

Index

- Abdullah, Abdullah, 63
 accountability. *See* electoral accountability;
 public accountability, in elections
 ACE Project, 143–44
 ACEEEO. *See* Association of European
 Electoral Officials
 Afghanistan, electoral integrity issues
 in, 63–64
 African Union (AU), 4, 88, 92
 agency model, of EMBs, 139, 141–43
 organizational structure of, 142–43
 aid. *See* economic aid
 Ali, Ben, 90–91
 Alvarez, Michael, 65
 Amnesty International, 94–95
 ANFREL. *See* Asian Network of Free Elections
 Annan, Kofi, 87
 Arab Election Watch, 94–95
 ASEAN. *See* Association of South East Asian
 Nations
 Asian Network of Free Elections
 (ANFREL), 94–95
 Association of European Electoral Officials
 (ACEEEO), 95, 171
 Association of South East Asian Nations
 (ASEAN), 93
 AU. *See* African Union
 Australia, electoral management
 issues in, 133–34
 autocracies
 electoral, 55–59
 electoral malpractice in, 53
 in PEI Index, 47–49
 Azerbaijan, electoral failure in, 79–80
 ballot box fraud, 122
 Barisan Nasional (BN) coalition, 53–55
 Beijing Declaration, 8
 Bemba, Jean-Pierre, 109–10
 Bhutan, integrity of elections in, 69–70
 Birch, Sarah, 67
 BN coalition. *See* Barisan Nasional (BN)
 coalition
 Bosnia-Herzegovina, electoral failure in, 79–80
 Bretton Woods systems, 147
 Buchanan, Patrick, 41
 Bush, George W. *See* *Gore v. Bush*; 2000
 presidential election, in U.S.
 Cambodia, elections in, 8
 campaign media, electoral integrity
 influenced by, 12
 Canada
 electoral management issues in, 134
 Fair Elections Act in, 27
 Fair Votes Act in, 125
 candidate registration processes, 12
 Carter Center, 5–8, 9, 19, 88
 CEDAW. *See* Convention Eliminating All
 Forms of Discrimination Against Women
 CEI. *See* Commission Electoral Indépendante
 Chechnya, electoral failure in, 79–80
 Cheibub, José Antonio, 65
 clash thesis, 80
 clientalism, 122
 EMBs and, 149
 closed-list PR systems, 122
 coalition governments, 120
 colonialism, democratization influenced by, 66

