

## Index

- acceptance. *See* offer and acceptance
- Ackner, Lord (formerly Ackner LJ)  
*Regent International Hotels (UK) Ltd v. Pageguide Ltd* (1985), 530–2  
*'The Simona'* (1989), 452–3, 469  
*Walford v. Miles* (1992), 22–3, 25–8, 31–2
- adhesion clauses, freedom of contract and, 7
- Aikens LJ (formerly Aikens J)  
*Absalon v. TRCU Ltd* (2005), 360  
*Barbudev v. Eurocom Cable Management Bulgaria EOOD* (2012), 22–3, 25–8, 89, 158–9, 164  
*Springwell Navigation Corporation v. JP Morgan Chase* (2008), 250  
*Statoil ASA v. Louis Dreyfus Energy Services LP ('The Harriette N')* (2008), 77–8, 81–2, 273  
*Tele2 International Card Company SA v. Post Office Ltd* (2009), 468–9  
 discussing *Walford v. Miles* (1992), 25–8
- Alderson B, *Hadley v. Baxendale* (1854), 502–5, 622–4
- American Law Institute, 604–5
- Andrews, Neil, 192–3, 204–5
- Anti-suit injunctions  
 arbitration clauses, 328–9  
 non-European context, 329
- arbitration agreements, 575–7
- arbitration clauses, 198–201, 328–30  
 terms implied in law, 335
- Arden LJ (formerly Arden J), 134–5, 598  
*Collier v. P & MJ Wright (Holdings) Ltd* (2007), 142–3, 145  
*Grand Metropolitan plc v. William Hill Group* (1977), 380–2  
*Re Coroin Ltd* (2013), 350
- Asquith LJ, *Combe v. Combe* (1951), 115–16
- assignment, 209–15  
 distinguished from other doctrines, 214–15  
 effects of, 209–10  
 equitable assignment, 209, 211–12  
 extent of assigned rights, 212–13  
 modes of, 211–12  
 non-assignable rights, 210, 213–14  
 statutory assignment, 209–10, 211, 212  
 summary of main points, 209  
 vicarious performance and, 210
- Atkin, Lord (formerly Atkin LJ)  
*Balfour v. Balfour* (1919), 160–2  
*Bell v. Lever Bros Ltd* (1932), 78–80, 81, 251–2, 263–9, 270–1  
*Cellulose Acetate Silk Co. Ltd v. Widnes Foundry* (1925) Ltd (1933), 548–9
- Atkinson J, *Aerial Advertising Co. v. Batchelors Peas Ltd (Manchester)* (1938), 447–8
- bad faith. *See also* good faith  
 misrepresentation and, 228–9
- Bailhache J, *Wall v. Rederiaktiebolaget Luggude* (1915), 549
- Baker, Scott J, *St. Albans City & District Council v. International Computers Ltd* (1996), 406–7
- Ball, S., 24
- Banks LJ, *Re Mahmoud and Ispahani* (1921), 569–71
- bargains  
 consideration and, 115–16  
 inequality of bargaining power, 316, 545  
 substantive unfairness, 315  
 user principle, opportunity to bargain, damage claims, 517–19
- Battle of the forms, 58–61, 323–4, 398, 619–20
- Bean LJ, 47
- Beatson LJ (formerly Beatson J), 423–5  
*Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Lines v. Steamship Mutual Underwriting Association (Bermuda) Ltd* (2010), 420–1, 422  
*Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust v. Compass Group UK and Ireland Ltd* (2013), 595–8
- Berg, A., 29–31, 594–5  
 interpretation of written contracts and, 367–9
- Bigwood, R., 297
- bilateral contracts, protection in, 8, 100
- Bingham, Lord (formerly Sir Thomas Bingham) MR  
*Blackpool and Fylde Aero Club v. Blackpool Borough Council* (1990), 69–70

## 628 Index

- Bingham, Lord (formerly Sir Thomas Bingham) MR (cont.)  
*Director-General of Fair Trading v. First National Bank* (2002), 592–4  
 ‘*The Vistafjord*’ (2008), 150–1  
*Golden Strait Corporation v. Nippon Yusen Kubishika Kaisha* (‘*The Golden Victory*’) (2007), 451–2, 509–10  
 on good faith, 14–15  
*Interfoto Picture Library Ltd. v. Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd* (1989), 14–15, 394–409, 590–2  
*Philips Electronique Grand Public SA v. British Sky Broadcasting Ltd* (1995), 347–50  
 ‘*The Starsin*’ (2003), 363–5  
 ‘*The Super Servant Two*’ (1990), 429–32
- Birks, P. B. H., 302–3
- Blackburn, Lord (formerly Blackburn J)  
*Foakes v. Beer* (1884), 134–5  
*Taylor v. Caldwell* (1863), 353, 418–19  
 on written contracts, 369–70
- Blackburne, Sir William, *Hart v. Burbidge* (2013), 299–302
- Blair J  
*Fortress Value Recovery Fund ILCC v. Blue Skye Special Opportunities Fund LLP* (2013), 198–200  
*Strydom v. Vendside Ltd* (2009), 251–2, 312–14, 339–41
- Blanesburgh, Lord, *Bell v. Lever Bros Ltd* (1932), 266–7
- Bowen LJ  
*Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co.* (1893), 64–5  
*Edgington v. Fitzmaurice* (1885), 222–4  
*Mersey Steel and Iron Co. Ltd v. Naylor, Benzon & Co.* (1882), 445–7  
 ‘*The Moorcock*’ (1889), 339–41  
*In Re Casey’s Patents* (1892), 119–22  
*Smith v. Land and House Property Co.* (1884), 222–4
- Bowsher (QC) HH Judge Peter, *Pegler Ltd v. Wang (UK) Ltd* (2000), 407
- Braithwaite, J., 618
- Bramwell LJ, *Household Fire Insurance Co. v. Grant* (1879), 55–6
- Branson J, *Warner Bros Pictures Inc v. Nelson* (1937), 530–2
- breach of contract, 441–77  
 anticipatory breach, 451–5  
   assurance of performance, 454  
   disablement by culpable self-inducement, 453–4  
   forms of, 451–2  
   innocent party’s maintenance of contract and suit for remuneration, 454  
   PECL, *Principles of European Contract Law*, 454  
   restraining injunction in, 454–5  
   ‘soft law’ principles and, 454  
   unwillingness to perform, statement of, 452–3  
 building contracts, 183–7  
 cancellation rights  
   express right to cancel, 463  
   implied right to cancel, 463  
 collateral warranties, 233  
 Common Law doctrines of, 13–14  
 conditions (promissory obligations), 441–2, 455–64  
   classification of terms, 455–6  
   clauses consequent on breach, possibility of termination, 461–2  
   co-existence of common law termination rights with, 462–3  
   intermediate or innominate terms, 464–8  
   judicial decision on, 455–6  
   statutory control in sales of goods, 457–8  
   technical sense not operating in some clauses, 456–7  
   terms as conditions, 455–6, 461–2  
   timely performance, 459–60  
 consensual remedies for, 539–57  
   deposits, 539–40, 552–7  
   penalty doctrine, 540–51  
   relief against forfeiture of money instalments, 551–2  
 consideration requirement, 115–16  
 deliberate breach, 444  
 disgorgement of gains in, 12–13, 490, 522–4  
 doctrines, 9–10  
 duress, 296–7  
 entire obligation rule, 473–7  
 forms of, 441–2  
   hazardous breach, 513  
 innominate terms  
   absence of justification for termination, 466–7  
   termination justification and, 465–6  
 intermediate terms  
   absence of justification for termination, 466–7  
   assessment, 468  
   classification, 464–5  
   justification of termination, 465–6  
   sale of goods, 467  
 judicial remedies for (See judicial remedies for breach of contract)  
 liability triggered by, 472–3  
 liquidated damages and deposits, 539–57  
 material breach, express terms, 463–4  
 misrepresentation, rescission *vs.*, 244–6  
 mistake and, 262–3  
 penalty doctrine, 483, 539, 540–51  
 relief against forfeiture of proprietary or possessory interests, 460–1  
 remediable breach, express terms concerning, 464  
 remedies for, 13–14, 464, 481–533  
   judicial remedies, 481–3  
 renunciation, 445–51

## 629 Index

- advance renunciation, 451–2
- explicit or implicit, 441–2
- good faith exculpation, 448–9
- nature of, 445–7
- terminology, 445
- repetitive breach, continuing contracts, 450–1
- repudiatory breach, 447–8, 483–4
- rescission compared with, 441–2
- restitution and, 520–1
- rights of innocent party, 441–2
  - anticipatory breach, 454
  - binding nature of, 468–9
  - ‘co-operation’ qualification, 485
  - cost of cure damages, 494–7
  - debt claims, 483–4
  - legitimate interest issues, 486–9
  - mitigation principle and, 511
  - no third choice in, 469
  - pause for thought, need for, 469–70
  - prospective termination, 472–3
  - recovery of loss for attempts to mitigate, 513–14
  - right to elect, 444–5, 468
  - right to terminate for breach, 444–5
  - substantial performance doctrine, 475
  - termination clauses consequent on breach, 461–2
- self-induced frustration and, 429–32
- strict or non-strict obligations, 443–4
- summary of, 441–2
- termination for, 441–2, 468–73
  - ancillary obligations still applicable, 472–3
  - assessment of case for, 445
  - binding nature of, 468–9
  - clauses consequent on breach, possibility of termination, 461–2
  - co-existence of common law termination rights with, 462–3
  - conduct or silence as basis of, 470–1
  - decision to terminate, 470
  - entitlement to, 444–5
  - general rights, 461
  - good faith and, 592–4
  - indefinite duration contract, 437–8
  - intermediate terms as justification, 465–6
  - new opportunities for, 470
  - prospective termination, 472–3
  - rescission for misrepresentation comparison, 471–2
  - restrictive covenants and, 472–3
  - wrong reasons invoked, 450
- Bridge, Lord (formerly Bridge LJ), 60–1
  - Butler Machine Tool Co. Ltd v. Ex-Cell-O Corporation (England) Ltd* (1979), 398
  - Howard Marine v. Ogden* (1978), 239–40
  - Scally v. Southern Health Board* (1992), 338–9, 352
- Bridge, Michael, 512
- Bridlington Agreement, 343
- Briggs LJ (formerly Briggs J), *Chartbrook Ltd v. Persimmon Homes Ltd* (2008), 383–5
- Brightman, Lord (formerly Brightman J)
  - Hart v. O’Connor* (1985), 315
  - Overbrooke Estates v. Glencombe Properties Ltd* (1974), 249
  - Wrotham Park Estate Co. v. Parkside Homes* (1974), 517–19
- Brooke LJ, *Ludgate Insurance Co. Ltd v. Citibank NA* (1998), 345–6
- Brown, Lord, *Stone & Roles Ltd v. Moore Stephens* (2009), 564–6
- Browne-Wilkinson, Lord (formerly Browne-Wilkinson LJ and J)
  - Coral Leisure Group Ltd v. Barnett* (1981), 572
  - Johnstone v. Bloomsbury Health Authority* (1992), 352
  - Multiservice Bookbinding Ltd v. Marden* (1979), 311–12
  - Nash v. Halifax Building Society* (1979), 569–71
  - Panatown* case (2001), 183–7
  - Smith New Court Securities Ltd v. Scrimgeour Vickers (Asset Management) Ltd* (1997), 234–5, 238–9
- Brownsword, R., 595–8
- Buckley LJ, *ASLEF* case (1972), 336–9
- Buckmaster, Lord, *May & Butcher v. R* (1929), 95–100
- Burrows, Andrew, 373–5
  - on breach of contract, 461–2
  - on common law-equity distinction, 13–14
  - on contributory negligence, 517
  - cost of cure compensation, 186–7
  - on damages, 491–2
  - damages in post-breach events, 509–10
  - duress, 297
  - on foreseeability, 622–4
  - injunctions, 530–2
  - liquidated damages, 548–9
  - on offer and acceptance, 55–6
  - reasonable foreseeability test, 501–2
  - third party rights, 192–3
- Burton J
  - CMA SA v. Hyundai Mipo Dockyard Co. Ltd* (2008), 214–15
  - Durham v. BAI (Run Off) Ltd* (2008), 150–1
  - M&J Polymers Ltd v. Imerys Minerals Ltd* (2008), 546
- business efficacy test, 333–4
  - case law, 339–41
  - complex commercial agreements, 346–7
  - officious bystander test and, 341–4
  - written contracts, 347–50

