

INDEX

- accountability
 - ad hoc international legal regulation
 - as basis for, 151, 187, 223
 - aid agreement provision, 209, 212
 - best practice in relation, 9
 - contextual sensitivity and, 9, 11, 52, 53, 84–5, 101, 175, 187, 236
 - electoral fraud, 173, 174, 186
 - focus on, 233, 238
 - framework for, 50–1
 - human rights law as basis for, 101, 104, 187
 - international law in relation to, 4, 79–80, 140, 230, 234
 - rule of law and, 19
 - security sector reform, 194
 - transitional justice and, 18
 - UN Security Council, 192
- ad hoc international legal regulation.
 - See* aid agreements; peace processes; UN Security Council; international law
- Afghanistan
 - accountability framework, 50–1
 - aid agreements, 216–21
 - assistance model of intervention, 27–8
 - author's analytical approach, 151
 - choice as case study, 7–8
 - consultation with local communities, 47–8
 - direct popular input into decision-making
 - communication of public opinion, 178–85
 - consultation, 175–8
- economic reconstruction, 20–1
- elections
 - ban on media reporting, 48–9
 - elections of 2004 and 2005, 168–72
 - elections of 2009 and 2010, 172–4
 - international legal framework,
 - relevance of, 174–5
 - peace agreement framework, 163–5
 - structuring of election process, 167–8
 - traditional mechanisms, 165–6
- governance reform, 17–18
- government authority, 23–4
- historical context for reconstruction, 151–9
- identification of interim government, 159–63
- international legal framework,
 - relevance of, 185–7
- peace process, 22–3, 205–7
- popular governance practice
 - summarised, 228–30
- private contractors, 28–9
- rule of law, 19
- security sector reform, 16, 27–8, 47–8
- Sierra Leone contrasted, 8–9
- stability, 8–9
- transitional justice, 17, 18
- UN Security Council resolutions, 195–7
- African human rights law. *See* human rights law

- aid agreements
 - role of, 208–10
 - suitability as source of regulation, 221–3
- American and European human rights systems compared, 112–15
- assistance model
 - direct international administration compared, 6–7
 - domestic government autonomy, 29
 - examples of, 26
 - focus on, 6
 - international involvement in, 26–30
 - popular governance and, 30
 - trusteeship compared, 6
- best practice
 - accountability as motivation for, 9
 - contextual sensitivity and, 3, 53, 91
 - contextualized approach to reconstruction, 41
 - co-ordination amongst international actors, 41
 - elections, 84, 89
 - focus on, 3, 5, 225
 - identifying general political authority, 31
 - international law as hindrance, 223
 - international law as motivation, 116, 149, 223, 228, 238
 - light touch approach to regulation as motivation, 235
 - literature on, 40–1, 236
 - local ownership of reconstruction, 42–3
 - popular governance in relation generally, 232
 - recommendations, 10
 - recommendations for effective reconstruction, 40–3
 - self-determination and, 79
 - women's involvement in decision-making, 42
 - women's needs, 41–2
- case studies
 - choice of, 6
 - key study areas, 9
- coercion
 - scope for, 84
- compliance with human rights. *See* human rights law
- consultation with local communities. *See* popular governance
- contextual sensitivity
 - accountability and, 9, 11, 52, 53, 84, 101, 175, 236
 - aid agreement provision, 215, 220
 - benefits of, 139, 191, 235, 238
 - best practice and, 3, 41, 53, 91
 - disadvantage of, 191
 - electoral process, 84, 88, 140
 - human rights, 180
 - identification of government, 162
 - importance of context, 47–8
 - identification of government, 132
 - international judicial bodies, 237
 - international law in local context, 47–52
 - light touch approach to regulation, 116, 148, 185, 235
 - methods for ensuring, 44
 - popular governance, 48
 - reduction of scope for, 48, 81, 150, 175, 191
 - scope for, 21, 80–1
 - self-determination and, 48, 80
 - variety of contexts, 3, 48, 97
- decision-making
 - importance of, 30
 - sources of, 21
 - popular governance, 53
 - self-determination and, 48–9
- direct international administration. *See* international administration
- domestic actors
 - focus on, 8, 9
 - governmental status. *See* identification of interim government

