

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

Cases listed in the index are ECtHR cases unless a parenthetical indicates otherwise.

- A v. UK*, 224
- abortion, procedural safeguards for
access to, 150, 151
- absolute rights. *See* one-stage rights
- abuse of rights clause, 10, 183
- anti-democratic or totalitarian
groups' use of freedoms, 183–4,
186, 187, 204
- broad categories generally covered
by, 204
- in case law, 190, 191–203
- categorical versus balancing
approach and, 184–5, 189, 192,
204
- character, meaning, and audience,
188–90
- direct application of, 192–8, 207
- failure to apply, 202–3
- historical origins of, 186–7
- indirect application of, 198–202, 207
- paradox of protecting rights by
removing them, 188, 192, 203–4
- proportionality test and, 189, 204,
205, 207, 208
- pros and cons of using, 203
- relationship to other Convention
provisions, 190–1
- as special limitation clause, 188
- victims, respect for feelings of, 206
- administrative acts, right to judicial
review of, 302, 313
- adoption of children by homosexuals,
judicial review as diagnostic test
in, 52, 53–4, 60–3
- adversarial procedure, right to, 308
- agent-relative and state-relative
approaches to obligation to
protect in mixed cases, 288–9
- AIDS, expulsion of persons with, 288,
289
- Airey v. Ireland*, 112
- Alexy, Robert, 8, 43, 69, 122, 163–4,
166, 168
- Alkema, Evert, 69
- ambit doctrine and
non-discrimination principle,
331, 334–5, 336–7, 338–44, 345,
347–8
- Angonese case* (CJEU), 243
- anti-democratic movements and abuse
of rights clause, 183–4, 186, 187,
204
- anti-Semitism and abuse of rights
clause, 194–7, 204
- anti-utilitarian character of rights, 49
- Appleby v. UK*, 77–9, 80, 174
- Areopagitica* (Milton), 213
- Arnardóttir, Oddný Mjöll, 13, 330
- arrest operations, procedural
safeguards involved in, 153
- assembly, freedom of
anti-democratic or totalitarian
groups' use of, 183–4
- investigation, procedural right to,
143
- asylum decisions
assessment of information and
expertise, 153

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

351

- effectiveness of procedures, 149
- expulsion, extradition, and
 - deportation of asylum seekers, 286–9
- positive obligations regarding, 180–1, 289–93
- transfer of asylum seeker to another state, 67
- Audiolux* case (CJEU), 243, 244
- Austria, application of abuse of rights
 - clause in cases from, 199
- autonomous concepts doctrine, 322–5
- autonomous nature of Article 14 and non-discrimination principle, 334–5, 336
- Azerbaijan, application of abuse of rights clause in cases from, 206
- Bah* case, 340
- balancing tests
 - categorical versus balancing approach, 184–5, 189, 192, 204
 - de minimis* approach to positive obligations and, 179–80
 - defamation of person with bad reputation (Smith example), 52, 54, 55–60
 - fair balance test for positive obligations, 165
 - freedom of expression and, 44
 - justifiable infringement of right to privacy to prevent terrorist act (Mary example), 53, 55
 - privacy and freedom of speech, 225–8
 - torture prohibition, purportedly absolute character of, 274–5, 278–85
 - wide *versus* narrow interpretation and, 77–81
- Barak, Aharon, 73
- Bates, E., 19, 20, 317
- Battjes, Hemme, 274–5
- Bayatyan v. Armenia*, 33–5, 36, 37
- Belgian Linguistics* case, 95, 337, 346, 348
- Belgium, on drafting of ECHR, 19
- Berlusconi* case (CJEU), 237
- bifurcated approach
 - defined, 8
 - to economic and social guarantees, 115, 120–5, 134
- importance of focusing on both stages of adjudicative analysis, 65
- one-stage rights versus, 66–8
- positive obligations and, 163–4, 174, 181
- relationship between definition of right and assessment of justification of right, 1–3
- scope and limit, distinguishing, 66–8
- tendency of Court to mix definition of scope with review of justification, 119, 123
- wide interpretation and, 8
- Bilchitz, D., 128
- Bill of Rights for Europe, ECHR viewed as, 20, 186, 317–19, 328
- Bingham, Sir Thomas (later Lord Bingham), 212–13, 214, 215, 216, 217, 219, 222, 223, 224, 230
- bisexuals. *See* lesbian, bisexual, gay, and transgender (LGBT) communities
- Bjorge, Eirik, 11, 211
- Bossuyt, Marc, 67
- Botha, Henk, 71
- Botta* case, 132, 341
- Bratza, Sir Nicholas, 214, 224, 228
- Brems, Eva, 1, 9–10, 137
- Brown v. Stott* (UK), 216–17
- Bruggemann and Scheuten v. Germany*, 325
- Burbergs, Maris, 13, 315
- Buyse, Antoine, 10, 183
- Bykov v. Russia*, 22–3
- Callewaert, J., 250
- Canadian courts, ECtHR citation of, 230
- Cannie, H., 190, 195, 207
- capital punishment, 29–32
- Carpenter* case (CJEU), 252

