

THE CHALLENGE OF SAFEGUARDS IN THE WTO

The Challenge of Safeguards in the WTO provides a comprehensive overview of the safeguard mechanism in the multilateral trading system. It explains at length its historical and conceptual foundations and elaborates on the various requirements for the imposition of safeguards and the conduct of safeguard investigations. The author draws on his practical experience in order to analyse WTO case law as developed by WTO panels and the Appellate Body and to provide practical suggestions for the resolution of various complex issues that have arisen in practice. He also considers the challenges faced by companies involved in this type of cases.

FERNANDO PIÉROLA is Senior Counsel at the Advisory Centre on WTO Law (ACWL) in Geneva, Switzerland, and Lecturer in international trade law and dispute settlement at the University of Bern (World Trade Institute), the University of Lausanne and Saarland University (Europa-Institut). He also serves as arbitrator in international disputes under regional trade arrangements and is a regular commentator on international trade law issues.



CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND ECONOMIC LAW

Series Editors

Dr Lorand Bartels, *University of Cambridge* Professor Thomas Cottier, *University of Berne* Professor William Davey, *University of Illinois*

As the processes of regionalization and globalization have intensified, there have been accompanying increases in the regulations of international trade and economic law at the levels of international, regional and national laws.

The subject matter of this series is international economic law. Its core is the regulation of international trade, investment and cognate areas such as intellectual property and competition policy. The series publishes books on related regulatory areas, in particular human rights, labour, environment and culture, as well as sustainable development. These areas are vertically linked at the international, regional and national level, and the series extends to the implementation of these rules at these different levels. The series also includes works on governance, dealing with the structure and operation of related international organizations in the field of international economic law, and the way they interact with other subjects of international and national law.

Books in the series

The Challenge of Safeguards in the WTO

Fernando Piérola

General Interests of Host States in International Investment Law

Edited by Giorgio Sacerdoti, Pia Acconci, Mara Valenti and Anna De Luca

The Law of Development Cooperation: A Comparative Analysis of the World Bank, the EU and Germany

Philipp Dann

WTO Disciplines on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures: Balancing Policy Space and Legal Constraints

Dominic Coppens

Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Müslüm Yilmaz

International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Public Services and International Trade Liberalization: Human Rights and Gender Implications

Barnali Choudhury



The Law and Politics of WTO Waivers: Stability and Flexibility in Public International Law

Isabel Feichtner

African Regional Trade Agreements as Legal Regimes

James Thuo Gathii

 $Processes\ and\ Production\ Methods\ (PPMs)\ in\ WTO\ Law:\ Interfacing\ Trade\ and\ Social\ Goals$

Christiane R. Conrad

 $Non-Discrimination\ in\ International\ Trade\ in\ Services:\ 'Likeness'\ in\ WTO/GATS$

Nicolas Diebold

The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

The Multilateralization of International Investment Law

Stephan W. Schill

Trade Policy Flexibility and Enforcement in the WTO: A Law and Economics Analysis

Simon A. B. Schropp





THE CHALLENGE OF SAFEGUARDS IN THE WTO

FERNANDO PIÉROLA





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

> www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107071780

> > © Fernando Piérola 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Piérola, Fernando, 1975 - author. The challenge of safeguards in the WTO / Fernando Piérola. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-1-107-07178-0 (hardback)

- World Trade Organization.
 Foreign trade regulation.
 Tariff Law and legislation.
 Abuse of rights. I. Title.
- II. Title: The challenge of safeguards in the World Trade Organization.

K4610.P54 2014 382'.92-dc23 2014020709

ISBN 978-1-107-07178-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



List of figures page xvii

CONTENTS

		reface xix
	G	ATT/WTO dispute settlement reports xxi
	P	ART I Foundation of the safeguard mechanism 1
1	Н	Sistory of the safeguard mechanism 3
	1	Introduction of the safeguard mechanism 3
		1.1 General context 3
		1.2 The Atlantic Charter 4
		1.3 The new economic order after the Second World War 5
		1.4 Negotiating history of the safeguard clause 5
		1.4.1 US proposals of 1945 5
		1.4.2 The Suggested Charter 7
		1.4.3 Preparatory Committee negotiations 9
		1.4.4 London discussions 9
		1.4.5 The initiative of the GATT 16
		1.4.6 New York redrafting 17
		1.4.7 Geneva discussions: the GATT safeguard clause 18
		1.4.8 The Havana Conference 22
		1.5 ITO failure and the 'provisional' application of the GATT over
		forty-seven years 23
	2	The GATT: objectives, disciplines, exceptions 25
		2.1 Object and purpose 25
		2.2 Basic obligations 25
		2.3 Exceptions 27
		2.4 Dispute settlement 29
	3	Article XIX: the safeguard clause 29
		3.1 Article XIX:1(a) – the clause 31
		3.2 Article XIX:1(b) – safeguard for tariff preferences 31
		3.3 Article XIX:2 – transparency 32
		vii



