate policy influenced by, 80–81,

227

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

341

- policy entrepreneurs, 9, 64–65, 239–40, 244
- policy feedback, 63–64, 164, 207, 221, 239–41, 247
- businesses and, 164, 207, 221, 247
- path dependence and, 245–48
- policy windows, 9, 62–63, 66–67, 69, 132, 163, 186, 220, 229–36. *See also* windows of opportunity theory
- political agendas, for climate policy, 62, 241
- in California, 135–38
- at federal level, 192–97
- York State, 169–70
- political commitment
- to climate policy, 64, 124–30, 142–43, 152–57, 177–82, 197–202, 204, 206–7, 209–11, 239–42
- to energy conservation, 200
- problem awareness and, 62–66, 239–42
- political culture, 55, 76–78. *See also* citizen ideology
- political events and political stream, 9, 63–65, 239–40. *See also* political processes, theory of
- political institutions, theory of, 9–10, 53–55, 72–74, 78–80, 89–92, 101–2, 222–24
- for administrative capacity, 55, 83, 91, 104, 113, 164, 183–84, 227
- concentrated governmental authority, 53, 89–90, 273
- green parties, 54–55, 80–81
- legislative capacity, 91
- neocorporatism, 54, 80–81
- openness of electoral systems, 90
- pluralism, 53, 80–81, 90
- proportional representation, 54–55, 265–66
- separation of powers, 53–54, 57, 72–73, 89–90
- political parties, 58–59, 93–94, 274. *See also* Democratic Party; green parties; Republican Party
- bipartisan support for environmental policies, 121–22, 134, 141, 201–4, 217, 270
- government ideology and, 93–94
- political party polarization, 59, 72–73, 196–97, 206, 215, 223, 292
- political processes, theory of, 9–10, 57–62, 93–95, 101–2
- election results, 236–38
- environmental organization membership, 75, 105, 123
- focusing events, 58, 61–64, 238–39
- government ideology, 55, 76, 91–94
- interest group mobilization, 54, 60–61, 74–76, 80–81, 90, 94–95
- leadership, 58
- oil crises, 124–25, 127–31, 197
- party polarization, 59
- policy entrepreneurs, 64–65, 244
- political parties, 58–59
- political processes, 9–10, 57–62, 93–95, 101–2
- public opinion, 61, 76–78
- political speeches, 70–71, 137, 169–70, 200, 229, 290
- political stream, 63–65, 239–40
- Posner, Seymour, 287
- postmaterialism, 56
- Poulson, Norris, 119
- power plant emissions. *See* industrial and power plant emissions
- problem awareness. *See* public agendas
- problem events and problem stream, 9, 63–64, 186–87, 239–40. *See also* economic processes, as causes of climate policy; focusing events
- Production Tax Credit, federal, 21–22, 26, 210, 221
- proportional representation, 54–55, 265–66
- Proposition 23, California, 161–63, 228, 247
- public agendas, for climate policy, 63–64. *See also* public opinion
- air pollution problems on, 115
- in California, 115, 135–41, 149–53
- climate change problems on, 135–36, 149–53, 168–71, 192–97
- energy problems on, 135–36, 139–41, 149–53, 168–71, 192–98
- at federal level, 192–98, 212–14
- in New York State, 168–71
- political commitment and, 239–42
- public benefits funds, 17–18, 87
- public opinion
- on cap-and-trade programs, 78
- on climate change, 76–77, 135–36, 193
- on climate policies, 61, 76–78, 196–97
- on global warming, 193
- partisan divisions in, 196–97, 223–24
- on solar, wind, and other renewable energy, 78
- Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA), federal, 21–22, 26
- punctuated equilibrium, theory of, 269
- Pure Air Act, California, 114–15, 121

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)

342

Index

Quinn, Tom, 128

Reagan, Ronald

as California governor, 126, 191–92
 federal Department of Energy under, 201
 federal energy policy reversals under,
 200–201

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI),

3–4, 8, 24, 34, 35, 167–68, 182–83

renewable energy. *See also* renewable portfolio standards

under Brown, J., 129

in California, 6–7, 38–39, 127–31, 137

during Carter administration, 199–200

CPUC and, 130–31

environmental organizations and, 129–30

EU targets, 2

at federal level, 26–27

feed-in tariffs and, 26–27

in New York State, 39–40, 183–84, 44

policy reversals concerning, 131, 200–201, 229

public opinion on, 78

in state-level climate policy, 26–27

Renewable Fuel Standard, 27, 264–65

renewable portfolio standards (RPSs)

in California, 38–39

as climate policies, 6–7, 26–27

coalitions and, 143–49, 158–61

at federal level, failure of, 209, 216

interest group mobilization for, 144–45

in New York State, 181

at state level, 26–27

Republican Party, 58–59

elected officials and climate policy, 73,

117–19, 121–22, 124, 131, 141, 154,

158, 177, 179, 200–204, 206, 208–10,

215–16, 219

Resources for the Future, 75

RGGI. *See* Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative

Romney, Mitt, 236

Rosenfeld, Arthur, 16–17, 129

Royce, Stephen, 117

RPSs. *See* renewable portfolio standards

Sawyer, Robert, 283–84

Schlesinger, James, 198–99

Schwarzenegger, Arnold, 238

AB 32 and, 149–61

California climate policy under, 149–61, 236

Pavley rules and, 154

Senate, U.S., 72–73, 204, 210. *See also*
 Congress, U.S.