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

352

INDEX

- case law of ECtHR, 5, 9–10. *See also* abuse of rights clause; economic and social guarantees; positive obligations; procedural safeguards embedded in substantive ECHR rights; *specific cases*
- case-load issues. *See* institutional concerns and scope of rights
- categorical versus balancing approach, 184–5, 189, 192, 204
- CERD (Convention on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination), 190
- CESCR (Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights), 127–33, 135
- Chahal v. UK*, 274
- Chakroun case* (CJEU), 252
- Chapman v. UK*, 225, 262
- children
- access and custody cases, 150, 151
 - adoption by homosexuals; judicial review as diagnostic test in, 52, 53–4, 60–3
 - corporal punishment of, 289–93
 - rights of, 264
- Christine Goodwin v. UK*, 237, 319
- Christoffersen, J., 158, 175
- civil servants' right to fair trial in employment cases, 74–5
- CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union
- classical first-generation versus second-generation rights, 110–13
- Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters, Venice Commission, 145
- Colas Est case*, 256–7
- Commission v. France* (CJEU), 243
- Committee of Wise Persons (2006), 101
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR), 127–33, 135
- communism and abuse of rights clause, 186, 187, 192, 203
- comparison of different systems, 5–6, 11–12. *See also* EU Charter of Fundamental Rights; national courts and human rights; UN and Council of Europe, coherence of scopes of human rights between conceptual, structural, and constitutional issues, 4–5, 7–9. *See also* bifurcated approach; diagnostic versus constitutive questions about rights; historical development of scope of ECHR; institutional concerns and scope of rights; wide interpretation of rights
- Congress of Europe (1948), 17
- conscientious objection to military service, 33–5
- consensus
- European consensus doctrine, 35, 88, 98, 329
 - minimum core obligations, consensus approach to, 132
- constitutional, conceptual, and structural issues, 4–5, 7–9. *See also* bifurcated approach; diagnostic versus constitutive questions about rights; historical development of scope of ECHR; institutional concerns and scope of rights; wide interpretation of rights
- constitutional justice, focus of ECtHR on administering, 81, 101
- constitutive questions about rights. *See* diagnostic versus constitutive questions about rights
- Convention on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD), 190
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), 177
- Cooper v. UK*, 216
- core versus peripheral fundamental rights cases, 87–9
- correspondence, respect for. *See* respect for private and family life, home, and correspondence
- cost-benefit analysis, rights not subject to, 49, 56
- Countryside Alliance/Friend cases* (UK and ECtHR), 223, 228

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

353

- court
 - procedural safeguards for, 155–6
 - right of access to, 301–4, 313
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU). *See also specific cases*
 - general principles of law recognised by, 235
 - harmonious co-existence with ECtHR, 233
 - homogeneity clause in EUCFR and, 247–57
 - personal scope of EUCFR and case law of, 240–5
- courts martial, 215–16
- CRPD (Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities), 177
- Davis, R v.* (UK), 220, 221, 222, 224
- De Becker* case, 189
- de minimis* approach to positive obligations, 179–80
- de Smijter, E., 245
- death penalty, 29–32
- deaths, problematic
 - investigation of, procedural right to, 141–2
 - participation of interested persons in procedure, 151
 - positive obligations regarding, 172–3
 - remedy, procedural right to, 144
- DEB* case (CJEU), 251
- Deés v. Hungary*, 170
- defamation, success of judicial review as diagnostic test in (Smith example), 52, 53, 54, 55
- definition of right and assessment of justification of interference with right, relationship between, 1–3. *See also* bifurcated approach
- definitive versus *prima facie* positive obligations, 173
- Defrenne* cases (CJEU), 243
- degrading or inhuman treatment. *See* torture and inhuman or degrading treatment
- Demir and Baykara v. Turkey*, 32–3, 34, 35, 36
- democracy
 - connection between protection of rights in ECHR and, 186, 187
 - ‘necessary in a democratic society’ test for positive obligations, 171–2
- Denmark
 - abuse of rights clause applied to cases from, 194
 - on drafting of ECHR, 19
- deportation, expulsion, and extradition, 286–9
- Di Lazzaro v. Italy*, 60, 61
- diagnostic versus constitutive
 - questions about rights, 7–8, 38–64
 - account of constitutive elements of fundamental rights, 47–51
 - application of ECtHR’s judicial review method to hypothetical cases, 54–63
- defamation of person with bad reputation (Smith example), 52, 54, 55–60
- defined, 38–9
- failure to distinguish, 38–43
- hypothetical cases for examining, 52–4
- indirectly diagnostic questions, 39–41, 42
- judicial review of ECtHR conflating, 42–7
- justifiable infringement of right to privacy to prevent terrorist act (Mary example), 52, 53, 54, 55
- pro tanto* versus *prima facie* rights, 7–8, 47–51, 52, 55–60, 61, 62, 63
- unjustifiable discrimination against lesbian seeking to adopt (Betty example), 52, 53–4, 60–3
- disability. *See also* non-discrimination principle
 - CRPD (Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities), 177
 - reasonable accommodation of, as positive obligation, 175–7
- disappearance of individuals
 - ‘inhuman’ procedural shortcomings regarding, 156

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

354

INDEX

- disappearance of individuals (*cont.*)
 - investigation, procedural right to, 143
- disclosure of evidence, 308
- discrimination, prohibition of. *See* non-discrimination principle
- disrespect, rights-infringements as
 - attitude of, 51
- divorce proceedings, unreasonable
 - length of, 151
- DNA profiling and testing, 25–6, 310
- Dorđević v. Croatia*, 292
- Dudgeon v. UK*, 95, 327
- EB v. France*, 60, 62, 337, 339, 347
- easy rescue principle, 51
- ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights), scope of rights in. *See* scope of fundamental rights in ECHR
- economic and social guarantees, 9, 109–36
 - bifurcated approach to, 115, 120–5, 134
 - classical first-generation versus second-generation rights, 110–13
 - defined, 110
 - definition of scope of Convention rights, lack of clarity regarding, 113–15, 116–20, 134
 - ICESCR and, 9, 111, 125–30, 132, 135
 - minimum core obligations approach to, 125–34, 135–6
 - mixing of definition of scope with review of justification, 119, 123
 - positive obligations and, 119, 123, 124, 130, 134
 - progressive realisation, concept of, 126–8
 - resource allocation, relationship to, 112, 113
- ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights
- elections
 - investigation of irregularities, procedural right to, 144
 - remedies, procedural right to, 145
- Venice Commission, Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters, 145
- Engel and others v. The Netherlands*, 299–300, 322
- environmental cases
 - positive obligations regarding, 177–8
 - procedural safeguards related to planning decisions involving, 153
- ESC (European Social Charter), 32, 111
- EU Charter of Fundamental Rights (EUCFR), 11, 232–58
- ECHR as main source for, 235
- ECtHR case references to, 236
- homogeneity clause, 233, 245–57
- horizontal application of, 243–5
- material scope of, 232, 233–8
- ‘more extensive protection’
 - provision, 250–2, 254–7
- national measures implementing,
 - applying or derogating EU law, 241
- personal scope of, 232, 238–45
- on private and family rights, 255–7, 262
- procedural safeguards in, 138
- trade union rights and, 32
- European Bill of Rights, ECHR viewed as, 20, 186, 317–19, 328
- European consensus doctrine, 35, 88, 98, 329
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), scope of rights in. *See* scope of fundamental rights in ECHR
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
 - case law of, 5, 9–10. *See also* abuse of rights clause; economic and social guarantees; positive obligations; procedural safeguards embedded in substantive ECHR rights; *specific cases*
 - case-load issues. *See* institutional concerns and scope of rights
 - case references to EUCFR, 236
 - competence to rule on merits of case, scope questions crucial to, 3

