

Adversarial Machine Learning

Written by leading researchers, this complete introduction brings together all the theory and tools needed for building robust machine learning in adversarial environments. Discover how machine learning systems can adapt when an adversary actively poisons data to manipulate statistical inference, learn the latest practical techniques for investigating system security and performing robust data analysis, and gain insight into new approaches for designing effective countermeasures against the latest wave of cyberattacks. Privacy-preserving mechanisms and near-optimal evasion of classifiers are discussed in detail, and in-depth case studies on email spam and network security highlight successful attacks on traditional machine learning algorithms. Providing a thorough overview of the current state of the art in the field and possible future directions, this groundbreaking work is essential reading for researchers, practitioners, and students in computer security and machine learning and for those wanting to learn about the next stage of the cybersecurity arms race.

Anthony D. Joseph is a Chancellor's Professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley. He was formerly the Director of Intel Labs Berkeley.

Blaine Nelson is a Software Engineer in the Counter-Abuse Technology (CAT) team at Google. He previously worked at the University of Potsdam and the University of Tübingen.

Benjamin I. P. Rubinstein is an Associate Professor in Computing and Information Systems at the University of Melbourne. He has previously worked at Microsoft Research, Google Research, Yahoo! Research, Intel Labs Berkeley, and IBM Research.

J. D. Tygar is a Professor at the University of California, Berkeley, and he has worked widely in the field of computer security. At Berkeley, he holds appointments in both the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences and the School of Information.



"Data Science practitioners tend to be unaware of how easy it is for adversaries to manipulate and misuse adaptive machine learning systems. This book demonstrates the severity of the problem by providing a taxonomy of attacks and studies of adversarial learning. It analyzes older attacks as well as recently discovered surprising weaknesses in deep learning systems. A variety of defenses are discussed for different learning systems and attack types that could help researchers and developers design systems that are more robust to attacks."

Richard Lippmann, Lincoln Laboratory, MIT

"This is a timely book. Right time and right book, written with an authoritative but inclusive style. Machine learning is becoming ubiquitous. But for people to trust it, they first need to understand how reliable it is."

Fabio Roli, University of Cagliari



Adversarial Machine Learning

ANTHONY D. JOSEPH

University of California, Berkeley

BLAINE NELSON

Google

BENJAMIN I. P. RUBINSTEIN

University of Melbourne

J. D. TYGAR

University of California, Berkeley





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107043466

DOI:10.1017/9781107338548

© Cambridge University Press 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

Names: Joseph, Anthony D., author. | Nelson, Blaine, author. | Rubinstein, Benjamin I. P., author. | Tygar, J. D., author.

Title: Adversarial machine learning / Anthony D. Joseph, University of California, Berkeley, Blaine Nelson, Google, Benjamin I.P. Rubinstein, University of Melbourne, J.D. Tygar, University of California, Berkeley. Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2019.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017026016 | ISBN 9781107043466 (hardback)

Subjects: LCSH: Machine learning. | Computer security. | BISAC: COMPUTERS / Security / General.

Classification: LCC Q325.5 .J69 2017 | DDC 006.3/1 – dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2017026016

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-04346-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

		of Symbols	page xi	
	АСКІ	nowledgments	xiii	
Part I 0)verviev	w of Adversarial Machine Learning		
1	Intro	Introduction		
	1.1	Motivation	4	
	1.2	A Principled Approach to Secure Learning	11	
	1.3	Chronology of Secure Learning	14	
	1.4	Overview	17	
2	Background and Notation		20	
	2.1	Basic Notation	20	
	2.2	Statistical Machine Learning	20	
		2.2.1 Data	22	
		2.2.2 Hypothesis Space	24	
		2.2.3 The Learning Model	24	
		2.2.4 Supervised Learning	25	
		2.2.5 Other Learning Paradigms	28	
3	A Framework for Secure Learning			
	3.1	1 Analyzing the Phases of Learning		
	3.2	2 Security Analysis		
		3.2.1 Security Goals	32	
		3.2.2 Threat Model	32	
		3.2.3 Discussion of Machine Learning Applications in Security	33	
	3.3	Framework	34	
		3.3.1 Taxonomy	34	
		3.3.2 The Adversarial Learning Game	36	
		3.3.3 Characteristics of Adversarial Capabilities	36	
		3.3.4 Attacks	38	
		3.3.5 Defenses	38	