separation of powers, 53–54, 57, 72–73, 89–90

in California climate policy, 139–64

federal climate policy and, 72–73, 222

unilateral executive action and, 73–74

Sher, Byron, 138–39, 163

Sierra Club, 75, 94, 123–26, 129–30, 146

Sierra Club v. Morton, 173

Significant New Alternatives Policy program,

federal, 21–22, 29

smart-growth programs, 42

smog, 70–71, 277–78

smog events

air pollution policy and, from 1940s to

1960s, 115–22, 228–29

in California, 115–22

in New York State, 171–75

protests against, 117, 119, 121, 123

SNAP program. *See* Significant New

Alternatives Policy program

socioeconomic structures, theory of, 55–57, 86,

92–93, 225–29

air pollution, 17, 57, 93, 104–5

CO₂ intensity, 106

economic composition, 56

education level, 56, 84, 92, 104, 133, 165, 227

fossil fuel production, 56, 75, 81–82, 92–93

income levels, 55–56, 84, 92

solar energy

in California, 32, 38–39, 84–85, 129–31,

154–55

in federal policy, 199, 201, 204

nationally, 26

in New York State, 39–40, 186

public opinion on, 78

state-level policies for, 26–27

Spitzer, Eliot, 181, 185, 237

Stamp Out Smog Group, 119, 121

State Energy Conservation Program, federal, 262

state-level climate and energy policies, 3–6,

20–32. *See also* California, climate and

energy policy in; New York State, climate

and energy policy in

administrative capacity and, 227

appliance standards and, 25

California effect and, 4–5, 60

education levels and, 104, 227

emissions trading programs in, 3–5, 8, 24,

249

energy efficiency policies, 25–26

EPA implementation as part of, 3–4

federal CAFE program and, 30

future opportunities for, 248–50

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-07439-2 - Political Opportunities for Climate Policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government

Roger Karapin

Index

[More information](#)*Index*

343

- greenhouse gas emissions targets in, 6, 21–24
- for industrial and power plant emissions, 29
- Mayors Climate Protection Agreement, 3–4
- multi-level governance strategies for, 4–5
- renewable energy policies, 26–27
- RGGI, 24
- for transportation, 27–29
- for utilities, 26–30
- variations among, 31–32, 88–89
- Storm King pumped storage plant, 171–74
- structural barriers to climate policy, 9, 79–82
- structural theories and explanations, 53–57.
 - See also* political culture; political institutions, theory of; socioeconomic structures, theory of
- subnational climate policies, 3–4, 258
- Sweden, climate policies in, 2
- Tamminen, Terry, 154
- Texas, 17, 81–82, 84–85, 161–62
- theory testing. *See* methods, research
- transportation policies. *See also* Corporate Average Fuel Economy program; Pavley bill; zero-emission vehicle program
 - in California, 40–42
 - at federal level, 27–29
 - in New York State, 42
 - Renewable Fuel Standard, 27, 264–65
- Tuck, Cindy, 154–55
- Ullman, Lloyd, 197–98
- unemployment rate, 61, 95
- Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS), 141, 150–51
- United Kingdom (U.K.)
 - carbon taxes in, 24
 - climate policies in, 2–4
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1, 21–24, 203
- emissions inventories under, 21–24
- United States (U.S.). *See also* Congress, U.S.; Environmental Protection Agency, U.S.; federal government, climate and energy policy by; Senate, U.S.; *specific states*
 - climate policy and successes of, 2–3, 20–32, 48
 - fossil fuel production in, 75
 - greenhouse gas emissions in, 3, 16
 - key data on, 7
 - multi-level governance strategies for, 4–5
 - utilities. *See also* Consolidated Edison; nuclear energy; Pacific Gas and Electric
 - alternative and renewable energy and, 38–39, 128–30, 146–47, 207
 - California electricity crisis and, 135
 - carbon emissions standards and, 36–37
 - under CPUC, 36, 113–14
 - decoupling and, 131
 - energy efficiency policies and, 26–30, 36, 127–31, 178, 201–2, 207, 286
 - lobbying on climate policy, 209, 211, 216–17
 - under NYSEDA, 183–84
 - power plant construction plans, 124–27, 173–74
 - under PURPA, 21–22, 26
 - restructuring and, 136–37, 181–82
- Vogel, David, 4–5
- voluntary climate programs, 17–18. *See also* Energy Star program
- Warren, Charles, 124–26, 237
- Warren-Alquist Act, 112–13, 115–18, 132
 - appliance standards under, 126
 - CEC creation under, 126, 133
- Washington State, 24, 29, 45, 154
- Waxman, Henry, 211–12
- Waxman-Markey bill. *See* American Clean Energy and Security Act
- Weatherization Assistance Program, federal, 262
- Western Climate Initiative, 3–4, 8, 24, 249
- Whitman, Christine, 209
- Wilderness Society, 94
- Wilson, Malcolm, 177, 236
- Wilson, Pete, 141
- Wiman, Al, 121–22
- wind power
 - in California, 38–39, 46, 129–31
 - federal policy for, 26, 200–201
 - generation and impacts, 26, 129, 280
 - natural potential, 84–85
 - in New York State, 39–40, 183–84
 - public opinion on, 78
- windows of opportunity theory, 9, 62–67, 186, 229–42
 - evidence for, summarized, 229–36
 - focusing events, 58, 61–64, 70–71, 117, 189–90, 238–39
 - modifications to, 229–42
 - multiple streams, 65
 - policy feedback, 63–64, 221, 245–48
 - policy stream, 268

windows of opportunity theory (cont.)	World Wildlife Federation, 75
policy windows, 9, 62–63	Wright, Jim, 197–98
political stream, 63–65, 239–40	
problem stream, 63–64, 186–87, 239–40	Younger, Evelle, 124, 128, 237
stream convergence and, 69, 229–36	
World Resources Institute, 75	zero-emission vehicle program, 27–28, 40–46