

Flattery and the History of Political Thought

Flattery is an often overlooked political phenomenon, even though it has interested thinkers from classical Athens to eighteenth-century America. Drawing a distinction between moralistic and strategic flattery, this book offers new interpretations of a range of texts from the history of political thought. Discussing Cicero, Pliny, Castiglione, Machiavelli, Hobbes, Mandeville, Smith, and the Federalist/Anti-Federalist debates, the book engages and enriches contemporary political theory debates about rhetoric, republicanism, and democratic theory, among other topics. Flattery and the History of Political Thought shows both the historical importance and continued relevance of flattery for political theory. Additionally, the study is interdisciplinary in both subject and approach, engaging classics, literature, rhetoric, and history scholarship; it aims to bring a range of disciplines into conversation with each other as it explores a neglected—and yet important—topic.

Daniel J. Kapust is Professor of Political Science at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. He has published in the American Political Science Review, Journal of Politics, European Journal of Political Theory, Political Theory, History of Political Thought, Journal of the History of Ideas, and Political Studies. His first book, Republicanism, Rhetoric, and Roman Political Thought: Sallust, Livy, and Tacitus, was published by Cambridge in 2011.



Flattery and the History of Political Thought

That Glib and Oily Art

DANIEL J. KAPUST

University of Wisconsin-Madison





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107043367
DOI: 10.1017/9781107338258

© Daniel Kapust 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-107-04336-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Acknowledgments		page vii
	Introduction	I
Ι	"Suffer No Man to Be King": Friendship, Liberty, and Status in Roman Political Thought	30
2	Without "Superfluous Ornament": Castiglione, Machiavelli, and the Performance of Counsel	64
3	"The Monarch's Plague": The Problem of Flattery and Hobbes' Contingently Unitary Sovereign	96
4	"The Bewitching Engine": Mandeville and Smith on Flattery. Praise, and the Origins of Language	132
5	"Flattering to Young Ambitious Minds": Representing America in the Ratification Debates	170
	Conclusion	199
Works Cited		211
Index		225



Acknowledgments

This book was quite a while in the making, longer than I thought it would be. This has to do in no small part to the birth of my son in the fall of 2012 – not long after I had been awarded an advance contract for this book, in fact. My wife and I had no idea what we were getting into: how much time could a baby take, after all? Like all other new parents, we were radically unprepared, because whatever you read or hear is sure not to apply to your child (there are no normal sleepers). More than four and a half years later, the book is done – and it would not be done were it not for the loving support of my wife, Eunsook Jung, to whom I dedicated my first book with these words from Catullus 51: Nam simul te aspexi, nihil est super mi. These words are no less true today than they were then.

I've got many people to thank for their help over the years, first and foremost my editor at Cambridge University Press, Robert Dreesen, who has been both patient and kind in working with me, putting up with far more delays than he needed to. I'd also like to thank the two anonymous readers who provided valuable criticism on the project, criticism that made the book much stronger. Many people read part of the manuscript at one point or another, or provided me feedback in discussions, and I hope that I have remembered to acknowledge all of them: Al Martinich, Alexander Jech, Colin Bird, Cary Nederman, Liz Markovits, John McCormick, John Zumbrunnen, David Crawford, Hillary Haldane, Jocelyn Boryczka, Chris Brooke, Stephen Davies, Barry Burden, Arlene Saxonhouse, Rick Avramenko, Thornton Lockwood, Jimmy Klausen, Helen Kinsella, Rebecca Lemoine, Michael Kochin, Teresa Bejan, Ben Fontana, Turku Isiskel, Andreas Avgousti, Richard Boyd, Charles Butterworth, Jeffrey Lenowitz, Jonathan Zarecki, David Williams, Grant



viii

Acknowledgments

Nelsestuen, Ted Miller, Rogers Smith, Nora Hanagan, Helene Landemore, Andrea Radasanu, Adam Seagrave, Joy Connolly, Dean Hammer, Chris Kraus, Michele Lowrie, Susan Bickford, Jeff Spinner-Halev, Joshua Miller, Michael Linesch, Stephen Leonard, Michelle Clarke, Eric Adler, John Scott, Mark Yellin, Murad Idris, Alex Dressler, Kristin Phillips-Court, Katie Robiadek, Lauren Surovi, Rommel Jimenez, Jane Calvert, Loubna El Amine, Michelle Schwarze, Ryan Hanley, John Lombardini, Nicholas Tampio, Matt Landauer, Jordan Rosenblum, Ryan Owens, and Johann Sommerville. Special thanks are due, as always, to Brandon Turner, with whom I am always frank in my criticism of his work, and who pulls no punches with me. Michael Promisel provided invaluable assistance to me as I prepared the manuscript for production, while Howard Schweber clarified connections and relationships between the chapters that I would otherwise not have seen. And I should always mention the many wonderful teachers I've had over the years who helped me along the way, especially Bernie Yack, Charles Butterworth, and Booth Fowler.

The core of Chapter 3 was published in the Journal of Politics in 2011, while Chapters 2 and 3 draw on a coauthored article published in the American Political Science Review in 2016. I've presented portions of this book at the UW Madison Political Theory Workshop, the UNC Chapel Hill Political Theory Workshop, the Columbia Political Theory Workshop, the UC-Davis Political Theory Workshop, Fordham University's Political Science Department, Northern Illinois University's Department of Political Science, the Midwest Political Science Association, the Rhetoric Society of America, and the American Political Science Association, and am grateful for feedback I've received at all of these events. Chapters 2 and 3 were presented at an Institute for Humane Studies research workshop, kindly organized by Nigel Ashford and Steven Davies, where I benefited from comments by Alexander Jech, Charles Butterworth, Colin Bird, Al Martinich, and Cary Nederman. Whatever good qualities it has are largely a result of the kindness of friends (too numerous to mention) and colleagues; its bad qualities are entirely my doing.

I dedicated my first book to my wife, and dedicate this one to her as well. She's been listening to me talk about flattery for longer than someone with less loving patience would, but she's always been happy to listen. I now dedicate it to my son, too: in his first four years he has made a point of practicing frank speech with me and teaching me much more than



Acknowledgments

ix

I have taught him. And I also dedicate it to the memory of my dear teacher, mentor, and friend, Patrick Riley, who passed away suddenly while I was entering the final stages of this project. Taken from us too soon, he was a giant in his field who never acted as such, and who modeled to me and many others (in a way that one wishes to emulate) how to speak with love and kindness to those with less power and professional status.