viii

	CONTENTS
	3.4 Article XIX:3 – retaliation 32
	3.5 Summary of overall structure 33
4	
	4.1 Post-GATT refinements and application 34
	4.2 Operation of Article XIX during the GATT 1947 period 36
	4.3 Disputes on safeguards during the GATT 1947 period 40
	4.3.1 <i>United States – Fur Felt Hats</i> (complaint by Czechoslovakia) 42
	4.3.2 <i>United States – Dried Figs</i> (complaints by Greece and Turkey) 47
	4.3.3 <i>United States – Spring Clothespins</i> (complaint by Denmark and Sweden) 48
	4.3.4 Norway – Textile Products (complaint by the United Kingdom (for Hong Kong)) 48
	4.3.5 <i>Switzerland – Table Grapes</i> (complaint by the EEC) 50
	4.4 Concerns raised during the GATT 1947 period 50
	4.4.1 Discriminatory application of safeguards 50
	4.4.2 Market disruption 52
	4.4.3 Grey-area measures 54
	4.4.4 Clarification of requirements and establishment of procedural rules 55
	4.5 Attempts to establish new rules on safeguards 56
5	Negotiating history of the WTO Agreement on Safeguards 57
	5.1 Punta del Este Mandate 57
	5.2 Negotiation process: structure and initial steps 60
	5.3 The First Draft Agreement (June 1989) 61
	5.3.1 Content 61
	5.3.2 Follow-ups 65
	5.4 The Second Draft Agreement (January 1990) 65
	5.4.1 Content 65
	5.4.2 Follow-ups 66
	5.5 The Third Draft Agreement (July 1990) 67
	5.5.1 Content 67
	5.5.2 Follow-ups 70
	5.6 The Fourth Draft Agreement (October 1990) 70
	5.6.1 Content 70
	5.6.2 Follow-ups 72
	5.7 The Fifth Draft Agreement (June 1991) 72
	5.7.1 Content 72



		CONTENTS	ix
		5.7.2 Follow-ups 73	
		5.8 The Sixth Draft Agreement (December 1991) 73	
		5.9 The Secretariat's suggested corrections 74	
	6	Conclusion on the negotiating history of the safeguard mechanism 75	
	7	Operation of the safeguard mechanism during the WTO period	
	,	(1995–2013) 76	
2	т	he rationale of the safeguard mechanism 83	
_	1	Flexibility 83	
		1.1 Expectations and transaction costs 84	
		1.2 Rebus sic stantibus 86	
		1.3 Practical problems and usefulness of the safeguard	
		mechanism 88	
	2	Compensation and adjustment 89	
		2.1 Efficiency counter-argument 89	
		2.2 Compensation 91	
	2	2.3 Industrial adjustment 93	
	3	Political valve and institutional channel 95 Conclusion on the rationale for the safeguard mechanism 97	
	Р	ART II Right to apply a safeguard 99	
3		eriod of investigation and products at issue 101	
3		Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108 1.2.5 POI should not be based on fragmented periods 108 1.2.6 Could guidance been drawn from the recommendations	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108 1.2.5 POI should not be based on fragmented periods 108 1.2.6 Could guidance been drawn from the recommendations of the WTO Committee on Anti-Dumping	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108 1.2.5 POI should not be based on fragmented periods 108 1.2.6 Could guidance been drawn from the recommendations of the WTO Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices? 108	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108 1.2.5 POI should not be based on fragmented periods 108 1.2.6 Could guidance been drawn from the recommendations of the WTO Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices? 108 1.3 Practical remarks 110	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108 1.2.5 POI should not be based on fragmented periods 108 1.2.6 Could guidance been drawn from the recommendations of the WTO Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices? 108 1.3 Practical remarks 110 Products at issue 111	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108 1.2.5 POI should not be based on fragmented periods 108 1.2.6 Could guidance been drawn from the recommendations of the WTO Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices? 108 1.3 Practical remarks 110 Products at issue 111	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108 1.2.5 POI should not be based on fragmented periods 108 1.2.6 Could guidance been drawn from the recommendations of the WTO Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices? 108 1.3 Practical remarks 110 Products at issue 111 2.1 The investigated product 111 2.1.1 Significance 111	
3	P	Period of investigation and products at issue 101 Period of investigation 101 1.1 Significance 101 1.2 Legal guidance 103 1.2.1 POI focused on the most recent past 103 1.2.2 POI long enough to suit the facts 105 1.2.3 POI should include most recent data available 107 1.2.4 POI segments should be free of manipulation or bias 108 1.2.5 POI should not be based on fragmented periods 108 1.2.6 Could guidance been drawn from the recommendations of the WTO Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices? 108 1.3 Practical remarks 110 Products at issue 111 2.1 The investigated product 111 2.1.1 Significance 111	