630 Index

- 'but for' or 'decisive influence' test
  - duress, 293–4
  - misrepresentation, 226
- Buxton LJ, 373–5, 522–4
  - Irvani v. Irvani* (2000), 314
  - M&J Polymers Ltd v. Imerys Minerals Ltd* (2008), 544, 546
- Cairns LC, Lord
  - Cundy v. Lindsay* (1878), 282–3
  - Hughes v. Metropolitan Railway* (1877), 140–1
  - Lindsay v. Cundy* (1876), 275–83
- Cairns LJ, *Lloyds Bank Ltd v. Bundy* (1975), 306–8
- Campbell, Lord CJ
  - Hochster v. De La Tour* (1853), 451–2
  - reporter on *Stilk v. Myrick* (1809), 129–30
- Cantley J, *Bacon v. Cooper (Metals) Ltd* (1982), 515
- Carnwath, Lord (formerly Carnwath LJ), 375–6
  - ING Bank NV v. Ros Roca* (2011), 150–1
  - Midill* case (2008), 554–5
- Carter, J. W., 487–8
- case law
  - contract law as, 4–6, 603–10
  - table of cases, 3
- certainty, 9, 87–104. *See also* uncertainty
  - adjudication guidelines, need for objective, 88–9
  - compromise contracts, 90, 100
  - consideration and, 114–15
  - displacement of 'subject to contract' agreements, 98–100
  - fledgling agreements, uncertainty on
    - central issues, 89
  - freedom of contract and, 88, 90
  - hire-purchase agreements, subject matter, 93–4
  - hire rate adjustment under charterparty, 92
  - hopelessly ambiguous goods description, 93
  - intent to create legal relations doctrine and, 94–5
  - judicial desire to uphold bargains and, 88
  - legal relations overlap with, 88
  - mediation agreements, 102–4
  - negotiation clauses, 32
  - negotiation reserved exclusively to parties, 100–1
  - objective criteria for contractual rights recognition, 90–4
  - parties' reservation of right to determine agreement, 90
  - price in goods contracts, establishment of, 95–100
  - right to autonomous negotiation and, 88
  - substantial dealings for regular supply
    - of goods, 97–8
  - substantially settled agreements, 89
  - supposed agreements already partly
    - performed, 89–90
  - of telephone commitment, 94–5
  - third party resolution of terms, 101–2
  - vague clauses, no specific performance, 102
  - vague publishing contract, 94
- Chadwick, LJ
  - Crest Nicholson (Londinium) Limited v. Akaria Investments Ltd* (2010), 39–41
  - Watford Electronics Ltd v. Sanderson CFL Ltd* (2001), 404, 405
- child maintenance cases, consideration in, 123–5
- Chin, N. Y., 302–3
- Chitty on Contracts*, 93, 270–1, 455–6, 609
- civil law
  - abortive contract negotiations, 22–3
  - contract law codification and, 605–6
  - damages-based agreements and, 575–7
  - good faith and, 14–15, 590–2
- Civil Procedure Rules (CPR) (1998), 52, 604–5
- mediation agreements, 102–4
- Clarke, Christopher LJ (formerly Clarke, Christopher J)
  - BNP Paribas v. Wockhardt etc.* (2009), 6–7, 461–2, 540–2, 545, 548
  - Dolphin etc. v. Sveriges Angfartygs Assurans Forening* (2009), 190–1
  - El Makdessi v. Cavendish etc.* (2013), 540–2
  - PT Berlian Laju Tanker TBK v. Nuse Shipping Ltd ('The Aktor')* (2008), 455–6
  - Total Gas* case, 425
- Clarke, Lord (formerly Sir Anthony Clarke, MR)
  - Assicurazioni Generali SpA v. Arab Insurance Group (BSC)* (2002), 254
  - Dolphin Maritime & Aviation Services Ltd v. Sveriges Angfartygs Assurans Forening* (2009), 190–1
  - Mediterranean Salvage & Towage Ltd v. Seamar Trading & Commerce Inc. ('The Reborn')* (2009), 349–50
  - Rainy Sky SA v. Kookmin Bank* (2011), 363–5
  - RTS Flexible Systems Ltd v. Molkerei Alois Müller GmbH* (2010), 98–100
- Clyde, Lord
  - Panatoun* case (2001), 183–7
  - Royal Bank of Scotland v. Etridge (No. 2)* (2002), 299–302
- Cockburn, CJ
  - Freeth v. Burr* (1874), 445–7
  - Frost v. Knight* (1872), 452–3
- codification, 603–12
- coercion, *see also* duress
  - ethical sensitivity, 592–4
  - undue influence and, 308–9
- Coke, Lord, *Pinnel's Case* (1602), 136–7
- collateral warranties, 233–4, 324
- Collins, Hugh, 618
  - Blackpool and Fylde Aero Club v. Blackpool Borough Council* (1990), 594–5
- Collins, Lord (formerly Collins, Lawrence LJ)
  - Chartbrook Ltd v. Persimmon Homes Ltd* (2009), 369–72

631 Index

- Conlon v. Simms* (2006), 254  
*Michael Wilson & Partners Ltd v. Emmott* (2008), 335  
*Sigma* case (2009), 357–9  
*T & N* case (2003), 387
- Colman J  
*Cable & Wireless plc v. IBM UK* (2002), 29–31, 87–104, 327–8, 532–3  
*JSC Zestafoni G Nikoladze Ferroalloy Plant v. Ronly Holdings Ltd* (2004), 45–6  
*Lordsvale Finance plc v. Bank of Zambia* (1996), 547  
*Nisshin Shipping Co. Ltd v. Cleaves & Co. Ltd* (2004), 180, 190, 198–200
- commercial common-sense criteria, interpretation of  
 written contracts, 357–9, 363–5
- Commercial Court, 604, 610–12
- Common European Sales Law (CESL), 615–17
- Common Frame of Reference*  
 contract law and, 3–4  
 good faith and, 14–15, 619
- common law  
 bribery offences and corruption, 574–5  
 consideration and, 14, 114–15, 118–19  
 decreasing pacts, 133–9  
 contract law and, 3–4, 604–5  
 debt claims actions, 483  
 deposit sources of relief, 554–5  
 duress and, 132, 289  
 equity and, 13–14  
 exclusion clauses and, 201–5, 393–4  
 fraud and, 398  
 misrepresentation, 246–51  
 signatures, 396–7  
 strict interpretation, 398–401  
 test of, 394–409  
 failure of restitution and, 520–1  
 frustration and, 418–19, 432–7  
 good faith and, 589–600  
 harmonisation of, 617  
 illegality and, 561–84  
 international code for, 610–12  
 interpretation of written contracts and, 388  
 joint promisees, 177–8  
 judge-made rule and, 14  
 mistake in, 262–3  
*non est factum*, 294–5, 396–7  
 penalty doctrine, 483, 540–51  
 precedent in, 606–7  
 privity of contract, 175–7  
 remedies for breach of contract, 11–12, 13–14  
 repudiatory breach, 447–8  
 ‘rule’ of, 14  
 servitude and protection of liberty, 573–4  
 shared mistake and, 263–9  
 tenders as, 68–72  
 termination for breach  
 co-existence with breach termination, 462–3  
 entire obligation rule, 475  
 material breach criterion, 463–4  
 terms, incorporation of, 323–4  
 third parties and, 173–5  
 promisee’s common law rights, preservation of, 195  
 ‘waiver’ and ‘forbearance’ in consideration and, 138–9
- compromise, contracts of, 90
- conditional fee agreements, 575–7
- conduct, acceptance by, 37–8
- confidentiality, 335
- consideration, 109–54  
 accelerated payments, 135–6  
 adequacy of, 109–10, 115–16  
 alteration of deeds or guarantees, 114  
 bargain requirement, 115–16  
 basic elements of, 114–18  
 benefit/detriment analysis, 115–16  
 ‘bird in hand’ argument and, 135–6  
 child maintenance cases, 123–5  
 Common Law rule on, 134–5  
 compromises, 116–18  
 decreasing pacts, 110, 125–6  
 absence of fresh consideration, 137–8  
 compromise of debt, 136–7  
 equitable doctrine of promissory estoppel, 140–8  
 ‘fresh consideration’, 135–6  
 immediate cash payment, 136  
 joint debtor, payment of arithmetical share by, 138  
 part-payment at common law, 133–9  
 part-payment by cheque, 137–8  
 promissory estoppel, 140–8  
 proprietary estoppel, 148–50  
 summary of, 147–8  
 variation of contracts, 126–8  
 ‘waiver’ and ‘forbearance’ variations, 138–9
- doctrine of, 14
- duress and, 296
- enforcement of promise and, 111
- formalities of, 111–14
- functions of, 118–19
- gratuitous promises, unenforceability of, 114–15
- increasing pacts, 110, 125–6  
 duress and, 132, 296  
 practical benefits, 130–1, 132–3  
 variation of contracts, 126–8  
 variations in original rate, 128–33
- intent to create legal relations and, 114–15, 118–19, 168–9
- just price and, 115–16
- money recovered for, 520–1

## 632 Index

- consideration (cont.)
  - nature of, 109
  - objections to common law rule, 135
  - past consideration, 110, 119–22
  - pre-existing statutory or public duty, 110, 122–5
  - promise to pay more for same performance, 110
  - in ‘soft law’ codes, 620
  - third party, pre-existing duty to, 110, 125–6
    - part-payment of debt, 137
  - third party, provision of, 175–6
  - variation of contracts, 110, 126–8
    - ‘waiver’ and ‘forbearance’ variations, 138–9
  - written contracts, 113
- construction of written contracts. *See* interpretation of written contracts
- Consumer Contracts (Information, Cancellation and Additional Charges) Regulations (2013), 15–16
- consumer credit, terms implied in law, 336
- consumer protection
  - EU contract law and, 15–16
  - exclusion clauses, 401–4
    - unfair terms in consumer contracts, 408–12
  - invitations to treat and, 40–1
  - penalty doctrine and, 550–1
  - waiver of explicit acceptance, 64–5
- Consumer Rights Bill, 15–16
  - excessive deposits jurisdictions, 556–7
  - exclusion clauses, 251, 401–4, 412
  - good faith and, 592–4
  - penalty doctrine and, 550–1
  - unfair terms in consumer contracts, 408–12
- Contract Code (abandoned draft), 609–10
- contract law
  - agreements and, 10
  - assignment, 209–15
  - bilateral contract, 8
  - breach of contract, 9–10, 115–16, 244–6, 441–77
    - collateral warranties, 233
    - consensual remedies, 539–57
    - judicial remedies, 481–3
    - predictability, 296–7
    - remedies for, 481–533
  - case law dominance in, 603, 605–6
  - certainty and, 9, 87–104
  - characteristics of English contract law, 2–6
  - codification, 603–12
  - consideration in, 109–54
  - damages, 489–520
  - defective performance, 24–5
  - doctrines of, 8, 592–4
  - duress, undue influence and unconscionability, 287
  - entire obligation rule and, 432–7
  - estoppel and, 109–54
  - EU law and, 15–16
  - exclusion clauses, 4–6, 393–412
  - freedom of contract, 6–7
  - frustration, 10, 417–38
  - global accessibility, 610–12
  - good faith and, 14–15, 590–2
  - harmonisation of, 617
  - illegality doctrine, 561–84
  - implied terms, 268–9, 333–53
  - incorporation of terms, 323–4
  - intent to create legal relations and, 94–5, 157–69
  - judicial response, 604
  - lex mercatoria* principles, 618
  - misrepresentation, 219–55
  - mistake and, 259–83
  - objective principle, 8
  - offer and acceptance, 37–83
  - opt-in contract code, 610–12
  - precedent in, 4–6, 606–9
  - precision in, 6
  - pre-contractual phase, 21–35
  - principles of, 3, 4
  - private law code and, 618
  - privity of contract, 10, 173–5
  - reasonable care, exercise of, 11–12
  - remoteness of damage, 500–9
  - restitution and, 12–13, 482, 520–4
  - rules, 3–4
    - exceptions, 4
  - ‘soft law’ contract codes, 615–24
  - stability in, 6
  - statutes and common law intertwined in, 604–5
  - statutes governing, 4–6, 604
  - substantial performance, 437–8, 473–7
  - supra-national courts and, 617
  - third parties and, 173–205
  - tort law and, 11–12
  - written contracts
    - interpretation and rectification of, 357–88
    - judicial law and, 360–1
- contracts
  - acceleration clauses, 548
  - ancillary negotiation obligations, 21, 33–4
  - arbitration clauses, 198–200, 328–9
    - price certainty in goods contracts, 97–8
    - trusts of promises and, 200–1
  - bilateral, 8, 100
  - breach of contract, 9–10, 441–77
    - judicial remedies for, 481–3
  - building contracts, 183–7
  - choice-of-law clauses, 327–30
  - collateral contract, 183–7



## 633 Index

- commercial common-sense, interpretation of written
  - contracts, 357–9, 363–5
- compromise contracts, 90
- conditions precedent, 9
- consumer contracts, 316
- duress and, 289–90
- employment contracts, 183–7, 512
  - public policy and, 575–7
  - specific performance omitted in, 524–7
  - terms implied in law, 336–9
- entire agreement clause, 233, 247–9, 378–9
- exclusion clauses, 393–412
  - entire agreement clause, 248–9, 404–5
  - ‘lack of agent’s authority’ clause, 249
  - ‘last shot’ approach in offer and acceptance and, 58–60
  - ‘mere opinion given without responsibility’ or ‘non-verification’ clause, 249–50
  - misrepresentation, 246–51
  - ‘non-reliance’ clauses, 247–8, 250
  - ‘no representations made’ clause, 249
  - reasonableness test, 250–1
  - third parties, 173–5, 177–8
  - types of, 247–8
- exclusive jurisdiction clauses, 203–5
- exemption clause, 190
- family financial contracts, 162–3
- force majeure* clauses, 192–3
- guarantees, 8–9
- Himalaya* clauses, 201
- illegality doctrine, 561–84
- implied contracts, 98–100
- implied terms, 268–9, 333–53
- indefinite contracts, 417–18
- intent to form, 98–100
- jurisdiction clauses, 328
- letters of comfort, 157–8, 165
- limitation clauses, 405, 406–7, 548–9
- liquidated damages and deposits, 539–57
  - penalty jurisdiction, 544
- mediation agreements, 29–31, 102–4, 114, 327–8
- mixed sets of obligations, 474
- networks and, 16
- non-exclusive jurisdiction clauses, 205
- non-reliance clauses, 247–8, 250
- novation, 10, 214–15
- offer and acceptance and, 42
- personal services and close relations, 530–2
- pre-contractual phase, 21–35
- prohibitory clauses, 209
- relational contracts, 16
- repeat transactions, 50, 90–4
- side agreements in, 233
- ‘subject to contract’ agreements, 98–100, 159–60
  - substantive terms, 326–7
  - for supply of goods, 95–100
  - termination, 11
    - infinite duration contracts, 437–8
  - terms, incorporation of, 323–4
  - third party rights, 10
  - transnational commerce, 618
  - unconscionability, 311–12
  - unilateral, 8
    - invitations to treat, 40–1
    - offer and acceptance, 64–7
    - sealed bids, 73
  - vague contracts, 94
  - variations to, 110, 137–9, 192–3
  - written, 9
    - interpretation and rectification of, 357–88
    - mistakes, 259–61
  - written contracts, implied terms, 347–50
- contractual invalidity, 324
- contractual rights, objective recognition criteria
  - for, 90–4
- ‘contributory influence’ test, duress, 293–4
- contributory negligence
  - damages and, 516–17
  - flexible restitutionary approach and, 24–5
  - in ‘soft law’ codes, 622
- Cooke, J
  - Isabella Shipowner SA v. Shagang Shipping Co. Ltd* (‘*The Aquafaiith*’) (2012), 485, 486–9
  - Progress Bulk Carriers Ltd v. Tube City IMS LLC* (‘*The Cenk Kaptanoglu*’) (2012), 291–2
- Cooke, Lord (formerly Cooke, Sir Robin), 33–4
- Coote, B., 177–8
  - Golden Strait Corporation v. Nippon Yusen Kubishika Kaisha* (‘*The Golden Victory*’) (2007), 509–10
- ‘corrective construction’ principle, interpretation of
  - written contracts, 357–9, 372–7, 388
- Coulson J, *Jani-King (GB) Ltd v. Pula Enterprises Ltd* (2007), 590–2
- counter-offers, 37–8
- Cross, Lord, *Barton v. Armstrong* (1976), 294–5
- culpa in contrahendo*, 22–3
- Cumming-Bruce LJ, *Staffordshire Area Health Authority v. South Staffordshire Waterworks Co.* (1978), 463
- damages, 489–520
  - breach of contract, liability triggered by, 472–3
  - compensatory, 481–2
    - aggravation or consumer disappointment, compensation for, 498–9
    - agreed compensation, 491–2
    - ‘consumer surplus’ measurement, 498–9

