### THE STRUCTURE OF ENQUIRY IN PLATO'S EARLY DIALOGUES

This book proposes and defends a radically new account of Plato's method of argument and enquiry in his early dialogues. Vasilis Politis challenges the traditional account according to which these dialogues are basically about the demand for definitions, and questions the equally traditional view that what lies behind Plato's method of argument is a peculiar theory of knowledge. He argues that these dialogues are enquiries set in motion by dilemmas and *aporiai*, incorporating both a sceptical and an anti-sceptical dimension, and the search for essences, precisely in order to avoid a sceptical conclusion and hold out the prospect that knowledge can be achieved. His argument will be of great value to all readers interested in Plato's dialogues and in methods of philosophical argument more generally.

VASILIS POLITIS is Head of Philosophy and Director of the Plato Centre at Trinity College Dublin. He is co-editor of *The Aporetic Tradition in Ancient Philosophy* (with Giorgos Karamanolis, Cambridge, forthcoming), and has published numerous essays in journals including *Phronesis*.

# THE STRUCTURE OF ENQUIRY IN PLATO'S EARLY DIALOGUES

VASILIS POLITIS





#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107068117

© Vasilis Politis 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Politis, Vasilis. The structure of enquiry in Plato's early Dialogues / Vasilis Politis. pages cm Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-I-I07-068II-7 (hardback) I. Plato. Dialogues. I. Title. B395.P5796 20I5 I84 – dc23 20I4047447

ISBN 978-1-107-06811-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

> For Giagia, Marina and Nicholas

Contents

Acknowledgements	<i>page</i> viii
Introduction	I
PART I THE ISSUE OF THE JUSTIFICATION OF PLATO'S ESSENTIALISM	
1 The raising of the <i>ti esti</i> question	17
2 How to answer the <i>ti esti</i> question	38
3 The thesis of the priority of definition	73
PART II THE ROLE OF APORIA AND THE ROOT OF PLATO'S ESSENTIALISM	
4 What are Plato's early dialogues about?	95
5 Whether-or-not questions and agonistic argument	115
6 Whether-or-not questions and the articulation of <i>aporiai</i>	133
7 Aporia-based enquiry aiming at knowledge	171
8 What is behind the <i>ti esti</i> question?	205
Bibliography General index Index of passages cited	241 248 253

## Acknowledgements

I want to thank the people and institutions that have provided the opportunity for extended periods of dedication to this project without distraction. Such periods have been possible thanks to, first, the Government of Ireland Fellowship during the academic year 2007–8; secondly, and on more than one occasion, the Arts and Social Sciences Benefactions Fund of Trinity College Dublin; thirdly, and with special thanks to Frans de Haas, the guest professorship at Leiden University during the spring of 2008; and, finally, the fellowship of the Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin during the academic year 2009–10, in which the first draft of the manuscript in its current form was written.

I want to thank, just as much, the people who have, in one way or another and at one time or another, been especially involved in the project. They include: Cordula Böcking, Daniel Watts, John Nugent, Peter Larsen, Pauline Sabrier, Pantazis Tselemanis, Philipp Steinkrüger, Orna Harari, Peter Kiernan, Michael Carroll, Manfred Weltecke, Owen Bennett and, last but not least, John Dillon.

I want to thank, finally, the people who have, on one occasion or another, contributed to the project in a variety of ways. They include: David Berman, Peter Simons, Jim Levine, Lilian Alweiss, Gwen Murphy, Richard King, Keith Begley, Fritz-Gregor Herrmann, Vassilis Karasmanis, Christopher Rowe, Jun Su, Lesley Brown, David Horan, Bert van den Berg, Liadain Duggan, Christopher Buckels, Terry Irwin, Benoît Castelnérac, Brendan O'Byrne, Pieter Sjoerd Hasper, Verity Harte, Mary Margaret McCabe, Paal Antonsen, Klaus Corcilius, Frans de Haas, David Charles, Frisbee Sheffield, Erik Ostenfeld, Christopher Shields, Paolo Fait, Nicholas Clairmont, Michael Erler, Eleni Kaklamanou, Pauliina Remes, Olof Petersson, Gösta Grönroos, James Wilberding, George Karamanolis, Eric Schliesser, Peter Adamson, Matthew O'Dowd, Corey Switzer, George Boys-Stones, Catherine Rowett, Terry Penner, Dominic Bailey, Gerhard

viii

#### Acknowledgements

ix

Seel, Jakob Leth Fink, Benjamin Morrison, Job van Eck, Reinhart Meyer-Kalkus, Gail Fine, Panos Dimas, Karin Verelst, David Sedley, Christopher Gill, Christof Rapp and Jan Opsomer.

General, and very special, thanks is due to the Plato Centre at Trinity College Dublin, the Department of Philosophy at Trinity College Dublin, and the College for providing the possibility and the environment.