

POST-COMMUNIST TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE

Taking stock of the twenty-fifth anniversary of the collapse of the communist regimes of Central and Eastern Europe, this volume explores the ways these societies have grappled with the serious human rights violations of past regimes. It focuses on the most important factors that have shaped the nature, speed, and sequence of transitional justice programs in the period spanning the tumultuous revolutions that brought about the collapse of the communist dictatorships and the consolidation of new democratic regimes. Contributors explain why leaders made certain choices, discuss the challenges they faced, and explore the role of under studied actors and grassroots strategies. Written by recognized experts with an unparalleled grasp of the region's communist and post-communist reality, this volume addresses far-reaching reckoning, redress, and retribution policy choices. It is an engaging and carefully crafted volume, and it covers a wide variety of cases and discusses key transitional justice theories using both qualitative and quantitative research methods.

Lavinia Stan is Associate Professor in the department of political science at St. Francis Xavier University, Canada. She is an associate editor of the peer-reviewed Women's Studies International Forum and, most recently, the coauthor or coeditor of Transitional Justice in Post-Communist Romania (2013); Church, State and Democracy in the Expanding Europe (with Lucian Turcescu, 2011); and the three-volume Encyclopedia of Transitional Justice (with Nadya Nedelsky, 2013). Stan is also the author of more than fifty peer-reviewed articles published in such venues as the European Political Science Journal, Problems of Post-Communism, Communist and Post-Communist Politics, and Europe-Asia Studies.

Nadya Nedelsky is Associate Professor of International Studies at Macalester College. She is the author of *Defining the Sovereign Community: National Identity, Individual Rights, and Minority Membership in the Czech and Slovak Republics* (2009) and the coeditor of the three-volume *Encyclopedia of Transitional Justice* (with Lavinia Stan, 2013). She is author of the national report on the Czech and Slovak republics titled *How the Memory of Crimes Committed by Totalitarian Regimes in Europe Is Dealt with in the Member States* commissioned by the European Commission Directorate-General for Justice, Freedom and Security in 2009 as well as articles and book chapters on transitional justice.





Post-Communist Transitional Justice

LESSONS FROM TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE

EDITED BY

LAVINIA STAN

St. Francis Xavier University, Canada

NADYA NEDELSKY

Macalester College





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107065567

© Cambridge University Press 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-06556-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List of Figures, Tables, and Photos	page vii
List of Contributors	ix
Foreword	xiii
Constantin Goschler	
Introduction: Post-Communist Transitional Justice at 25 Nadya Nedelsky and Lavinia Stan	1
PART I DETERMINANTS OF TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE	
1 Transitional Justice and Political Goods Brian Grodsky	7
Transitional Justice as Electoral Politics Robert Clegg Austin	30
3 Explaining Late Lustration Programs: Lessons from the Polish Case Aleks Szczerbiak	51
PART II THE IMPACT OF TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE	
The Adoption and Impact of Transitional Justice Moira Lynch and Bridget Marchesi	73
Transitional Justice Effects in the Czech Republic Roman David	97
PART III KEY CHALLENGES	
6 The Timing of Transitional Justice Measures Cynthia M. Home	123

V



vi	Contents	
7	The Challenge of Competing Pasts Monica Ciobanu	148
8	Beyond the National: Pathways of Diffusion Helga A. Welsh	167
9	The Mythologizing of Communist Violence Jelena Subotic	188
PAR	AT IV HISTORY, JUSTICE, AND PUBLIC MEMORY	
10	Post-Communist Truth Commissions: Between Transitional Justice and the Politics of History Andrew H. Beattie	213
11	Public Memory, Commemoration, and Transitional Justice: Reconfiguring the Past in Public Space Duncan Light and Craig Young	233
12	Stories We Tell: Documentary Theatre, Performance, and Justice in Transition Olivera Simić	252
13	Vigilante Justice and Unofficial Truth Projects Lavinia Stan	276
	Conclusion Nadya Nedelsky	296
Bib	liography	301
Ind	ex	333