- damages (cont.)  
   liquidated damages as, 542  
   not as punishment, 490  
   prevention of unjust enrichment and, 490  
   true compensation, 490
- consumer credit, 336
- contributory negligence, 516–17
- cost of cure, 186–7  
   replacement following breach, 515
- disappointment damages, 496
- exemplary damages, not available in breach, 444
- extent of assigned rights, 212–13
- foresight and contemplation, 506–9, 622–4
- ‘income losses’ and consequential loss recoverability, 236–7
- limiting factors, 490, 491–2  
   causation, 499–500  
   defendant’s choice or discretion, 491–2  
   impecuniosity, 491–2  
   remoteness, 500–9
- liquidated damages, 539–57  
   attractions of, 540  
   penalty doctrine, 540–51  
   specific performance remedies, 527–8
- litigation costs and, 491–2
- loss of bargain damages, 489–90, 517–19
- misrepresentation, 219–20  
   loss of bargain damages, 229–30  
   statutory head of tortious damages, 237–8
- mitigation principle  
   achievement of mitigation, 514–15  
   contributory negligence, 516–17  
   debt claims, 483–4, 516–20  
   duty to endeavour to reduce or eliminate loss, 511  
   examples, 512  
   hazardous breach, 513  
   recovery of loss for attempts to mitigate, 513–14  
   replacement following breach, 515  
   specific performance remedies, 527–8  
   types of damages and, 510–11  
   wrongful dismissal, 512
- nominal damages, 489, 492–3, 524
- penalty doctrine, 540–51  
   acceleration clauses, 548  
   attractions of, 540  
   breach triggering liability, 543  
   commercial parties, 545  
   consequence of finding a penalty, 548  
   critique of, 550–1  
   equality of bargaining power, 545  
   fundamental statement of, 540–2  
   invalidated liquidated damages not a cap, 549  
   late payment of debt, 547–8  
   money obligations context for, 547  
   need for breach between payer and payee, 543–4  
   ‘no deterrent purpose’ and, 546  
   objective test, 542  
   rejoinder to critique, 550  
   single sum payable, 546–7  
   time of assessment, 542–3  
   types of clauses covered by, 544  
   valid liquidated damages as cap, 548–9
- remoteness of damage doctrine, 500–9  
   in ‘soft law’ codes, 622–4
- restitutionary claims, 482, 520–4
- scope of duty test and, 506–9
- in ‘soft law’ codes, 622–4
- substantial damages, 489
- third party protection, 192  
   actions brought by promisee, 180–1, 182, 183–7  
   tort claims, contractual and tort damages, 493–4  
   types of claims, 492–511  
     aggravation or consumer disappointment, compensation for, 498–9  
     contractual and tort damages, 493–4  
     ‘cost of cure’ (‘reinstatement’) damages, 494–7  
     expectation and reliance loss claims, 492–3  
     loss of chance claims and speculative loss, 497  
     post-breach events, 509–10
- user principle  
   examples of, 519–20  
   opportunity to bargain and, 517–19
- damages-based agreements, 575–7
- Danckwerts LJ  
   *Balfour v. Balfour* (1919), 162–3  
   *D & C Builders v. Rees* (1966), 137–8, 144
- Davenport, Brian  
   entire obligations rule and, 477  
   ‘*The Mihalis Angelos*’ (1971), 464–5
- deceit  
   tort of, 234–5, 493–4  
   without proof of fraud, 238–9
- deeds, 9  
   alteration of discharge, 114  
   consideration and, 111–12, 114–15  
   voluntary instruments, voidability of, 275
- default, frustration and, 417–18, 429–32
- Denman, Lord, *Eastwood v. Kenyon* (1840), 119–22
- Denning, MR, Lord (formerly Denning LJ)  
   *Alan v. El Nasr* (1972), 138–9  
   *Beswick v. Beswick* (1968), 177  
   *Butler Machine Tool Co. Ltd v. Ex-Cell-O Corporation (England) Ltd* (1979), 60–1  
   *Charles Rickards Ltd v. Oppenheim* (1950), 138–9  
   *Combe v. Combe* (1951), 115–16, 145–7  
   *D & C Builders v. Rees* (1966), 137–8, 298



## 635 Index

- Entores Ltd v. Miles Far East Corporation* (1955), 44
- Errington v. Errington & Woods* (1952), 66–7
- 'The Eugenia'* (1964), 353, 419, 421–2, 423–5
- Gibson v. Manchester City Council* (1979), 42
- Hadley v. Baxendale* (1854), 502–5
- 'The Hansa Nord'* case (1976), 464–5
- High Trees* case (1947), 141–2, 143–5
- Hoenig v. Isaacs* (1952), 476–7
- Howard Marine v. Ogden* (1978), 230–3
- H Parsons (Livestock) Ltd v. Uttley Ingham & Co. Ltd* (1978), 502–5
- inequality of bargaining power, 316
- Lloyds Bank v. Bundy* (1975), 288, 316
- on public policy, 571–2
- Scruttons v. Midland Silicones* (1962), 201
- Solle v. Butcher* (1950), 269–73
- Staffordshire Area Health Authority v. South Staffordshire Waterworks Co.*, 463
- Stockloser v. Johnson* (1954), 551–2
- Ward v. Byham* (1956), 123–5
- Williams v. Williams* (1957), 123–5
- 'Denning/Arden extinction thesis'*, promissory estoppel, 142–3
- deposits, 552–7
- case law concerning, 555–6
- common law jurisdiction over excessive deposits, 556–7
- compensation over and above, 553–4
- consensual remedies, 539–40
- failure to pay, 554
- forfeiture of, 552
- sources of relief, 554–5
- statutory relief, 554–5
- 'deterrent purpose'* criterion, 546
- Devlin, Lord (formerly Devlin LJ and J)
- Heaven & Kesterton Ltd v. Etablissements Francois Albiac et Cie* (1956), 512
- Ingram* case (1961), 280
- Parker v. Clark* (1960), 163–4
- St. John Shipping Corporation v. Joseph Rank Ltd* (1957), 569–71
- Universal Cargo Carriers Corporation v. Citati* (1957), 453–4
- Diabolo promises, 114–15
- Dilhorne, Viscount, *New Zealand Shipping Co. Ltd v. AM Satterthwaite & Co. Ltd ('The Eurymedon')* (1975), 201–3
- Dillon LJ
- Alec Lobb Garages Ltd v. Total Oil Great Britain Ltd* (1985), 316
- on penalty doctrine, 540–2
- 'The Super Servant Two'* (1990), 431–2
- Diplock, Lord (formerly Diplock LJ)
- Antaios Cia Naviera SA v. Salen Rederierna AB* (1985), 363–5
- Gibson v. Manchester City Council* (1979), 42
- 'The Hannah Blumenthal'* (1983), 75
- Harvela v. Royal Trust Company of Canada* (1986), 73
- Hongkong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd* (1962), 464–8
- Photo Production Ltd v. Securicor Transport Ltd* (1980), 399–401
- Robophone* case (1966), 549
- disgorgement of gains
- breach of contract, 12–13
- restitution and, 522–4
- dispute resolution, adjudication guidelines, objectivity standards, 88–9
- Donaldson LJ, *'The Hermosa'* (1982), 445–7
- Draft Common Frame of Reference, 615–17
- Dubai International Financial Centre, 63, 369–72, 609–10
- consideration and, 118–19
- Dunedin, Lord
- Dunlop Tyre Co. Ltd v. New Garage and Motor Co. Ltd* (1915), 540–2, 547
- Dunlop Tyre Co. Ltd v. Selfridge & Co. Ltd* (1915), 115–16, 176–7
- duress. *See also* unconscionability; undue influence
- categories of, 289
- lawful threat or pressure, 291–2
- components of, 289–98
- causal impact of pressure, 293–4
- illegitimate threat, 291
- implicit or overt pressure, 290
- improper nature of threat, 291, 293
- objective potency of pressure, 293
- R v. Her Majesty's Attorney-General for England and Wales* (2003), 293
- target and maker of threat, 290–1
- unlawful threat, 291
- consideration doctrine and, 132
- defence of affirmation, 295
- definitions, 287, 290
- economic duress, 296
- equity and tort and, 298
- nature of contractual invalidity, 294–5
- predictability, 296–7
- procedural unfairness, 315
- renegotiation of contracts, 295–6
- commercial pressure during, 298
- duty of care
- contractual duty of care, 336
- misrepresentation, 240

## 636 Index

- duty of care (cont.)
  - tort claims of negligent misstatement and deceit, 234–5
- Dyson, Lord (formerly Dyson LJ)
  - DSND Subsea Ltd v. Petroleum Geo-Services ASA* (2000), 291, 296–7
  - Tekdata Intercommunications v. Amphenol Ltd* (2009), 58–60
- Elias LJ, *Attrill v. Dresdner Kleinwort Ltd* (2013), 90–4, 158–9
- Ellenborough, Lord, *Stilk v. Myrick* (1809), 129–30
- entire obligation rule, breach of contract and, 473–7
- equitable relief
  - breach of contract, 460–1
  - good faith and, 592–4
- Equity
  - common law and, 13–14
  - denial of specific performance and, 274
  - doctrines of, 13–14
    - consideration, 14
    - mistake or duress, 259–61
    - nature of mistake, 261–3
    - rectification, 357–9
  - duress and, 298
  - judge-made rule and, 14
  - relief against forfeiture of money instalments, breach relief, 551
  - third party right to specific performance and, 192
- Esher, Lord, MR, *'The Moorcock'* (1889), 339–41
- Espinasse report, 129–30
- estoppel, 109–54
  - 'contractual' estoppel, 151–4
  - by convention, 150–1
    - interpretation of written contracts, 372
  - equitable estoppel, 143–5
  - ethical sensitivity, 592–4
  - promissory estoppel, 110–11, 151–4
    - case law involving, 140–1
    - consideration and, 127–8
    - duress and, 298
    - elements of, 140
    - equitable doctrine of, 140–8
    - not cause of action, 145–7
    - suspension/extinction debate, 141–2
  - proprietary estoppel, 111, 145–7, 148–50, 151–4
    - land transactions, 149
  - by representation, 111–12, 150–1
  - res judicata*, 151–4
  - by silence or acquiescence, 151–4
  - 'subject to contract' provisions and, 159–60
  - summary of types, 151–4
  - 'waiver' and 'forbearance' in consideration and, 138–9
- Etherton LJ
  - Daventry District Council v. Daventry & District Housing Ltd* (2011), 357–9, 379–80, 382–3
  - Eminence Property Developments Ltd v. Heaney* (2010), 445–7
- EU law
  - Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Practices, 15–16
  - consumer protection legislation, 398–401
  - Consumer Rights Bill, 251
  - contract law, 15–16
  - jurisdiction and arbitration, 328–9
  - opt-in contract codes, 610–12
- European Code of Contracts (ECC), 'soft law' contract codes, 615–17
- European Court of Justice
  - arbitration clauses, 329
  - jurisdiction clauses, 329
  - Trento Svilippo srl case* (2013), 40–1
- exclusion clauses, 393–412
  - bank charges challenges, 410–11
  - breach of contract, deliberate breach, 444
  - case law in support of, 404–6
  - case law invalidating, 406–8
  - commercial context, clear wording in, 399–401
  - common law responses to, 393–4
    - strict interpretation, 398–401
  - Consumer Rights Bill, 251, 401–4
    - agreed penalties, 412
  - contract law and, 4–6
  - contra proferentem* interpretation, 398–401, 409–10
- entire agreement clauses
  - cases in support of, 404–5
  - misrepresentation, 248–9
  - rectification, 378–9
- fraud and, 398
- implied terms, non-consumer and consumer contracts, 402–3
- incorporation of, 394–8
  - case law on, 396–8
  - contractual intent, 397–8
  - course of dealing, 398
  - late notice, 397
  - signatures, 396–7
  - test of, 394–409
  - trade usage as basis for, 398
- 'last shot' approach in 'battle of the forms', 58–60
- limitation clauses, 405, 406–7
- misrepresentation, 246–51
  - entire agreement clause, 248–9
  - 'lack of agent's authority' clause, 249