vi	Contents

	3.4	Exploratory Attacks	38
		3.4.1 The Exploratory Game	39
		3.4.2 Exploratory Integrity Attacks	40
		3.4.3 Exploratory Availability Attacks	44
		3.4.4 Defending against Exploratory Attacks	45
	3.5	Causative Attacks	49
		3.5.1 The Causative Game	50
		3.5.2 Causative Integrity Attacks	51
		3.5.3 Causative Availability Attacks	53
		3.5.4 Defending against Causative Attacks	54
	3.6	1 &	58
		3.6.1 Repeated Learning Games in Security	60
	3.7	,	61
		3.7.1 Differential Privacy	62
		3.7.2 Exploratory and Causative Privacy Attacks	64
		3.7.3 Utility despite Randomness	65
Part II Ca	usati	ve Attacks on Machine Learning	
4	Atta	cking a Hypersphere Learner	69
	4.1	Causative Attacks on Hypersphere Detectors	70
		4.1.1 Learning Assumptions	71
		4.1.2 Attacker Assumptions	72
		4.1.3 Analytic Methodology	73
	4.2	Hypersphere Attack Description	73
		4.2.1 Displacing the Centroid	75
		4.2.2 Formal Description of the Attack	79
		4.2.3 Characteristics of Attack Sequences	80
	4.3	r	83
		4.3.1 Optimal Unconstrained Attack: Stacking Blocks	83
	4.4	1 &	84
		4.4.1 Stacking Blocks of Variable Mass	86
		4.4.2 An Alternate Formulation	87
	4.5	4.4.3 The Optimal Relaxed Solution	88
	4.5	Attacks against Retraining with Data Replacement	91
		4.5.1 Average-out and Random-out Replacement	0.2
		Policy	92
	4.0	4.5.2 Nearest-out Replacement Policy	94
	4.6	Constrained Attackers	96
		4.6.1 Greedy Optimal Attacks	98
		4.6.2 Attacks with Mixed Data4.6.3 Extensions	100
	47		102
	4.7	Summary	103



		Col	ntents	VII
_	8	Tabilita Allega Constantina Co		105
5	Avai	Availability Attack Case Study: SpamBayes		
	5.1	The SpamBayes Spam Filter		106
		5.1.1 SpamBayes' Training Algorithm		106
		5.1.2 SpamBayes' Predictions		108
	<i>5</i> 0	5.1.3 SpamBayes' Model		109
	5.2			112
		5.2.1 Attacker Goals		113
		5.2.2 Attacker Knowledge		113 114
		5.2.3 Training Model 5.2.4 The Contemination Assumption		114
	5.2	5.2.4 The Contamination Assumption		115
	3.3	Causative Attacks against SpamBayes' Learner 5.3.1 Causative Availability Attacks		113
		5.3.2 Causative Integrity Attacks—Pseudospam		119
	5.4	The Reject on Negative Impact (RONI) Defense		119
		Experiments with SpamBayes		120
	3.3	5.5.1 Experimental Method		120
		5.5.2 Dictionary Attack Results		122
		5.5.3 Focused Attack Results		124
		5.5.4 Pseudospam Attack Experiments		126
		5.5.5 RONI Results		128
	5.6	Summary		131
6	Inte	grity Attack Case Study: PCA Detector		134
	6.1	PCA Method for Detecting Trafffic Anomalies		137
		6.1.1 Traffic Matrices and Volume Anomalies		137
		6.1.2 Subspace Method for Anomaly Detection		138
	6.2	Corrupting the PCA Subspace		140
		6.2.1 The Threat Model		140
		6.2.2 Uninformed Chaff Selection		141
		6.2.3 Locally Informed Chaff Selection		141
		6.2.4 Globally Informed Chaff Selection		142
		6.2.5 Boiling Frog Attacks		143
	6.3	1		144
		6.3.1 Intuition		145
		6.3.2 PCA-GRID		147
		6.3.3 Robust Laplace Threshold		148
	6.4	Empirical Evaluation		149
		6.4.1 Setup		149
		6.4.2 Identifying Vulnerable Flows		152
		6.4.3 Evaluation of Attacks		153
		6.4.4 Evaluation of Antidote	1	156
	6.5	6.4.5 Empirical Evaluation of the Boiling Frog Poisoning Atta	CK	159
	6.5	Summary		162



