

### The Ethics of Nuclear Energy

Despite the nuclear accident at the Fukushima Daiichi plant in Japan, a growing number of countries are interested in expanding or introducing nuclear energy. However, nuclear energy production and nuclear waste disposal give rise to pressing ethical questions that society needs to face. This book takes up this challenge with essays by an international team of scholars focusing on the key issues of risk, justice, and democracy. The essays consider a range of ethical issues, including radiological protection, the influence of gender in the acceptability of nuclear risk, and environmental, international, and intergenerational justice in the context of nuclear energy. They also address the question of when, and under which conditions, nuclear energy should play a role in the world's future supply of electricity, looking at both developing and industrialized countries. The book will interest readers in ethics and political philosophy, social and political sciences, nuclear engineering, and policy studies.

BEHNAM TAEBI is Assistant Professor of Ethics of Technology in the Philosophy Department of Delft University of Technology and Research Fellow at Harvard Kennedy School's Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. He is the author of *The Morally Desirable Option for Nuclear Power Production* (2011) and the editor of several volumes, including *The Socio-Technical Challenges of Nuclear Power Production and Waste Management* (2015).

SABINE ROESER is Professor of Ethics in the Philosophy Department of Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands. She is the author of *Moral Emotions and Intuitions* (2011) and the editor of numerous volumes, including *The Ethics of Technological Risk* (2009), *Handbook of Risk Theory* (2012) and *Emotion and Value* (2014).





# The Ethics of Nuclear Energy

Risk, Justice, and Democracy in the post-Fukushima Era

Edited by

Behnam Taebi and Sabine Roeser





# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107054844

© Cambridge University Press 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
The ethics of nuclear energy: risk, justice and democracy
in the post-Fukushima era / edited by Behnam Taebi and Sabine Roeser.
pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-05484-4

Nuclear accidents – Risk assessment.
 Nuclear industry – Moral and ethical aspects.
 Nuclear energy – Moral and ethical aspects.
 Nuclear energy – Government policy.
 Taebi, Behnam.
 Roeser, Sabine.

TK9152.E84 2015 174'.93337924–dc23

2015008253

#### ISBN 978-1-107-05484-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



### Contents

List	of figures and tables	page vii
List	of contributors	ix
4cki	nowledgments	xi
Abbreviations		
1	The ethics of nuclear energy: an introduction BEHNAM TAEBI AND SABINE ROESER	1
Pa	art I Risk	15
2	Nuclear energy and the ethics of radiation protection SVEN OVE HANSSON	17
3	The unknowable ceilings of safety: three ways that nuclear accidents escape the calculus of risk assessments  JOHN DOWNER	35
4	Rights to know and the Fukushima, Chernobyl, and Three Mile Island accidents KRISTIN SHRADER-FRECHETTE	53
5	Gender, ethical voices, and UK nuclear energy policy in the post-Fukushima era KAREN HENWOOD AND NICK PIDGEON	67
Pa	art II Justice	85
6	The need for a public "explosion" in the ethics of radiological protection, especially for nuclear power	87

V



⁄i	Contents	
7	Distributive versus procedural justice in nuclear waste repository siting PIUS KRÜTLI, KJELL TÖRNBLOM, IVO WALLIMANN-HELMER, AND MICHAEL STAUFFACHER	119
8	Nuclear energy, justice, and power: the case of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station license renewal BINDU PANIKKAR AND RONALD SANDLER	141
9	Non-anthropocentric nuclear energy ethics JOHN NOLT	157
Pa	rt III Democracy	177
10	Morally experimenting with nuclear energy IBO VAN DE POEL	179
11	Global nuclear energy and international security THOMAS E. DOYLE, II	200
12	Nuclear energy, the capability approach, and the developing world PAOLO GARDONI AND COLLEEN MURPHY	216
13	The role of nuclear energy in the future energy landscape: energy scenarios, nuclear energy, and sustainability RAFAELA HILLERBRAND	231
Bibli Inde:	iography x	250 284