## 637 Index

- ‘mere opinion given without responsibility’ or ‘non-verification’ clause, 249–50
- non-reliance clauses, 247–8, 250
- ‘no representations made’ clause, 249
- reasonableness test, 250–1
- types of, 247–8
- Misrepresentation Act 1967, 401
- negligence under non-consumer and consumer contracts, 402
- unreasonable restriction of liability, 407
- networks and, 16
- rectification, entire agreement clause, 378–9
- statutory control, 401–4
- third party rights, 173–5
  - privity of contract doctrine, 175–7
- transparency requirements, 409
- types of, 247–8, 393
- Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977, 401–4
  - reasonableness test, 404
  - unfair terms in consumer contracts, 408–12
  - unreasonable limitation clause, 406, 407
- exclusivity agreement, pre-contract obligations, 21, 31–2
- exploitation. *See* unconscionability
  - ethical sensitivity, 592–4
- ex turpi causa non actio oritur*, 564–6
- factual matrix, interpretation and rectification of written contracts, 357–9, 367–9
- fair dealing
  - abortive contract negotiations, 22–3
  - good faith principles, 590–2, 598
- fault, misrepresentation and, 228–9
- Fauvarque-Cosson, Bénédicte, 615–17
- Feltham, J. D., 178–80
- Fenton-Atkinson LJ, *Jones v. Padavatton* (1969), 162–3
- fiduciary duties, 255, 592–4
- Finn J, *Hughes Aircraft Systems International v. Air Services Australia* (1997), 70–2
- Flaux J
  - Kuwait Rocks Co. v. AMN Bulkcarriers Inc* (‘*The Astra*’) (2013), 461–2
  - ‘*The Pro Victor*’ (2009), 445–7
  - Rhodia International Holdings Ltd v. Huntsman International LLC* (2007), 32
- force majeure* clauses
  - frustration and, 417–19, 423–5, 432
  - terms, 326–7
  - third party rights, 192–3
- foreseeability
  - frustration doctrine and, 421–2
  - reasonable foreseeability test, 501–2
  - in ‘soft law’ codes, 622–4
- formality
  - in consideration, 111–14
  - land transactions, 113–14
  - mediation agreements, 114
- France, *Code Civil*, 551
- Fraser, Lord
  - Brinkibon v. Stahag Stahl* (1983), 45
  - Sudbrook Trading Estate Ltd v. Eggleton* (1983), 101–2
- fraud
  - exclusion clauses and, 398
  - ‘fiction of fraud’, 238–9
  - liability for, 7
  - potential liability for, 246
- freedom of contract
  - certainty and, 88, 90, 100–1
  - intent to create legal relations and, 159
  - officious bystander test and, 341–4
  - penalty doctrine and, 550–1
  - pre-contractual phase, 21
  - principle of, 6–7
  - privity of contract doctrine, 205
- frustration, 10, 417–38
  - aftermath of, 432–7
  - default and, 417–18, 429–32
    - choice precludes frustration, 429–32
    - short supply and too many customers, 432
  - doctrine of, 418–22
    - absence of implied term, 419
    - definition and genesis, 418–19
    - foreseeability, foresight, and inconclusive negotiation, 421–2
    - risk allocation to one party, 420–1
    - self-induced frustration, 429–32
  - entire obligations rule and, 477
  - exceptional denial of specific performance, 438
  - failure of restitution and, 520–1
  - force majeure* clauses and, 417–19, 423–5, 432
  - implied term and doctrine of, 353
  - indefinite contracts and, 417–18
  - mistake and, 420
  - post-formation hardship, 438
  - scope of, 422–9
    - delay, 425–6
    - difficulty and ‘impracticability’, 423–5
    - exceptional source of, 426–8
    - insufficient delay, 426
    - leases, 428–9
    - physical impossibility, 417–18, 423
    - supply of goods, 425–6
  - in ‘soft law’ codes, 620–1
  - summary of, 417–18
  - supervening illegality, 417–18

## 638 Index

- frustration (cont.)  
 termination of contracts of indefinite duration, 437–8  
 of venture, 417–18
- Gandolfi, Giuseppe, 615–17
- Garland J, *Gamerco SA v. ICM/Fair Warning (Agency) Ltd* (1995), 434–5, 437
- Gatehouse J, *Schelde Delta Shipping BV v. Astarte Shipping BV ('The Pamela')*, 45
- gentlemen's agreement  
 intent to create legal relations and, 159  
 mediation agreement as, 102–4
- Gibson, LJ, *Re Selectmove* (1995), 49
- gifts, unconscionability, 311–12
- Glidewell LJ  
*Galoo v. Bright Grahame Murray* (1994), 499–500  
*Williams v. Roffey & Nicholls (Contractors)* (1991), 130–1
- global contract code, 610–12
- Gloster LJ (formerly Gloster J)  
*JP Morgan Chase v. Springwell Navigation Corp* (2008), 404  
*Shell Egypt West Manzala GmbH v. Dana Gas Egypt Ltd* (2009), 329–30  
*Six Continents Hotels case* (2006), 246  
*Thomas Witter Ltd v. TBP Industries Ltd* (1996), 246
- Goff, Lord (formerly Goff (Robert) LJ, J and Robert Goff QC)  
*Allied Marine Transport Ltd v. Vale do Rio Doce Navegacao SA ('The Leonidas D')* (1985), 48  
*Amalgamated Investment & Property Co. Ltd v. Texas Commerce International Bank Ltd* (1981), 145–7  
*BP Exploration Co. (Libya) Ltd v. Hunt (No.2)* (1979), 432–7  
*British Steel Corporation v. Cleveland Bridge and Engineering Co.* (1984), 23–5  
*Dimskal Shipping Co. SA v. International Transport Workers Federation ('The Evia Luck No. 2')* (1992), 290  
*'The Kachenjunga'* (1990), 468–9  
*'The Mahkutai'*, 203–5  
*'The Mihalis Angelos'*, 464–5  
*Panatown case*, 185–7  
*'The Post Chaser'* (1982), 144
- Goff, Reginald LJ  
*Beer v. Bowden*, 97–8  
*Daulia v. Four Millbank Nominees* (1978), 66–7  
*Staffordshire Area Health Authority v. South Staffordshire Waterworks Co.* (1978), 463
- good faith. *See also* bad faith  
 agreement to negotiate in, 21, 25–8, 29–31  
 basic principles, 14–15  
 breach of contract and, 448–9  
 as central principle, 595–8  
 debate over, 589–600  
 English law concerning, 590–2  
 ethical sensitivity in contract law and, 592–4  
 future issues concerning, 589–600  
 lack of, 594  
 as obverse of dishonesty, 598  
 post-formation obligation for, 34–5  
 pre-formation context, 594–5  
 rectification of written contracts, 386  
 in 'soft law' codes, 619, 622–4  
 summary of, 590  
 in tenders, 68–72
- goods and services  
 breach of contract and sale of, 467  
 entire obligation rule, 473–7  
 certainty of price in contracts for, 95–100  
 'executory' sale of goods, 95–100  
 frustration and, 435  
 hopelessly ambiguous goods description, 93  
 mitigation of damages, 512  
 offer and acceptance and remedy of, 60–1  
 recovery in respect of, 12–13, 521–2  
 restitutionary obligation concerning, 21  
 scarce goods, specific performance remedies, 528–9  
 substantial dealings for regular supply of, 97–8  
 transferee of, 183–7
- Goudge JA (Ontario), *Miller Paving Ltd v. Gottardo Construction Ltd* (2007), 272
- Goulding J  
*Patel v. Ali* (1984), 438  
*Sky Petroleum Ltd v. VIP Petroleum Ltd* (1974), 528–9
- Grabiner, Lord QC, 350, 373–5
- graduated obligations, pre-contractual phase of  
 negotiations, 32
- Griffiths, Lord, *Linden Gardens Trust Ltd v. Lenesta Sludge Disposals Ltd (St Martins Property Corporation Ltd v. Sir Robert McAlpine Ltd)* (1995), 183–7
- guarantee, contracts of, 8–9  
 alteration of, 114
- Hague-Visby rules, 203
- Hailsham LC, Lord, entire obligations rule and, 477
- Haldane LC, Lord  
*British Westinghouse Electric Co. Ltd v. Underground Electric Railways* (1912), 514–15  
*Dunlop Tyre Co. Ltd v. Selfridge & Co. Ltd* (1915), 176–7
- Hale, Baroness (formerly Hale LJ)  
*Geys v. Société Générale* (2012), 334–5, 339, 437–8  
*Granatino v. Radmacher* (2010), 161–2  
*Hadley v. Baxendale* (1854), 506–9  
*Preston v. President of the Methodist Conference* (2013), 166–8  
*Rice v. Great Yarmouth Borough Council* (2000), 450–1

## 639 Index

- Transfield Shipping Inc. v. Mercator* ('The Achilleas') (2008), 506–9  
 unacceptable agreements concerning matrimony, 573  
 Halson, R., 297, 549  
 Hamblen J, '*The Sylvia*' (2010), 507, 508–9  
 Hamilton J, *Easton v. Hitchcock* (1912), 341  
 Hardwicke LC, Lord, *Tomlinson v. Gill* (1756), 178–80  
 harm already suffered principle, cost of cure damages and, 494–7  
 harmonisation  
   English wariness concerning, 617  
   renaissance of, 615–17  
 Hedley, S., 3  
 Hendrix, Jimi, 519–20  
 Herschell, Lord  
   *Derry v. Peek* (1889), 234–5  
   *Grainier & Sons v. Gough* (1896), 40–1  
 Hewart CJ, Lord, *Foley v. Classique Coaches* (1934), 97–8  
 Hilliard, J., 297  
 Himalaya clauses, 201–5  
 Hobhouse, Lord  
   commenting on *Wrotham Park Estate Co. v. Parkside Homes* (1974), 517–19  
   *Forsikringsaktieselskapet Vesta v. Butcher* (1989), 516–17  
   on harmonisation, 617  
   *Royal Bank of Scotland v. Etridge (No. 2)* (2002), 299–302, 303–6  
   *Shogun Finance Ltd v. Hudson* (2004), 279–80, 282–3, 325–6  
 Hodson, Lord  
   *Ajayi v. Briscoe* (1964), 141–2, 144  
   *White & Carter v. McGregor* (1962), 483–4  
 Hoffmann, Lord  
   *Attorney-General for Belize v. Belize Telecom Ltd* (2009), 343, 344, 347–50  
   *BCCI v. Ali* (2002), 81–2, 357–9, 367–9  
   *Chartbrook Ltd v. Persimmon Homes Ltd* (2009), 325–6, 369–72, 373–5  
   commenting on *Victoria Laundry case* (1949), 502–5  
   *Co-operative Insurance Services v. Argyll Stores Ltd* (1998), 524–9  
   *Gray v. Thames Trains Ltd* (2009), 564–6  
   *Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd v. West Bromwich Building Society* (1998), 357–60, 362–3, 367–9, 373–5  
   *Kirin-Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel Ltd* (2004), 362–3  
   *R v. Her Majesty's Attorney-General for England and Wales* (2003), 293  
   *Transfield Shipping Inc. v. Mercator* ('The Achilleas') (2008), 506–9, 622–4  
   *Union Eagle* case (1997), 460–1, 594  
 'honourable pledge' clause, intent to create legal relations and, 159  
 Hooley, Richard, 238–9, 345–6  
 Hope, Lord  
   *Geys v. Société Générale* (2012), 398–401, 470  
   *Multi-Link Leisure v. North Lanarkshire* (2010), 363–5  
   *Sigma* case (2009), 357–9  
   *Transfield Shipping Inc. v. Mercator* ('The Achilleas') (2008), 506–9  
 Hoskins, H., 29–31  
 Hudson, A. H., 55–6  
 Hughes, Lord, *Hounga v. Allen* (2014), 564–6  
 illegality doctrine, 561–84  
   agreements expressly or impliedly prohibited by statute, 569–71  
   agreements to commit legal wrong, 567–8  
   claimant's association with defendant's unlawful activity, 580–1  
   claimant's participation in unlawful performance, 580–1  
   consequences of, 562, 581–4  
   *ex turpi causa* defence, 564–6  
   gambling contracts, 571  
   performance, incidental illegality during, 568–9  
   restitution and, 581–4  
   rights of innocent party, 580  
   summary, 561–7  
   textual severance, 581–4  
 implied contracts  
   danger of, 98–100  
   long-term contracts, 437–8  
 implied terms, 333–53  
   complex commercial agreements, 346–7  
   custom or trade usage, 334, 350–2  
   ethics of, 592–4  
   exclusion clauses, non-consumer and consumer contracts, 402–3  
   frustration doctrine and, 353, 419  
   good faith and, 334, 592–4, 598–9  
   judicial precedent, 333  
   mistakes and, 268–9, 353  
   objective bystander test, 346–7  
   summary of, 333–4  
   terms implied in fact, 333–4, 339–50  
     financial services, 344–5  
     lenders terms, 345–6  
     *Moorcock* test, 333–4, 339–41  
     officious bystander test, 333–4, 341–4, 346–50  
     'policy factors' contamination, 344

## 640 Index

- implied terms (cont.)
  - terms implied in law, 333, 334–9
    - arbitration, 335
    - 'business efficacy' test, 333–4, 347–50
    - 'common parts' of local authority, 335
    - confidentiality, 335
    - consumer credit, 336
    - contractual duty of care, 336
    - employment cases, 336–9
    - future prospects of employees, crooked management and damage to, 337
    - overworking young doctors, 337
    - pensions, 338–9
    - termination of power, exercise of, 339
  - tort of negligence and, 334, 352
  - written contracts, interpretation and rectification, 361
  - in written contracts, 347–50
- 'incidental benefit problem', Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act (1999), 190–1
- independent legal advice, undue influence and, 310
- injunctions, 483, 530–2
- intent to create legal relations, 157–69
  - borderline of commercial/non-commercial contexts, 166–8
  - certainty and, 88, 94–5
  - collective agreements, 160
  - in commercial context, 157–8, 164–6
    - letters of comfort, 165
    - long-term contracts, absence of commitment to, 166
    - non-binding commercial language, 164
  - consideration and, 114–15, 118–19, 168–9
  - discovery of intent or absence of, 158–9
  - enforceability issues, 160–1
  - foundations of doctrine, 158–61
  - future issues, 168–9
  - gentlemen's agreement and, 159
  - letters of comfort, 157–8, 165
  - in non-commercial context, 157–8, 161–4
    - bargains between spouses, 161–2
    - family financial contracts, 162–3
    - friends sharing accommodation, 163–4
  - offer and acceptance and, 168–9
  - rationale for doctrine, 159
  - 'subject to contract' provisions and, 159–60
  - summary of main points, 157–8
- interpretation of written contracts, 357–88
  - abstract fairness, no interpretation based on, 365
  - admissibility of negotiation evidence, 357–9
  - background information, 357–9, 368
  - commercial common-sense criteria, 357–9, 363–5
  - 'corrective construction', 357–9, 372–7
    - case law examples, 375–6
    - court powers of interpretation, 372–3
    - nature of, 373–5
    - simultaneous rectification, 388
    - unavailability in certain situations, 376–7
  - criteria for, 362–5
  - estoppel by convention exception to evidence bar in, 372
  - exceptions to evidence bar in, 371–2
  - factual matrix, 357–9, 367–9
  - implied terms, 361
  - judicial construction of terms, limits on, 357–9
  - judicial law and, 360–1
  - modern foundations, 359–61
    - Investors Compensation Scheme* principles, 359–60
  - negotiation evidence, bars on reference to, 357–9, 387–8
  - objectivity criteria, 357–9, 362–3
  - 'ordinary interpretation' and negotiation evidence bar, 387–8
  - original assumptions, 366–7
  - over-confident interpretation, 387
  - overlapping legal submissions, 361
  - parol evidence rule, 361
  - post-formation conduct, 372
  - pre-contract negotiations, bar to, 369–72, 387–8
  - pressure points in law of, 387
  - simultaneous interpretation and rectification, 388
  - in 'soft law' codes, 621
  - sources, 357–9, 365–72
  - 'special meaning' exception to negotiation evidence bar in, 371–2
  - summary, 357–9
  - whole contract, consideration of, 365–6
- invitations to treat, offers and acceptances, 37–8
  - advertisements, 40–1
  - goods on display, 41
  - nature of an offer, 39–41
- Jack J, *Carleton v. Strutt and Parker* (2008), 29–31, 104
- Jackson LJ (formerly Jackson J), *Alfred McAlpine Capital Projects Ltd v. Tilebox Ltd* (2005), 540–2, 545
- Jacob LJ (formerly Jacob J)
  - IRC v. Fry* (2001), 137
  - Jeancharm Ltd v. Barnet Football Club Ltd* (2003), 545
  - on rescission *ab initio*, 243–4
  - R Griggs Group v. Evans (No. 1)* (2005), 343
  - Six Continents Hotels* case (2006), 246
  - Stour Valley Builders v. Stuart* (1992), 137
- Jauncey, Lord
  - Panatoun* case (2001), 183–7
  - Ruxley Electronics and Construction Ltd v. Forsyth* (1996), 494–7