Figures, Tables, and Photos

FIGURES

4.1	Vetting Policies – Includes Transitional Years Only	page 81
4.2	Predicted Probability by Response Level of CIRI-EP	85
4.3	Cumulative Extensiveness Index Score	89
5.1	The Perception of the Objectives of Transitional Justice in the	
	Czech Republic	108
5.2	Popular Assessment of Transitional Justice Measures in the Czec	h
	Republic	109
5.3	The Opinion Map of the Efficiency of Transitional Justice	,
	Measures	111
6.1	The Relationship between the Timing of Reforms and Trust in	
	Government	137
6.2	Democratization and the Timing of Reforms	140
	pendix 1 Data Sources and Transformations	143
8.1	Agents, Diffusion Pathways, and Effects	169
	TANADA	
	TABLES	
5.1	Popular Assessment of Transitional Justice Measures in the Czec	h
	Republic	108
5.2	OLS Linear Regression Analyses of Justice and Reconciliation	110
-	Timing of First Lustration Laws	128
6.2	The Timing of Reforms: Lustration Waves	130
	Lustration and Transitional Justice Measures and Trust in	
	Government	135
6.4	Timing of Lustration and Democracy (1007–2012: 10 countries)	120



viii List of Figures, Tables, and Photos

PHOTOS

12.1	Heartefact Fund and Belgrade's Bitef Theater, Original Scene from	
	Hypermnesia	259
12.2	Heartefact Fund and Belgrade's Bitef Theater, Original Scene from	
	Hypermnesia	260
12.3	Heartefact Fund and Belgrade's Bitef Theater, Original Scene from	
	Hypermnesia	261
12.4	Dah Theatre, Original Scene from Presence of Absence	263
12.5	Dah Theatre, Original Scene from Presence of Absence	265
12.6	Dah Theatre, Original Scene from Presence of Absence	266
12.7	Mostarski Teatar Mladih, Original Scene from Seven Breaths	268
12.8	Mostarski Teatar Mladih, Original Scene from Seven Breaths	269
12.9	Mostarski Teatar Mladih, Original Scene from Seven Breaths	270



Contributors

Robert Clegg Austin – Professor at the Munk School of Global Affairs, University of Toronto, Canada. His research interests are situated at the intersection of history and politics of Eastern Europe, state building, and transitional justice, with a focus on Albania. His book on Albania's interwar experience, *Founding a Balkan State*, was published in October 2012. He has also published extensively on transitional justice in post-communist Albania.

Andrew H. Beattie – Senior Lecturer in German and European Studies at the University of New South Wales in Australia. His research explores the ways in which Germans have dealt with the legacies of East German communism and Nazism, whether in memorials, museums, historiography, or transitional justice. His PhD thesis, awarded the Jean Monnet Thesis Prize by the Contemporary Europe Research Centre, Melbourne (2005), was published as *Playing Politics with History: The Bundestag Inquiries into East Germany* (2008).

Monica Ciobanu – Associate Professor of Sociology, State University of New York at Plattsburgh. Her research focuses on democratization, memory, truth, and justice in post-communism, with a focus on Romania. Her work on post-communist transitional justice includes numerous book chapters and articles in peer-reviewed journals.

Roman David – Lecturer in Political Science, Newcastle University, England. He has published extensively on lustration, rehabilitation, and transitional justice in Eastern Europe and Iraq. His *Lustration and Transitional Justice: Personnel Systems in the Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland* (2012) won the Award for Concept Analysis from the International Political Science Association.

