

CONTENTS

*List of Figures and Tables*      xviii  
*Preface*      xix  
*Table of Cases*      xxi  
*Table of Legislation*      xli  
*List of Abbreviations*      lvi  
*Notes on Mode of Citation*      lxi

1 Introduction      1

    A About This Book      1

        1 The Meaning of ‘Government’      1

            (a) Depending upon the Context      2

            (b) What Does Not ‘Count’      5

        2 The Meaning of ‘Class Action’      5

    B A Comparative Study      13

        1 United States      14

        2 Canada      18

        3 Australia      22

        4 United Kingdom      27

    C The Coverage of the Book      31

        1 Part I of the Book      31

        2 Part II of the Book      33

**PART I    Preparing a Path to the Stadium      37**

2 Government as Class Actions Enabler      39

    A Introduction      39

    B The Inadequacies of Existing Procedural Regimes      42

        1 The Representative Rule      42

2	Opt-In Regimes	46
3	Other Procedural Mechanisms	50
C	‘Missing Cases’	53
1	Known Disasters or Grievances	54
2	Litigation Elsewhere, with No Domestic Equivalent	55
3	Regulatory Fines with No Ancillary Civil Redress	56
4	Anecdotal Evidence	56
D	Burdensome and Duplicative Litigation	58
E	A Key Judicial Proponent for Reform	62
F	Previously Existing Reforms Were Ineffective	65
G	The Spectacle of ‘Going Elsewhere’	67
H	Public Regulators Are Unable or Unwilling to Pursue Redress	71
I	The ‘Human Element’	73
J	Conclusion	77
3	Government as Class Actions Designer	80
A	Introduction	80
B	100 Points of Class Action Design	82
1	At the Beginning	84
(a)	Pleadings Matters	84
(b)	The Procedural Peculiarities of the Class Action	84
(c)	A Spotlight on the Class	85
(d)	A Spotlight on the Defendant/s Being Sued	86
(e)	A Spotlight on the Representative Claimant	86
(f)	Potential Abuse of Process Issues	87
2	During the Action	88
(a)	The Opting-Out Process	88
(b)	Court Control	88
(c)	Conducting the Class Action	88
(d)	Limitation Periods	89
(e)	The Substantive Law	89
3	At the End	89
(a)	Settlement of the Class Action	89
(b)	Awarding Damages	90
(c)	Treating Undistributed Residues	90
(d)	Handling Class Members’ Individual Issues	91

CONTENTS

xiii

(e) Rights of Appeal	91
4 Costs and Funding	91
(a) A Spotlight on the Defendant	91
(b) A Spotlight on the Class	92
(c) A Spotlight on the Class Lawyers	92
(d) A Spotlight on External Funding Sources	93
C Some Comparative Design Lessons	94
1 The Two Jurisdictions under Scrutiny	94
2 To Certify or Not to Certify?	99
3 The Representative Claimant	106
4 The ‘Multiple Defendant’ Conundrum	110
5 The Three Thresholds	113
(a) Commonality	114
(b) Preliminary Merits	115
(c) Numerosity	118
6 A Funder’s Success Fee	119
D Conclusion	124
4 Government as Class Actions Funder	129
A Introduction	129
B How the Ontario Fund Works	135
1 From a Monetary Perspective	135
(a) What the Fund Will Give	135
(b) What the Fund Will Receive	137
2 The Criteria for Funding	138
3 The Operation of the Levy	142
(a) The Order of Distribution	142
(b) The Trigger for the Charge	144
C Statistics	145
D Where the Representative Claimant Loses	149
1 Primary Protection: For the Representative Claimant	150
2 Secondary Protection: For the Law Foundation	151
(a) Exceptions to Costs-Shifting	151
(b) The Status of the Law Foundation	153
(c) An Uneven Landscape	155
(d) Other Potential Protection	156
3 Tertiary Protection: For the Class Lawyers	157

E	Miscellaneous Issues	158
1	Interplay with Other Sources of Funding	158
2	At the End of the Action	160
(a)	Discontinuance	160
(b)	Settlement	162
3	The Adequacy Criterion	163
F	Potential Tweaks to the Model	164
1	Identifying a ‘Gap’	164
2	Potential Modifications to Ontario’s Model	166
G	Conclusion	166
5	Government as ‘Gate-Keeper’: Cross-Border Class Actions	172
A	Introduction	172
B	Setting the Context	175
1	Some Notable Cross-Border Consumer Grievances	175
(a)	The ‘Defeat Devices’ Relating to Vehicle Emissions	175
(b)	The Illinois and Ontario McDonald’s Actions	178
(c)	The US Breast Implants Settlement	181
2	Residence versus Domicile	183
3	Some Key Assumptions	186
C	The Compulsory Opt-In Model	188
1	Legislative Precedents	188
(a)	The United Kingdom	188
(b)	The Canadian Statutes	190
2	Why the Compulsory Opt-In Requirement Was Adopted	192
D	The No-Provision Model	196
1	Legislative Precedents	196
2	The General Judicial Response	197
3	Options for Asserting Personal Jurisdiction over Non-Resident Class Members	201
E	The ‘All-Comers’ or ‘Global’ Model	204
1	Legislative Precedents	204
2	The Legislative Criteria for Deferring to a Class Action Elsewhere	206
F	The Exclusion Model	211