## Figures and tables

## Figures

	British public views on replacement of nuclear power station. Survey data from the Swiss Canton of Nidwalden in 2006 on respondents' perception of procedural fairness issues in	70
	repository siting for nuclear waste.	126
7.2	Survey data from the Swiss Canton of Nidwalden in 2006 on respondents' perception of distributive fairness issues in	
	repository siting for nuclear waste.	127
7.3	Survey data from the Swiss Canton of Nidwalden in 2006. Respondents of Wolfenschiessen's directly adjacent neighboring municipality Dallenwil feel less fairly treated and less well	
	involved in the process.	128
7.4	Repository siting areas with favorable geological conditions under consideration (current state, 2014).	130
7.5	Survey study of 2011 on the perception of nuclear waste and hazardous waste issues. Importance of procedural fairness	
	aspects (political process, planning process, etc.) is shown.	133
Tab	oles	
2.	1 Factors that may have a legitimate impact on the choice between the two major methods to ensure protection of groups that are sensitive to some detrimental environmental impact, such as the carcinogenic effects of ionizing	
	radiation.	25
7.	1 General perception of procedural vs distributive fairness (in the frame of nuclear waste).	128
7.2	2 Example of a vignette representing an unfair procedure (procedural justice, -PF), an mid-fair distribution (distributive	
	injustice, +/-DF), and a negative outcome valence (-OV).	131

vii



viii	List of figures and tables	
7.3	Major results of vignettes' studies of 2009 representing aggregated part-worth utilities of attributes and attribute-levels of	
	study 1 ( $N = 53$ ) and study 2 ( $N = 56$ ) and attribute importance.	132
7.4	Survey study of 2011 on the perception of nuclear waste and	152
	hazardous waste issues.	134
7.5	Survey study of 2011 concerning perceptions of nuclear waste	
	and hazardous waste issues.	135
7.6	Survey study of 2011 on the perception of nuclear waste and	
	hazardous waste issues.	136
10.1	Possible conditions for responsible experimentation.	196



### Contributors

- JOHN DOWNER is Lecturer in Risk and Resilience in the School of Sociology, Politics and International Studies, University of Bristol.
- THOMAS E. DOYLE, II is Assistant Professor of Political Science in the Department of Political Science, Texas State University.
- STEPHEN GARDINER is Professor of Philosophy and Ben Rabinowitz Endowed Professor of Human Dimensions of the Environment in the Department of Philosophy, University of Washington.
- PAOLO GARDONI is Associate Professor in the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- SVEN OVE HANSSON is Professor of Philosophy in the Division of Philosophy, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm.
- KAREN HENWOOD is Professor of Social Sciences in the Cardiff University School of Social Sciences, Cardiff University.
- RAFAELA HILLERBRAND is Professor of Philosophy of Engineering and Philosophy of Science at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology and visiting researcher at Delft University of Technology.
- PIUS KRÜTLI is Senior Scientist in Environmental Sciences in the Institute for Environmental Decisions, ETH Zurich.
- COLLEEN MURPHY is Associate Professor in the College of Law, the Department of Philosophy, the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- JOHN NOLT is Professor of Philosophy in the Philosophy Department, University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- BINDU PANIKKAR is Postdoctoral Research Associate in the Arctic Institute of North America, University of Calgary.

ix



#### x List of contributors

- NICK PIDGEON is Professor of Environmental Psychology, and Director of the Understanding Risk Research Group, in the School of Psychology, Cardiff University.
- IBO VAN DE POEL is Professor in Ethics and Technology in the Department of Philosophy, Delft University of Technology.
- SABINE ROESER is Professor of Ethics in the Department of Philosophy, Delft University of Technology.
- RONALD SANDLER is Professor of Philosophy in the Department of Philosophy and Religion, Northeastern University, Boston.
- KRISTIN SHRADER-FRECHETTE is O'Neill Family Professor of Philosophy in the Department of Philosophy and the Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame.
- MICHAEL STAUFFACHER is Senior Scientist in Sociology in the Institute for Environmental Decisions, ETH Zurich.
- BEHNAM TAEBI is Assistant Professor of Ethics of Technology in the Department of Philosophy, Delft University of Technology and Research Fellow at the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University.
- KJELL TÖRNBLOM is Professor Emeritus in Social Psychology, affiliated with the Transdisciplinarity Lab of the Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zurich.
- IVO WALLIMANN-HELMER is the Director of the Program for Advanced Studies in Applied Ethics and a postdoctoral researcher in the University Research Priority Program for Ethics at the Centre for Ethics, University of Zurich.