X

		CONTENTS
		2.1.1.2.1 Horizontal coverage 114
		2.1.1.2.2 Vertical coverage 115
		2.1.2 The question of the legal standard 116
		2.2 The domestic like or directly competitive products 120
		2.2.1 Like products 123
		2.2.1.1 Criteria for the determination of likeness 125
		2.2.1.1.1 Properties, quality, nature and physical characteristics of the products 126
		2.2.1.1.2 Consumers' tastes and habits 127
		2.2.1.1.3 End uses 129
		2.2.1.1.4 Tariff classification 129
		2.2.1.2 Evaluation of likeness 130
		2.2.2 Direct competitiveness 131
		2.2.3 The burden of establishing likeness or direct competitiveness 134
		2.3 The order of analysis: investigated product or like or directly competitive product? 135
4	II	nforeseen developments and the effect of GATT
4		obligations 136
	1	Relationship between unforeseen developments and the effect of
	1	GATT obligations and the other requirements for the imposition
		of a safeguard 136
		1.1 Coexistence of Article XIX:1(a) with the AS 136
		1.2 Unforeseen developments and effect of GATT obligations as
		circumstances and not as conditions for the imposition of a
		safeguard 140
		1.3 Logical connection and the question of causation 140
		1.4 Reasoned and adequate conclusions on unforeseen developments and the effect of GATT obligations 142
	2	Unforeseen developments 143
	_	2.1 Meaning 143
		2.2 Function 146
		2.3 Operative aspects of unforeseen developments 147
		2.4 Types of developments that have been discussed in case law 152
	3	Effect of GATT obligations incurred, including
		concessions 157
		3.1 Rationale 157
		3.2 Scope 159



	CONTENTS	xi
	3.3 Could the effect of the obligations include the MFN obligations? 161	
	3.4 Discussion of the requirement in WTO disputes 162	
Ir	ncrease in imports 163	
1	Definition of 'imports', data gathering and the measurement of	
	imports 163	
	1.1 Relevant imports 164	
	1.1.1 Entries into export-processing zones 164	
	1.1.2 Exclusion of imports made by domestic producers 165	
	producers 165 1.1.3 Exclusion of imports because of their	
	negligibility 166	
	1.1.4 Exclusion of imports unrelated to the unforeseen	
	developments or the effect of GATT obligations? 166	
	1.2 Challenges in gathering import data 168	
	1.3 Measurement in terms of volume vs. value 170	
	1.4 Challenges in measuring volumes 171	
	1.5 Increase in imports 171	
	1.6 Absolute increase in imports 172	
	1.6.1 Recent, sudden, sharp and significant increases, andArticle 4.2(a) of the AS 172	
	1.6.2 Assessment of trends 173	
	1.6.3 Baseline 174	
	1.6.4 Recent past against overall POI 176	
	1.6.5 Comparisons of segments 178	
	1.6.6 The measurement of the 'increase' 178	
	1.7 Relative increase in imports 179	
2	Increase in imports and retaliation 180	
3	Increase in imports and evolution of prices 181	
Se	erious injury 183	
1	Domestic industry 183	
	 Strategic incentives shaped by the definition of domestic industry 184 	
	1.1.1 Definition 185	
	1.1.1.1 Relevant producers 188	
	1.1.1.1.1 Minimum production threshold 189	
	1.1.1.1.2 Geographical location of producers 190	

6



xii

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-07178-0 - The Challenge of Safeguards in the WTO Fernando Piérola Frontmatter More information

