

INDEX

- adaptive governance, 12–13
 Complex Adaptive System
 Theory, 43
 Adelle, C, 69
 Allmendinger, P., 202–203
- Baber, W. F., 195, 199, 204
 backcasting, 86–88, 89
 Bartlett, R. V., 195, 199, 204
 Barlow Report, 1940, 167
 Barry, John, 111, 123, 191, 194, 201
 Barton, B., 193–194, 197
 behavioral change promotion,
 ecological governance, 136–137
 Biodiversity Convention, 4
 bioregionalists, ecological
 governance, 108
 Boardman, R., 24–25
 Boothroyd, P., 69, 95
 Brierley, A. S., 31
 Brooks, R. O., 220–221, 224
 Brown, T. C., 190
 Brundtland Report, 98
 Brunnee, J., 222–223, 224
 Buckley, R., 97
 Burgess, J., 194
- Callicott, J. B., 45
 CCAMLR. *See* Convention on the
 Conservation of Antarctic Marine
 Living Resources
 Christoff, P., 107, 120
 Clark, J., 194
 Climate Change Act 2008, United
 Kingdom, 102
 collaborative ecological governance,
 10–12
- communicative state, 120
 commutesheds, 128
 complexity, regime shifts,
 environmental decision-making,
 25–27
 Convention on the Conservation of
 Antarctic Marine Living
 Resources (CCAMLR), 229, 233
 Convention on the Regulation of
 Antarctic Mineral Resource
 Activities (CRAMRA), 230–232
 coordinating state, ecological
 governance, 121–123
 Counsell, David, 153, 173
 Cowell, R., 112–113, 122, 178,
 180, 185
 CRAMRA. *See* Convention on the
 Conservation of Antarctic Marine
 Living Resources
 Crowder, L. B., 157, 170
 Cullingworth, B., 162, 166
 Curry, Patrick, 41–42
- Davoudi, S., 150
 de Sadeleer, Nicholas, 62–63
 devolution limitations, ecological
 governance, 107–115
 deliberation procedures, 206
 deliberative democracy and, 188–198
 Diamond, Jared, 1–2
 Dobson, Andrew, 112, 199
 Doremus, H., 219–220, 230
 Dorf, Michael, 124–125
 Dovers, S. R., 62–63, 64
 Dreborg, K. H., 59
 Drury, William, 20
 Dryzek, J. S., 90, 195

- Earth System, 16, 23–25, 37, 156
 ecological governance. *See* governance
 decision-making, 59–61
 duties and principles, 47–52
 epistemic challenges, 6–8
 normative precaution, 65–67
 precaution under ecological
 paradigm, 61–64
 precautionary action, 64–65
 ecological planning. *See* planning
 ecological regime shifts, 22–23
 ecology of chaos, 20
 ecosystems
 as complex adaptive systems,
 20–23
 biotic, abiotic components, 21, 32
 Earth System interaction, 23–25,
 37, 156
 ecological degradation, 2–6
 external challenges to, 50–51
 nonlinearity of, 21, 161
 stable states of, 21–22
 Elster, J., 190
 environmental assessment, 38, 57,
 68–69, 73, 183–186
 Environmental Impact Assessment
 Directive, EU, 178
 environmental limits, governance and,
 153–164
 limits-based regulation limitations,
 158–159
 utility of limits and, 152, 155–156
 ethical foundations, 40–42
 anthropocentric perspective, 46–47
 dominion perspective of nature,
 42–44
 ethic of humility, 18
 ethical difficulties, ecological
 planning, 159–162
 geographical approach, 46
 revaluing nature, 44–47
 European Spatial Development
 Perspective, 168
 European Union (EU)
 Marine Framework Strategy
 Directive, 39, 226–227, 228
 Renewable Energy Directive of 2009,
 80–82, 84
 Strategic Environmental Assessment
 Directive, 178, 185–186
 Fabra, A., 229
 Fishkin, James, 206
 Flynn, B., 91
 Foley, M. M., 157
 Gascon, V., 229
 Goodin, R. E., 90
 governance. *See* ecological governance
 adaptive, 12–13
 behavioral change promotion and,
 136–137
 bioregionalists and, 108
 collaborative, 10–12
 communicative state, 120
 coordinating state, 121–123
 devolution limitations, 107–115
 ecological sustainability and,
 133–136
 economic constraints, wealth
 accumulation and, 105
 guiding state, 118–120
 legitimacy, 132–133
 local government and, 131–139
 maritime governance, planning,
 139–144, 156–158, 170
 public participation in, 134–135
 regional government and, 126–130
 state's role in, 115–126
 sustainable places, constructing a
 sense of, 137–139
 green political theory, 115–116
 guiding state, ecological governance,
 118–120
 Gunderson, L. H., 21, 31
 Haas, P. M., 221, 223–224
 Habitats Directive, Article 6(4), 183
 Hagggett, C., 135
 Handmer, J. W., 62–63, 64
 Harremoes, Poul, 79
 Harrison, C., 194
 Haughton, Graham, 129, 153, 173
 high-income states, 3–4
 Holling, C. S., 31
 Hoppe, Robert, 90