- Color Revolutions, 90
- Commission Electorale Indépendante (CEI), 109–10
- consensus democracies, 22
 - power-sharing arrangements and, 120
- consociational arguments
 - election failures and, 168
 - for electoral integrity, 16
 - for power-sharing arrangements, 120
- Constitutional Council, in France, 119
- constitutions, state, 114
- Convention Eliminating All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), 7–8
- Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI), 74–75
- cosmopolitan communications, 91
- Cosmopolitan Communications Index, 91–92, 103–4
- CPI. *See* Corruption Perceptions Index
- Crimea, popular referendums in, 66
- cultural imperialism, 91
- Czech Republic, electoral integrity in, 86
- The Declaration of Principles for International Election Observation*, 29
- democracy. *See also* consensus democracies
 - colonial history as influence on, 66, 81
 - economic development as influence on, 64–65, 68–72
 - ethnic heterogeneity as influence on, 67–68, 79–80
 - geography as influence on, 67–68, 78–79
 - governance as separate from, 11
 - human development as influence on, 68–72
 - Lipset thesis for, 64–65, 68–72
 - modernization theories for, 64–67
 - religious culture as influence on, 80–81
 - social deterministic influences on, 65
 - state history as influence on, 82–84
 - structural conditions as influence on, 164–66
- democratic capital, 56–57
- Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), 8
 - CEI in, 109–10
 - delivery of voting materials in, 109–10
 - in Fragile States Index, 110–11
 - funding of elections in, 109
 - Human Rights Watch assessment of, 111
 - inter-communal clashes in, 110
 - international interventions for elections in, 108–11
 - Second Congo War in, 108–9
 - UN electoral assistance for, 109–10
- economic aid
 - to Egypt, 89
 - international interventions for electoral integrity, 93–97
- economic development
 - democratization influenced by, 64–65, 68–72, 84–85
 - election failures influenced by, 165
 - electoral integrity influenced by, 64–65, 68–72, 84–85
 - Human Development Index and, 70–72
 - international interventions through, 104–7
 - national GDP and, 68–69
 - in PEI Index, 71t. 3.1
 - resource curse and, 72–73
- Egypt
 - economic aid to, 89
 - electoral integrity in, 86
- EISA. *See* Electoral Institute for Sustainable Democracy in Africa
- Election Management Bodies (EMBs)
 - administrative culture within, 148–49, 150–51
 - administrative issues for, 141
 - agency model, 139, 141–43
 - alternative titles for, 142
 - authorized power for, 136–37
 - Bretton Woods systems and, 147
 - classification of, 149–51
 - clientalism and, 149
 - distribution of types of, 143–44
 - election failures and, 168
 - electoral governance and, 21, 22–23, 24, 114, 115
 - electoral integrity and, 21, 22–23, 24, 151–58, 152t. 6.1
 - evaluation of, 137
 - functional capacity measurements by, 151
 - functional effectiveness of, 147–48
 - goals of, 137–38
 - government effectiveness measurements by, 151
 - government model, 139–41, 145–46
 - Independent Model, 142
 - institutional design typology for, 138–39
 - international organizations' role in, 148
 - international studies for, 138
 - in Kenya, 147
 - in Latin America, 146
 - majoritarian systems and, 117
 - methodological evidence for, 149–51
 - mixed model, 143

Index

251

- PEI Index and, 157t. 6.2
- PRSG measurements for, 150–51
- QoG measures for, 150
- in Spain, 143
- state capacity for, 147–48
- violence influenced by, 24
- WBI measures for, 151
- elections
 - high integrity, 69
 - International IDEA guidelines for, 5–9
 - international standards for, 4, 5–8, 6t. 1.1
 - in PEI Index, 3ot. 2.1–32
- elections, failures of, 163–70
 - access to natural resources and, 165–66
 - consociational democracy and, 168
 - economic development and, 165
 - EMBs and, 168
 - ethnic heterogeneity as influence on, 79–80
 - gerrymandering and, 163
 - globalization as influence on, 166–67
 - international factors in, 164, 166–67
 - international forces in, 164, 166–67
 - liberalism and, 168
 - malapportionment and, 163
 - modernization theories for, 163–64
 - political institutions and, 167–70
 - through protests, 3
 - stock of democracy as influence on, 166
 - structural conditions as influence
 - on, 164–66
 - systemic causes for, 3
 - theories for, 14–17
- electoral accountability
 - horizontal channels of, 4
 - international interventions for, 89
 - under power-sharing arrangements, 123–24
 - processes for, 176
 - vertical chains of, 4
- electoral autocracies, 55–59
- electoral cycles
 - identification of actors in, 49–50
 - problem identification during, 50–51
 - sequential stages of, 11–13
 - timing of electoral issues in, 51–55
- electoral disputes, 26–27
 - under Fair Elections Act, 27
- electoral forensics, evidence for electoral
 - integrity through, 35
- electoral governance. *See* governance, electoral
- Electoral Institute for Sustainable Democracy
 - in Africa (EISA), 95
- electoral integrity, 4–11, 69–70. *See also*
 - evidence, for electoral integrity;
 - international interventions, for electoral integrity; Perceptions of Electoral Integrity (PEI) Index; power-sharing arrangements, in electoral governance
- access to natural resources as influence on, 68, 72–78, 85
- administrative agencies and, 144–47
- under AU, 4
- campaign media as influence on, 12
- capacity building and, 172–75
- colonial history as influence on, 66, 81
- as concept, 179–80
- conceptual framework for, 27–29
- consociational arguments for, 16
- through constitutional checks and balances, 21–22
- through constitutional reforms, 175–76
- defined, 4
- democratic capital and, 56–57
- through development assistance, 19–20
- economic development as influence on, 64–65, 68–72, 84–85
- electoral accountability and, 176
- through electoral authorities, 22–25
- through electoral management, 136
- EMBs and, 21, 22–23, 24, 151–58, 152t. 6.1
- ethnic heterogeneity as influence on, 67–68, 79–80
- within EU, 4
- expert indices of, 45t. 2.4
- GDP as influence on, 68–69
- geography as influence on, 67–68, 78–79
- globalization as influence on, 100–4, 112
- government spending on elections and, 172
- human development as influence on, 68–72
- Human Development Index and, 70–72
- under ICCPR, 4–5, 7, 28–29
- international engagement for, 19–21
- international organizations and, 172–74
- through legal procedural reforms, 175–76
- measurements of, 13–14, 29–36, 180
- multilateral organizations and, 4, 19
- in multiparty systems, 57
- observer monitoring missions for, 97–99, 107–8
- oversight processes for, 176
- performance indicators for, 171–72
- political institutions and, 127t. 5.1
- reforms for, 170–78

