

**UNITED STATES - MEASURES AFFECTING TRADE
IN LARGE CIVIL AIRCRAFT
(SECOND COMPLAINT)**

Report of the Panel
WT/DS353/R*

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
on 23 March 2012
as Modified by the Appellate Body Report*

BCI DELETED, AS INDICATED [*]**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	672
A. Complaint of the European Communities	672
B. Establishment and Composition of the Panel	673
C. Panel Proceedings.....	674
II. FACTUAL ASPECTS.....	676
III. PARTIES' REQUESTS FOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	677
A. European Communities	677
B. United States.....	678
IV. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES	678
A. Preliminary Issues	679
1. Arguments of the European Communities	679
2. Arguments of the United States	680
B. Subsidy Programmes	682
1. Arguments of the European Communities	682
(a) General overview	682
(b) State support measures.....	688

* Paragraphs 7.891 to 8.10 and the Annexes of this report can be found in DSR 2012:III.

Report of the Panel

	(i)	State of Washington and municipalities therein	688
	(ii)	State of Kansas and municipalities therein	694
	(iii)	State of Illinois and municipalities therein	698
	(c)	U.S. Federal Government measures	699
	(i)	U.S. aeronautics R&D	700
	(ii)	NASA/DOD intellectual property right waivers/transfers	715
	(iii)	NASA/DOD IR&D/B&P Program	717
	(iv)	NASA/DOD facilities, equipment and employees	719
	(v)	DOL 787 worker training grants	720
	(vi)	FSC/ETI	721
	(d)	United States' rebuttal	722
2.		Arguments of the United States	723
	(a)	General overview of arguments relating to the existence of subsidies and burden of proof	723
	(b)	State support measures	727
	(i)	State of Washington and municipalities therein	727
	(ii)	State of Kansas and municipalities therein	733
	(iii)	State of Illinois and municipalities therein	736
	(c)	U.S. Federal Government measures	737
	(i)	U.S. aeronautics R&D	737
	(ii)	NASA/DOD intellectual property right waivers/transfers	762
	(iii)	NASA/DOD IR&D/B&P Program	767
	(iv)	NASA/DOD facilities, equipment and employees	771
	(v)	DOL 787 worker training grants	772
C.		Prohibited Subsidies	772
	1.	Arguments of the European Communities	772
	2.	Arguments of the United States	774
D.		Adverse Effects	777

	US - Large Civil Aircraft (2 nd Complaint)
1.	Arguments of the European Communities 777
(a)	Overview of arguments..... 777
(b)	Causation test under Articles 5 and 6.3..... 785
(c)	Arguments relating to the reference period..... 788
(d)	Arguments relating to the effects of the U.S. subsidies..... 789
(i)	Type of subsidies and determinants of effects of the U.S. subsidies..... 789
(ii)	Nature of the United States' subsidies 790
(iii)	Magnitude of the U.S. subsidies 791
(iv)	Price effects of the U.S. subsidies 794
(v)	Technology effects of the U.S. subsidies..... 799
(vi)	Relationship between price and technology effects of the U.S. subsidies..... 801
(e)	Arguments relating to the three LCA markets 801
(f)	Conclusion 806
2.	Arguments of the United States 806
(a)	Introduction..... 806
(b)	Arguments relating to the European Communities' serious prejudice claim..... 807
(i)	Arguments relating to the European Communities' causation analysis under Article 6.3 812
(ii)	Amount and magnitude of the alleged subsidies..... 822
(iii)	Arguments relating to the European Communities' price effects claim 824
(iv)	Arguments relating to the European Communities' technology effects claim..... 827
V.	ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES 833
A.	Australia 833
1.	Introduction..... 833
2.	Financial contribution 833
(a)	Relevance of the nature of government action..... 833

Report of the Panel

	(b)	Relevance of consideration provided under contractual arrangements	834
	(c)	Tax assistance	835
	(d)	R&D assistance.....	835
	(i)	Application of the legal standard to R&D assistance	835
	(ii)	Range of measures that fall within the meaning of 'financial contribution'	836
	(iii)	Treatment of government 'assistance for research activities' under the SCM Agreement.....	837
	(iv)	R&D assistance under NASA and DOD programmes	838
	(v)	Dual-use technology.....	839
	(vi)	Treatment of the fruit of R&D activities	840
3.		Benefit.....	840
	(a)	Legal standard for determining a benefit.....	840
	(b)	Tax assistance	841
	(c)	R&D assistance.....	841
4.		General infrastructure	841
5.		Specificity	842
6.		In fact export contingency	842
7.		Adverse effects	843
	(a)	Reference periods to assess serious prejudice	843
	(b)	Cumulative effects	843
	(c)	Threat of serious prejudice	843
B.		Brazil.....	844
	1.	Introduction.....	844
	2.	The failure of the United States to cooperate in the Annex V process threatens to undermine the WTO dispute settlement process and should result in the use of adverse inferences where appropriate	844
	3.	Funding under a government contract for research and development should not be used to shield a Member from its obligations under the SCM Agreement	845
	4.	Subsidies disguised as purchases of services.....	846