Constantin Goschler - Professor of Modern History at Ruhr-University Bochum, Germany. His research interests focus on the politics of memory



X

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-06556-7 - Post-Communist Transitional Justice: Lessons from Twenty-Five Years
of Experience
Edited by Lavinia Stan and Nadya Nedelsky
Frontmatter
More information

List of Contributors

in Germany and the history of intelligence agencies. He is the author or co-editor of Robbery and Restitution: The Conflict over Jewish Property in Europe (2007), Schuld und Schulden: Die Politik der Wiedergutmachung für NS-Verfolgte seit 1945 (2005), and Die Praxis der Wiedergutmachung: Geschichte, Erfahrung und Wirkung in Deutschland und Israel (2009).

Brian Grodsky – Associate Professor of Comparative Politics at University of Maryland, Baltimore County. His research interests focus on human rights, transitional justice, democratization, global civil society, social movements, and U.S. foreign policy. His books include *The Costs of Justice* (2010) and *Social Movements and the New State: The Fate of Pro-Democracy Organizations When Democracy Is Won* (2012).

Cynthia M. Horne – Associate Professor of Political Science at Western Washington University. Her research interests include lustration laws, transitional justice, rule of law, and trust building. She is the author of *Post-Communist Economies and Western Trade Discrimination: Are NMEs Our Enemies?* (2006).

Duncan Light — Visiting Research Fellow at Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom. He is a cultural geographer with research interests in the relationships between urban space, power, identity, and public memory, and he has also explored the relationships between tourism and national identity in Romania. He is the coeditor of *Post-Communist Romania:* Coming to Terms with Transition (2001) and the author of The Dracula Dilemma: Tourism, Identity and the State in Romania (2012).

Moira Lynch – Assistant Professor of Political Science at Loyola University Maryland. Lynch earned a PhD in Political Science from the University of Minnesota in 2012. Her research interests include transitional justice, human rights, norms and institutions, democratization, civil war, international law, and feminist international relations theory.

Bridget Marchesi – Doctoral student in the Department of Political Science at the University of Minnesota. Her research interests include transitional justice, human rights, democracy and democratization, and civil war.

Nadya Nedelsky – Associate Professor, International Studies Department, Macalester College. Her research interests include human and minority rights, nationalism, ethnicity, democratization, citizenship, and transitional justice, with an area focus on Central and Eastern Europe. She is the author of *Defining the Sovereign Community: National Identity, Individual Rights, and Minority Membership in the Czech and Slovak*



List of Contributors

хi

Republics (2009) and the coeditor, with Lavinia Stan, of the three-volume Encyclopedia of Transitional Justice (2013). She has also published chapters in edited volumes on transitional justice and articles in the journals Ethnic and Racial Studies, Ethnicities, Nations and Nationalism, and Theory and Society.

Olivera Simić – Lecturer in the Griffith Law School, Griffith University, Australia. Her research engages with transitional justice, international law, gender, and crime from an interdisciplinary perspective. She is the author of Regulation of Sexual Conduct in UN Peacekeeping Operations (2012) and the editor of Civil Society and Transitional Justice in the Balkans (2013).

Lavinia Stan – Associate Professor of Political Science, St. Francis Xavier University, Canada. Her research focuses on post-communist democratization, especially transitional justice, and religion and politics. She is the author or coauthor of *Transitional Justice in Post-Communist Romania* (2013); Church, State and Democracy in Expanding Europe (2011); and Religion and Politics in Post-Communist Romania (2007) and the coeditor of Encyclopedia of Transitional Justice (2013) and Transitional Justice in Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union (2009).

Jelena Subotic – Associate Professor of Political Science at Georgia State University in Atlanta. She is the author of *Hijacked Justice*: *Dealing with the Past in the Balkans* (2009) and numerous articles about human rights, transitional justice, identity politics, and the Western Balkans.

Aleks Szczerbiak – Professor of Politics and Contemporary European Studies at University of Sussex, United Kingdom. His research interests revolve around political parties and electoral politics, impact of European integration on national politics, and the politics of transitional justice in post-communist states. He is the author of "Poles Together? The Emergence and Development of Political Parties in Post-communist Poland" (2001) and "Poland Within the European Union: New Awkward Partner or New Heart of Europe?" (2012).