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

355

- constitutional justice, focus on
 - administering, 81, 101
 - harmonious co-existence with CJEU, 233
- homogeneity clause in EUCFR and, 247–57
- judicial review of. *See under*
 - diagnostic versus constitutive questions about rights
 - national courts' influence on, 211–14
 - torture prohibition, balancing of interests in case law of, 274–5, 278–85
- European Social Charter (ESC), 32, 111
- European Union (EU). *See also* Court of Justice of the European Union
 - scope of EUCFR covering national measures implementing, applying or derogating law of, 241
 - Television Without Frontiers Directive, 253–4
- evaluative procedures, 146
- evidence
 - duty of prosecution to disclose, 308
 - unlawfully obtained, 309
- Evra, Patrice, 51
- expression, freedom of. *See* freedom of expression
- expulsion, extradition and deportation, 286–9
- extraordinary rendition, 148
- fact-dependence of rights, 50
- Faigman, David L., 73, 122
- fair balance test for positive obligations, 165, 175
- fair procedure requirements, 148, 154–5
- fair trial, right to, 13, 294
 - administrative acts, right to judicial review of, 302, 313
 - adversarial procedure, right to, 308
 - civil applicability, 295–9
 - civil servants' employment cases included in wide interpretation of, 74–5
 - court/judicial determination, right of access to, 301–4, 313
 - courts martial, 215–16
 - criminal applicability, 299–301
 - divorce proceedings, unreasonable length of, 151
 - general fairness of proceedings, 307, 313
 - implied guarantees, 308–11
 - independence/impartiality of procedures, 304–5
 - lawyer, right of access to, 99–101
 - logic behind various interpretations of, 313–14
 - oral hearing requirement, 305–7
 - participation, effective, 308
 - procedural and structural safeguards, 158, 304–7, 313
 - prosecution's duty to disclose evidence, 308
 - public hearing and public judgement, right to, 305–7
 - reason for decision, requirement to give, 152, 310–11
 - relationship between general right to fair trial and specific aspects of that right, 311–14
 - rule of law and, 314
 - scope of application, 294–301
 - self-incrimination, privilege against, 310
 - silence, right to maintain, 216–17, 310
 - unlawfully obtained evidence, 309
 - wide interpretation, tendency towards, 313, 314
 - witness statements, convictions largely based on ('sole or decisive rule'), 217–22, 312, 313
- Fairgrieve, D., 215
- Familiapress* case (CJEU), 239
- family life. *See* respect for private and family life, home, and correspondence
- fascism and abuse of rights clause, 183–4, 186, 187, 197, 202, 205
- Fatullayev* case, 206
- Ferlini* case (CJEU), 243

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

356

INDEX

- Ferrazzini v. Italy*, 296, 298
Findlay v. UK, 215
 firearms, arrest operations involving, 153
 first-generation or classical versus second-generation rights, 110–13
 Fitzmaurice, Sir Gerald, 301, 318
 force-feeding. *See* torture and inhuman or degrading treatment
 forced labour, procedural right to investigation of, 143
 fox hunting, 223
 France
 abuse of rights clause applied to cases from, 190, 194–6, 199
 on drafting of ECHR, 19
 Loi relative à la rétention de sûreté et à la déclaration d'irresponsabilité pour cause de trouble mentale, 229
 reception of ECHR fair trial rights in, 215
 freedom, normative inertness of, 48
 freedom of assembly
 anti-democratic or totalitarian groups' use of, 183–4
 investigation, procedural right to, 143
 freedom of expression. *See also* abuse of rights clause
 anti-democratic or totalitarian groups' use of, 183–4
 balancing test and, 44
 investigation, procedural right to, 143
 judicial review as diagnostic test and (Smith example), 52, 54, 55–60
 press freedom as core element of, 88
 privacy and, 225–8
 wide versus narrow interpretation of, 77–9
Fretté v. France, 60, 61–2, 237
 Frowein, J.A., 188
 fundamental rights adjudication. *See* scope of fundamental rights in ECHR

Gagausuz v. Austria, 343
Garaudy case, 194–6
 Garcia, Alonso, 238
 Gardner, John, 48
 gay people. *See* lesbian, bisexual, gay, and transgender (LGBT) communities
 Genevois, Bruno, 222, 227
 Gerards, Janneke, 1, 8–9, 42, 77, 84, 123, 163, 164
German Communist Party case, 192
 Germany
 application of abuse of rights clause in cases from, 192, 195, 198, 200–1
 privacy and freedom of speech, balancing, 225–8
 Roj TV broadcasts into, 253–4
 Gill-Pedro, Eduardo, 11, 232
Gillow case, 322
Glimmerveen and Hagenbeek case, 193, 194–7
 Global Positioning System (GPS)
 tracking devices, 23–5
 Goebbels, Joseph, 183
Golder v. UK, 69, 75, 301–2
 GPS (Global Positioning System)
 tracking devices, 23–5
Graziani-Weiss case, 336
 Greece
 on drafting of ECHR, 19
 length of time to hear rights cases in, 91
Greek case, 319
 Greer, S., 19
 Groussot, Xavier, 11, 232
 Guyomar, M., 215