		CONTENTS		
	1.1.1.1.3 Domestic producers at the supranational level 191			
		1.1.1.2 Number of producers: 'all' or a 'major proportion' 193		
		1.1.1.3 Lack of standing rules for the initiation of a safeguard investigation 195		
		1.1.1.4 The domestic industry as the basis for the injury analysis 196		
	2	Serious injury 197		
		2.1 Meaning of serious injury 197		
		2.2 Considerations for the evaluation of serious injury 198		
		2.2.1 Factors having a bearing on the state of the industry 199		
	2.2.2 Selection of factors of an objective and quantifiable nature and data collection 201			
	2.2.3 Evaluation of the specific injury indicators listed in Article 4.2(a) 203			
		2.2.3.1 Rate and amount of the increase in imports in absolute and relative terms 207		
		2.2.3.2 The share of the domestic market taken by		
		increased imports 207		
		2.2.3.3 Changes in the level of sales 208		
		2.2.3.4 Changes in the level of production 210		
		2.2.3.5 Changes in the level of productivity 212		
		2.2.3.6 Changes in the level of capacity utilization 213		
		2.2.3.7 Changes in profits and losses 214		
		2.2.3.8 Changes in the level of employment 218		
		2.3 Evaluation of the significant overall impairment 219		
	3	Threat of serious injury 220		
		3.1 Meaning of threat of serious injury 220		
		3.2 Evidentiary requirements 220		
		3.3 Methodological considerations 222		
		3.4 Relationship between the threat of serious injury and serious injury 224		
7	C	ausal link 226		
	1	Appropriateness of the causal link between increased imports and		
		serious injury 226		

2 Demonstration of causation as a multilayered process

228



xiii CONTENTS 2.1 Evaluation of causation based on objective evidence 229 2.1.1 The analysis of coincidence in time 2.1.2 Analysis of conditions of competition 236 2.2 The non-attribution analysis 240 2.2.1 Appropriateness of non-attribution 241 2.2.1.1 Functioning 242 2.2.2 Examples of other sources 2.47 2.2.2.1 Other imports contributing to the serious injury 247 2.2.2.1.1 Foreseen increased imports 248 2.2.2.1.2 Imports that cause injury but were made by the domestic industry itself 248 2.2.2.1.3 Could de minimis imports be excluded from the investigation? 2.2.2.1.4 Imports from regional trading partners 249 2.2.2.1.5 Imports from developing countries excluded under Article 9.1? 250 2.2.2.2 Demand-related changes contributing to the serious injury 250 2.2.2.1 Contraction in demand 2.2.2.2 Changes in consumption patterns that may modify demand 2.2.2.3 Trade-restrictive practices and 254 competition 2.2.2.4 Developments in technology 255 2.2.2.5 Export performance and productivity 255 2.2.2.6 Capacity increases 256 2.2.2.7 Inefficiency in domestic production 258 2.2.2.8 Change in input costs 2.2.2.9 Purchaser consolidation 259 2.2.2.10 Increases in energy costs 2.2.2.11 Cessation of subsidies payments 261 2.2.2.12 Lack of effective marketing policies 261

262

2.2.2.13 Other factors



xiv

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-07178-0 - The Challenge of Safeguards in the WTO Fernando Piérola Frontmatter More information

> CONTENTS PART III Application of a safeguard 263 8 Scope of a safeguard 265 1 Product scope 1.1 Lack of symmetry between the scope of the investigated product and the scope of the like or directly competitive product 1.2 Lack of symmetry between the scope of the products at issue and the scope of the safeguard 1.2.1 Expansion of a safeguard beyond the scope of the products at issue 1.2.1.1 Products with differentiated features or 'new' products 269 1.2.1.2 Parts and components, raw material, inputs 2.70 1.2.2 Contraction of the product scope of a safeguard 2.71 2 Geographical scope: non-discrimination, MFN application and exceptions 2.1 Non-discrimination in the application of safeguards 272 2.1.1 Does Article 2.2 incorporate the MFN clause? 273 2.1.2 MFN application of safeguards 274 2.2 Exceptions to non-discrimination 276 2.2.1 Regional imports and parallelism 277 2.2.1.1 Parallelism 2.2.1.2 Exclusion of imports from regional trading partners 2.2.2 Exclusion of developing country imports under Article 9.1 of the AS 9 The extent of the safeguard 291 1 Necessity of the safeguard 291 2 Prevention or remedy of the serious injury 293 3 Facilitation of the adjustment 4 The establishment of the extent 10 The form of a safeguard measure 302 1 Nature of a safeguard 1.1 Safeguards in the nature of the suspension of GATT concessions and other obligations 302 1.2 Safeguards that do not involve the suspension of GATT concessions or other obligations 304



