

Party Brands in Crisis

Why have so many established political parties across Latin America collapsed in recent years? *Party Brands in Crisis* offers an explanation that highlights the effect of elite actions on voter behavior. During the 1980s and 1990s, political elites across the region implemented policies inconsistent with the traditional positions of their party, provoked internal party conflicts, and formed strange-bedfellow alliances with traditional rivals. These actions diluted party brands and eroded voter attachment. Without the assured support of a partisan base, parties become more susceptible to short-term retrospective voting, and voters without party attachments deserted incumbent parties when they performed poorly. *Party Brands in Crisis* offers the first general explanation of party breakdown in Latin America; it also highlights the important effects party behavior can have on mass attitudes.

NOAM LUPU is Assistant Professor of Political Science and Trice Faculty Scholar at the University of Wisconsin–Madison. His research has appeared in *American Journal of Political Science, American Political Science Review, Comparative Political Studies, Journal of Politics,* and *World Politics,* among others. His dissertation, on which this book is based, won the Gabriel A. Almond Award and Juan Linz Prize. In 2014, he received the Emerging Scholar Award from the Political Organizations and Parties section of the American Political Science Association.





Party Brands in Crisis

Partisanship, Brand Dilution, and the Breakdown of Political Parties in Latin America

NOAM LUPU

University of Wisconsin-Madison





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107073609

© Noam Lupu 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Names: Lupu, Noam, author.

Title: Party brands in crisis: partisanship, brand dilution, and the breakdown of political parties in Latin America / Noam Lupu, University of Wisconsin–Madison. Description: New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, [2015] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015028913 | ISBN 9781107073609 (Hardback : alk. paper) Subjects: LCSH: Political parties–Latin America. | Party affiliation–Latin America. | Party discipline–Latin America. | Latin America–Politics and government–1980-3650 Classification: LCC JL969.A45 L86 2015 | DDC 324.2098–dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015028913

ISBN 978-1-107-07360-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Maria and Lucas





Contents

Lis	List of figures	
Lis	List of tables	
Acknowledgments		xiii
I	Why do parties break down?	I
2	Brand dilution and party breakdown	16
3	Explaining party breakdown across Latin America	42
4	Argentina: Peronism survives, Radicals collapse	59
5	Venezuela: AD and COPEI break down	101
6	Party brands and mass partisanship: experimental evidence	135
7	Party brands and mass partisanship in comparative perspective	152
8	Parties, partisanship, and democracy: conclusions	172
Appendix		185
Bibliography		205
Index		239

vii





Figures

2.1	sequential theory of party breakdown	page 40
3.1	Brand dilution, economic misery, and party breakdown	53
3.2	Alternative explanations for party breakdown	54
3.3	Cases and key explanatory variables	56
4.I	Party vote shares in Argentine presidential elections, 1963-2007	7 62
4.2	Partisan attachments with Peronist and Radical parties in Argent	ina,
	1983-2003	70
4.3	Political cartoon showing Radical-Peronist convergence, 1991	79
4.4	Legislative bipartisanship in Argentina, 1984–1995	80
4.5	Approval for Menem and economic plan, 1989–1995	82
4.6	Political cartoon showing Radical-Peronist convergence, 1993	85
4.7	Political cartoon showing Radical-Peronist convergence, 2001	95
5.1	Party vote shares in Venezuelan presidential elections, 1958-200	06 102
5.2	Perceived polarization and voter partisanship in Venezuela, 198	3 105
5.3	Political cartoon showing AD-COPEI polarization, 1985	110
5.4	Partisan attachments with AD and COPEI in Venezuela,	
	1983-1998	III
6.1	Complete party information card	140
6.2	Average treatment effects	142
6.3	Average treatment effects, conditioned by age	145
6.4	Average treatment effects, conditioned by political information	146
6.5	Average treatment effects – causal mechanisms	149
7.1	Party polarization and mass partisanship around the world	161
7.2	Party polarization and mass partisanship	162

ix



X		List of figures	
7.3	Party polarization and perceived polarization	164	
7.4	Perceived polarization and partisanship	166	
7.5	Perceived polarization and partisanship, panel analysis	т60	



