

Majority Decisions

This book presents the most complete set of analytical, normative, and historical discussions of majority decision making to date. One chapter critically addresses the social choice approach to majority decisions, whereas another presents an alternative to that approach. Extensive case studies discuss majority voting in the choice of religion in early modern Switzerland, majority voting in nested assemblies such as the French Estates-General and the Federal Convention, majority voting in federally organized countries, qualified majority voting in the European Union Council of Ministers, and majority voting on juries. Other chapters address the relation between majority decisions and cognitive diversity, the causal origin of majority decisions, and the pathologies of majority decision making. Two chapters, finally, discuss the countermajoritarian role of courts that exercise judicial review. The editorial Introduction surveys conceptual, causal, and normative issues that arise in the theory and practice of majority decisions.

Stéphanie Novak serves as a Roman Herzog Fellow at the Hertie School of Governance in Berlin. She is the author of *La Prise de décision au Conseil de l'Union européenne: Pratiques du vote et du consensus* (2011) and the recipient of the Prix Fondation Saint-Simon and Prix Dalloz for her Ph.D. dissertation from Sciences Po Paris.

Jon Elster is Robert K. Merton Professor of Social Science at Columbia University. He is the author of twenty-three monographs, translated into eighteen languages, and his recent books include Securities against Misrule (Cambridge University Press, 2013), Alexis de Tocqueville: The First Social Scientist (Cambridge University Press, 2009), and Explaining Social Behavior (Cambridge University Press, 2007).





Majority Decisions

Principles and Practices

Edited by

STÉPHANIE NOVAK

Hertie School of Governance, Berlin

JON ELSTER

Columbia University and Collège de France





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107054097

© Cambridge University Press 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Majority decisions : principles and practices / edited by Stéphanie Novak, Jon Elster. pages cm

ISBN 978-1-107-05409-7 (hardback)

Majorities - Case studies.
 Democracy - Case studies.
 Voting - Case studies.
 Judicial review - Case studies.
 Novak, Stéphanie, author, editor of compilation.
 Elster, Jon, 1940-, author editor of compilation.

JF1051.M24 2014

324.9-dc23 2013048027

ISBN 978-1-107-05409-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLS for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List	t of Figures	page vii
List	t of Tables	viii
List	List of Contributors	
Ι.	Introduction Stéphanie Novak and Jon Elster	I
2.	Putting faith to the ballot Olivier Christin	17
3.	Nested majorities Jon Elster	34
4.	The issue of majority in a federal system: Constituent power and amendment of the federal compact <i>Olivier Beaud</i>	56
5.	The reception of social choice theory by democratic theory Gerry Mackie	77
6.	What should "majority decision" mean? Michel Balinski and Rida Laraki	103
7.	The force of majority rule Adrian Vermeule	132
8.	Tyranny and brutality of the majority Jon Elster	159
9.	Two effects of a high threshold of qualified majority <i>Stéphanie Novak</i>	177
10.	Democracy, judgment, and juries Melissa Schwartzberg	196

v



vi		Contents
11.	Majority rules in constitutional democracies: Some remarks about theory and practice Pasquale Pasquino	219
12.	The majoritarian threat to democracy: Constitutional courts and the democratic pact Samuel Issacharoff	236
Ind	ex	257



Figures

3.I.	Majority or unanimity?	page 45
5.1.	Ideological location of U.S. Representatives based on	
	voting record, and the uncovered set.	82
5.2.	Coalition turnover in the absence of cycles.	83
9.1.	High threshold of qualified majority and incentives to	
	negotiate.	182
9.2.	High threshold of qualified majority and incentives to join	
	the majority.	192
0.1.	Models of judgment formation on juries.	200

vii



Tables

5.1	Three voters with preference rankings.	page 78
5.2	A voter profile.	87
5.3	Voter profile with incomplete preferences.	98
5.4	An unbalanced cycle.	98
6.1	Electorate's possible opinions.	III
6.2	Electorate's evaluations.	III
6.3	Electorate's possible opinions.	112
6.4	Poll, first-past-the-post results, first round (773 ballots).	114
6.5	Poll, majority judgment ballot.	115
6.6	Poll results, majority judgment (773 ballots).	115
6.7	Poll, majority judgment, and first-past-the-post rankings	
	(773 ballots).	116
6.8	Poll, face-to-face majority votes (773 ballots).	118
6.9	Strasbourg, Louvigny, and Saint-Etienne experiment,	
	approval voting.	119
6.10	Poll, French presidential election 2012: Number of wins,	
	10,000 sample electorates of 151 ballots drawn randomly	
	from database of 773 ballots.	120
6.11	Orsay experiment, French presidential election 2007:	
	Number of wins, 10,000 sample electorates of 101 ballots	
	drawn randomly from database of 501 ballots.	120
6.12	Results, 2011 Socialist Primary, Fresnes: MJ and first-past-	
	the-post (457 ballots).	121
6.13	Results, 2011 Socialist Primary, Fresnes: Condorcet and	
	Borda (457 ballots).	122
6.14	Successful manipulations, 2011 Socialist Primary, Fresnes	
	(457 ballots).	124
6.15	Results, 2011 Socialist Primary, Alfortville (292 ballots).	124

viii



List of Tables		ix
6.16	Successful manipulations, 2011 Socialist Primary,	
	Alfortville (292 bulletins).	125
6.17	Electorate's evaluations.	127
A6.1	French presidential election 2012 poll results, majority	
	judgment (all 993 ballots).	130
A6.2	French presidential election 2012 poll, majority judgment,	-
	and first-past-the-post rankings (all 993 ballots).	130
A6.3	French presidential election 2012 poll: Number of wins,	
_	10,000 sample electorates of 151 ballots drawn randomly	
	from database of all 993 ballots.	131
A6.4	French presidential election 2012 poll: Successful	
	manipulations, 10,000 sample electorates of 151 ballots	
	drawn randomly from database of all 993 ballots.	131
11.1	Data relative to the proportional numbers of voter and	,
	seats in five European countries.	234





List of Contributors

Michel Balinski, Directeur de recherche classe exceptionnelle (émérite), École Polytechnique and CNRS, Palaiseau, France.

Olivier Beaud, Professor of Public Law, Université Panthéon-Assas, Paris.

Olivier Christin, Professor of Modern History, University of Neuchâtel; Directeur d'études, École Pratique des Hautes Études, Paris.

Jon Elster, Robert K. Merton Professor of Social Sciences, Columbia University; Emeritus Professor, Collège de France.

Samuel Issacharoff, Reiss Professor of Constitutional Law, New York University School of Law.

Rida Laraki, Directeur de Recherche au CNRS, at LAMSADE (University Paris Dauphine); Professor at Ecole Polytechnique (Economics Department), Paris, France.

Gerry Mackie, Associate Professor of Political Science, University of California, San Diego.

Stéphanie Novak, Roman Herzog Fellow, Hertie School of Governance, Berlin.

Pasquale Pasquino, Global Distinguished Professor, Professor of Politics, New York University; Directeur de recherche, CNRS, Paris.

Melissa Schwartzberg, Associate Professor of Politics, New York University.

Adrian Vermeule, John H. Watson Professor of Law, Harvard Law School.

xi