- electoral integrity (*cont.*)
 - by regime type, 48t. 2.5, 58t. 2.6
 - regional influences on, 92–93, 112
 - religious cultures as influence on, 80–81
 - standards of, 4, 5–8, 6t. 1.1
 - state history as influence on, 82–84
 - structural conditions and, 66–84, 77t. 3.2
 - structural constraints to, 18
 - technical assistance and, 172–75
 - transparency and, 89
 - through treaties, 4–5
 - under UDHR, 5–7, 9–10, 28, 177
 - through UN agencies, 7–8
- Electoral Integrity Project, 13–14, 179
 - dataset for, 180
 - expert knowledge in, 180–81
 - reliability tests in, 181
 - time period in, 181
- Electoral Knowledge Network, 95
- electoral malpractice, 28
 - in autocracies, 53
 - in Equatorial Guinea, 52
 - through gerrymandering, 53–55
 - in Iran, 53
 - through malapportionment, 53–55
 - in Malaysia, 53–55
 - in Mexico, 55
- electoral management. *See also* Election Management Bodies; elections, failures of
 - administrative ethos in, 135, 156
 - in Australia, 133–34
 - in Canada, 134
 - electoral integrity as result of, 136
 - in Germany, 134
 - in Great Britain, 134
 - structural considerations in, 135
 - in Switzerland, 134
 - in US, 134
- electoral rights, under international
 - treaties, 5–8
- electoral stock, 55
- Elklit, Jørgen, 38, 180–81
- EMBs. *See* Election Management Bodies
- Equatorial Guinea
 - electoral integrity in, 74
 - electoral malpractice in, 52
 - resource curse in, 72–73
- ethnic heterogeneity
 - electoral failure and, 79–80
 - electoral integrity influenced by, 67–68, 79–80
- European Union (EU)
 - electoral integrity within, 4
- international interventions in electoral
 - integrity, 88, 92
 - state requirements for membership, 92–93
- evidence, for electoral integrity
 - conceptual framework for, 27–29
 - through court prosecutions, 35
 - through electoral forensics, 35
 - through events data, 33–34
 - through experiments, 35–36
 - international organizations' influence
 - on, 28–29
 - through legal records, 35
 - measurements as, 29–36
 - through media reports, 33–34
 - monitoring of, 29–36
 - through observer reports, 29–33
 - through PEI Index, 36–42
 - through proxy indices, 34–35
 - through public opinion surveys, 34
 - scope of, 26–27
- Fair Votes Act, 125
- Federal Election Commission (FEC), 116–17
- forensics. *See* electoral forensics, evidence for electoral integrity through
- Fragile States Index, 110–11
- France
 - Constitutional Council in, 119
 - electoral governance in, 114–15
 - Fifth Republic constitution, 118
 - majoritarian electoral system in, 118–19
- Freedom House, 34–35, 94–95
- freedom of press, power-sharing arrangements
 - influenced by, 127–29
- Fukuyama, Francis, 147
- GDP. *See* gross domestic product (GDP), electoral integrity and
- GEO. *See* Global Elections Organization
- geography, electoral integrity influenced by, 67–68, 78–79
- Germany, electoral management issues in, 134
 - gerrymandering, 53–55
 - election failures and, 163
 - under power-sharing arrangements, 122–23
- Global Elections Organization (GEO), 95, 171
- Global Network for Domestic Electoral Monitors (GNDEM), 94–95
- globalization
 - election failures through, 166–67
 - electoral integrity influenced by, 100–4, 112