Viii

Contents

Part III Exploratory Attacks on Machine Learning

7	Privacy-Preserving Mechanisms for SVM Learning		
	7.1	Privacy Breach Case Studies	167
		7.1.1 Massachusetts State Employees Health Records	167
		7.1.2 AOL Search Query Logs	168
		7.1.3 The Netflix Prize	168
		7.1.4 Deanonymizing Twitter Pseudonyms	169
		7.1.5 Genome-Wide Association Studies	169
		7.1.6 Ad Microtargeting	170
		7.1.7 Lessons Learned	170
	7.2	Problem Setting: Privacy-Preserving Learning	170
		7.2.1 Differential Privacy	171
		7.2.2 Utility	173
		7.2.3 Historical Research Directions in Differential Privacy	174
	7.3	Support Vector Machines: A Brief Primer	176
		7.3.1 Translation-Invariant Kernels	178
		7.3.2 Algorithmic Stability	179
	7.4	Differential Privacy by Output Perturbation	179
	7.5	Differential Privacy by Objective Perturbation	183
	7.6	1	
	7.7	1	192
		7.7.1 Upper Bounds	193
		7.7.2 Lower Bounds	194
	7.8	Summary	197
8	Near-Optimal Evasion of Classifiers		199
	8.1	Characterizing Near-Optimal Evasion	202
		8.1.1 Adversarial Cost	203
		8.1.2 Near-Optimal Evasion	204
		8.1.3 Search Terminology	206
		8.1.4 Multiplicative vs. Additive Optimality	208
		8.1.5 The Family of Convex-Inducing Classifiers	210
	8.2	Evasion of Convex Classes for ℓ_1 Costs	211
		8.2.1 ϵ -IMAC Search for a Convex \mathcal{X}_f^+	212
		8.2.2 ϵ -IMAC Learning for a Convex \mathcal{X}_f^-	221
	8.3	Evasion for General ℓ_p Costs	225
		8.3.1 Convex Positive Set	225
		8.3.2 Convex Negative Set	231
	8.4	Summary	231
		8.4.1 Open Problems in Near-Optimal Evasion	232
		8.4.2 Alternative Evasion Criteria	234
		8.4.3 Real-World Evasion	236



		Con	tents ix
Part IV	Future Directions in	Adversarial Machine Learning	
9	Adversarial Machine Learning Challenges		
	9.1 Discussion a	nd Open Problems	245
	9.1.1 Unex	plored Components of the Adversarial Game	245
	9.1.2 Devel	lopment of Defensive Technologies	247
	9.2 Review of O	pen Problems	250
	9.3 Concluding l	Remarks	252
Part V	Appendixes		
	Appendix A: Backg	round for Learning and Hyper-Geometry	255
	Appendix B: Full P	roofs for Hypersphere Attacks	266
	Appendix C: Analys	sis of SpamBayes	276
	Appendix D: Full P	roofs for Near-Optimal Evasion	285
	Glossary		295
	References		307
	Index		322