US - Large Civil Aircraft (2 nd Complaint)	
5.	The Panel should not interpret "group of enterprises or industries" under Article 2.1 too narrowly, particularly when analyzing subsidies in the aircraft sector 847
6.	A financial contribution to an unrelated third party may confer a benefit on Boeing 848
7.	The U.S. Government's provision of facilities, equipment, and employees to Boeing may constitute financial contributions conferring a benefit 849
8.	Adverse effects 849
9.	Theories of causation 852
10.	The Panel should reject the European Communities' arguments in relation to the 1992 Agreement 853
C.	Canada 853
1.	Introduction 853
2.	Purchase of services 854
3.	Intellectual property rights 855
4.	General infrastructure 856
(a)	The scope of the general infrastructure exclusion 856
(b)	The appropriate treatment of improvements to general infrastructure under the SCM Agreement when the improvements are of particular benefit to certain users 858
(c)	The distinction to be made between the concept of non-general as it pertains to infrastructure, and the concept of specificity as it pertains to subsidies 858
(d)	Propositions relevant to the analysis of alleged infrastructure subsidies 859
5.	The ATP Program and Article 2 specificity 859
6.	De facto export contingency 864
7.	Serious prejudice 865
D.	China 867
1.	Introduction 867
2.	Best information available and adverse inference 867
(a)	Best information available 867
(b)	Adverse inference 869
3.	A three-prong analysis in the determination of de facto specificity 870

Report of the Panel	
4.	Threat of serious prejudice 871
5.	Conclusion 872
E.	Japan 873
1.	An examination of specificity as a matter of law must be based on the entire legal framework under which the alleged subsidy is conferred 873
2.	Comments on certain elements of the analysis the Panel should undertake in assessing whether the alleged U.S. subsidies cause serious prejudice 874
(a)	The Panel should ensure that the reference period is sufficient to permit the required objective assessment of the matter before it 874
(b)	The Panel should evaluate whether the claimed serious prejudice results from the subsidies at issue 876
(c)	The terms "imports" and "exports" for purposes of Article 6.3 require appropriate special consideration in the light of the nature of the LCA industry 878
F.	Korea 879
1.	In order to make a prima facie case, a Member claiming existence of an actionable subsidy must "demonstrate" that all the elements provided in the SCM Agreement exist 879
2.	Finding of benefit must be based on the comparison between the terms of the alleged subsidy program and comparable terms available in the commercial market 880
3.	Providing general infrastructure should not constitute a financial contribution from the government 880
4.	An export subsidy can only be found when the granting of a subsidy is "tied to" actual or anticipated exportation or export earnings 881
VI.	INTERIM REVIEW 882
A.	Introduction 882
B.	Parties' Requests for Changes to the Interim Report 882
1.	Footnote 1096 882
2.	Paragraph 7.147 883
3.	Paragraphs 7.163-7.164 884
4.	Paragraph 7.165 885

US - Large Civil Aircraft (2 nd Complaint)		
5.	Paragraph 7.288	885
6.	Paragraph 7.291	886
7.	Paragraph 7.296	887
8.	Paragraph 7.540	888
9.	Paragraphs 7.751 and 7.778	889
10.	Paragraph 7.768	890
11.	Paragraph 7.841	890
12.	Paragraph 7.848 and footnote 2145	891
13.	Paragraph 7.882	892
14.	Paragraph 7.944 and footnote 2286	892
15.	Paragraph 7.982	893
16.	Paragraph 7.1038	894
17.	Paragraph 7.1078	895
18.	Paragraphs 7.1079 and 7.1076	896
19.	Footnote 2500	897
20.	Paragraph 7.1099	897
21.	Paragraph 7.1100	898
22.	Paragraph 7.1121 and footnote 2542	899
23.	Paragraph 7.1148	900
24.	Paragraph 7.1176	901
25.	Paragraph 7.1184	902
26.	Paragraph 7.1196	903
27.	Footnote 2672	903
28.	Paragraph 7.1276	903
29.	Paragraphs 7.1309-7.1310	904
30.	Paragraph 7.1431(d) and NASA row in table at paragraph 7.1433	905
31.	Paragraph 7.1433, State of Washington row in table	906
32.	Paragraph 7.1595, footnote 3217	907
33.	Paragraph 7.1606	908
34.	Paragraph 7.1701	909
35.	Paragraph 7.1701, footnote 3458	912
36.	Paragraphs 7.1786-7.1787, footnote 3596 and paragraphs 7.1790-7.1794, 7.1854(a) and 8.3(a)(i)	913
37.	Paragraph 7.1788	914
38.	Paragraph 7.1810	914
39.	Paragraph 7.1814, footnote 3637	915