Index

253

- GNDEM. *See* Global Network for Domestic Electoral Monitors
- Gore, Al, 41. *See also* 2000 presidential election, in U.S.
- Gore v. Bush*, 10
- governance, electoral. *See also* power-sharing arrangements, in electoral governance through autonomous administrative agencies, 23–24
 defined, 21, 113–14
 democracy as separate from, 11
 EMBs and, 21, 22–23, 24, 114, 115
 formal rules of, 114
 in France, 114–15, 118–19
 governmental types of, 23
 institutional checks for electoral integrity and, 113–19
 international arrangements for, 114–15
 majoritarian forms of, 117
 NGOs and, 115
 state constitutions and, 114
 structures of, 114
 typology of, 113–19
 in US, 116–17
- government model, of EMBs, 139–41
 centralized versions of, 145–46
- Great Britain
 colonial legacy of, 66, 81
 electoral management issues in, 134
- gross domestic product (GDP), electoral integrity and, 68–69
- Guardian Council of the
 Constitutions, 53
- Help America Vote Act, 10, 117, 140
- high integrity elections, 69
- Horowitz, David, 123
- human development, electoral integrity influenced by, 68–72
- Human Development Index, 70–72
 DRC in, 108
- Human Rights Watch, 94–95, 111
- Huntington, Samuel, 80, 147
- Hyde, Susan, 46
- ICCPR. *See* International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
- ICERD. *See* International Conventions on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
- IEBC. *See* Independent Election and Boundary Commission
- IFES. *See* International Foundation for Electoral Systems
- iKNOW Politics network, 95
- incumbents, under power-sharing arrangements, 120
- Independent Election and Boundary Commission (IEBC), 88
- Independent Model, for EMBs, 142
- Inglehart, Ronald, 91
- institutional checks, for electoral integrity
 electoral governance and, 113–19
 functions of, 113
 PEI Index and, 113
 in power-sharing arrangements, 120–21
- International Conventions on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD), 7–8
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 4–5, 7
- International Foundation for Electoral Systems (IFES), 19, 88, 94–95, 96
- International IDEA, 5–9, 94–95, 96, 138, 143–44
- international interventions, for electoral integrity, 102t. 4.1. *See also specific organizations*
 through AU, 88, 92
 cultural imperialism and, 91
 through development aid, 104–7
 diffusion of international standards, 90–92
 in DRC elections, 108–11
 through economic aid, 93–97
 economic costs of, 95–96
 electoral accountability through, 89
 by EU, 88, 92
 expansion of, 176–78
 experimental studies of, 99
 global information flows for, 90–92, 100–4
 through global media, 91–92
 globalization as influence on, 100–4, 112
 in Kenya, 87–88
 long-term influence of, 99–108
 through NGOs, 94–95
 through observer monitoring missions, 97–99, 107–8
 through OSCE, 92
 PEI Index and, 100, 107t. 4.2
 in post-communist nations, 90
 regional influences on, 92–93, 112
 regional norms and, 100–4
 through technical assistance, 93–97, 104–7
 for transparency, 89