- Iles, A., 223
 information flows, ecological
 information
 decision-making structures and, 230–233
 establishing permanent institutions and, 220–225
 exploratory development and, 232–233
 information adequacy for decision-making and, 230–232
 information deficit, 216–217
 institutional learning and, 219–225
 knowledge, understanding of ecosystems and, 226–227
 legal requirements for, 218–219
 reaction to change and, 227–229
 responsiveness to system reactions and, 229–230
 use of law, 225–229
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 221

 Jacobs, M., 191, 193, 194, 201, 204
 Jones, R., 220–221, 224

 Karkkainen, B. C., 109, 124, 217, 222–223, 225
 Kiev Protocol, 94
 Klein, U., 169
 Klinke, A., 79
 Kramer, Ludwig, 183

 land use planning reform, 150–153
 Lang, R., 148
 legitimacy, 132–133
 Leopold, Aldo, 18, 47–48
 Levin, S. A., 21, 48
 Lipschutz, R. D., 111, 138
 local government, 131–139. *See also* state's role
 Lundqvist, Lennart, 111, 133

 Marine Framework Strategy Directive (EU), 39, 226–227, 228
 Marine Management Organisation (MMO), United Kingdom, 142

 maritime governance, planning, 139–144, 156–158, 170
 McHarg, Aileen, 102
 Meadowcroft, J., 201
 Mediterranean Action Plan, 221
 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 3
 MMO. *See* Marine Management Organisation
 modularity, 176
 Montreal Protocol, 220–221

 Nadin, V., 162, 166
 National Planning Policy Framework, United Kingdom, 173
 need concept, 49, 51
 New Zealand, Resource Management Act, 58, 71
 Niemeyer, S., 212
 Nisbet, Ian, 20
 Noble, Bram, 78
 normative precaution, 8–10, 65–67, 178–179
 Norse, E. A., 22–23, 157, 170
 Norton, B. G., 41, 45–46
 nuclear energy, 83–84

 O'Brien, M., 77–78
 Odum, Eugene, 19
 Owens, S., 112–113, 122, 178, 180, 185

 Petts, J., 207
 planning
 analytical planning, 169–172
 comprehensive planning, 165–169
 comprehensive structures for, 147–148
 decision rules, 177–183
 ecological land use planning, 175–177
 environmental assessment, 183–186
 environmental capacities
 identification, 154–158
 European Spatial Development Perspective, 168
 in England, Wales, 149, 167
 incrementalism and, 148
 land use planning reform, 150–153
 maritime ecosystems, 139–144, 156–158, 170