Haas case, 341
 Haeck, Y., 189
Handyside v. UK, 69, 82
 Harris, D.J., 188
Hatton v. UK, 57, 62, 236
Hirst v. UK, 230
 historical development of scope of ECHR, 7, 17–37
 abuse of rights clause, 186–7
 competing attitudes regarding ambit of rights and ultimate objectives of ECHR, 19–20

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

357

- Congress of Europe (1948),
 declaration of, 17
 drafting of ECHR, 17–19
 European Bill of Rights, ECHR
 viewed as, 20, 186, 317–19, 328
 human rights standards, evolution
 of, 29–35
 jurisprudential tools for expanding
 application of Convention, 35–6
 respect for private and family life,
 home, and correspondence,
 316–25
 social relationships, evolution of,
 26–9, 315, 325–8
 technological advances and, 20–6,
 315
 totalitarianism, ECHR as bulwark
 against, 317–19, 328
 UK influences in shaping of ECHR
 rights, 215–23
Hizb Ut-Tahrir case, 197
 Hogg, P.W., 71
 Holocaust denial and abuse of rights
 clause, 194–6, 197, 198–201, 204,
 205
 home, respect for. *See* respect for
 private and family life, home, and
 correspondence
 homogeneity clause, EUCFR, 233,
 245–57
 homosexuals. *See* lesbian, bisexual, gay,
 and transgender (LGBT)
 communities
 honour and reputation, attacks on,
 320–1
Hopu and Bessert v. France (UN
 Human Rights Committee), 261
 horizontal application of EUCFR,
 243–5
Horncastle, R v./Horncastle v. UK
 (UK and ECtHR), 217–22, 224,
 228
 human rights in ECHR, scope of. *See*
 scope of fundamental rights in
 ECHR
 human trafficking, procedural right to
 investigation of, 143
 Hunting Act 2004 (UK), 223
IA v. Turkey, 57
 ICCPR (International Covenant on
 Civil and Political Rights), 261–4,
 332
 ICESCR (International Covenant on
 Economic, Social, and Cultural
 Rights), 9, 111, 125–30, 132, 135
 ILO (International Labour
 Organization), 32
 independence/impartiality of
 procedures, 149, 304–5
 ‘inflation’ of rights. *See also* historical
 development of scope of ECHR;
 institutional concerns and scope
 of rights; respect for private and
 family life, home, and
 correspondence; wide
 interpretation of rights
 classical first-generation versus
 second-generation rights, 110–13
 dangers and costs of, 44
 jurisprudential tools for expanding
 application of Convention, 35–6
 non-discrimination principle and,
 344–7, 348
 positive obligations, fears regarding,
 173, 179
 information, access to, as procedural
 right, 147
 inhuman or degrading treatment. *See*
 torture and inhuman or
 degrading treatment
 institutional concerns and scope of
 rights, 8–9, 84–105
 assumed relationship between case
 load and scope of rights, 86–7
 clarification of scope of rights,
 99–102
 connection between, 86
 constitutional justice, focus on, 101
 core versus peripheral fundamental
 rights cases, 87–9
 fundamental principles of
 Convention, consideration of,
 98–9
 lack of quantitative connection
 between widening of scope and
 case load, 89–93

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

358

INDEX

- institutional concerns and scope of rights (*cont.*)
 - minimalist ('narrow' or 'shallow') judgment, value of, 95, 100
 - national failures to protect fundamental rights, bulk of case load stemming from, 92, 97
 - national policy decisions, ECtHR involvement in, 85, 95
 - qualitative connection between widening of scope and case load, 93–7
 - solutions to problem of, 97–104
 - thresholds for Convention applicability, setting, 102–4
 - wide interpretation and fears of case deluge, 76–82
 - widening of scope, fears regarding, 84–105
- interdependence approach based on concept of universal human rights, 259, 260–5
- interests of rights-holder, infringement of rights not dependent on setting back, 51, 54
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 261–4, 332
- International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), 9, 111, 125–30, 132, 135
- International Labour Organization (ILO), 32
- investigations
 - motivation of decisions in, 152
 - participation of interested persons in procedure, 151
 - procedural effectiveness of, 148
 - procedural right to, 141–4
 - public character of, 154
 - real provisions and procedural provisions in substantive rights, relationship between, 156–8
- Iordachi and others v. Moldova*, 21, 24
- Ireland, on drafting of ECHR, 19
- Islamophobia and abuse of rights clause, 188, 196, 197, 202, 204
- Italy
 - on drafting of ECHR, 19
 - length of time to hear rights cases in, 91, 92
- Ivanov* case, 196
- JB et al. v. Canada* (UN Human Rights Committee), 260
- James and Others v. UK*, 95
- Jasiuniene* case, 340
- Jersild* case, 194
- Jews and Judaism
 - anti-Semitism and abuse of rights clause, 194–7, 204
 - Holocaust denial and abuse of rights clause, 194–6, 197, 198–201, 204, 205
 - Nazis and abuse of rights clause, 183–4, 186, 187, 194–6, 197, 198–201, 202, 204, 205
 - non-discrimination prohibition and, 333
- judicial determination, right of access to, 301–4, 313
- jurisprudential tools for expanding application of ECHR, 35–6
- justification of interference with right, assessment of, and definition of right, relationship between, 1–3. *See also* bifurcated approach
- Kaptan* case, 199
- Karner* case (CJEU), 254
- Kennedy v. UK*, 22
- Khan v. UK*, 22
- Al-Khawaja & Tahery v. UK*, 100, 217–22, 228, 312, 313
- Kjartan Ásmundsson* case, 343
- Kjeldsen, Busk Madsen and Pedersen* case, 335
- Klass and others v. Germany*, 21, 22
- knowledge condition for positive obligations, 169–70
- Koua Poirrez* case, 343
- Küçükdeveci* case (CJEU), 242, 244
- Künstler* case, 237
- labour union rights, 32–3