## 641 Index

Jessel MR, Sir George

*Printing & Numerical Registering v. Sampson* (1875), 6–7*Redgrave v. Hurd* (1881), 220–9

joinder rule, common law and joint promisees, 177–8

judge-made rule, Common Law–Equity distinction and, 14

judicial prolixity, contract law codification and, 607–8

judicial remedies for breach of contract, 481–3

compensatory damages, 481–2

damages, 489–520

assessment at date of breach, 490–1

causation, 499–500

finality, 491–2

nominal damages, 489

overview, 489–92

protection of expectations and indemnification of reliance, 489–90

restrictions on, 491–2

substantial damages, 489

types of claims, 492–511

debt claims, 481, 483–9

‘co-operation’ qualification, 485

legitimate interest criterion, 486–9

mitigation principle not applicable, 516–20

‘no legitimate interest’ cases, 487–8

penalty doctrine, 483

‘performer enjoying legitimate interest’ cases, 486–7

repudiatory breach, 483–4

declarations, 524

injunctions, 483, 530–2

interest on money claims, 482

‘negative declarations’, 524

restitutionary claims, 482, 520–4

specific performance, 482–3, 524–9

criteria for, 524–7

scarce goods, 528–9

scope of remedy, 527–8

‘stays’ on legal proceedings, 532–3

summary, 481–3

just price (*iustum pretium*), 109–10, 115–16

‘just sum’ principle, flexible restitutionary approach and, 24–5

Keith, Lords

*White & Carter v. McGregor* (1962), 483–4*Woodar Investment Development Ltd v. Wimpey Construction UK Ltd* (1980), 448–9

Kenny, Mel, 615–17

Kenyon, Lord, *Harris v. Watson* (1791), 129–30

Kerr LJ (formerly Kerr J)

*‘The Odenfeld’* (1978), 486–7*‘The Siboen and the Sibotre’* (1976), 128, 296, 298Keyser, HH Judge, *Evans v. Lloyd* (2013), 303–6Kilbrandon, Lord, *Schuler (L) AG v. Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd* (1974), 456–7, 464Kitchin J, *Dominion Corporate Trustees Ltd v. Debenhams Properties Ltd* (2010), 461–2

‘laches’ defence, rectification of written contracts, 387

land transactions

formalities of consideration in, 113–14

proprietary estoppel, 149

statutory relief for deposits, 554–5

Law Commission

codification of contract law and, 609–10

consideration and, 118–19

Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act, 192–3

exclusion of act by contracting parties, 197

contributory negligence and, 516–17

double compensation, 196–7

entire obligations rule and, 477

firm offer rule, 63

illegality doctrine and, 563–4, 581–4

third party rights, 173–5, 177, 192–3

*White v. Jones* (1995), 190–1

Law Reform Committee, mistake, 280

Law Revision Committee, 119–22

Laws LJ, *Pink Floyd Music Ltd v. EMI Records Ltd* (2010), 375–6

Lawton LJ

*Butler Machine Tool Co. Ltd v. Ex-Cell-O Corporation (England) Ltd* (1979), 60–1, 398*Holwell Securities Ltd v. Hughes* (1974), 54–5Leggatt J, *Yam Seng Pte Ltd v. International Trade Corp Ltd* (2013), 16, 236–9, 343, 347–50, 590–4, 595–9

Leggatt LJ

*Johnston v. Bloomsbury Health Authority* (1992), 352*Malcolm v. Chancellor, Masters and Scholars of the University of Oxford* (1994), 94–5Leveson LJ, *Avraamides v. Colwill* (2006), 188–9

Lewison LJ (formerly Lewison J)

on binary condition/warranty classification, 464–5

*Cherry Tree Investments Ltd v. Landmain Ltd* (2012), 373–5, 376–7*Foodco UK LLP (t/a Muffin Break) v. Henry Boot Developments Limited* (2010), 228–9, 250–1*Jet2.com Ltd v. Blackpool Airport Ltd* (2012), 90–4*Thompson v. Foy* (2009), 299–302

lex mercatoria principles, 618

Lightman J, 367–9

*Inntrepreneur Pub Co. v. East Crown Ltd* (2000), 248–9Lindley LJ, *Allcard v. Skinner*, 299–302Lindsay J, *Prudential Assurance Co. Ltd v. Ayres* (2008), 197–8

Liu, Q., 462–3

## 642 Index

- Lloyd, Lord (formerly Lloyd J)  
*Clea Shipping Corporation v. Bulk Oil International*  
 ('The Alaskan Trader') (1984), 487–8  
*Ruxley Electronics and Construction Ltd v. Forsyth*  
 (1996), 494–7
- lock out agreement, pre-contract obligations, 21, 31–2
- Longmore LJ  
*Absalon v. TRCU Ltd* (2005), 360  
*Cherry Tree Investments Ltd v. Landmain Ltd* (2012),  
 376–7  
*Collierv. P & MJ Wright (Holdings) Ltd* (2007), 142–3, 145  
*Maple Leaf Macro Volatility Master Fund v. Rouvroy*  
 (2009), 112–13  
*Petromec Inc. v. Petroleo Brasileiro SA* (2005), 33–4  
*Soulsbury v. Soulsbury* (2007), 66–7  
*Tekdata Intercommunications v. Amphenol Ltd* (2009),  
 58–60
- Loveridge, R., 29–31
- Lugano Convention, 328–9
- Lush J.  
*Horwood v. Millar's Timber and Trading Co. Ltd* (1916),  
 573–4  
*Stevenson, Jacques & Co. v. McLean* (1880), 57
- MacKinnon LJ  
*Chapleton v. Barry Urban District Council* (1940), 397–8  
*Shirlaw v. Southern Foundries (1926) Ltd* (1939),  
 333–4, 339–42, 344–5
- MacMillan, Catherine, 270–1
- MacQueen, Hector, 615–17
- Mance, Lord (formerly Mance LJ and J)  
*Debenhams Retail plc v. Sun Alliance and London*  
*Assurance Co. Ltd* (2005), 366–7  
*Edwards v. Skyways Ltd* (1964), 166  
*Experience Hendrix LLC v. PPX Enterprises Inc.* (2005),  
 519–20  
*Hall v. Woolston Hall Leisure* (2001), 580–1  
*Lloyds TSB Foundation for Scotland v. Lloyds Group plc*  
 (2013), 366–7  
*OT Africa Lines v. Vickers plc* (1996), 77–8, 273  
*Sigma* case (2009), 357–9
- mandatory codification, 610–12
- Mansfield, Lord, *Holman v. Johnson* (1775), 564–6
- marriage maintenance cases, consideration in, 123–5
- Martin B, *Pearce v. Brooks* (1866), 572
- McKendrick, E., 369–72, 590–2
- McLauchlan, D., 152, 248, 275, 279, 285, 371–2, 378,  
 379–80, 383–5  
*Attorney-General for Belize v. Belize Telecom Ltd*  
 (2009), 347–50
- McNair J, *Andrews v. Hopkinson* (1957), 233–4
- mediation agreements, 114  
 certainty in, 102–4  
 pre-contractual phase, 29–31  
 terms and clauses, 327–8
- Megarry J  
*Cresswell v. Potter* (1968, reported 1978), 313–14  
*Hounslow London Borough Council v. Twickenham*  
*Garden Developments Ltd* (1972), 485
- Megaw LJ (formerly Megaw J)  
*Edwards v. Skyways Ltd*, 164  
*Thornton v. Shoe Lane Parking* (1971), 394–409
- Mellish LJ, *Parker v. South Eastern Railway Co.* (1877),  
 394–409
- mercantile custom, trusts of promises and, 180
- Millett, Lord (formerly Millett LJ and Peter Millett QC)  
*Alec Lobb (Garages) Ltd v. Total Oil GB Ltd* (1983),  
 311–13  
*Allied Maples Group v. Simmons & Simmons* (1995),  
 497  
*Hurst v. Bryk* (2002), 471–2  
*Little v. Courage* (1995), 28  
*Panatown* case (2001), 185–7  
*Shogun Finance Ltd v. Hudson* (2003), 250–1, 279–80,  
 281–2
- Mills, A., 29–31
- misrepresentation, 219–55  
 active misrepresentations, 252–4  
 collateral warranties  
 common law privity problem, 233–4  
 'parol evidence rule', 233  
 compensation  
 for breach of contract, 244–6  
 loss of bargain damages, 517–19  
 tort claims, 234–7  
 'contractual assurances' criteria, 230–3  
 importance for representee, 231  
 independent verification, 232–3  
 objective commitment by statement maker, 231  
 obvious importance, 231  
 relative skill, knowledge and expertise of the parties,  
 231–2  
 statement of fact *vs.* future fact, 231–2  
 subsequent negotiation, informal statement  
 superseded by, 233  
 timing, 233
- damages, 219–20, 493–4  
 deceit without proof of fraud, 238–9  
 defences to section 2(1) claims, 240–1  
 defendant's burden of proof, 239–40  
 'fiction of fraud', 238–9  
 liability of principal not agent, 240  
 loss of bargain damages, 229–30  
 under section 2(1) of the Misrepresentation Act  
 1967, 237–41, 246–7  
 statutory head of tortious damages, 237–8

- duties to disclose, 219–20, 251–5, 592–4
- elements of, 220–9
  - falsity, 225
  - no assertion of opinion in, 222–4
  - pre-formation context, 594–5
  - reliance, 225–8
  - representation by representor who is party to contract, 224
  - representee, change of identity, 224
  - representee's communication of representation, 224
  - statement becomes false after affirmation but before contract formation, 228–9
  - statement by words or conduct, 221
- exclusion clauses, 246–51, 401
  - entire agreement clause, 248–9
  - 'lack of agent's authority' clause, 249
  - 'mere opinion given without responsibility' or 'non-verification' clause, 249–50
  - 'non-reliance' clauses, 247–8, 250
  - 'no representations made' clause, 249
  - reasonableness test, 250–1
  - types of, 247–8
- half-truths, 252–4
- materiality, 225–6
- mistake and, 219–20, 262–3
- nature of, 220–9
- non est factum* principle and, 274–5
- non-fraudulent misrepresentation, 243–4
- promissory terms, 326–7
- reliance, 225–8
  - 'but for' or 'decisive influence', 226
  - correction given to representee, 227–8
  - fraudulent reliance, 225
  - materiality, 225–6
  - nature of reliance, 225
  - representee omits to check, 226–7
  - representee's inquiry and assessment, 227
  - representee's unawareness, 227
  - sole inducement criteria, 226
- remedies, 219–20
- rescission *ab initio*, 219–20, 241–4
  - bars to rescission, 242–3
  - indemnity, 242
  - non-fraudulent misrepresentation, 243–4
  - termination for breach and, 471–2
- statement becomes term or collateral warranty, 229–34
  - 'contractual assurances' criteria, 230–3
- termination for breach *vs.* rescission, 244–6
- tort claims, 234–7
  - contractual damages, 235–6
  - 'income losses' and consequential loss recoverability, 236–7
  - negligent misstatement and deceit, 234–5
  - undue influence and, 308–9
- mistake, 259–83
  - common mistake, 261–2, 264–6
  - deeds and voluntary instruments, voidability of, 275
  - 'essential difference' test, 264–6
  - face-to-face dealings, 280
  - frustration doctrine and, 420
  - '*The Great Peace*' test for, 259–62, 263–9
  - implied terms and doctrine of, 268–9, 353
  - induced mistake, 261–2
  - of law, 268–9
  - misrepresentation and, 219–20, 262–3
  - narrow doctrine, 262
  - nature of, 261–3
  - need for agreement on, 250–1
  - non est factum*, fundamental error in signed documents, 274–5
  - party's identity, errors concerning, 259–61, 275–83
    - apportionment, 280
    - case law, 278–80
    - distance dealings, 276–8
    - face-to-face dealings, 280
    - preference for voidable deal, 281–2
    - rescission for fraud victim, 280–1
    - summary, 282–3
  - rectification of written contracts and, 283, 383–5
  - related doctrines, 262–3
  - shared mistake, 261–2
    - common law and, 263–9
    - no rescission for, 269–73
    - res extincta*, 264–7
    - res sua*, 264–7
    - 'soft law' contract codes, 620
    - summary of main points, 259–61
    - types of, 261–2
    - unilateral error, 259–62, 273
- Mocatta J, '*The Atlantic Baron*' (1979), 295
- '*Moorcock* test', 333–4, 339–41
- Morgan, Jonathan, 509–10
- Morgan J, *Berkeley Community Villages Ltd v. Pullen* (2007), 34–5
- Morris, LJ, *Ward v. Byham* (1956), 123–5
- Morritt, Sir Andrew V-C, 88–9
  - Equitable Life Assurance Co. Ltd v. Hyman* (2002), 344–5
  - Esso Petroleum Ltd v. Niad Ltd* (2001), 522–4
  - Spice Girls Ltd v. Aprilia World Service BV* (2002), 228–9
- Morton, Lord, *White & Carter v. McGregor* (1962), 483–4
- Moulton, Lord, *Heilbut, Symons* case, 230–3