- planning (cont.)
 national framework for, 164–183
 planning mechanisms, 162–164
 state-led, 148–149
 strategic planning, 172–175
 top-line approach, 146–147
- Planning Act 2008, United Kingdom, 135
- policy-making
 alternatives assessment stage of, 9–10
 ecosystem functionality preservation and, 56
 environmental assessment and, 38, 57, 68–69, 73
 forward-looking strategies, 56–57
 political will and, 58–59
 whole of government approach, 56, 72, 98
- policy-making, legal framework
 alternative policy options
 assessment, 77–85
 backcasting, 86–88, 89
 consumption reduction, 71–73
 current practices, 67–70
 development obviation, 73–74
 ecological protection, 37–40, 70–71
 independent review of policy proposals, 101–103
 policy impact assessment, 88–89
 principles, 71–77
 process reforms, 93–103
 promoting change in governmental decision-making culture, 98–103
 public participation, 89–93
 rolling review of policy, 99–101
 strategic visions of desired futures formation, 85–89
 substitution, 74–76
 sunseting, 76–77, 170–171
 sustainability and, 71
- precautionary principle, 8–10, 54–55, 178–179
 definitions of, 62
 precaution under an ecological paradigm, 61–64
 proportionality and, 65
- Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty, 227–228, 230, 232
- provisioning services, 2
- public participation, 89–93, 134–135
 citizenship and, 192–193
 communicative rationality and, 198
 consultation's inadequacy and, 208–210
 deliberation's reach, size of
 deliberation group and, 205–208
 deliberative participation under ecological governance and, 210–214
 ecological governance acceptability and, 195–198
 environmental considerations
 internalisation, 191–192
 environmental policy acceptance and, 187–188
 expense, time concerns, 213–214
 giving reasons for political decisions, 212
 issue selection and, 211–212
 levelling playing field and, 202–205
 personnel continuity and, 212–213
 power relations and, 202–205
 preferences transformation and, 190–191
 purposes of, 210
 size of participating groups, 205–208
 small group approach and, 210–211
 unpredictability of outcomes, problem vs. 200–202
 value formation and, 193–195
- redundancy, 35
- regional government and, 126–130
- regulating services, 2
- Renewable Energy Directive of 2009, European Union (EU), 80–82, 84
- Renn, O., 79, 81
- resilience, 6–8, 16–17, 26–28, 45–46, 49, 55, 160–161
 biodiversity and, 34–36
 modularity and, 176
 redundancy and, 35
 response diversity and, 35
 socio-ecological perspective, 32–34
 specified, general, 28–32
 tightness of feedbacks and, 36–37

- Resource Management Act, New Zealand, 58, 71, 169
 resource under-utilisation, 50, 57
 response diversity, 35
 Rockström, Johan, 24, 37, 156
 rolling review of policy, 99–101
 Ross, A., 156
 Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution, United Kingdom, 127, 150–151, 169–170, 173, 174–175, 185
 Ruhl, J. B., 20
 Rydin, Y., 178
- Sabel, Charles, 124–125
 Salt, David, 22, 29, 31–32, 34, 36
 scientific foundations, ecological law
 complexity, regime shifts,
 environmental decision-making,
 25–27
 ecosystems and Earth System
 interaction, 23–25, 37
 ecosystems as complex adaptive
 systems, 20–23
 stability to complexity, 18–20
 Smith, G., 194–195, 196, 200, 204
 societal collapse, avoiding, 1–2
 Stallworthy, M., 150, 163
 state's role, 115–130
 communicative state, 120
 coordinating state, 121–123
 guiding state, 118–120
 high income states, 3–4
 in ecological planning, 148–149
 state, non-governmental actors,
 collaborative governance, 10–12
 Steele, J., 193
 Steffen, W., 23
 Stirling, O., 79
 Strategic Environmental Assessment
 Directive (EU), 178, 185–186
 sunseting, 76–77, 170–171
 supporting services, 2
 sustainability, 71, 133–136, 137–139
 Swedish Environmental Code, 75–76
- Tansley, Arthur, 19
 Tarlock, D., 222
 technological solutions, control,
 43–44
 Tewdwr-Jones, M., 202–203
 Thoreau, Henry David, 49
 Tickner, J. A., 77–78
 tightness of feedbacks, 36–37
 Toope, S. J., 222–223, 224
 Trouwborst, Arie, 62, 65
 Turkenburg, W., 63
- uncertainty, 54–56, 59–61
 United Kingdom
 Barlow Report, 1940, 167
 Climate Change Act 2008, 102
 government guide of 2005 on
 strategic environmental
 assessment, 73–74
 Marine Management Organisation,
 142
 National Planning Policy
 Framework, 173
 national policy planning statement
 on energy infrastructure, 82–83
 Planning Act 2008, 135
 regional ecological governance in,
 126–130
 Royal Commission on
 Environmental Pollution,
 127, 150–151, 169–170, 173,
 174–175, 185
- van der Sluijjs, J., 63
 Virginia, R. A., 220–221, 224
- Walker, Brian, 22, 29, 31–32, 34, 36
 Weiland, Sabine, 69
 Wheeler, Stephen, 113, 128
 White, Lynn Jr., 42
 whole of government approach, 56,
 72, 98
 Wong, C., 168–169
 World Wildlife Fund, 3
 Worster, Donald, 20