CONTENTS

XV

1 The Caveat	211
2 Legislative Precedents	212
(a) The Canadian Statutes	212
(b) Victoria	212
G The Judicial Choice Model	216
1 Legislative Precedent	217
2 Judicial Interpretations	218
3 Relevant Criteria	220
H Conclusion	221
<b>PART II As a Participant in the Match</b>	<b>223</b>
6 Government as Representative Claimant	225
A Introduction	225
B An Identical Cause of Action with Other Class Members	227
C A Dissimilar Cause of Action from Other Class Members	229
1 The Significance (if Any) of a Typicality Requirement	230
(a) Where the Requirement Arises	230
(b) The Possible Meanings of ‘Typicality’	232
2 Statutory Interpretation: The Meaning of ‘a Claim’	234
(a) The Narrow View	235
(b) The Wide(r) View	238
(c) The Widest View	240
(d) Where More than One Regime Applies	242
D Government as ‘Ideological Claimant’	243
1 Drafting for an ‘Ideological Claimant’: The Options	244
2 Prerequisites for Representation	246
E Conclusion	246
7 Government as Class Member	249
A Introduction	249
B Membership Only with Consent	249
1 Legislative Precedents	249
2 Justifications for the Requirement	253
3 What about Judges?	254
(a) The Australian Federal Regime	255

- (b) Other Options 258
  - C The No-Differentiation Model 259
  - D Conclusion 260
- 8 Government as Class Actions Defendant 262
  - A Introduction 262
  - B Sectoral versus Generic Class Action Reform 264
    - 1 The Most Common Enactments 264
    - 2 The Experience of the United Kingdom 266
  - C Proceedings against the Crown 269
    - 1 Retreating from Crown Immunity 269
    - 2 A Tactical Difference? 274
  - D Scenarios Involving Government as Defendant 275
    - 1 Immigration Matters 275
    - 2 Agricultural, Food, and Environmental Disputes 278
    - 3 Pharmaceutical and Medical Matters 280
    - 4 Police, Prison, and Civil Liberty Matters 283
    - 5 Indigenous and Aboriginal Matters 284
    - 6 Employment Disputes 286
    - 7 Financial Products and Gambling Services 287
    - 8 Educational Services 290
    - 9 Social Services, Support, and Cohesion 292
    - 10 Tax-Related Matters 294
  - E Claims in Tort: Some Examples 295
    - 1 Principal Theories of Liability against Governments 295
    - 2 The Problematical Concept of ‘Regulatory Negligence’ 295
    - 3 Novel Claims against the Government in Tort 303
      - (a) Negligence 304
      - (b) Misfeasance in Public Office 307
      - (c) Conversion 310
      - (d) Defamation 310
  - F Conclusion 313

## CONTENTS

xvii

9	Government as Class Actions Beneficiary	315
A	Introduction	315
B	An Escheat Beneficiary	320
1	Legislative Precedents for a General Escheat	321
(a)	Those that Permit	321
(b)	Those that Prohibit	325
2	Divided Law Reform Opinion	327
(a)	Those in Favour (and Why)	328
(b)	Those Against (and Why)	329
3	Judicial Observations about Escheat Distributions	330
(a)	Arguments in Favour	330
(b)	Arguments Against	332
4	The Criteria That Point towards, or away from, an Escheat Distribution	335
C	A Statutorily Designated Beneficiary	337
1	Legislative Precedents	337
(a)	The United Kingdom	338
(b)	British Columbia	341
(c)	US State Statutes	343
2	How Is the Government a 'Beneficiary'?	344
(a)	Enhancing the Third Sector	344
(b)	The Amounts of Money Potentially at Stake	348
D	Government as a <i>Cy-près</i> Beneficiary	350
1	<i>Cy-près</i> Distributions Generally	350
2	Earmarked Escheat Distributions	357
(a)	Divided Viewpoints	357
(b)	Examples of 'Governmental Benefit'	361
E	Conclusion	362
10	Conclusion: Levelling the Playing Field	365
A	Some Closing Thoughts	365
B	The Recommendations Collected	368
	<i>Bibliography</i>	375
	<i>Index</i>	397