Tables

3.I	Cases of party breakdown in Latin America, 1978–2007	page 45
3.2	Comparison of Argentina and Venezuela, c. 1990	57
4.I	Presidential vetoes, by party of origin	77
4.2	Partisanship, economic evaluations, and incumbent vote in 198	39
	and 1995	89
5.1	Ordinary laws passed, by origin	118
5.2	Partisanship, economic evaluations, and incumbent voting in 198	38
	and 1993	124
5.3	Party alliances in gubernatorial elections	128
Aι	Established parties in Latin America, 1978–2007	188
A2	Descriptive statistics for Chapter 3 data	193
A3	Probit models of party breakdown	194
A4	Probit models of voter partisanship in Venezuela, 1983	195
A 5	Survey sample representativeness	196
A6	Comparison of treatment conditions	196
A_7	Regression analyses of average treatment effects, without	
	covariates	197
A8	Regression analyses of average treatment effects, with covariates	s 198
A9	Descriptive statistics for Chapter 7 data	199
Aio	Multilevel probit models relating party polarization and voter	
	partisanship	200
Aii	Multilevel linear models relating party polarization and perceive	ed
	polarization	201

xi



xii

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-07360-9 - Party Brands in Crisis: Partisanship, Brand Dilution, and the Breakdown of Political Parties in Latin America Noam Lupu Frontmatter More information

A12	Multilevel probit models relating perceived polarization and voter	
	partisanship	202
A13	Structural equation model relating perceived polarization and	
	voter partisanship	203

List of tables



Acknowledgments

This book began as my dissertation at Princeton. Both during and since my graduate studies, it benefited tremendously from the thoughtful guidance, mostly gentle prodding, and unwavering encouragement of Sue Stokes, Deborah Yashar, Jonas Pontusson, and Chris Achen. Their mentorship has been exemplary, their friendship a privilege.

I was fortunate to receive two post-doctoral fellowships that gave me the time and the intellectually stimulating environment in which to work on the manuscript. I am grateful to the Helen Kellogg Institute for International Studies at the University of Notre Dame and the Center for Advanced Study in the Social Sciences at the Juan March Institute for their generous support. The Juan March Institute also supported a book workshop that improved the manuscript tremendously; I am grateful for the penetrating questions and useful suggestions of Anna Grzymala-Busse, Herbert Kitschelt, Steve Levitsky, Beatriz Magaloni, and Josh Tucker at that workshop. The final stages of data collection and revisions were generously supported by the University of Wisconsin–Madison. I am honored to have been welcomed into such a vibrant and inspiring community of scholars.

My thinking about this project was sharpened over the years by the generosity of colleagues who took the time to offer advice and ask tough questions. For that, I am grateful to Larry Bartels, Mark Beissinger, Valeria Brusco, Sarah Bush, Ernesto Calvo, Daniela Campello, Jorge Domínguez, Thad Dunning, Marty Gilens, Agustina Giraudy, Don Green, Guy Grossman, Chris Haid, Allen Hicken, Timo Idema, Matt Ingram, Vinay Jawahar, Stephen Kaplan, Dan Kselman, Marcelo Leiras, Steve Levitsky, Evan Lieberman, Juan Pablo Luna, Yonatan Lupu, Scott Mainwaring, Gwyneth McClendon, Becky Morton, Kanta Murali, Vicky Murillo, Dan Myers, Marcelo Nazareno, Virginia Oliveros, Pierre Ostiguy, Grigo Pop-Eleches, Markus Prior, Rachel Beatty Riedl, Ken Roberts, David Rueda, David Samuels, Michael Shifter, Hillel Soifer, Abbey

xiii



xiv Acknowledgments

Steele, Mariela Szwarcberg, Dustin Tingley, Josh Tucker, Teppei Yamamoto, Liz Zechmeister, and Cesar Zucco. Sarah Bush, Nick Carnes, Kanta Murali, Julio Ríos, and Rebecca Weitz-Shapiro were kind enough to read chapters in several stages of the writing process and their suggestions substantially improved the final product. I also thank Matias Bargsted, Ted Brader, Daniela Campello, Jose Vicente Carrasquero, Javier Corrales, Carlos Fara, Wonjae Hwang, José Molina, Manuel Mora y Araujo, Claudio Morduchowicz, Jana Morgan, Pierre Ostiguy, Aníbal Pérez-Liñán, Grigo Pop-Eleches, Karen Remmer, Santiago Rossi, Sue Stokes, Josh Tucker, and the Roper Center for Public Opinion Research for generously sharing data with me. José Luis Enríquez and Rachel Schwartz provided excellent research assistance.