- economy
 - priority for reconstruction, 20–1
- effectiveness
 - approaches to assessment of, 40–1
 - author's analytical approach, 32
 - best-practice recommendations for, 40–3
 - contextual awareness in relation to, 41
 - international law in local context, 47–52
 - popular governance as aid to, 43–5
 - popular governance as barrier to, 45–7, 53
 - popular governance in relation to, 52–3
- elections
 - best practice, 89
 - credibility of international involvement, 48–9
 - governments' scope to limit, 86–9
 - requirement under international law, 84–5
 - right to participate in, 85–6
- European and Inter-American human rights systems compared, 112–15
- flexibility. *See* contextual sensitivity
- funding
 - international sources of, 28
- governance. *See also* popular governance
 - definition of, 17–18
 - priority for reconstruction, 17–18
- governments
 - accountability frameworks, 50–1
 - autonomy in relation to assistance model, 29
 - best practice for identifying general political authority, 31
 - compliance mechanisms, removal of governmental status, 102
 - decision-making on reconstruction, 23–4
 - human rights compliance. *See* human rights law
 - identification of interim government. *See* identification of interim government
 - primary role in decision-making, 25, 30
 - self-interest. *See* self-interest
- human rights law
 - African regional instruments and international law compared
 - compliance mechanisms, 111–12
 - derogation and limitation clauses, 109–11
 - stringency of regulation generally, 108
 - substantive provisions, 108–9
- author's analytical approach, 82–4
- compliance mechanisms
 - acculturation, 105–6
 - coercion, 105–6
 - generally, 101–2, 116
 - implications for post-conflict setting, 106–7
- international judicial responses, 104–5
- law of state responsibility, 102–4
- persuasion, 105–6
- removal of governmental status, 102
- social mechanisms, 105–6
- creation of political representation
 - infrastructure, 91–2, 115
- derogation clause
 - relevance of, 99–101
 - statement of, 98–9
- European and Inter-American systems compared, 112–15
- freedom of assembly, 94
- freedom of association, 94
- freedom of expression, 92–3
- governments' scope for evasion, 116
- governments' scope to limit, 86–9
- 'light touch' approach, 115
- limitation clause
 - nature of, 96–7
 - purpose of, 98
 - relevance of, 97–8

- human rights law (*cont.*)
 mandate for direct popular input
 into governance, 89–91, 115
 relevance of, 94–6
- identification of interim government
 appropriateness in local context,
 80–1
 appropriateness of international law
 as to, 78–80
 author's analytical approach, 57–8
 domestic actors with governmental
 status, 58, 78
 impact on reconstruction, 80–1
 inclusive decision-making. *See* popular
 governance
- Inter-American and European human
 rights systems compared,
 112–15
- interim governments. *See* governments
- international actors, best practice as to
 co-ordination, 41
- international administration
 case for direct administration, 30
 decision-making on reconstruction,
 25–30
 direct administration compared
 with assistance model, 6–7
 examples of, 26
 motivations for, 25–6
 self-determination and, 58. *See*
 self-determination
 trusteeship compared with, 6
- International Covenant on Civil and
 Political Rights. *See* human
 rights law
- international judicial bodies
 contextual sensitivity, 237
 human rights compliance
 mechanisms, 104–5
- international law
 ad hoc international legal regulation
 options for, 191–2, 231
 suitability as source of regulation,
 223–4
 appropriateness, question of, 3, 4, 9
 credibility in local context, 47–52
 focus on, 4–5
 framework for popular governance,
 51–2, 53, 226–8
 hindrance for best practice, 223
 human rights. *See* human rights
 identification of interim
 government. *See* identification
 of interim government
 jus post bellum. *See* *jus post bellum*
 motivation for best practice, 116,
 149, 223, 228, 238
 need for framework, 4–5
 relevancy of, factors for, 238–9
- international law. *See also* aid
 agreements; peace processes;
 UN Security Council
- intervention
 state sovereignty and, 60–2
- judicial bodies. *See* international
 judicial bodies
- jus post bellum*
 focus on, 4, 225
 key issues summarised, 234–6
- legitimacy
 author's analytical approach, 32
 definition of, 32–3
 factors of, 33
 popular governance in relation to,
 37–40, 52–3
 questions of, 33–7
- light touch approach to regulation. *See*
 also contextual sensitivity
 appropriateness of, 121, 133, 144,
 166, 174, 182, 183, 228, 230,
 235
 coercion, scope for, 83
 contextual sensitivity and, 148, 185,
 235
 discretion as to international legal
 response, 147, 174, 180, 185
 elections, 186
 governments' exploitation of, 107
 human rights, 101, 113, 115, 116,
 143, 180
 international legal framework
 generally, 10
 motivation for best practice, 235