## 644 Index

- Mummary LJ  
*Anglo Petroleum Ltd v. TFB (Mortgages) Ltd* (2007), 580–1  
*Pesticcio v. Huet* (2004), 302–3, 305–6
- Mustill, Lord (formerly Mustill LJ), 451–2  
*Charter Reinsurance Co. Ltd v. Fagan* (1997), 357–9, 372–3  
 on codification, 609–10  
 damages in post-breach events, 509–10  
*FC Shepherd v. Jerrom* (1987), 426  
*Lombard North Central plc v. Butterworth* (1987), 459–60  
*Malcolm v. Chancellor, Masters and Scholars of the University of Oxford* (1994), 94–5  
*Ruxley Electronics and Construction Ltd v. Forsyth* (1996), 494–7
- negligence  
 contributory negligence  
 damages, 516–17  
 flexible restitutionary approach and, 24–5  
 in ‘soft law’ codes, 622  
 exclusion clauses, 405–6  
 non-consumer and consumer contracts, 402  
 unreasonable restriction of liability, 407  
 reasonable foreseeability test, 501–2  
 scope of duty test and, 508–9  
 tort of  
 damages for, 493–4  
 implied terms and, 334, 352  
 remoteness of damage doctrine, 500–9
- negligent misstatement, tort of, 234–5
- negotiable instruments, assignable rights, 214–15
- Neill, QC, Lord, *Queensland Electricity Generating Board v. New Hope Collieries* (1989), 33–4
- Nelson J, *Weldon v. GRE Linked Life Assurance Ltd* (2002), 343
- nemo dat quod non habet* principle, 259–61, 275–83
- Neuberger, Lord (formerly Neuberger LJ and J)  
*Coventry v. Lawrence* (2014), 575–7  
*Daventry District Council v. Daventry & District Housing Ltd* (2011), 379–80, 382–3  
*Kinch v. Bullard* (1999), 55–6  
*Kyle Bay Ltd v. Underwriters etc.* (2007), 264–6  
*Liverpool City Council v. Walton* (2001), 89  
*Marley v. Rawlings* (2014), 357–9, 367–9, 373–5  
*Pink Floyd Music Ltd v. EMI Records Ltd* (2010), 375–6  
*Sibthorpe v. Southward LBC* (2011), 575–7  
*Tennaro Ltd v. Majorarch* (2003), 554–5
- Newey J, *Aurora Fine Arts Investment Ltd v. Christie, Manson & Woods Ltd* (2012), 222–4, 249–50
- Nicholls, Lord (formerly Nicholls, Sir Donald, V-C)  
*Attorney-General v. Blake* (2001), 517–19, 522–4  
*Gran Gelato Ltd v. Richliff Ltd* (1992), 237–8, 240  
*Royal Bank of Scotland v. Etridge (No. 2)* (2002), 299–302, 303–6  
*Shogun Finance Ltd v. Hudson* (2004), 279–80
- Nolan, Donal, 46–7
- non-mandatory codification, 610–12
- Nourse LJ  
*Hammond v. Osborn* (2002), 302–3  
*Malcolm v. Chancellor, Masters and Scholars of the University of Oxford* (1994), 94–5  
*Nissan UK Ltd v. Nissan Motor Manufacturing (UK) Ltd* (1994), 50  
*Warren v. Mendy* (1989), 530–2
- novation  
 assignment *vs.*, 214–15  
 forms of, 10
- nudum pactum* (moral or casual commitment)  
 consideration and, 114–15  
 offer revocation or termination, 62
- objective bystander test, 346–7
- objective principle, 8  
 absence of objective consensus, 75  
 interpretation of written contracts, 362–3  
 offer and acceptance, 38–9  
 commercial law and ethics, 80–1  
 errors concerning quality, value or subject matter, 78–80  
 errors concerning terms, 77–8  
 illustration of valid contract, 75  
 mental incapacity, 76  
 misleading presentation of proposed terms, 77  
 mistaken presentation of terms, 77–8  
 objective principle of consent, 74–83  
 reasonable knowledge of offeree concerning offer, 76–7  
 rationale for, 75  
 unilateral errors, 78–80
- offer and acceptance, 37–83  
 auctions, 68  
 battle of the forms, 58–61  
 ‘first shot’ suggested approach, 60–1  
 ‘last shot’ analysis and, 58–61  
 ‘reasonable implication’ default to, 60–1  
 in ‘soft law’ codes, 619–20  
 cancellation of offer, 37–8  
 conduct by offeree, 50  
 contexts for, 38  
 unilateral contracts, 64–7  
 in contract law, 9  
 counter-offers, 37–8, 57–8  
 explicit acceptance, waiver of, 64–5  
 firm offer rule, 61–2, 63

- reform of, 619
- general silence rule, 49
- implicit intention in, 54–5
- implied collateral obligation, 68
- intent to create legal relations *vs.*, 168–9
- invitations to treat, 37–8
  - advertisements, 40–1
  - goods on display, 41
  - nature of an offer, 39–41
- invitor, 38
- nature of an offer, 39–41
- objective principle, 38–9
  - absence of consensus, 75
  - commercial law and ethics, 80–1
  - of consent, 74–83
  - errors concerning quality, value or subject matter, 78–80
  - errors concerning terms, 77–8
  - illustration of valid contract, 75
  - mental incapacity, 76
  - misleading presentation of proposed terms, 77
  - mistaken presentation of terms, 77–8
  - nature of an offer, 39–41
  - rationale for, 75
  - reasonable knowledge of offeree concerning offer, 76–7
  - unilateral errors, 78–80
- performance, acceptance by, 64
- postal acceptances, 51–7
  - acceptor's second thoughts, 55–6
  - appropriateness of, 52–3
  - complicated/delicate proposals, 53
  - delayed acceptances, 53–4
  - e-mail, 44
  - lost acceptances, 52
  - 'manifest inconvenience and absurdity', 54–5
  - material scope of rule, 51
  - place of formation, 52
  - posted rejection overtaken by acceptance, 56–7
  - posting before attempted revocation, 51–2
  - process of, 44
- postal rule, 37–8, 51, 52–3
  - exclusion, express or implied by offeror, 54–5
- procedures, 37–8
- process of, 42–50
  - acceptance and problem of silence, 48, 49, 50
  - communication to non-business addresses, 47
  - conduct by offeree, 50
  - conduct of parties, 42
  - cooling off periods, 48
  - cross-offers, 43
  - e-mail acceptance, 46–7
  - fax acceptance, 45–6
  - instantaneous communications, 45
  - need for awareness of offer, 43
  - notification of acceptance, 44
  - postal acceptance, 44
  - time of acceptance (non-postal), 45
- protection for offerees, 49
- referential bids, 73
- repeat transactions, 50
- reserve price, 38
- retraction, fixing moment for, 64, 66–7
- revocation and termination of offer, 61–4
  - communicated rejection of offer, 63
  - direct revocation, 61–2
  - fixed moment for retraction, 64, 66–7
  - indirect revocation, 62
  - lapse of time, 63–4
- revocation of acceptance
  - abuse of capacity to retract, 55–6
  - acceptor's second thoughts, 55–6
- sealed bids, 38, 72–3
- silence as consent, 50
- 'soft law' contract codes and, 60–1
- summary of, 37–9
- tenders, 38, 68–72
  - as common law, 68–72
  - good faith obligations, 70–2
  - invitor obligations, 69–70
- unilateral contracts, 38, 50
  - acceptance in context of, 64–7
  - sealed bids, 73
- official bystander test, 333–4, 341–4
  - complex commercial terms, 346–7
  - financial services implied term of fact, 344–5
  - written contracts, 347–50
- Oliver, Lord (formerly Oliver J), *Radford v. De Froberville* (1977), 494–7
- Ormrod J, *Snelling v. John Snelling Ltd* (1973), 532–3
- Orr LJ, *H Parsons (Livestock) Ltd v. Uttley Ingham & Co. Ltd* (1978), 502–5
- O'Sullivan, Janet, 297, 134–5
- Otton LJ, *Duffen v. FRA BO SpA* (1998), 546–7
- Owen J, *Attrill v. Dresdner Kleinwort Ltd* (2013), 116–18
- Parke B
  - Hutton v. Warren* (1836), 350–2
  - Robinson v. Harman* (1848), 489–90
- Parker, Jonathan LJ, *Modahl v. British Athletic Federation Ltd* (2002), 166–8
- Parker LJ, *Ward v. Byham* (1956), 123–5
- parol evidence rule
  - assessment of, 325–6

- parol evidence rule (cont.)  
 custom or trade usage implied terms, 350–2  
 interpretation of written contracts, 361  
 misrepresentation and collateral warranties, 233  
 operation of, 324  
 rationale, 325  
 rectification of written contracts, 378–9  
 scope of, 324  
 terms, 324–6
- Patten LJ, *Durham Tees Valley Airport Ltd v. bmibaby Ltd* (2010), 90–4
- Pearson, Lord, *Trollope & Colls Ltd. v. North West Metropolitan Regional Hospital Board* (1973), 342
- PECL, *Principles of European Contract Law*, 3–4  
 Article 2:101, 619  
 Article 2:101(1), 620  
 Article 2:202, 619  
 Article 2:209, 619–20  
 Article 2:210, 619  
 Article 4:102, 620  
 Article 5:101, interpretation, 621  
 Article 5:102, relevant circumstances, 621  
 Article 8:105, 621–2  
 Article 9:102, 621–2  
 Article 9:503, foreseeability, 622–4  
 assurance of performance, 454  
 good faith principles, 22–3, 590–2  
 interpretation, 621  
 penalty doctrine and, 551  
 ‘soft law’ contract codes, 60–1, 615–17, 619–24  
 termination for breach and, 471–2  
 written contract interpretation and, 369–72
- Peden, Elizabeth, 334–5
- Peel, E., 462–3, 543
- penalty doctrine  
 acceleration clauses, 548  
 breach triggering liability, 543  
 commercial parties, 545  
 consensual remedies, 539  
 consequence of finding a penalty, 548  
 critique of, 550–1  
 debt claims, 483  
 fundamental statement of, 540–2  
 good faith and, 592–4  
 inequality of bargaining power, 545  
 invalidated liquidated damages not a cap, 549  
 late payment of debt, 547–8  
 liquidated damages and, 540–51  
 money obligations context for, 547  
 need for breach between payer and payee, 543–4  
 ‘no deterrent purpose’ test, 546  
 objective test, 542  
 rejoinder to critique, 550  
 single sum payable, 546–7  
 time of assessment, 542–3  
 types of clauses covered by, 544  
 valid liquidated damages as cap, 548–9
- performance, 441–77. *See also* specific performance  
 assurance of performance, 454  
 commencement of, criteria for, 66–7  
 defective performance, 441–2  
 good faith and, 590–2  
 impossibility of future performance, 441–2  
 incidental illegality during, 568–9  
 offer and acceptance and, 64  
 part performance, 89–90  
 prevention of future performance, anticipatory breach, 451–2  
 promise to pay more for same performance, 110  
 right to refuse performance, 441–2, 444–7  
 in ‘soft law’ codes, 621–2  
 specific performance, 181–2, 192  
   exceptional denial of, 438  
   remedies for breach, 524–9  
 substantial performance, 437–8, 474, 475  
 timely performance, 459–60  
 unwillingness to perform, statement of, in anticipatory breach, 452–3  
 ‘utmost good faith’ during, 34–5  
 vague clauses, 102  
 vicarious performance, 210
- personal capacity, freedom of contract and, 7
- Phang JA, 118–19
- Phillips, Lord  
 ‘*The Great Peace*’ and, 263–73, 353  
*Shogun Finance Ltd v. Hudson* (2004), 279–80  
*Stone & Roles Ltd v. Moore Stephens* (2009), 564–6
- Pill LJ  
*Fortress Value Recovery Fund ILCC v. Blue Skye Special Opportunities Fund LLP* (2013), 198–200  
*Tekdata Intercommunications v. Amphenol Ltd* (2009), 58–60
- Pollock, Sir Frederick, 115–16, 127–8, 464–5
- Popham CJ, *Countess of Rutland’s Case* (1604), 325–6
- Popplewell J  
*Barclays Bank plc v. Unicredit Bank AG* (2012), 486–7  
*Geden Operations Ltd v. Dry Bulk Handy Holdings Inc* (‘*The Bulk Uruguay*’), 452–4
- Porter, Lord, *Heyman v. Darwins Ltd* (1942), 445
- postal rule, offer and acceptance, 37–8
- Potter LJ  
*Overseas Medical Supplies Ltd v. Orient Transport Services Ltd* (1999), 403–4  
*Phillips v. Enron*, 88–9