- international interventions, for electoral integrity (*cont.*)
 - in Tunisia, 90–91
 - types of, 89–99
- international treaties. *See* treaties, international
- Inter-Parliamentary Union, 94–95
- Iran, electoral malpractice in, 53
- Kabila, Joseph, 109–10
- Kabila, Laurent, 109
- Kenya
 - disputed election procedures in, 3–4
 - EMBs in, 147
 - international interventions in, for electoral practices, 87–88
- Kenyatta, Jomo, 88
- Kenyatta, Uhuru, 87, 88
- Khamenei, Ali (Ayatollah), 53
- Kibaki, Mwai, 87
- kleptocracies, 52, 74
- Kuwait
 - electoral integrity in, 74
 - resource curse in, 72–73
- Latin America, EMBs in, 146
- League of Arab States, 93
- LePore, Theresa, 41
- liberalism, 168
- Lijphart, Arend, 22, 120
- Limongi, Fernando, 65
- Lindberg, Staffan, 44
- Lipset, Seymour Martin, 17
 - democratization theories, 64–65
 - on economic development, democracy influenced by, 64–65, 68–72
- Lithuania, electoral integrity in, 86
- majoritarian systems
 - in electoral governance, 117
 - EMBs and, 117
 - in France, 118–19
 - power-sharing arrangements in, 121
- malapportionment, 53–55
 - election failures and, 163
 - under power-sharing arrangements, 122–23
- Malaysia, electoral malpractice in, 53–55
- Mali, integrity of elections in, 69–70
- Marinov, Nikolay, 46
- media. *See also* freedom of press,
 - power-sharing arrangements influenced by cosmopolitan communications, 91
 - Cosmopolitan Communications Index and, 91–92, 103–4
- global information flows and, 90–92, 100–4
- international interventions for electoral integrity through, 91–92
- Mexico, electoral malpractice in, 55
- mixed model, for EMBs, 143
 - in Spain, 143
- Molina, Lehoucq, 122
- Montenegro, popular referendums in, 66
- multiparty systems, electoral integrity in, 57
- Namibia, elections in, 8
- National Elections across Democracy and Autocracy* (NELDA), 46
- natural resources, access to, 68, 72–78, 85
 - election failures influenced by, 165–66
 - resource curse and, 72–73
- NELDA. *See National Elections across Democracy and Autocracy*
- Nepal, integrity of elections in, 69–70
- NGOs. *See* non-governmental organizations
- Nguema, Teodora Obiang, 52
- Nieto, Enrique Peña, 55
- non-governmental organizations
 - (NGOs), 94–95
 - electoral governance and, 115
- Norway, electoral integrity in, 74
- OAS. *See* Organization of American States
- Obrador, López, 55
- observer monitoring missions, for electoral integrity, 97–99, 107–8
- observer reports, evidence for electoral integrity through, 29–33
- Odinga, Raila, 87
- Oman, parliamentary elections in, 66
- Open Society Institute, 94–95
- Orange Revolution, 90
- Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE), 4, 19, 92
- Organization of American States (OAS), 4, 19, 92
- OSCE. *See* Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe
- PACE. *See* Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe
- Pakatan Rakyat (PR) party, 53–55
- Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE), 29–33