I benefited also from the comments and suggestions of audiences at the Autonomous University of Barcelona, Catholic University of Córdoba in Argentina, Chicago, Columbia, Getúlio Vargas Foundation in Brazil, Harvard, Hertie School of Governance in Germany, Juan March Institute in Spain, Northwestern, Notre Dame, NYU, Oxford, Pontifical Catholic University in Chile, Princeton, Torcuato Di Tella University in Argentina, UCSD, University of the Republic of Uruguay, University of Salamanca in Spain, Vanderbilt, and Yale. I also received useful feedback on various chapters on panels at the annual meetings of the American Political Science Association (2010, 2011, 2013), European Political Science Association (2010, 2012), Midwest Political Science Association (2010, 2012, 2013), and Southern Political Science Association (2011).

My field research would not have been possible without the support and advice of colleagues and friends. In Venezuela, I was fortunate to receive advice from José Vicente Carrasquero, Javier Corrales, Hugo Díaz, Consuelo Iranzo, Francisco López Herrera, Margarita López Maya, Thais Maingón, Trino Márquez, Jana Morgan, Francisco Monaldi, Pedro Paúl Betancourt, Michael Penfold, Juan Carlos Rey, and Iruña Urruticoechea. Carlos Blanco was particularly generous with his extensive contacts in Venezuelan politics. Jacobo Eisen and Danilo Moreno helped me with every aspect of the often-cumbersome logistics of living and working in Caracas. Danilo and his family made my work-intensive trips to Caracas far more enjoyable than they otherwise would have been, for which I am grateful.

In Argentina, I received helpful suggestions from Sergio Berensztein, Alejandro Bonvecchi, Tulia Falleti, Carlos Gervasoni, Agustina Giraudy, Raúl Jorrat, Germán Lodola, Manuel Mora y Araujo, Vicky Murillo, Mario Riorda, Sebastián Saiegh, Maria Laura Tagina, and Juan Carlos Torre. Marcos Novaro and his staff at the Red de Archivos Orales de la Argentina Contemporánea at the Universidad de Buenos Aires were extremely helpful in providing me access to their library of recorded interviews. The staff at the newspaper archives of the Biblioteca del Congreso de la Nación was also extraordinarily patient with my many requests. My cousins in Buenos Aires provided a welcome distraction



Acknowledgments xv

and some of the best *asado* in town; the chance to spend time with them was a gratifying fringe benefit of my fieldwork.

The 2009 voter survey in Argentina would not have been possible without the collaboration of Valeria Brusco, Thad Dunning, Marcelo Nazareno, and especially Sue Stokes. I am also grateful to Gustavo Córdoba and Mario Riorda for their assistance with the implementation of the survey. Funding for the survey was generously provided by the Mamdouha S. Bobst Center for Peace and Justice at Princeton and the Council on Latin American and Iberian Studies at Yale. My field research in Argentina and Venezuela also benefited from the generous support of the Juan March Institute and three Princeton institutions: Princeton Institute for International and Regional Studies, the Program in Latin American Studies, and the Mamdouha S. Bobst Center for Peace and Justice. María del Pilar Iranzo and Maricel López provided assistance transcribing interviews.

Portions of this book draw on three articles of mine: "Party Polarization and Mass Partisanship: A Comparative Perspective," *Political Behavior* 37 (2): 331–356 (2015); "Brand Dilution and the Breakdown of Political Parties in Latin America," *World Politics* 66 (4): 561–602 (2014); and "Party Brands and Partisanship: Theory with Evidence from a Survey Experiment in Argentina," *American Journal of Political Science* 57 (1): 49–64 (2013). I am grateful to Cambridge University Press, John Wiley and Sons, and Springer for granting me permission to use that material here.

My grandparents, parents, colleague brother, and friends have encouraged me always. My wife, Maria, gave me unwavering support even as she endured long absences. She also gave us our son, Lucas, whose joy and curiosity have been infectious and inspiring. I dedicate this book to them, to Maria in thanks for the gift of her love, and to Lucas with the hope that he continues to ask questions about the world around him, and that he someday seeks out his own answers.