## 647 Index

- precedent, contract law and, 606–9
- pre-contractual phase
  - abortive negotiations, 22–5
    - unjust enrichment/restitutionary relief, 23–5
  - agreement for good faith negotiations, 25–8
  - ancillary agreements, 33–4
  - contract formation, 21–35
  - good faith during, 590–2, 594–5
  - lock out or negotiation exclusivity agreements, 31–2
  - mediation agreements, 29–31
  - negotiations during, 21
    - agreements and related arrangements, 25–35
    - ‘best and reasonable endeavours’ principle, 32
    - overriding of, 25
  - post-formation ancillary agreements, 33–4
  - post-formation negotiation agreements, 33–4
  - post-formation obligation for ‘utmost good faith’, 34–5
  - proprietary estoppel, 25
  - rules governing, 22
  - waiver of subject to contract bar, 25, 159–60
- Prescott, QC, HH Judge Peter, *Oronia* case (2008), 360, 398–401
- private law, 618
- privity of contract
  - common law doctrine of, 175–7
  - doctrine, 10
  - third party rights and, 173–5, 201, 205
    - irrevocable rights, 177
  - pure privity doctrine, 176–7
  - unsuccessful challenges to, 177
- Privy Council, contract law and, 4–6
- procedural unfairness, 315
- professional relationships, 190–1
- prohibitory relief, injunctions and, 530–2
- promises
  - borderline of commercial/non-commercial contexts, 166–8
  - classification of promissory terms, 455–6
  - enforcement of, 111–12
  - gratuitous promises, 110–11, 114–15, 118–19, 150–1
  - intent to create legal relations, 157–8, 165
  - joint promisees, 173–5, 177–8
  - negative promises, 530–2
    - between spouses, 161–2
  - trusts of promises, 173–5, 178–80, 200–1
- property rights, 113–14, 149–50
  - illegality doctrine, 581–4
  - mistake and ownership of, 262–3
  - relief against forfeiture, 460–1
  - unacceptable agreements concerning matrimony, 573
- proportionality, cost of cure damages and, 494–7
- Proudman J
  - Ridgewood Properties Group Ltd v. Valero Energy Ltd* (2013), 470–1
  - Shaftsbury House (Developments) Limited v. Lee* (2010), 251
- public policy, 571–9
  - agreements involving restraint of trade, 577–9
  - agreements to deceive or cheat public authorities, 574
  - agreements to oust court’s jurisdiction, 577
  - bribery offences and corruption, 574–5
  - contracting involving or promoting sexual immorality, 572
  - contracts affecting foreign policy, 574
  - contracts tending to pervert the course of justice, 575–7
  - freedom of contract and, 7
  - servitude, 573–4
  - unacceptable agreements concerning matrimony, 573
- publishing contracts, vagueness in, 94
- Purchas LJ, *Williams v. Roffey & Nicholls (Contractors)* (1991), 130–1
- quantum meruit*
  - frustration and, 423–5
  - illegality doctrine and, 581–4
  - recovery in respect of goods and services, 521–2
  - in restitution, 24–5
  - ‘subject to contract’ provisions and, 100
  - substantial performance doctrine, 475–6
- quantum verbat*, 581–4
- Radcliffe, Lord, 606–7
  - Bridge v. Campbell Discount Co. Ltd* (1962), 372–3, 546
  - Davis Contractors Ltd v. Fareham Urban District Council* (1956), 353, 418–19, 423–5
- reasonable expectations, 344, 350
  - contractual and tort damages and, 493–4
  - damages for loss of, 492–3
  - protection of, 489–90
- reasonableness, tests of
  - cost of cure damages and, 494–7
  - custom or trade usage implied terms, 350–2
  - exclusion clauses, 394–409
    - non-consumer contracts, 404
  - intent to create legal relations and, 158–9
  - lender’s implied term of fact, 345–6
  - reasonable foreseeability test, 501–2
- rectification doctrine, 13–14
  - acquiescence and, 387
  - bar on negotiation evidence (absence of bar) and, 371
  - mistake and, 259–61, 283
  - pre-contract negotiations and, 371
  - written contracts, 377–87

## rectification doctrine (cont.)

- admissibility of negotiation evidence in support of, 357–9
- arbitrator's power to order, 387
- common intention rectification, 377, 379–80
- equitable remedy, 357–9
- error of law, 386
- evidence rules, 378–9
- explosion of claims, 386
- formal transactions, 386
- good faith, 386
- grounds for, 377
- judicial law and, 360–1
- objective agreement, 377
- pre-formation formula, decisiveness of, 380–2
- prejudicial delay ('laches'), 387
- residual status, 378
- simultaneous interpretation and rectification, 388
- third party rights, 386
- unbroken continuing intention, need for, 382–3
- unilateral error and underhand negotiations, 385–6
- unilateral mistakes, 383–5

## referential bid, offer and acceptance, 38

## Reid, Lord

- Esso Petroleum Co. Ltd v. Harper's Garage (Stourport) Ltd* (1968), 578–9
- McCutcheon v. David MacBrayne Ltd* (1964), 8, 74
- A Schroeder Music Publishing Co. Ltd v. Macaulay* (1974), 578–9
- Schuler (L) AG v. Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd* (1974), 456–7, 464
- White & Carter v. McGregor* (1962), 484, 486–9, 590–2

## reliance

- consideration and, 111
- damages for loss of, 492–3
- fraudulent statement, 234–5
- misrepresentation, 225–8
  - 'but for' or 'decisive influence', 226
  - correction given to representee, 227–8
  - fraudulent reliance, 225
  - materiality, 225–6
  - nature of reliance, 225
  - 'non-reliance' clauses, 247–8, 250
  - representee omits to check, 226–7
  - representee's inquiry and assessment, 227
  - representee's unawareness, 227
  - sole inducement criterion, 226
- promissory estoppel and, 144
- third party rights, 193–4

## reliance loss, third party protection, 192

## remoteness of damage

- doctrine of, 500–9
- in 'soft law' codes, 622–4

## rescission

- equitable bars on, 13–14, 242–3
- misrepresentation, 219–20
  - termination for breach *vs.*, 244–6
- mistake, no rescission for shared mistake, 269–73

rescission *ab initio*

- frustration and, 432–3
- misrepresentation, 219–20, 241–4
  - bars to rescission, 242–3
  - indemnity, 242
  - non-fraudulent misrepresentation, 243–4
  - termination for breach and, 244–6, 471–2

## restitution, 12–13

- claim reduction for slow performance, 24–5
- disgorgement of gains from breach, 520–1, 522–4
- equitable account remedy, 12–13, 520–1, 522–4
- forms of, 12–13
  - disgorgement of gains in breach of contract, 12–13, 490, 522–4
  - money recovered for total failure of consideration, 12–13
  - recovery in respect of goods and services, 12–13
- illegality doctrine and, 581–4
- judicial remedies for breach of contract, 482, 520–4
- money recovered for failure of performance, 520–1
- offer and acceptance and, 60–1
- pre-contract obligations, 21, 23–5
- quantum meruit*, 24–5
- recovery in respect of goods or services, 520–2
- remedies, equitable account, 12–13, 520–1, 522–4

## restraint of trade, 577–9

## restrictive covenants, privity of contract and, 205

## revocable mandate, assignable rights, 214–15

- Reynolds, F. M. B., *Golden Strait Corporation v. Nippon Yusen Kubishika Kaisha ('The Golden Victory')* (2007), 509–10

## Rix LJ (formerly Rix J)

- Avon Insurance plc v. Swire Fraser Ltd* (2000), 225, 238–9
- AXA case (2011), 248–9, 399–401
- Force India Formula One Team Ltd v. Etihad Airways PJSC* (2010), 464, 469–70
- ING Bank NV v. Ros Roca SA* (2011), 81–2, 151–4, 252, 372–3
- Interfoto Picture Library Ltd v. Stiletto Visual Programmes Ltd* (1989), 394–409 (commenting on)
- Lombard North Central plc v. Automobile World (UK)* (2010), 511
- Mamidoil-Jetoil Greek Petroleum Co. Ltd v. Okta Crude Oil Refinery (No. 1)* (2001), 97–8
- Offer-Hoar v. Larkstore Ltd* (2006), 212–13
- Procter and Gamble Co. v. Svenska Cellulosa Aktiebolaget SCA* (2012), 367–9

## 649 Index

- 'The Sea Angel'* (2007), 420–2
- Stocznia* case (2003), 469–70
- Rodger, Lord
- Chartbrook Ltd v. Persimmon Homes Ltd* (2009), 369–70
- Hadley v. Baxendale* (1854), 506–9
- Transfield Shipping Inc. v. Mercator ('The Achilleas')* (2008), 506–9
- Roskill, Lord (formerly Roskill LJ)
- ASLEF* case (1972), 336–9
- 'The Nema'* case (1982), 425–6
- Rowan, Solène, 530–2
- Russell, Lord
- Luxor (Eastbourne) Ltd v. Cooper* (1941), 66–7
- Woodar Investment Development Ltd v. Wimpey Construction UK Ltd* (1980), 448–9
- Russell LJ, *Williams v. Roffey & Nicholls (Contractors)* (1991), 130–1
- Sachs LJ
- Bolton v. Mahadeva* (1972), 476
- Lloyds Bank Ltd v. Bundy*, 306–8
- Sales LJ (formerly Sales J)
- BMS Computer Solutions Ltd v. AB Agri Ltd* (2010), 437–8
- Vercoe v. Rutland Fund Management* (2010), 522–4
- Salmon, Lord (formerly Salmon LJ)
- Jones v. Padavatton*, 162–3
- Woodar Investment Development Ltd v. Wimpey Construction UK Ltd* (1980), 448–9
- Sankey J, *Horwood v. Millar's Timber and Trading Co. Ltd* (1916), 573–4
- Saville, Lord (formerly Saville LJ and J)
- Alfred Toepfer International GmbH v. Itey Itagrani Export SA* (1993), 453–4
- Hughes v. Asset Managers plc* (1995), 569–71
- Scarman, Lord (formerly Scarman LJ)
- H Parsons (Livestock) Ltd v. Uttley Ingham & Co. Ltd* (1978), 502–5
- National Westminster Bank plc v. Morgan* (1985), 299–302, 316
- Pao On v. Lau Yiu Long* (1980), 294–5
- Tai Hing Ltd v. Liu Chong Hing Bank* (1979), 352
- Universe Tankships Inc. of Monrovia v. International Transport Workers Federation ('The Universe Sentinel')* (1983), 290, 291
- Woodar Investment Development Ltd v. Wimpey Construction UK Ltd* (1980), 448–9
- scope of duty, remoteness of damage doctrine and, 502–9
- Scott, Lord
- Cobbe v. Yeoman's Row Management Ltd* (2008), 149–50
- Equitable Life Assurance Co. Ltd v. Hyman* (2002), 344–5
- Farley v. Skinner* (2001), 498–9
- Royal Bank of Scotland v. Etridge (No. 1)* (2001), 224
- R v. Her Majesty's Attorney-General for England and Wales* (2003), 306–8
- Scottish Law Commission, 487–8
- Scrutton LJ (formerly Scrutton J)
- Foley v. Classique Coaches* (1934), 97–8
- Lens v. Devonshire Club* (1914), 163–4
- Re Mahmoud and Ispahani* (1921), 581–4
- Re Moore & Co. and Landauer & Co.* (1921), 457–8
- sealed bids, offer and acceptance, 38
- Sedley LJ, *Brennan v. Bold Burdon* (2004), 264–6
- Selborne, Lord, *Scarf v. Jardine* (1882), 214–15
- Sellers LJ (formerly Sellers J)
- Hongkong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd* (1962), 466–7
- Simpkins v. Puy* (1955), 163–4
- Seymour, QC, HH Judge Richard, *Wimpole Theatre (a firm) v. J J Goodman Ltd* (2012), 273, 361, 383–5
- Simon, Lords
- BP Refinery (Westernport) Pty Ltd v. Shire of Hastings* (1978), 342
- British Movietonews Ltd v. London District Cinemas* (1952), 423–5
- Esso Petroleum Ltd v. Commissioners of Customs & Excise* (1976), 64–5
- New Zealand Shipping Co. Ltd v. AM Satterthwaite & Co. Ltd ('The Eurymedon')* (1975), 201–3
- Schuler (L) AG v. Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd* (1974), 464
- Simon J
- 'The Dynamic'* (2003), 486–9
- HHR Pascal BV v. W2005 Puppet II BV* (2009), 360
- Singleton J, *Hartog v. Colin & Shields* (1939), 77–8
- Slade LJ, *BCCI v. Aboody* (1990), 299–302
- Slessor LJ, *Chapleton v. Barry Urban District Council* (1940), 397–8
- Smith, Andrew J
- Maple Leaf* case (2009), 75
- Office of Fair Trading v. Abbey National plc* (2008), 543
- Smith, JC, 266–7
- Smith, SA, 297
- 'soft law' contract codes, 615–24
- battle of the forms and, 619–20
- breach of contract consequences and, 448–9
- consideration in, 620
- contributory negligence, 622
- damages, 622–4
- English contract law and, 619–24
- firm offers, 619
- interpretation of written contracts, 621
- mistake and, 272–3, 620
- offer and acceptance and, 60–1