		CONTENTS	xv	
	2	The selection of the appropriate trade policy instrument 2.1 Safeguards in the form of duties 308 2.2 Safeguard measures in the form of TRQs 309 2.3 Safeguard measures in the form of quotas 311 2.4 Trade policy devices that are not allowed as safeguards 313		
11	Te	emporal application and provisional safeguards 315		
	1 2 3	Duration of safeguards 315 Progressive liberalization of safeguards 316 Review and renewal and prohibition to reinstate applied safeguards 318 Provisional safeguards 319		
	D	· ·		
		ART IV Procedures for the application of feguard measures 323		
10				
12		omestic investigation 325		
	1 2	General considerations 325 Obligation to establish competent authorities and procedures 327		
		2.1 Competent authorities 327		
	2.2 Procedures 328			
	3 Public notice of investigation 329			
		Right of defence 330		
	5	5 Reasoned and adequate findings, conclusions, explanations and analyses 332		
		5.1 Content of Article 3.1, last sentence, and Article 4.2(c) 333		
		5.2 Importance of reasoned and adequate determinations 335		
	5.2 Importance of reasoned and adequate determinations 355 5.3 Types of failures to provide 'reasoned and adequate' findings and conclusions 337			
	6	Confidential information 338		
13	M	fultilateral proceedings 341		
	1	General considerations 341		
	2	Notifications to the WTO 342		
		2.1 Notification of the initiation of investigation 344		
		2.2 Notification of a finding of serious injury or threat thereof 345		
		2.3 Notification of the decision to apply or extend a safeguard346		



- 2.4 Notification of the decision to apply a provisional safeguard 347
- 2.5 Notification of the exclusion of imports from developing countries under Article 9.1 348
- 2.6 Notification of results of consultations, mid-term reviews, and of 'any form of compensation' and 'proposed' retaliation 349
- 2.7 Notification of the cessation of a safeguard 349
- 3 Consultations 350
 - 3.1 Consultations with respect to a definitive safeguard 352
 - 3.2 Consultations with respect to a provisional safeguard 354
- 4 Compensation 354
 - 4.1 Maintenance of a substantially equivalent level of concessions and other GATT obligations 355
 - 4.2 Trade compensation 355
- 5 Retaliation or suspension of concessions or other obligations 358

Concluding remarks 364 Bibliography 369 Index 383



FIGURES

1.1	Deviations contemplated in the GATT page 28				
1.2	Types of actions provided in GATT Article XIX 33				
1.3	Safeguard measures introduced and notified during the				
	GATT 1947 period 37				
1.4	•				
1.5	Imposition of safeguard measures from 29 March 1995 to 31 March 2013	7			
1.6					
	31 March 2013 78				
1.7	Ranking of main users of safeguard measures 79				
1.8	Ranking of main users of safeguard investigations 80				
1.9	Comparison of the use of safeguards and other trade remedies				
	(absolute figures) 80				
1.10	Comparison of the use of safeguards and other trade remedies				
	(relative use) 81				
3.1	The period of investigation in the context of the investigation process	102			
3.2	Implications of the definition of the investigated product 112				
3.3 Distinctions to consider in the determination of the investigated					
	product 114				
3.4	Implications of the like product or directly competitive product				
	determination 121				
3.5	Relationship between like and the directly competitive products 121				
3.6	Criteria developed by the panel in <i>Argentina – Footwear</i> 125				
3.7	Order of analysis in the question of the like products 127				
4.1	Concessions affected in WTO dispute settlement proceedings 160				
5.1	Situations covered by safeguard action 167				
5.2	Total imports of footwear into Argentina, 1991–1996 (quantity) 175				
5.3	Total imports of footwear into Argentina, 1991–1996 (value) 176				
5.4	Total imports of wheat gluten into the United States,				
	1993–1998 (quantity) 177				
6.1	Impact of the definition of domestic industry 184				
6.2	The question of the like product in the determination of serious injury	186			
6.3	Relationship between like and directly competitive products and the				
	determination of serious injury 187				
	xvii				



xviii	LIST OF FIGURES	
6.4	Order of analysis in the determination of serious injury 187	
6.5	Determination of serious injury in the context of a customs union 192	
6.6	Comparison of serious injury indicators in trade remedy agreements 205	
6.7	Sales level determinants 210	
6.8	Production level determinants 211	
6.9	Productivity determinants 213	
6.10	Profits determinants (I) 215	
6.11	Profits determinants (II) 215	
6.12	Evidentiary requirements for threat of serious injury (I) 221	
6.13	Evidentiary requirements for threat of serious injury (II) 222	
8.1	Symmetry between investigated product and scope of safeguard	
	investigation 266	
8.2	Symmetry between investigated product, like product and scope of safeguard	
	investigation 266	
8.3	$A symmetry\ between\ investigated\ product, like\ product\ and\ scope\ of\ safeguard$	
	investigation (I) 267	
8.4	Asymmetry between investigated product, like product and scope of safeguard	
	investigation (II) 268	
8.5	Sequence of allegations in the question of parallelism (I) 279	
8.6	Sequence of allegations in the question of parallelism (II) 281	
8.7	Burden of proof in the demonstration of parallelism 282	
8.8	Evolution of parallelism in WTO case law 283	
9.1	Impact of a safeguard on the state of the domestic industry (I) 292	
9.2	Impact of a safeguard on the state of the domestic industry (II) 298	
12.1	Procedural considerations in a safeguard investigation 327	
12.2	Main sources of evidence and information 332	
12.3	Reasoned and adequate explanations and conclusions in the context of a	
	safeguards investigation 336	
12.4	Submission of confidential information 339	
13.1	Overview of the requirements for the imposition of a safeguard 342	