- peace processes, 199
 - responsible exercise of authority, 238
 - self-determination and, 160, 232
- local involvement. *See* popular governance
- peace processes
 - decision-making on reconstruction, 22–3
 - nature of peace agreements, 199–201
 - stages of, 22–3
 - suitability as source of regulation, 207–8
- political authority. *See* governments
- popular governance
 - accountability frameworks, 50–1, 53
 - aiding reconstruction, 43–5, 52–3
 - assistance model and, 30
 - author's analytical approach, 225–6
 - barriers to, 2–3
 - best practice. *See* best practice
 - best practice in relation generally, 232
 - contextualised approach to, 3, 47–8
 - definition of 'governance', 2
 - focus on, 1–2, 225
 - hindering reconstruction, 45–7, 53
 - human rights and. *See* human rights law
 - international law and. *See* international law
 - key issues summarised, 232–3
 - legitimacy in relation to, 52–3
 - mandate for governance. *See* popular mandate
 - mechanisms for, 9
 - positive impact of, 2
 - processes of, 2
 - women's involvement, 42
- popular mandate
 - creation of. *See* elections
 - focus on, 9
- population
 - definition of, 2–3
- post-conflict reconstruction
 - author's analytical approach, 10–11, 15
 - best practice. *See* best practice
 - decision-making. *See* decision-making
 - definition of, 2
 - definition of 'reconstruction', 15
 - effectiveness of. *See* effectiveness
 - examples of, 1
 - international law and. *See* international law; human rights law
 - legitimacy of. *See* legitimacy
 - popular involvement in. *See* popular governance
 - time sensitivity of, 57
 - priority areas for, 16
 - reasons for, 1
 - regulatory framework. *See* international law
- post-conflict situations
 - assistance model. *See* assistance model
 - case studies. *See* case studies
 - definition of, 5–6
 - identification of, 5–6
 - variety of, 3, 4
- private contractors
 - role of, 28–9
- programme-level decision making, 24–5
- public opinion. *See* popular governance
- reconstruction. *See* post-conflict reconstruction
- resolutions. *See* UN Security Council
- right to wage war
 - state sovereignty and, 59–60
- rule of law
 - definition of, 19
 - priority for reconstruction, 19–20
- security
 - assistance model of intervention, 27–8
 - effectiveness of reform, 47–8
 - priority for reconstruction, 16–17

- Security Council. *See* UN Security Council
- self-determination
- appropriateness of international law on, 79, 148, 234
 - best practice and, 79
 - contextualised approach to, 48–9
 - definition of, 66–7
 - focus on, 10
 - implications for post-conflict setting, 232, 237
 - international administration and, 26, 58
 - international involvement and, 58, 77, 80, 127, 227, 229
 - law of, 67–70
 - light touch approach to regulation, 160, 232
 - reasons for compliance with, 70–2
 - right to, 57, 66–7, 159, 198, 226
 - see also* state sovereignty
- self-interest
- accountability for pursuit of, 234
 - deterrence of, 140
 - population's interest prioritised over, 10, 58, 116, 135, 168, 231, 238
 - prioritisation of, 11, 48, 49, 53, 80, 91, 184, 223, 230
 - scope for, 191, 228
- Sierra Leone
- accountability framework, 50–1
 - Afghanistan contrasted, 8–9
 - aid agreements, 210–16
 - assistance model of intervention, 27
 - author's analytical approach, 121
 - choice as case study, 7, 8
 - consultation with local communities, 47–8
 - direct popular input into decision making, 140–3
 - economic reconstruction, 20–1
 - elections, 48–9, 132–40
 - funding, 28
 - governance reform, 17–18
 - government authority, 23–4
 - historical context for reconstruction, 121–5
 - human rights, 143–8
 - identification of interim government, 126–32
 - international legal framework, relevance of, 148–50
 - peace process, 22–3, 201–5
 - popular governance practice summarised, 228–30
 - private contractors, 28–9
 - rule of law, 19
 - security sector reform, 16, 27, 47–8
 - stability, 8–9
 - transitional justice, 17, 18
 - UN Security Council resolutions, 193–5
- social mechanisms for human rights compliance, 105–6
- stability
- restoration of, 8–9
- state responsibility and human rights compliance, 102–4
- state sovereignty. *See also* self-determination
- definition of, 59
 - law of, 59–62
 - prohibition on intervention, 60–2
 - reasons for compliance with, 62–6
 - reconstruction and, 58
 - right to wage war, 59–60
- time sensitivity of reconstruction, 57
- transitional justice
- definition of, 18
 - priority for reconstruction, 18
- trusteeship
- assistance model compared, 6
- UN Security Council
- Afghanistan resolutions, 195–7
 - powers as to popular governance, 192–3
 - Sierra Leone resolutions, 193–5
 - suitability as source of regulation, 197–9
- women
- attention to needs of, 41–2
 - involvement in decision-making, 42