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

359

- Lautsi v. Italy*, 96
Laval case (CJEU), 243
 Lavrysen, Laurens, 10, 36, 78, 80, 162
Lawless v. Ireland, 189, 191
 lawyer, right of access to, 99–101
 legal capacity, deprivation of, 153
 legality and legitimacy tests for positive obligations, 170–1, 180–1
 legitimate objectives for solitary confinement and force-feeding, 279–81
Lehideux and Isorni case, 195, 199, 206
 Leijten, Ingrid, 9, 109
 Lemmens, Paul, 13, 294
 Lenaerts, K., 245
 lesbian, bisexual, gay, and transgender (LGBT) communities. *See also* non-discrimination principle
 adoption of children by
 homosexuals, judicial review as
 diagnostic test in, 52, 53–4, 60–3
 historical evolution of social relationships and rights standards, 26–9, 325–8
 transsexuals, 27, 224, 319
 Letsas, George, 7–8, 38–64, 250, 319
 LGBT. *See* lesbian, bisexual, gay, and transgender (LGBT) communities
 liberty, normative inertness of, 48
 life sentence, irreducible, 147
 limitations, rights with. *See* bifurcated approach
Lindheim case, 228
 Lisbon Treaty, 232, 241, 242, 249, 257
 living instrument doctrine, 35, 319–20
Loi relative à la rétention de sûreté et à la déclaration d'irresponsabilité pour cause de trouble mentale, France, 229
Lucà v. Italy, 218

M v. Germany, 229
Maaouia v. France, 298
Mangold case (CJEU), 243, 244
Marckx v. Belgium, 10, 26, 27, 79, 162, 318, 319, 326, 335
 margin of appreciation
 abuse of rights clause and, 199
 case-load concerns and, 79, 80, 82
 in economic and social guarantees, 118
 in name change procedures, 152
 narrow versus wide interpretation and, 82, 83
 non-discrimination principle and, 344–7, 348
 Parliament, deference due to, 223
 positive obligations and, 175
 procedural safeguards embedded in substantive ECHR rights and, 160
Martinie v. France, 238
 Marx, Karl, 70
 Mavronicola, Natasa, 283–4
 Maxwell-Fyfe, Sir David, 18, 215
 McNair, Sir Arnold, 212, 230
 mental institutions. *See* psychiatric institutions
 military service, conscientious objection to, 33–5
 Milton, John, *Areopagitica*, 213
 minimalist ('narrow' or 'shallow') judgment, as ECtHR approach, 95, 100
 minimum core obligations approach to economic and social guarantees, 125–34, 135–6
 minimum level of severity threshold, 90, 94, 102, 177–8, 180–1, 276–8, 284–5
 Moldova, structural problems in protecting human rights in, 92
Mólka v. Poland, 118
 monitoring procedures, 146
 'more extensive protection' provision, EUCFR, 250–2, 254–7
Morris v. UK, 216
Mosley v. UK, 79, 80
 motivation of decisions, 152, 310–11
 Mowbray, Alastair, 7, 17
MSS v. Belgium and Greece, 180–1, 233

N v. UK, 287
 name change procedures, wide margin of appreciation in, 152

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

360

INDEX

- narrow interpretation of rights
 - limitation exercise disguised as
 - interpretation exercise in, 71–3
 - one-stage rights, appropriateness for, 68, 76
- ‘narrow’ or ‘shallow’ judgment, as
 - ECtHR approach, 95, 100
- national courts and human rights, 11, 211–31
 - bulk of ECtHR case load stemming from national failures to protect fundamental rights, 92, 97
 - courts martial, 215–16
 - disagreement between national courts or judges, cases involving, 224–9
 - EU law, scope of EUCFR covering national measures implementing, applying, or derogating, 241
 - influence of national courts on ECtHR, 211–14, 229–31
 - legal value of ECHR and ECtHR case law in different countries, 239
 - Parliament, deference due to, 223
 - privacy and freedom of expression, balancing, 227
 - procedural safeguards, 139
 - shaping of ECHR rights, UK influences on, 215–23
 - silence, right to maintain, 216–17
 - witness statements, convictions largely based on, 217–22
- national policy decisions, ECtHR involvement in, 85, 95, 113
- National Union of Belgian Police* case, 334, 336
- Nazis and abuse of rights clause, 183–4, 186, 187, 194–6, 197, 198–201, 202, 204, 205
- ne/non bis in idem*, 238, 239, 252
- ‘necessary in a democratic society’ test for positive obligations, 171–2
- Netherlands
 - abuse of rights clause applied to cases from, 193
 - on drafting of ECHR, 19
 - national policy decisions, criticism of ECtHR involvement in, 85
- Neulinger and Shuruk v. Switzerland*, 237
- Niemietz v. Germany*, 70, 326
- non-discrimination principle, 13–14, 330–49
 - ambit doctrine and, 331, 334–5, 336–7, 338–44, 345, 347–8
 - autonomous nature of Article 14, 334–5, 336
 - core versus peripheral rights cases and, 89
 - inflation of rights, fears of, 344–7, 348
 - investigation, procedural right to, 144
 - judicial review as diagnostic test, success of (Betty example), 52, 53–4, 60–3
 - magnifying effect of Article 14, 335–8
 - margin of appreciation and, 344–7, 348
 - material scope of protection offered by Article 14 and Protocol 12, 330
 - ratification of Protocol 12, 344
 - as reason-blocking feature of rights, 50
 - relationship between Article 14 and Protocol 12, 332–4
 - social security benefits, right to, 342–4, 345
- non/ne bis in idem*, 238, 239, 252
- non-qualified rights. *See* one-stage rights
- normative inertness of liberty, 48
- normative under-determinacy of action, 50
- Norway, on drafting of ECHR, 19
- Norwood* case, 196
- Nowack, Manfred, 282
- NS* case (CJEU), 233, 247, 249
- Öcalan v. Turkey*, 30–1
- Oetheimer, Mario, 195
- O’Halloran* case, 217
- Omega Spielhallen* case (CJEU), 237, 240