PREFACE

This book is focused on the establishment, functioning and practice of one of the allegedly basic, though most complex mechanisms in the multilateral trading system: the mechanism of safeguards under Article XIX of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade and the WTO Agreement on Safeguards. It is as basic as the core tenets of the system. Article XIX was introduced to restore the negotiated balance should it be distorted by anomalous circumstances unforeseen by the negotiators at that time. However, its functioning over the last half of the twentieth century proved that its complexities were larger than expected. Its limitations resulted in resort to alternative, though legally dubious, trade policy devices. This led to the establishment of the WTO Agreement on Safeguards, which elaborates and clarifies many of the general concepts provided in Article XIX.

However, the functioning of the safeguard mechanism in its current format under the WTO system has also not proven to be an easy business. There is no guidance, or assistance, on how Members should organize themselves to structure domestic procedural rules, conduct a safeguard investigation and comply with the requirements of Article XIX and the Agreement on Safeguards. Furthermore, the application of these requirements is plagued by open-ended questions; some of them may appear labyrinthine. Thus far in WTO history, no multilateral safeguard has ever survived to a challenge before WTO panels and the Appellate Body. The reason is partly because of the law itself. While elaborating or clarifying Article XIX, the Agreement on Safeguards has also opened new areas of enquiry, the requirements of which remain unclear. Obligations are based on concepts leading to tests that are not evident from the wording of the provisions. Sometimes they must be figured out only through or after a challenge. Panels and the AB may have resolved various disputes in a pragmatic fashion. However, some of the answers given have raised new

This book provides a legal overview of the safeguard mechanism since its creation to 2014. The focus is mainly legal, with particular emphasis on

xix



XX PREFACE

practice and case law. It examines the requirements provided in Article XIX and the AS from the perspective of WTO litigation. However, it starts off in Part I with a historical discussion of the origins of the regime and the general theory behind it. Part II examines the complexities involved in the determination of the right to apply a safeguard. Part III explains the considerations involved in the application of a safeguard. Finally, Part IV discusses the procedural aspects that accompany a national investigation and the multilateral proceedings attached to the investigation and adoption of a safeguard.

I want to give recognition to Prof. Thomas Cottier and Prof. Marion Panizzon, who gave me comments on an earlier draft of this work. In addition, I also want to thank Martha D. Piérola for her comments on the economic arguments for or against the safeguard mechanism. Of course, the final expression of points of view will always remain that of the author.



GATT/WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

Short title Full case title and citation

Argentina – Footwear Appellate Body Report, Argentina – Safeguard

Measures on Imports of Footwear, WT/ DS121/AB/R, adopted 12 January 2000, DSR

2000:I, 515

Argentina – Footwear Panel Report, Argentina – Safeguard Measures on Imports of Footwear, WT/DS121/R,

adopted 12 January 2000, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS121/AB/R,

DSR 2000:II, 575

Argentina – Peaches Panel Report, Argentina – Definitive Safeguard

Measure on Imports of Preserved Peaches, WT/DS238/R, adopted 15 April 2003, DSR

2003:III, 1037

Argentina – Poultry Panel Report, Argentina – Definitive Anti–

Dumping Duties on Poultry from Brazil, WT/DS241/R, adopted 19 May 2003, DSR

2003:V, 1727

Canada – Renewable Energy Appellate Body Report, Canada – Certain

Measures Affecting the Renewable Energy Generation Sector, WT/DS412/AB/R,

adopted 24 May 2013

Chile - Price Band Panel Report, Chile - Price Band System and

Safeguard Measures Relating to Certain Agricultural Products, WT/DS207/R, adopted 23 October 2002, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS207/AB/R,

DSR 2002:VIII, 3127

Colombia – Ports of Entry
Panel Report, Colombia – Indicative Prices and
Restrictions on Ports of Entry, WT/DS366/R

and Corr.1, adopted 20 May 2009, DSR

2009:VI, 2535

Dominican Republic – Import and Sale of Cigarettes Panel Report, *Dominican Republic – Measures*Affecting the Importation and Internal Sale
of Cigarettes, WT/DS302/R, adopted 19 May
2005, as modified by Appellate Body Report

WT/DS302/AB/R, DSR 2005:XV, 7425

xxi



EC - Hormones

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-07178-0 - The Challenge of Safeguards in the WTO Fernando Piérola Frontmatter More information