Helga A. Welsh – Professor of Politics and International Affairs at Wake Forest University, North Carolina. Her publications have focused on the history and politics of the former East Germany, German unification, transitional justice, and democratization processes in Central and Eastern Europe. She is the coeditor of German Unification: Process and Outcomes (1994) and the author of Revolutionärer Wandel auf Befehl (1989).



xii

List of Contributors

Craig Young – Reader in Human Geography at Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom. His research centers on the cultural geographies and politics of identity in post-communist Eastern Europe. He is the coeditor of Cosmopolitan Urbanism (2006) and coauthor of papers in Nationalities Papers, Europe-Asia Studies, and Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers.



Foreword

Constantin Goschler

Twenty-five years of experience with post-communist transitional justice: congratulations! But what is this silver jubilee about? First, of course, it is related to the fall of communism in Eastern Europe. At the same time, the jubilee reflects the continued rise of transitional justice both as a concept in political science and as political practice. Looking back to the starting point of these two closely intertwined processes in Central and Eastern Europe, we are forced to consider the importance of the circumstances that marked that departure, which might be described as the "spirit of 1989." At the time, all over the world, from Latin America to Eastern Europe, dictatorship seemed to be on the retreat, and the combination of liberal democracy and market economy appeared to be the unrivaled winner of the Cold War and a model for the future. This mood was most prominently manifested in Francis Fukuyama's The End of History and the Last Man, published in 1992. There, the political scientist – walking in the footsteps of German philosopher Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel (1770-1831) and Russian-born French philosopher Alexandre Kojève (1902–1968) – argued that "liberal democracy may constitute the 'end point of mankind's ideological evolution' and the 'final form of human government,' and as such constituted the 'end of history."1 From such a perspective, transitional justice readily appeared as an instrument of the Weltgeist and consequently was taken as the ultimate toolbox for replacing totalitarian dictatorship with liberal democracy.

We need to recall this optimism because in the meantime the celebratory mood that characterized the early post–Cold War period has given way to a veritable hangover. True, historians are happy that history has not ended, but the combination of liberal democracy and liberal market has lost much of its charisma. During the same period, transitional justice has become both a globally accepted model and a highly contested issue. The volume at hand offers an outstanding contribution to this ongoing academic and political



xiv Foreword

debate by delivering numerous answers and raising even more questions, making it exciting reading. Instead of simply making normative claims for desirable political outcomes, the volume offers empirically saturated and theoretically rich case studies that provide many counterintuitive findings and thereby shatter trusted beliefs. Hence, the academic reader and the political practitioner alike will get the best of both worlds from this volume: a clear perspective on the ambivalences and contingencies of transitional justice in post-communist Central and Eastern Europe and an insight on its best and worst practices.

Commendably, the volume at hand is not a compendium of national case studies. The editors have chosen a systematic approach, structuring the volume in four consecutive steps: causes, effects, challenges, and neglected factors and actors of transitional justice in post-communist Eastern Europe. By following this structure, the contributors to the book offer not only astounding discoveries on a wide range of Central and Eastern European cases but also invaluable starting points for widening our perspective on transitional justice challenges in other parts of the world. I highlight only a handful of their most significant contributions.

In 1945, the four Allied powers started a comprehensive denazification and reeducation program in defeated Germany, which since then often has been considered a model for successful transitional justice. When the program was launched, the infamous German international lawyer Carl Schmitt, who had lost his prominent academic position as a result of denazification, criticized the realization of "victor's justice," voicing a popular viewpoint. In December 1949, Schmitt caustically commented in his Glossarium, alluding to the double standard used for judging crimes: "There exist crimes against and crimes for humanity. Crimes against humanity are committed by Germans. Crimes for humanity are committed against Germans."2 While Carl Schmitt is certainly a problematic witness, he nevertheless addressed a crucial problem of modern political ethics. Although the concept of transitional justice implicitly presupposes a pre-stabilized harmony of power and morality, in reality it always becomes an element of the struggle for power and even a highly useful tool for its execution. The contributors to the present volume are very sensitive to this problem, and several case studies show how transitional justice can be used to delegitimize political opponents and strengthen certain political positions. This dynamic has deeply affected outcomes and perceptions of transitional justice in post-communist Central and Eastern Europe.