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

361

- one-stage rights. *See also specific rights*,
e.g. torture and inhuman or
degrading treatment
distinguished from two-stage or
limited rights, 66–8
narrow interpretation appropriate
for, 68, 76
positive obligations regarding, 172–3
Öneryildiz case, 157
optimisation requirements, 122
oral hearing requirement, guarantee of
right to fair trial, 306–7
Orban case, 197
Osman v. UK, 291, 292
- Palmer, Stephanie, 172, 290
Pancenko v. Latvia, 114
Parliament, deference due to, 223
participation of interested persons in
procedures, 150–1, 308
Peck case, 256–7
Pellegrin v. France, 74–5, 297
peripheral versus core fundamental
rights cases, 87–9
Pétain, Marshal Philippe, 199
phases of scope determination, 259,
265–8
Pierre-Bloch v. France, 298
Poland
abuse of rights clause applied to
cases from, 197
length of time to hear rights cases in,
91
positive obligations, 10, 162–82
application to case law, 169
basis for, 162
bifurcated nature of rights and,
163–4, 174, 181
de minimis approach, 179–80
for economic and social guarantees,
119, 123, 124, 130, 134
environmental issues, 177–8
evaluative/supervisory/monitoring
procedures, 146
explicit versus implied, 163
fair balance test for, 165, 175
historical development of scope of
rights and, 36
- inflation of rights, fears regarding,
173, 179
institutional concerns over widening
case load and, 94
‘keeping the scope clean’, 174–81
knowledge condition for, 169–70
legality and legitimacy tests, 170–1,
180–1
Marckx judgment introducing, 162
margin of appreciation and, 175
minimum core approach to, 130
narrow versus wide interpretation
and, 77–80
‘necessary in a democratic society’
test, 171–2
need for general theory of, 162–3
non-qualified or one-stage rights
and, 172–3
prima facie versus definitive, 173,
175
private life, right to, 79, 147
procedural safeguards, 139
protected interest/infringement
scope, 166–8, 181
reasonable accommodation of
disability, 175–7
religious accommodation, 178–9
scope determinations, 173–4, 181
symmetrical approach to, 165–6, 181
torture and inhuman or degrading
treatment, 172–3, 285–93
wide interpretation of, 77–80, 168
press freedom as core element of
freedom of expression, 88
Pretty v. UK, 72
prima facie versus definitive positive
obligations, 173, 175
prima facie versus *pro tanto* rights, 7–8,
47–51, 52, 55–60, 61, 62, 63
Prince Hans-Adam II of Liechtenstein
case, 341
prisoners, treatment of. *See* torture and
inhuman or degrading treatment
private actors, protection of individuals
from ill-treatment by, 289–93
private life. *See* respect for private and
family life, home, and
correspondence

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

362

INDEX

- pro tanto* versus *prima facie* rights, 7–8, 47–51, 52, 55–60, 61, 62, 63
- procedural safeguards embedded in substantive ECHR rights, 9–10, 137–61. *See also* investigations
- access to information, 147
- assessment of information and expertise, 152–3
- court procedures, 155–6
- domestic legislation and, 139
- effectiveness requirements, 148–9
- evaluative/supervisory/monitoring procedures, 146
- ex ante* and *ex post facto* obligations, 138
- fair procedure requirements, 148, 154–5
- fair trial, right to, 158, 304–7, 313
- formulation of right to procedure enabling applicant to enjoy the right, 146–7
- in general rules, 155
- historical development of scope of rights and, 36
- independence/impartiality, 149, 304–5
- margin of appreciation, relationship to, 160
- motivation of decision, 152, 310–11
- participation of interested persons, 150–1, 308
- percentage of judgments based on, 137
- positive and negative obligations, 139
- provisions regarding, 137, 138
- relationship to real procedural provisions, 156–8
- relevant specificities, accounting for, 154
- remedy, right to, 144–5
- right to a procedure, 140
- substantive guarantees, relationship to, 158–9
- timeliness/promptness requirements, 151–2
- process efficacy and process value, 158
- progressive realisation, concept of, 126–8
- proportionality tests. *See also* torture and inhuman or degrading treatment
- abuse of rights clause and, 189, 204, 205, 207, 208
- diagnostic versus constitutive questions about rights and, 42–3
- positive obligations and, 171–2, 174–80
- procedural safeguards and, 150
- psychiatric institutions
- evaluative/supervisory/monitoring procedures, 146
- French *Loi relative à la rétention de sûreté et à la déclaration d'irresponsabilité pour cause de trouble mentale*, ECtHR use of, 229
- public hearing and public judgement, right to, 305–7
- Puekert, W., 188
- racism. *See also* non-discrimination principle
- abuse of rights clause cases and, 193, 194, 197, 203, 204
- CERD (Convention on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination), 190
- Raz, J., 48
- reason-blocking feature of rights, 51, 53
- reason for decision, requirement to give, 152, 310–11
- reason-givingness of rights, 47, 310–11
- reasonable accommodation of disability, as positive obligation, 175–7
- Refah Partisi* case, 201, 202, 207
- religious accommodation, as positive obligation, 178–9
- remedy, procedural right to, 144–5
- reputation and honour, attacks on, 320–1