## 650 Index

- 'soft law' contract codes (cont.)
  - performance in, 621–2
  - principles of contract law and, 3–4
  - remoteness of damage, 622–4
  - specific performance, 621–2
  - supervening difficulty, 620–1
- Somervell LJ, *Stockloser v. Johnson* (1954), 551–2
- specific performance. *See also* performance
  - exceptional denial of, 438
  - judicial remedies for breach of contract, 524–7
    - scarce goods, 528–9
    - scope of remedy, 527–8
  - mistake and denial of, 274
  - 'soft law' codes, 621–2
  - third party rights, 181–2, 192
- Spencer, John, 396–7
- Stadlen J, *Giedo* case, 517–19
- Stamp J, *Page One Records Ltd v. Britton* (1968), 530–2
- statutes, table of, 3
- statutory control, exclusion clauses, misrepresentation, 246–51
- statutory instruments, table of, 3
- Staughton LJ, 367–9
- Steinfeld, QC, HH Judge Alan, *Aribisala* case (2007), 554–5
- Stevens, Robert, 325–6
- Steyn, Lord (formerly Steyn LJ and J), 89–90
  - CTN Cash & Carry Ltd v. Gallaher* (1994), 291–2, 316
  - Director-General of Fair Trading v. First National Bank* (2002), 592–4
  - Equitable Life Assurance Co. Ltd v. Hyman* (2002), 334–5, 344–5
  - First Energy (UK) Ltd v. Hungarian International Bank Ltd* (1993), 595–8
  - on good faith, 595–8
  - Mannai Investment Co. v. Eagle Star Life Assurance* (1997), 363–5
  - Smith New Court Securities Ltd v. Scrimgeour Vickers (Asset Management) Ltd* (1997), 238–9
  - Vitol SA v. Norelf Ltd* (1996), 470–1
- St. Leonards, Lord (LC), *Lumley v. Wagner* (1852), 530–2
- Stone, Sir Leonard (V-C), *Spring v. National Amalgamated Stevedores and Dockers Society* (1956), 343
- Strauss, QC HH Judge Nicholas, *Ministry of Sound (Ireland) v. World Online Ltd* (2003), 485, 486–7
- Street J (Australia), *Barton v. Armstrong* (1976), 293–4
- strict responsibility, 228–9
- Stuart-Smith LJ, *Commission for New Towns* case (1995), 385–6
- Sumption, Lord, *Geys v. Société Générale* (2012), 485
- survivorship doctrine, common law and joint promisees, 177–8
- Swift J, *Silverman v. Imperial London Hotels Ltd* (1927), 339–41
- Tan, D. S., 297
- Teare J
  - Emirates* case, 32
  - Omak Maritime* case, '*The Mamola Challenger*' (2010), 492–3
  - Sheffield United* case (2008), 329–30
- Templeman, Lord
  - Boustany v. Pigott* (1995), 313–14
  - Harvela v. Royal Trust Company of Canada* (1986), 73
- tenders
  - good faith in contract negotiations and, 25–8
  - offer and acceptance, 38, 68–72
    - as common law, 68–72
    - good faith obligations, 70–2
    - invitor obligations, 69–70
- terms, 323–30. *See also* implied terms
  - choice-of-law clauses, 327
  - as conditions, 455–6, 461–2
  - dispute-resolution clauses, 327–30
  - European jurisdiction zone, 328–9
  - express terms, 326–7
  - finality clauses, 329–30
  - force majeure* clauses, 326–7
  - hybrid arbitration and jurisdiction clauses, 328–30
  - implied terms, 326–7
  - incorporation of, 323–4
  - intermediate or innominate terms, 464–8
  - jurisdiction clauses, 328, 329–30
  - mediation agreements, 327–8
  - non-promissory terms, 326–7
  - parol evidence rule, 324–6
  - promissory terms, 326–7
  - substantive terms, 326–7
- Thankerton, Lord, *Hillas & Co. v. Arcos Ltd* (1932), 90–4
- Thesiger LJ, *Household Fire Insurance Co. v. Grant* (1879), 52
- third parties
  - actions brought by promisee, 180–7
    - 'Albazero' exception, 183–7
    - 'broad view', 185–7
    - building contracts, 183–7
    - damages, 180–1, 182, 183–7
    - debt, 181
    - declaration and stay of proceedings, 187
    - 'narrow view', 185
    - specific performance, 181–2
  - assigned rights, 214–15
  - at common law, 173–5
    - exclusion clauses, 201–5
  - carriage of goods, 201, 203
  - Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act (1999), 187–201
    - arbitration clauses, 198–201
    - commencement, 188

## 651 Index

- damages, 192
- double compensation, 196–7
- exceptions, 187–8
- exclusion clause, 190
- exclusion of Act by contracting parties, 197
- failure to exclude act, dispute based on, 197–8
- identification of third parties, 188–9
- limitation of actions, 197
- manifestation of assent, 193–4
- ‘master clause’ in favour of A and B, 194
- parallel third party rights, 195
- promisee’s common law rights preserved, 195
- remedies of third party, 191–2
- right of defence or set-off, 195–6
- specific performance, 192
- test for identification of rights, 189
- trusts of promises *vs.* direct rights of action under, 200–1
- variable selection of third party, clause permitting, 194
- variation or rescission of contract, 192–3
- costs of cure, 186–7
  - damages, 494–7
- exclusion clauses, 173–5, 201–5
  - Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act (1999), 190
  - exclusive jurisdiction clauses, 203–5
  - Himalaya* clauses, 201–5
  - non-exclusive jurisdiction clauses, 205
  - privity of contract doctrine, 175–7
- joint promisees, 173–5, 177–8
- networks and, 16
- pre-existing duty of consideration and, 110
- privity of contract doctrine, 175–7
  - ‘burdens’ and, 205
  - irrevocable rights, 177
  - pure privity doctrine, 176–7
  - unsuccessful challenges to, 177
- rectification of written contracts, 386
- resolution of contract terms, 101–2
- summary of main points, 173–5
- trusts of promises, 173–5
  - declaration of trust, 178–80
  - direct right of action and, 178–80, 200–1
  - effects, 179
  - mercantile custom, 180
  - test of, 179–80
- undue influence and, 308–9
- Tomlinson LJ
  - Fortress Value Recovery Fund I LCC v. Blue Skye Special Opportunities Fund LLP* (2013), 198–200
  - MRI Trading AG v. Erdenet Mining Corp LLC* (2013), 25–8
- tort law
  - breach of contract and, 205
  - consumer credit, 336
  - contract law and, 11–12
  - damages and, 493–4
  - deceit, 11–12
  - duress and, 298
  - duty of care, 336
  - misrepresentation, 219–20, 234–7
    - contractual damages, 235–6
    - negligent misstatement and deceit, 234–5
  - negligence, 11–12
    - implied terms, 334, 352
  - reasonable foreseeability test, 501–2
  - remoteness of damage doctrine, 500–9
  - scope of duty test, 506–9
  - unlawful interference with contract, 205
- total failure of consideration, money recovered for, 12–13
- Toulmin QC, HH Judge John, *Mirant Asia-Pacific Construction (Hong Kong) Ltd v. Ove Arup & Partners International Ltd* (2007), 186–7
- Toulson, Lord (formerly Toulson LJ)
  - Daventry District Council v. Daventry & District Housing Ltd* (2011), 382–3
  - Durham Tees Valley Airport Ltd v. bmibaby Ltd* (2010), 90–4
  - Fortress Value Recovery Fund I LCC v. Blue Skye Special Opportunities Fund LLP* (2013), 198–200
  - Great Peace Shipping Ltd v. Tsoularis Salvage (International) Ltd* (2002), 268–9
  - IFE Fund SA case* (2007), 249–50
  - LJ Korbetis v. Transgrain Shipping BV* (2005), 53–4
  - ParkingEye Ltd v. Somerfield Stores Ltd* (2012), 561–2, 563–4, 568–9
  - Sharma v. Simposh Ltd* (2011), 553–4
  - Supershield Ltd v. Siemens Building Technologies FE Ltd* (2010), 499–500, 508–9
- Treitel, G. H.
  - on breach of contract conditions, 457–8
  - Clay v. Yates* (1856), 567–8
  - on codification, 609–10
  - illegality doctrine and, 567–8
  - on offer and acceptance, 55–6, 61–2
  - parol evidence rule, 325–6
  - on tenders as common law, 68–72
  - textual severance, 581–4
  - third party rights, 194
- ‘trite law’, offer revocation and, 63
- trust, relationships of, 255
  - terms implied in law, 337–8
  - undue influence and, 306
- trusts of promises
  - declaration of trust, 178–80

## 652 Index

- trusts of promises (cont.)  
 direct right of action and, 178–80, 200–1  
 effects, 179  
 mercantile custom, 180  
 test of, 179–80  
 third party rights, 173–5
- Tucker, J, *Atlas Express Ltd v. Kafco (Importers and Distributors) Ltd* (1989), 298
- Tucker, Lord, *White & Carter v. McGregor* (1962), 483–4
- Turpin, Colin, 55–6
- uncertainty. *See also* certainty  
 damages in post-breach events, 509–10  
 fledgling agreements, uncertainty on central issues, 89  
 interpretation of written contracts and, 369–72  
 scope of doctrine of, 87
- unconscionability, 311–14  
*See also* duress and undue influence  
 contracts and gifts, 311–12  
 defined, 287–8  
 ethical sensitivity, 592–4  
 ‘gross disparity’ principle, 314  
 undue influence and, 314
- undue influence, 299–310. *See also* duress and unconscionability  
 Birks-Chin theory of, 302–3  
 coercion and, 308–9  
 defined, 287  
 doctrine of, 13–14  
 duty not to suppress material factor, 306  
 ethical sensitivity, 592–4  
 lenders, guarantors and third parties, 308–9  
 nature of, 299–302  
 presumed undue influence  
   categories of, 303–6  
   phases of, 303  
 procedural unfairness, 315  
 relationships of trust and confidence, 306  
   banker and customer, 306–8, 309  
   constructive notices, 309–10  
   independent advice, 310  
 unconscionability and, 314
- unfairness, tests in consumer contracts of, 408–12
- Ungoed-Thomas J, *Cunliffe-Owen* case (1967), 350–2
- UNIDROIT *Principles of International Commercial Contracts* (2010)  
 Article 1.3, 620  
 Article 2.1.4(1), 619  
 Article 2.1.4(2), 619  
 Article 2.1.22, 619–20  
 Article 3.1.3, 620  
 Article 7.2.2, specific performance, 621–2  
 Article 7.3.4, 621–2
- Article 7.4.4, foreseeability, 622–4
- Articles 6.2.2 and 6.2.3, 620–1
- assurance of performance, 454
- consideration doctrine and, 135
- contract law and, 4
- contracts and torts, 11–12
- damages and, 622–4
- estoppel and, 110–11
- exclusion clauses, 394–409
- good faith and, 590–2
- good faith principles, 14–15, 22–3
- interpretation of written contracts and, 369–72
- mistake and, 272–3
- penalty doctrine and, 551
- ‘soft law’ contract codes, 60–1, 615–17, 619
- termination for breach and, 471–2
- unconscionability and, 314
- uniform law projects, 609–10
- unilateral contracts, 8  
 invitations to treat, 40–1  
 offer and acceptance, 38, 64–7  
 sealed bids, 73
- United States  
 good faith in, 605–6  
*Restatement of Contracts*, 605–6  
 Uniform Commercial Code, 454, 605–6
- unjust enrichment. *See* restitution
- Upjohn LJ, *Hongkong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd* (1962), 465–7
- USA, *Lefkowitz v. Great Minneapolis Surplus Stores*, 40–1
- Vaughan-Williams LJ, *Krell v. Henry* (1903), 426
- ‘vicarious immunity’ doctrine, 201
- Vienna Convention on the International Sale of Goods, 615–17, 622–4
- Vogenauer, Stefan, 615–17
- Vos LJ (formerly Vos J), ‘Chelsea Barracks case’, 32, 34–5
- Walker, Lord  
*Cobbe v. Yeoman’s Row Management Ltd* (2008), 145–7  
*Golden Strait Corporation v. Nippon Yusen Kubishika Kaisha* (‘The Golden Victory’), 451–2  
*Hadley v. Baxendale* (1854), 506–9 (commenting on)  
*Shogun Finance Ltd v. Hudson* (2004), 279–80, 281–3  
*Stone & Roles Ltd v. Moore Stephens* (2009), 564–6  
*Transfield Shipping Inc. v. Mercator* (‘The Achilles’) (2008), 500–1, 502–5, 506–9 (commenting on)
- Walker J, *British American Insurance (Kenya) Ltd v. Matelec SAL* (2013), 360
- Waller LJ (formerly Waller J)  
*Avraamides v. Colwill* (2006), 188–9  
*Citibank NA v. Brown Shipley* (1991), 278



*Equitable Life Assurance Co. Ltd v. Hyman* (2002), 344–5  
*IFE Fund SA v. Goldman Sachs* (2007), 222–4  
*Naughton v. O'Callaghan* (1990), 244–6  
'*The Seaflower*' (2001), 455–6  
Ward LJ, *Drew v. Daniel* (2005), 299–302  
warranties, 8–9  
    breach of, 455–6, 464–5  
    collateral warranties, 233–4, 324  
    contractual and tort damages and, 493–4  
    misrepresentation, 229–34  
        exclusion clauses, 247–8  
    'subject to contract' provisions and, 159–60  
Warrington LJ, *Balfour v. Balfour* (1919), 160–1  
'*Wednesbury*' principles, 29–31, 345–6  
Whittaker, S., 456–7  
Widgery LJ, *Merritt v. Merritt* (1970), 161–2  
Wigram V-C, *Fletcher v. Fletcher* (1844), 180  
Wilberforce, Lord  
    *Barton v. Armstrong* (1976), 293–4  
    *Federal Commerce & Navigation Co. v. Molena Alpha Inc.*, '*The Nanfri*' (1979), 445–7  
    *Johnson v. Agnew* (1980), 244–6, 471–2  
    *National Carriers Ltd v. Panalpina (Northern) Ltd* (1981), 428–9  
    *Photo Production Ltd v. Securicor Transport Ltd* (1980), 399–401  
    *Schuler (L) AG v. Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd* (1974), 456–7, 464–5  
    *Woodar Investment Development Ltd v. Wimpey Construction UK Ltd* (1980), 448–9  
Wilson, Lord, *Geys v. Société Générale* (2012), 3–4, 472–3, 530–2, 577–9  
Winn LJ, *D & C Builders v. Rees* (1966), 137–8, 144  
Woodar rule  
    breach of contract and, 447–8  
    exceptions to, 4  
    third party rights, 182  
Woolf, Lord (formerly Woolf J)  
    *Equitable Life Assurance Co. Ltd v. Hyman* (2002), 344–5  
    *Philips Hong Kong case* (1993), 545  
    *Salvation Army Trustee Co. Ltd v. West Yorkshire Metropolitan County Council* (1980), 145–7  
    *Wadham Stringer Finance Ltd v. Meaney* (1981), 548  
Wright, Lord (formerly Wright J)  
    *Heyman v. Darwins Ltd* (1942), 445–51  
    *Luxor (Eastbourne) Ltd v. Cooper* (1941), 339, 342, 344, 345–6  
    *Robert A Munro & Co. v. Meyer* (1930), 270–1  
    *Ross T Smyth & Co. Ltd v. TD Bailey, Son & Co.* (1940), 447–8  
    *With v. O'Flanagan* (1936), 228–9  
written contracts  
    consideration, 113  
    implied terms, 347–50  
    interpretation and rectification of, 357–88  
        background information, 357–9, 368  
        'corrective construction', 357–9, 372–7  
        criteria for, 362–5  
        factual matrix, 357–9, 367–9  
        judicial law and, 360–1  
        modern foundations, 359–61  
        original assumptions, 366–7  
        parol evidence rule, 361  
        post-formation conduct, 372  
        pre-contract negotiations, bar, 369–72  
        sources, 365–72  
        whole contract, consideration of, 365–6  
mistakes, 259–61  
non-consumer contracts, standard written terms in, 402  
rectification doctrine, 377–87  
requirements, 9  
Yeo, T. M., 270