Index

255

- parliamentary elections, 66
 Parliamentary Powers Index (PPI), 126
 party registration processes, 12
 patronage politics, 122
 Perceptions of Electoral Integrity (PEI) Index,
 13–14, 34–35
 autocratization measures in, 47–49
 comprehensive scope of, 44
 confidence intervals in, 181–82
 construction of, 181–82
 country characteristics in, 42t. 2.3
 data availability in, 182
 dataset of, 41–42
 democratization measures in, 47–49
 economic development in, 71t. 3.1
 electoral quality measures in, 46–47
 EMBs and, 157t. 6.2
 evaluation of, 42–49
 evidence of electoral integrity
 through, 36–42
 expert indices and, correlations with,
 45t. 2.4
 identification of actors in, 49–50
 international interventions and, 100,
 107t. 4.2
 location of electoral issues in, 55–59
 measurement errors in, 43
 measurement levels with, 44
 political institutional correlations with,
 130t. 5.2
 power-sharing arrangements and, 125–31
 problem identification in, 50–51
 quality of elections in, 30t. 2.1–3.2
 questions in, 39t. 2.2–40
 regime classifications in, 48–49
 reliability tests in, 42–49
 robustness tests in, 42–49
 timing of electoral issues in, 51–55
 political finance regulations, 12
 Political Risk Service Group
 (PRSG), 150–51
 popular referendums, 66
 power-sharing arrangements, in electoral
 governance, 115–16, 119–25
 ballot box fraud and, 122
 coalition governments and, 120
 consensus democracies and, 120
 consociational arguments for, 120
 efficiency of, 124–25
 electoral accountability under, 123–24
 freedom of press and, 127–29
 gerrymandering under, 122–23
 incumbents under, 120
 institutional checks and balances in, 120–21
 joined-up governance and, 123–24
 judicial independence under, 126
 in large multi-member districts, 122–23
 in majoritarian electoral systems, 121
 malapportionment under, 122–23
 methodological evidence for, 125–31
 parliamentary oversight and, 129–30
 party polarization as result of, 125
 PEI Index and, 125–31
 political consistency in, 123–24
 PPI and, 126
 in proportional representation electoral
 systems, 121
 resource dispersal under, 124–25
 risks and benefits of, 119–20
 in Singapore, 122
 in SMDs, 122
 stakeholder buy-ins in, 121
 trust in elections through, 121
 PPI. *See* Parliamentary Powers Index
 PR party. *See* Pakatan Rakyat (PR) party
 proportional representation (PR) electoral
 systems, 121
 closed-list, 122
 protests, election failures as result of, 3
 PRSG. *See* Political Risk Service Group
 Przeworski, Adam, 65
 public accountability, in elections, 4
 public opinion surveys, evidence for electoral
 integrity through, 34

 Qatar, parliamentary elections in, 66
 Quality of Governance (QoG), 150
 Quota Project, 95

 regimes, political. *See also* autocracies;
 democracy
 electoral integrity by, 48t. 2.5, 58t. 2.6
 in PEI Index, 48–49
 religious cultures
 clash thesis in, 80
 electoral integrity influenced by, 80–81
 rent-seeking, 122
 Reporters without Borders, 94–95
 resource curse, 72–73
 Reynolds, Andrew, 38, 180–81
 robocalls, 134
 Rothstein, Bo, 149
 Rouhani, Hassan, 53
 Rustow, Dankwart, 64–65

- Ruto, William, 87
 Rwanda, electoral failure in, 79–80
- Saudi Arabia, parliamentary elections in, 66
 Schedler, Andreas, 11
 Second Congo War, 108–9
 Shevardnadze, Eduard, 90
 Sierra Leone, resource curse in, 72–73
 Singapore, power-sharing arrangements in, 122
 single member districts (SMDs), 122
 Slovenia, electoral integrity in, 86
 SMDs. *See* single member districts
 South Sudan, popular referendums in, 66
 Spain, EMBs in, 143
 Sri Lanka, electoral failures in, 79–80
 stakeholder buy-ins, in power-sharing arrangements, 121
 stock of democracy, 166
 sub-Saharan Africa, 146
 Sudan, electoral failure in, 79–80
 Switzerland, electoral management issues in, 134
- Tajikistan, integrity of elections in, 69–70
 Thailand, disputed election procedures in, 3–4
 transparency, electoral integrity and, 89
 treaties, international
 electoral integrity through, 4–5
 electoral rights under, 5–8
- Tsebelis, George, 120
 2000 presidential election, in U.S., 133.
 See also Gore v. Bush
- UDHR. *See* Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), electoral integrity under
 UN. *See* United Nations
 UN Convention against Corruption (UNCAC), 7–8
 UNDP. *See* United Nations Development Program
 United Kingdom. *See* Great Britain
 United Nations (UN), 7–8
 United Nations Development Program (UNDP), 88, 96
 Human Development Index and, 70–72, 108
 United States (US)
 electoral governance in, 116–17
 electoral management issues in, 134
 FEC in, 116–17
 Help America Vote Act in, 10, 117, 140
 2000 presidential election in, 133
 Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), electoral integrity under, 5–7, 9–10, 28, 177
- veto-player theory, 120
 voter registration, 12
- World Bank Institute (WBI), 151