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

363

- respect for private and family life,
 - home, and correspondence, 13, 315–29. *See also* children; lesbian, bisexual, gay, and transgender (LGBT) communities
- autonomous concepts doctrine and, 322–5
- case law development of, 325–8
- core versus peripheral rights under, 88
- deliberately excluded rights, 320–2
- diagnostic (judicial review) test (Mary example), success of, 52, 53, 54, 55
- EUCFR versus ECHR on, 255–7, 262
- European Bill of Rights, ECHR viewed as, 20, 186, 317–19, 328
- freedom of speech and privacy, balancing, 225–8
- historical development of scope of ECHR and, 316–25
- honour and reputation, attacks on, 320–1
- investigation, procedural right to, 143
- living instrument doctrine and, 319–20
- new individual interests recognised under, 93
- participation of interested persons in procedure, 150
- positive obligations regarding, 79, 147
- private actors, protection of individuals from ill-treatment by, 289–93
- property rights, relationship to, 256
- social relationships, evolution of, 26–9, 315, 325–8
- technological advancements and, 21–5, 315
- timeliness/promptness requirements, 151
- totalitarianism, ECHR viewed as bulwark against, 317–19, 328
- UN and Council of Europe, coherence of scopes of human rights between, 262–4
- retroactivity *in mitius*, 252
- Rights Brought Home: The Human Rights Bill* (UK White Paper), 213
- rights in ECHR, scope of. *See* scope of fundamental rights in ECHR
- Road Traffic Act 1988 (UK), 216–17
- Roche* case, 340
- Roj TV* case (CJEU), 253–4
- Roma, treatment of, 154, 333
- Romania, length of time to hear rights cases in, 91
- Rosas, Alan, 232, 233
- Rujak v. Croatia*, 77
- rule of law and right to fair trial, 314
- Russia
 - abuse of rights clause applied to cases from, 196
 - structural problems in protecting human rights, 92
- S and Marper v. UK*, 25–6, 58
- Saadi v. Italy*, 286
- Saadi v. UK*, 238
- Al-Saadoon and Mufdhi v. UK*, 31–2, 35
- Salduz* case, 99–101, 311, 313
- Salgueiro da Silva Mouta v. Portugal*, 336
- same-sex couples. *See* lesbian, bisexual, gay, and transgender (LGBT) communities
- Saunders* case, 216
- Sauvé v. Attorney General of Canada*, 230
- Savezcrkava* case, 334
- Schalk and Kopf v. Austria*, 27–9, 36, 237
- Scheinin, Martin, 11–12, 259
- Schimanek* case, 199
- Schmidberger* case (CJEU), 243
- Schmidt and Dahlström v. Sweden*, 32, 335, 336

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

364

INDEX

- scope of fundamental rights in ECHR, 1–14
- case law of ECtHR and, 5, 9–10. *See also* abuse of rights clause; economic and social guarantees; positive obligations; procedural safeguards embedded in substantive ECHR rights; *specific cases*
- compared to different systems, 5–6, 11–12. *See also* EU Charter of Fundamental Rights; national courts and human rights; UN and Council of Europe, coherence of scopes of human rights between conceptual, structural and constitutional issues, 4–5, 7–9. *See also* bifurcated approach; diagnostic versus constitutive questions about rights; historical development of scope of ECHR; institutional concerns and scope of rights; wide interpretation of rights
- definition of right and assessment of justification of interference with right, relationship between, 1–3. *See also* bifurcated approach
- importance of determining, 1–3
- inflation of rights and, 44. *See also* ‘inflation’ of rights
- jurisprudential tools for expanding application of Convention, 35–6
- specific fundamental rights, 6, 12–14. *See also specific rights*
- Scoppola v. Italy*, 237
- second-generation (economic and social) rights versus classical first-generation rights, 110–13. *See also* economic and social guarantees
- secret surveillance
- evaluative/supervisory/monitoring procedures, 146
- technological advancements in, and historical development of scope of rights, 21–5
- Secretary of State for the Home Department v. AF (No 3) (UK)*, 219
- Sedley, Sir Stephen, 229
- Sejdic and Finci* case, 333
- self-incrimination, privilege against, 310
- Selmouni v. France*, 68
- Senden, Hanneke, 42, 123, 163, 164
- Sentges and Others v. The Netherlands*, 118
- Seurot* case, 188
- ‘shallow’ or ‘narrow’ judgment, as ECtHR approach, 95, 100
- Sidabras and Dziautas v. Lithuania*, 63, 339
- silence, right to maintain, 216–17, 310
- single-parent families, 26
- slavery and servitude, procedural right to investigation of, 143
- Smet, Stijn, 12, 68, 273
- social and economic guarantees. *See* economic and social guarantees
- social relationships, evolution of, and historical development of scope of ECHR, 26–9, 315, 325–8
- social security benefits, right to, 93, 98, 342–4, 345
- Soering v. UK*, 29, 31, 274
- ‘sole or decisive rule’, 217–22, 312, 313
- solitary confinement. *See* torture and inhuman or degrading treatment
- Solvay* case (CJEU), 246
- Sommerfeld* case, 335
- Sottiaux, S., 207
- South Africa
- Bill of Rights, narrow versus wide interpretation of, 71
- Constitutional Court, interpretative methods of, 71
- minimum core approach in socio-economic rights discussions in, 131
- one-stage rights in 1996 Constitution, 68
- Spear & Others, R v. (UK)*, 216, 219