### Acknowledgments

This volume originated as a part of a bigger project to invite scholars from different fields to reflect on the sociotechnical and ethical aspects of nuclear energy in the post-Fukushima era. This idea was warmly welcomed by a great number of scholars from different fields. Social-scientific contributions will be published in a special issue of the Journal of Risk Research (Taebi and van de Poel 2015). Philosophical contributions were selected for this volume. Earlier drafts of some of the chapters were presented at the "Annual conference of International Studies Association" (ISA2014) in Toronto, "IEEE Ethics.2014" in Chicago, and at the "Nuclear Security, Policy and Ethics" symposium in March 2014 at Delft University; this symposium was part of The Nuclear Knowledge Summit, an official side event of the Nuclear Security Summit 2014 that took place in the Netherlands. We would like to thank the audiences of these conferences for their helpful feedback. Furthermore, we wish to thank Hilary Gaskin from Cambridge University Press for her constructive comments and guidance and two anonymous reviewers for their feedback. We further wish to thank Matthew Bunn, Christopher Clement, Rosemary Crawley, Thomas Doyle, Johan Herrenberg, Maximilian Mayer, Jeff Powell, and Andisha Sabri for their feedback and assistance.

Our work on this project was conducted at the Department of Philosophy, Faculty of Technology, Policy and Management at Delft University of Technology, and the Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University. In addition to thanking these two groups, we wish to thank the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). Sabine Roeser's work was funded by an NWO VIDI grant on "Moral Emotions and Risk Politics" (grant number 276-20-012). Behnam Taebi's work was funded by an NWO VENI grant on "Multinational nuclear waste repositories: ethics and acceptability" (grant number 275-20-040).

хi



### **Abbreviations**

ABWR Advanced boiling water reactor
ALARA As low as reasonably achievable
ALARP As low as reasonably practicable
ALEPP At Least Equal Protection Principle

AP Accountability Principle

BFE Bundesamt für Energie (Switzerland)
BNP Background of Nature Principle

BWR Boiling water reactor CDF Core damage frequency

CEMP Comparative Exposure Minimization Principle

CIP Current Impacts Principle
CNP Concerned Neighbors of Pilgrim

CoRWM Committee on Radioactive Waste Management COWAM-2 Community Waste Management-2 (EU)

CRA Capability-based Risk Analysis
CRP Comparable Risk Principle

DECC Department of Energy and Climate Change (UK)

DF Distributive fairness
DLP Dose Limit Principle
DOE Department of Energy (USA)

DTI Department of Trade and Industry (UK)

EHP Excessive Harm Principle
EIS Environmental impact statement

EISD Energy Indicators for Sustainable Development
EPA Environmental Protection Agency (USA)
EWA Institute for Energy Economics (Germany)
GRP Generator's Responsibility Principle

HDI Human Development Index

HLW High-level waste

HSE Health and Safety Executive (UK)
IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency
International Agency for Research on C

IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer

xii



Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-05484-4 - The Ethics of Nuclear Energy: Risk, Justice, and Democracy in the post-Fukushima Era

Edited by Behnam Taebi and Sabine Roeser

Frontmatter

More information

List of abbreviations xiii

ICRP International Commission on Radiological Protection

IP Inclusiveness Principle

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

ISS International Security Studies

JP Justification Principle

JRWA Jones River Watershed Association

KASAM Swedish National Council for Nuclear Waste

LOCA Loss of coolant accident
LWR Light water reactor

MADPH Massachusetts Department of Public Health

MBP Maximizing Benefit Principle

MEMA Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency

MPLP Minimal Practical Level Principle

NAIIC Nuclear Accident Independent Investigation Commission (Japan)

NAT Normal Accident Theory NBP Net Benefit Principle

NEA Nuclear Energy Agency (OECD)

NHP No Harm Principle NP Necessity Principle

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NPT Non-Proliferation Treaty

NRA Nuclear Regulation Authority (Japan)
NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USA)

NSAP No Significant Action Principle

NWMO Nuclear Waste Management Organization (Canada)

OBP Optimal Balancing Principle
OHP Offsetting Harm Principle
OP Optimization Principle
OV Outcome valence

P&T Partitioning and transmutation

PF Procedural fairness

PNBP Presumptive Net Benefit Principle

PP Publicity Principle

PPFGP Protection of Present and Future Generations Principle

PRA Probabilistic risk assessment
PWR Pressurized water reactor
QALY Quality Adjusted Life Years

RCA Reliability-based capability approach

RY Reactor year

SALT Strategic Arms Limitation Talks

SEMP Subordinate Exposure Minimization Principle

SF Spent fuel



xiv List of abbreviations

SFOE Swiss Federal Office of Energy

SMHS Southeastern Massachusetts Health Study

SRP Special Representation Principle
TEPCO Tokyo Electric Power Company

TMI Three Mile Island

UBP Undue Burdens Principle
UCS Union of Concerned Scientists

VP Vulnerability Principle

WCED World Commission on Environment and Development

WHO World Health Organization
WNA World Nuclear Association
WTA Willingness-to-accept
WTP Willingness to pay

WWF Worldwide Fund for Nature