XXII GATT/WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

Short title Full case title and citation

Dominican Republic – Safeguard Measures

Safeguard Measures

Measures on Imports of Polypropylene Bags and Tubular Fabric, WT/DS415/R, WT/DS416/R, WT/DS417/R, WT/DS418/R,

adopted 22 February 2012

EC – Aircraft Appellate Body Report, European

Communities and Certain Member States – Measures Affecting Trade in Large Civil Aircraft, WT/DS316/AB/R, adopted 1 June

2011

EC – Asbestos Appellate Body Report, European

Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-Containing Products, WT/

DS135/AB/R, adopted

5 April 2001, DSR 2001:VII, 3243 Appellate Body Report, EC Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones), WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/ AB/R, adopted 13 February 1998, DSR

1998:I, 135

EC – IT Products Panel Report, European Communities and its

Member States – Tariff Treatment of Certain Information Technology Products, WT/ DS375/R, WT/DS376/R, WT/DS377/R, adopted 21 September 2010, DSR 2010:III,

933-DSR 2010:IV, 1567

EC – Salmon Panel Report, European Communities – Anti-

Dumping Measure on Farmed Salmon from Norway, WT/DS337/R, adopted 15 January

2008, and Corr.1, DSR 2008:I, 3

Egypt – Steel Rebar Panel Report, Egypt – Definitive Anti-Dumping

Measures on Steel Rebar from Turkey, WT/ DS211/R, adopted 1 October 2002, DSR

2002:VII, 2667

Indonesia – Autos Panel Report, Indonesia – Certain Measures

Affecting the Automobile Industry, WT/DS54/R, WT/DS55/R, WT/DS59/R, WT/DS64/R and Corr.1 and 2, adopted 23 July 1998, and Corr. 3 and 4, DSR 1998:VI, 2201

Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II Appellate Body Report, Japan – Taxes on

Alcoholic Beverages, WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R, adopted 1

November 1996, DSR 1996:I, 97



GATT/WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

xxiii

Short title	Full case title and citation
Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II	Panel Report, <i>Japan – Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages</i> , WT/DS8/R, WT/DS10/R, WT/DS11/R, adopted 1 November 1996, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R, DSR 1996:I, 125
Korea – Alcoholic Beverages III	Appellate Body Report, <i>Korea – Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages</i> , WT/DS75/AB/R, WT/DS84/AB/R, adopted 17 February 1999, DSR 1999:I, 3
Korea – Alcoholic Beverages III	Panel Report, <i>Korea – Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages</i> , WT/DS75/R, WT/DS84/R, adopted 17 February 1999, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS75/AB/R, WT/DS84/AB/R, DSR 1999:I, 44
Korea – Beef	Appellate Body Report, Korea – Measures Affecting Imports of Fresh, Chilled and Frozen Beef, WT/DS161/AB/R, WT/DS169/ AB/R, adopted 10 January 2001, DSR 2001:I, 5
Korea – Dairy	Appellate Body Report, Korea – Definitive Safeguard Measure on Imports of Certain Dairy Products, WT/DS98/AB/R, adopted 12 January 2000, DSR 2000:I, 3
Korea – Dairy	Panel Report, Korea – Definitive Safeguard Measure on Imports of Certain Dairy Products, WT/DS98/R and Corr.1, adopted 12 January 2000, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS98/AB/R, DSR 2000:I, 49
Korea – Vessels	Panel Report, Korea – Measures Affecting Trade in Commercial Vessels, WT/DS273/R, adopted 11 April 2005, DSR 2005:VII, 2749
Mexico – Olive Oil	Panel Report, Mexico – Definitive Countervailing Measures on Olive Oil from the European Communities, WT/DS341/R, adopted 21 October 2008, DSR 2008:IX, 3179
Mexico – Pipes and Tubes	Panel Report, Mexico – Anti-Dumping Duties on Steel Pipes and Tubes from Guatemala, WT/DS331/R, adopted 24 July 2007, DSR 2007:IV, 1207
Mexico – Rice	Appellate Body Report, Mexico – Definitive Anti-Dumping Measures on Beef and Rice, Complaint with Respect to Rice, WT/DS295/ AB/R, adopted 20 December 2005, DSR 2005:XXII, 10853