Another common problem in the region is that several layers of violent histories come into play, but countries apply transitional justice very selectively. This volume explores the tension between the communist past



Foreword xv

and the Nazi Holocaust, two periods that severely affected Central and Eastern Europe. While "Eastern" attempts to redress the communist past have been confronted with "Western" expectations that the Holocaust should also be addressed in the range of transitional justice programs adopted after 1989, especially in the context of admission into the European Union, the present volume avoids such narrowness. The chapters not only carefully take into account the problem of multiple violent and criminal pasts but also offer an expansive view of transitional justice's timeframe, which they see as ongoing. Hence, for these contributors transitional justice extends long after the dictatorship's ousting.

Tina Rosenberg's famous differentiation between "criminal regimes" in Central and Eastern Europe and "regimes of criminals" in Latin America⁴ also points to an important problem affecting post-communist transitional justice. Because in Central and Eastern Europe the lines between victims and perpetrators are so blurred as to lead to "diffused guilt," transitional justice there has not always been popular with larger segments of the society. Discussing a variety of individual cases, the contributors to the present volume deal with the resulting dilemma: while transitional justice aims at establishing democracy, democracy is not always favorable to and supportive of transitional justice. The new post-communist elites have repeatedly pointed to transitional justice's unpopularity. Sadly, the Central and Eastern European experience confirms the experience of other regions where victims of bygone dictatorships may not hope for much solidarity within their societies – at least as long as they constitute a minority therein.

This leads to another fundamental dilemma that is astutely analyzed in the present volume. Two of the paramount aims of transitional justice – justice and reconciliation – do not easily go hand in hand. A major catchword of transitional justice is transparency, and its concrete manifestation is lustration, a reckoning program widely implemented in post-communist Central and Eastern Europe. Several case studies included in this volume deal with the relationship between justice and reconciliation. They argue that, despite widespread demands for ousting the once powerful secret police, the opening of the secret files they compiled had many unwanted and unpopular side effects. Chief among these problematic effects has been the unraveling of post-communist societies' still-fragile social fabric, whose members often remain prone to nostalgia for the lost security of the communist era.

Ultimately, the present volume convincingly makes clear that transitional justice is not a blueprint for democracy, market economy, and happiness. Rather, the contributors explore transitional justice as a complicated process that involves intimate interactions within post-dictatorial societies and among



xvi Foreword

diverse international actors, thereby reflecting the tensions between global norms and local practices that often come into play in the field of human rights politics. Instead of proposing and upholding a teleological perspective, the volume shows both successes and failures of transitional justice in post-communist Central and Eastern Europe and offers keen-witted explanations for them. The superb volume at hand will push forward our understanding of transitional justice in many ways. Moreover, it will profoundly affect our general perspective on Central and Eastern European societies after 1989. The volume's analyses are deeply realistic and offer multiple explanations for why transitional justice is not just a highly ambivalent endeavor but also one that is worth pursuing.

NOTES

- Francis Fukuyama, The End of History and the Last Man (New York: Free Press/ Macmillan, 1992).
- Carl Schmitt, entry from December 6, 1949, cited in Glossarium: Aufzeichnungen der Jahre 1947–1951, ed. Eberhard von Medem (Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, 1991), 282.
- 3. John Torpey, Making Whole What Has Been Smashed: On Reparations Politics (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2006), 52 f.
- 4. Tina Rosenberg, *The Haunted Land: Facing Europe's Ghosts after Communism* (New York: Random House, 1995).