Symbols

- $A(\cdot)$: The adversary's cost function on \mathcal{X} (see Section 8.1.1). See 203–209, 211, 212, 214, 216, 219–221, 228, 231, 234, 235
- \mathbb{D} : A set of data points (see also: dataset). See 23–26, 183
 - N: The number of data points in the training dataset used by a learning algorithm; i.e., $N \triangleq |\mathbb{D}^{\text{(train)}}|$. See 21, 23, 25–27, 36, 38–40, 46, 47, 50, 54, 56, 183, 184, 256
 - $\mathbb{D}^{(\text{train})}$: A dataset used by a training algorithm to construct or select a classifier (see also: dataset). See 21, 25, 26, 36, 39, 40, 48, 50, 107, 120, 128
 - $\mathbb{D}^{(\text{eval})}$: A dataset used to evaluate a classifier (see also: dataset). See 21, 22, 25, 27, 36, 39, 40, 46, 48, 50, 51, 128
- ≜: Symbol used to provide a definition. See 23, 24, 26, 57, 58, 60, 107, 108, 137, 139, 141, 142, 149, 153, 204, 206, 256–259, 265, 276, 278, 279, 281, 282
- ϵ -IMAC: The set of objects in \mathcal{X}_f^- within a cost of $1+\epsilon$ of the MAC, or any of the members of this set (see also: MAC (f,A)). See 204–206, 209–214, 216, 219–221, 225, 229, 231–235, 237, 251
- $f(\cdot)$: The classifier function or hypothesis learned by a training procedure $H^{(N)}$ from the dataset $\mathbb{D}^{(\text{train})}$ (see also: classifier). See 21, 24–27, 39, 40, 48–51, 54, 71, 74, 102, 120, 139, 174, 176–183, 189, 195, 196, 202–207, 209, 210, 212, 215, 217–220, 234–237, 251
- L_{ϵ} : The number of steps required by a binary search to achieve ϵ -optimality (see Section 8.1.3). See 205, 210–212, 214–218, 220, 221, 225, 228, 232
- MAC(f, A): The largest lower bound on the adversary's cost A over \mathcal{X}_f^- (see also: Equation 8.2). See 204, 206, 207, 210, 212–214, 216, 219, 221, 229, 230, 234, 235
- \mathfrak{N} : The set of natural numbers, $\{1,2,3,\ldots\}$. See 77–79, 81, 83, 88, 137, 256, 257, 276
- \mathfrak{N}_0 : The set of all whole numbers, $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. See 73, 77–79, 81, 82, 86, 256
- | ⋅ || : A non-negative function defined on a vector space that is positive homogeneous and obeys the triangle inequality (see also: norm). See 145, 147, 149, 152, 153, 203, 226, 227, 257



xii Symbols

- ℓ_p (p > 0): A norm on a multidimensional real-value space defined in Appendix A.1 by Equation (A.1) and denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$. See 11, 18, 200, 203, 204, 208, 210–214, 216–218, 220, 221, 223, 225–234, 244, 245, 260, 261, 264, 265
- $m_{\mathbb{C}}(\cdot)$: A function that defines a distance metric for a convex set \mathbb{C} relative to some central element $\mathbf{x}^{(c)}$ in the interior of \mathbb{C} (see also: Minkowski metric). See 210, 211
- $N^{(h)}$: The total number of ham messages in the training dataset. See 107, 108, 111, 277–280
- $n_j^{(h)}$: The number of occurences of the j^{th} token in training ham messages. See 107, 108, 111, 277–280
- $N^{(s)}$: The total number of spam messages in the training dataset. See 107, 108, 111, 119, 277–280
- $n_j^{(s)}$: The number of occurrences of the j^{th} token in training spam messages. See 107, 108, 111, 119, 277–280
- Q: The matrix of network flow data. See 137, 138, 152
- **R**: The routing matrix that describes the links used to route each OD flow. See 138, 142, 152
- \Re : The set of all real numbers. See 23–25, 27, 142, 256–259
 - \mathfrak{R}_{0+} : The set of all real numbers greater than or equal to zero. See 26, 203, 211, 256, 276
 - \Re_+ : The set of all real numbers greater than zero. See 27, 216, 256, 257
 - \Re^D : The *D*-dimensional real-valued space. See 24, 139, 142, 143, 147, 202, 216, 226, 257, 259
- x: A data point from the input space \mathcal{X} (see also data point). See 22–24, 138, 139, 141, 145, 147, 148, 200, 203–206, 208–211, 213, 223, 224, 226, 255
 - x⁴: A (malicious) data point that the adversary would like to sneak past the detector. See 70, 203–206, 209–215, 217, 218, 221–223, 225, 226, 231, 233–235, 261, 264, 265
- \mathcal{X} : The input space of the data (see also: input space). See 22–25, 49, 202, 203, 206, 208, 210, 211, 224, 233, 235, 236, 259, 260
 - D: The dimensionality of the input space \mathcal{X} . See 22, 23, 202–205, 212–218, 220, 223–232, 235, 237, 259
 - \mathcal{X}_f^- : The negative class for the deterministic classifier f (see also: negative class). See 203–206, 208, 210–213, 219, 221–224, 226, 231, 233, 235, 236
 - \mathcal{X}_f^+ : The positive class for the deterministic classifier f (see also: positive class). See 203, 205, 210–214, 216, 218, 233, 234
- y: A label from the response space \mathcal{Y} (see also: label). See 23, 26, 27, 107
- \mathcal{Y} : The response space of the data (see also response space). See 23–27, 59, 203
- 3: The set of all integers. See 23, 256, 258



Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the contributions and assistance of our colleagues in making this book possible, who include but are not limited to Sadia Afroz, Scott Alfeld, Tansu Alpcan, Rekha Bachwani, Marco Barreno, Adam Barth, Peter Bartlett, Battista Biggio, Chris Cai, Fuching Jack Chi, David Fifield, Laurent El Ghaoui, Barbara Goto, Rachel Greenstadt, Yi Han, Ling Huang, Michael Jordan, Alex Kantchelian, Hideaki Kawabata, Marius Kloft, Pavel Laskov, Shing-hon Lau, Chris Leckie, Steven Lee, Justin Ma, Steve Martin, Brad Miller, Satish Rao, Fabio Roli, Udam Saini, Tobias Scheffer, Russell Sears, Anil Sewani, Arunesh Sinha, Dawn Song, Nedim Šrndić, Charles Sutton, Nina Taft, Anthony Tran, Michael Tschantz, Kai Xai, Takumi Yamamoto, and Qi Zhong. We additionally thank Matthias Bussas and Marius Kloft for their careful proofreading of Chapter 4 and the staff at Cambridge University Press including Heather Brolly and Julie Lancashire for their help in preparing this manuscript. We would also like to thank the many colleagues with whom we have had fruitful discussions at the Dagstuhl Perspectives Workshop on Machine Learning Methods for Computer Security (Joseph, Laskov, Roli, Tygar, & Nelson 2013) and at the ACM Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Security (AISec) and other workshops and conferences.

The authors are currently at the University of California, Berkeley, the University of Melbourne, and Google. We thank these institutions. While we were writing this book, some of the authors were at Universität Tübingen, Universität Potsdam, Università di Cagliari, IBM Research, and Microsoft Research, and we also thank those institutions. We offer special thanks to our support staff, including Angie Abbatecola, Kattt Atchley, Carlyn Chinen, Barbara Goto, Damon Hinson, Michaela Iglesia, Shane Knapp, Jey Kottalam, Jon Kuroda, Lena Lau-Stewart, Christian Legg, and Boban Zarkovich.

We are grateful for the financial sponsors of this research. We received U.S. government funding from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Homeland Security Advanced Research Projects Agency, National Science Foundation, and State Department DRL, and in some cases through UC Berkeley laboratories (DETERlab and TRUST). Some authors received additional support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, the Australian Research Council (DE160100584), the Center for Long-Term Cybersecurity, the Future of Life Institute, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and the Open Technology Fund. The opinions expressed in this book are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of any funder.

The authors could not have written this book without the support, encouragement, and patience of their friends and families.