XXIV GATT/WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

Short title	Full case title and citation
Mexico – Rice	Panel Report, <i>Mexico – Definitive Anti- Dumping Measures on Beef and Rice, Complaint with Respect to Rice,</i> WT/ DS295/R, adopted 20 December 2005, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/ DS295/AB/R, DSR 2005: XXIII, 11007
Norway – Textiles	GATT Panel Report, Norway – Restrictions on Imports of Certain Textile Products, L/4959, adopted 18 June 1980, BISD 27S/119
Thailand – H-Beams	Appellate Body Report, Thailand – Anti- Dumping Duties on Angles, Shapes and Sections of Iron or Non-Alloy Steel and H-Beams from Poland, WT/DS122/AB/R, adopted 5 April 2001, DSR 2001: VII, p. 2701
Turkey – Textiles	Appellate Body Report, Turkey – Restrictions on Imports of Textile and Clothing Products, WT/DS34/AB/R, adopted 19 November 1999, DSR 1999:VI, 2345
US – Cotton Yarn	Appellate Body Report, United States – Transitional Safeguard Measure on Combed Cotton Yarn from Pakistan, WT/DS192/ AB/R, adopted 5 November 2001, DSR 2001:XII, 6027
US – Fur Felt Hats	Report on the Withdrawal by the United States of a Tariff Concession under Article XIX of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, GATT/CP/106, adopted 22 October 1951
US – Hot-Rolled Steel	Appellate Body Report, United States – Anti- Dumping Measures on Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Japan, WT/DS184/AB/R, adopted 23 August 2001, DSR 2001:X, 4697
US – Lamb	Appellate Body Report, United States – Safeguard Measures on Imports of Fresh, Chilled or Frozen Lamb Meat from New Zealand and Australia, WT/DS177/AB/R, WT/DS178/AB/R, adopted 16 May 2001, DSR 2001:IX, 4051
US – Lamb	Panel Report, United States – Safeguard Measures on Imports of Fresh, Chilled or Frozen Lamb Meat from New Zealand and Australia, WT/DS177/R, WT/DS178/R, adopted 16 May 2001, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS177/AB/R, WT/DS178/AB/R, DSR 2001:IX, 4107



GATT/WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

Short title Full case title and citation US - Line Pipe Appellate Body Report, United States -Definitive Safeguard Measures on Imports of Circular Welded Carbon Quality Line Pipe from Korea, WT/DS202/AB/R, adopted 8 March 2002, DSR 2002:IV, 1403 Panel Report, United States - Definitive US - Line Pipe Safeguard Measures on Imports of Circular Welded Carbon Quality Line Pipe from Korea, WT/DS202/R, adopted 8 March 2002, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS202/AB/, DSR 2002:IV, 1473 US – Softwood Lumber V Panel Report, United States - Final Dumping Determination on Softwood Lumber from Canada, WT/DS264/R, adopted 31 August 2004, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS264/AB/R, DSR 2004:V, 1937 US - Stainless Steel (Mexico) Appellate Body Report, United States - Final Anti-Dumping Measures on Stainless Steel from Mexico, WT/DS344/AB/R, adopted 20 May 2008, DSR 2008:II, 513 US - Steel Safeguards Panel Report, United States - Definitive Safeguard Measures on Imports of Certain Steel Products, WT/DS248/R, WT/DS249/R, WT/DS251/R, WT/DS252/R, WT/DS253/R, WT/DS254/R, WT/DS258/R, WT/DS259/R, and Corr.1, adopted 10 December 2003, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/ DS248/AB/R, WT/DS249/AB/R, WT/DS251/ AB/R, WT/DS252/AB/R, WT/DS253/AB/R, WT/DS254/AB/R, WT/DS258/AB/R, WT/ DS259/AB/R, DSR 2003:VIII, 3273 US - Steel Safeguards Appellate Body Report, United States -Definitive Safeguard Measures on Imports of Certain Steel Products, WT/DS248/AB/R, WT/DS249/AB/R, WT/DS251/AB/R, WT/ DS252/AB/R, WT/DS253/AB/R, WT/DS254/ AB/R, WT/DS258/AB/R, WT/DS259/AB/R, adopted 10 December 2003, DSR 2003:VII, 3117 US - Upland Cotton Panel Report, United States - Subsidies on Upland Cotton, WT/DS267/R, Corr.1, and Add.1 to Add.3, adopted 21 March 2005, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/

DS267/AB/R, DSR 2005:II, 299

XXV



XXVI GATT/WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

Short title Full case title and citation

US - Wheat Gluten Appellate Body Report, United States -

Definitive Safeguard Measures on Imports of Wheat Gluten from the European Communities, WT/DS166/AB/R, adopted 19

January 2001, DSR 2001:II, 717

US – Wheat Gluten Panel Report, United States – Definitive

Safeguard Measures on Imports of Wheat Gluten from the European Communities, WT/DS166/R, adopted 19 January 2001, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/

DS166/AB/R, DSR 2001:III, 779