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

INDEX

365

- speech, freedom of. *See* freedom of expression
- Spielman, Dean, 229
- states. *See also* margin of appreciation; positive obligations
- abuse of rights clause extending powers of, 188, 203–4
 - agent-relative and state-relative approaches to obligation to protect in mixed cases, 288–9
 - private actors, protection of individuals from ill-treatment by, 289–93
- Stec and Others v. UK*, 98, 338, 340, 347
- Stedman* case, 178–9
- Steyn, Lord, 186
- Stirn, B., 215
- Stjerna v. Finland*, 80, 165
- Straw, Jack, 213
- structural, conceptual and
- constitutional issues, 4–5, 7–9. *See also* bifurcated approach;
 - diagnostic versus constitutive questions about rights; historical development of scope of ECHR; institutional concerns and scope of rights; wide interpretation of rights
- Suárez, Luis, 51
- subsidiarity, principle of, 102
- Sudre, F., 166
- Sunstein, C.R., 100, 101
- supervisory procedures, 146
- Sweden, on drafting of ECHR, 19
- Swedish Engine Drivers' Union v. Sweden*, 32
- symmetrical model for positive and negative obligations, 165–6, 181
- technological advances and historical development of scope of ECHR, 20–6, 315
- Teitgen, Pierre-Henri, 18, 183, 186, 204, 215
- Television Without Frontiers Directive (EU), 253–4
- Thlimmenos v. Greece*, 342
- thresholds
- for Convention applicability, 102–4
 - minimum level of severity, 90, 94, 102, 177–8, 180–1, 276–8, 284–5
- torture and inhuman or degrading treatment, 12, 273–93
- abuse of rights clause and calls for, 197
 - case law balancing interests in consideration of, 274–5, 278–85
 - consistently stated as absolute prohibition in Court and Convention rhetoric, 273–4
- death penalty, 29–32
- defining prohibition more narrowly, 283–4
- distinguishing torture (as absolute prohibition) from inhuman or degrading treatment (as subject to proportionality test), 282
- evidence obtained by, 309
- investigation, procedural right to, 142–3
- life sentence, irreducible, 147
- minimum level of severity threshold, 90, 94, 102, 177–8, 180–1, 276–8, 284–5
- mixed cases (expulsion, extradition and deportation), obligation to protect in, 286–9
- normative stance, changing, 282–3
- positive obligations regarding, 172–3, 285–93
- private actors, state protection of individuals from ill-treatment by, 289–93
- proportionalist practice and absolutist theory, reconciling contradictions between, 281–5
- proportionality testing, absolute prohibition barring, 275, 276, 277
- proportionality testing, legitimate objectives introducing, 275, 276, 277
- strictly positive obligations to protect and fulfil, 289–93
- truly absolute application of, 275–8

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04322-0 - Shaping Rights in the ECHR: The Role of the European Court of Human Rights in Determining the Scope of Human Rights

Edited by Eva Brems and Janneke Gerards

Index

[More information](#)

366

INDEX

- Toshiba Corporation* case (CJEU), 252
- totalitarianism
- abuse of rights clause and, 183–4, 186, 187, 204
 - ECHR as bulwark against, 317–19, 328
- trade union rights, 32–3
- transsexuals, 27, 224, 319
- trial, fair, right to. *See* fair trial, right to
- Tulkens, Françoise, 214
- Turkey, application of abuse of rights clause in cases from, 199, 201, 202
- two-stage rights. *See* bifurcated approach
- Tyrer v. UK*, 319
- UDHR (Universal Declaration on Human Rights), 186, 187, 268, 320, 345
- Ukraine, structural problems in protecting human rights in, 92
- UN and Council of Europe, coherence of scopes of human rights between, 11–12, 259–70
- interdependence approach based on concept of universal human rights, 259, 260–5
 - phases of scope determination, 259, 265–8
 - respect for privacy and family rights, 262–4
- World Court of Human Rights, concept of, 259, 268–70
- union rights, 32–3
- United Communist Party v. Turkey*, 203
- United Kingdom
- abuse of rights clause applied to cases from, 196
 - courts martial, 215–16
 - on drafting of ECHR, 19
 - Hunting Act 2004, 223
 - national courts and ECtHR jurisprudence, 212–14, 229–31
 - national policy decisions, criticism of ECtHR involvement in, 85
 - Parliament, deference due to, in fox hunting challenge, 223
 - reception of ECHR fair trial rights in, 215
- Rights Brought Home: The Human Rights Bill* (UK White Paper), 213
- Road Traffic Act 1988, 216–17
- shaping of ECHR rights, influence on, 215–23
- silence, right to maintain, 216–17
- witness statements, convictions largely based on, 217–22
- United States
- Supreme Court's case selection methodology, 81
 - wide interpretation and constitutionalisation of disputes in, 73
- Universal Declaration on Human Rights (UDHR), 186, 187, 268, 320, 345
- universal human rights, interdependence approach based on concept of, 259, 260–5
- unlawfully obtained evidence, 309
- Uzun v. Germany*, 23–5
- Valkov and Others v. Bulgaria*, 117
- Van der Mussele* case, 336
- van der Schyff, Gerhard, 8, 65, 167, 207
- van Dijk, P., 189, 190, 191
- van Drooghenbroeck, S., 204
- van Hoof, F., 189, 190, 191
- vande Lanotte, J., 189
- Varec* case (CJEU), 256
- Venice Commission, Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters, 145
- victims, respect for feelings of, 206
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 301, 302
- Vilho Eskelinen and Others v. Finland*, 75, 237, 298, 299, 340
- violence, abuse of rights clause applied to cases involving open calls for, 197, 203, 204
- Volkov* case, 304
- Von Hannover v. Germany*, 225–8
- Voorhoof, D., 190, 195, 207
- Voßkuhle, Andreas, 227
- Vuckovic* case, 334

- Waldock, C.H.M., 317
- Walrave* case (CJEU), 243
- Wauchauf* line of cases (CJEU), 241
- ‘*Wednesbury* unreasonableness’ review, 158
- Wemhoff* decision, 69
- WHO (World Health Organization), 129, 133
- wide interpretation of rights, 8, 65–83
 - advocacy of, 69–70
 - appropriateness for two-stage rights, 68
 - consequences of, 82–3
 - constitutionalisation of dispute through, 73–6
 - critiques of, 70–3
 - deluge of cases, fears regarding, 76–82
 - fair trial, right to, 313, 314
 - focusing on both stages of adjudicative analysis for two-stage rights, 65
 - positive obligations and, 168
 - scope and limit, distinguishing, 66–8
- Wildhaber, Luzius, 165
- Willis* case, 343
- witness statements, convictions largely based on (‘sole or decisive rule’), 217–22, 312, 313
- Woolman, Stu, 71
- World Court of Human Rights, concept of, 259, 268–70
- World Health Organization (WHO), 129, 133
- Wouters* case (CJEU), 243
- X and Y v. The Netherlands*, 327
- X v. Belgium and The Netherlands*, 60, 61
- X v. Iceland*, 324
- X, Y and Z v. UK*, 27, 28
- Z and Others v. UK*, 292
- Zarb Adami* case, 336