Report of the Panel	
40.	Paragraph 7.1818, footnote 3646..... 916
41.	Paragraph 7.1822..... 917
42.	Appendix VII.F.1, paragraphs 3-10..... 917
43.	Appendix VII.F.2, paragraph 74..... 918
VII.	FINDINGS..... 918
A.	Introduction..... 918
B.	Function of the Panel, Treaty Interpretation and Burden of Proof..... 920
1.	Function of the Panel..... 920
2.	Treaty interpretation..... 921
3.	Burden of proof..... 922
C.	Preliminary Matters..... 923
1.	Decision of the Panel on requests for enhanced third party rights..... 923
2.	Decision of the Panel on the request of the European Communities for a preliminary ruling on Annex V of the SCM Agreement..... 926
D.	Whether the Measures at Issue Constitute Specific Subsidies Within the Meaning of Articles 1 and 2 of the SCM Agreement..... 929
1.	Introduction..... 929
2.	State of Washington and municipalities therein..... 937
(a)	HB 2294 tax incentives..... 937
(i)	Introduction..... 937
(ii)	The measures at issue..... 937
(iii)	Whether a subsidy exists within the meaning of Article 1 of the SCM Agreement..... 947
(iv)	Whether the subsidy is specific within the meaning of Article 2 of the SCM Agreement..... 987
(v)	The amount of the subsidy to Boeing's LCA division..... 998
(vi)	Summary of conclusions regarding the Washington taxation measures under HB 2294..... 1029
(b)	City of Everett local B&O tax reduction..... 1030
(i)	Introduction..... 1030
(ii)	The measure at issue..... 1030

US - Large Civil Aircraft (2 nd Complaint)	
(iii)	Whether a subsidy exists within the meaning of Article 1 of the SCM Agreement 1031
(iv)	Whether the subsidy is specific within the meaning of Article 2 of the SCM Agreement 1037
(v)	The amount of the subsidy to Boeing's LCA division 1041
(vi)	Conclusion 1043
(c)	Project Olympus Master Site Agreement subsidies pursuant to "The Boeing Incentive Package" 1043
(i)	Introduction 1043
(ii)	Road improvements around Boeing's Everett facility, construction of a rail barge transfer facility and expansion of South Terminal by the Port of Everett..... 1045
(iii)	Waiver of landing fees for Boeing's 747 Large Cargo Freighters at Paine Field 1092
(iv)	City of Everett and Snohomish County: rates for water, sanitary sewer, solid waste, and process wastewater services 1105
(v)	Provision of coordinators..... 1117
(vi)	Job training incentives 1123
(vii)	Tax and other incentives related to the 747 LCF 1132
(viii)	Costs of legal proceedings 1137
(ix)	Summary of conclusions regarding the alleged Project Olympus Master Site Agreement subsidies pursuant to "The Boeing Incentive Package" 1143
3.	State of Kansas and municipalities therein..... 1144
(a)	Tax breaks arising from the issuance of Industrial Revenue Bonds 1144
(i)	Introduction 1144
(ii)	The measure at issue 1144
(iii)	Terms of reference: future measures 1149

Report of the Panel

(iv)

Whether a subsidy exists within the meaning of Article 1 of the SCM Agreement

1159

(v)

Whether the subsidy is specific within the meaning of Article 2 of the SCM Agreement

1165

(vi)

The amount of the subsidy to Boeing's LCA division

1189

(vii)

Conclusion

1203

(b)

Direct transfer of funds arising from the Kansas Development Finance Authority bonds

1203

(i)

Introduction

1203

(ii)

The measure at issue

1203

(iii)

Whether a subsidy exists within the meaning of Article 1 of the SCM Agreement

1205

(iv)

Whether the subsidy is specific within the meaning of Article 2 of the SCM Agreement

1208

(v)

The amount of the subsidy to Boeing's LCA division

1209

(vi)

Conclusion

1223

LIST OF ANNEXES

Contents	
Annex A	Request for Consultations by the European Communities
Annex B	Request for the Establishment of a Panel by the European Communities
Annex C	Working Procedures of the Panel
Annex D	BCI/HSBI Procedures of the Panel
Annex E	Selected Rulings of the Panel concerning BCI/HSBI procedures and their application

658

DSR 2012:II

© in this web service Cambridge University Press & Assessment

www.cambridge.org