

Contents

	<i>Acknowledgements</i>	page xv
	<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xviii
	<i>Table of arbitral awards and cases</i>	xx
	Cases	xx
	Investor-state arbitral awards	xxi
	Other arbitral awards	xvii
	<i>Table of treaties</i>	xxviii
	<i>Table of UN documents</i>	xxx
1	Introduction	1
	1.1 A brief history of investment treaties	2
	1.2 The scope of the inquiry	4
	1.2.1 The evaluation of levels of protection derived from existing arbitral jurisprudence	5
	1.2.2 The prospective evaluation of legal rules	6
	1.2.3 Exceptions to substantive protections	7
	1.2.4 Evaluation from a general, impartial perspective	8
	1.3 Outline of the argument	11
2	The structure of existing debate	16
	2.1 Introduction	16
	2.2 Assumptions and premises in social scientific scholarship on investment treaties	17
	2.2.1 ‘Rational actor’ theories explaining why states sign investment treaties	17
	2.2.2 Theories explaining the content of investment treaties	18

viii	CONTENTS	
	2.2.3 Summary of social scientific scholarship	20
	2.3 Criticisms of investment treaties	21
	2.3.1 Historical methodology and critique	21
	2.3.2 Comparative methodology and critique	22
	2.3.3 Razian rule of law norms as a basis for critique	30
	2.3.4 Sovereignty as a basis for critique	31
	2.3.5 Human rights norms as a basis for critique	33
	2.3.6 Environmental norms as a basis for critique	37
	2.4 Justifications for investment treaties and investment treaty protections	39
	2.4.1 Realisation of treaties' purpose as a basis for justification	39
	2.4.2 Consent as a basis for justification	41
	2.4.3 Norms of good governance as a basis for justification	42
	2.5 The role of secondary norms in debate about investment treaties	45
	2.6 Synthesis of existing debate, as it relates to investment treaty protections	48
3	A framework for evaluating different levels of investment treaty protection	52
	3.1 Introduction	52
	3.2 Methodology: the relationship between theory and evidence	53
	3.2.1 The influence of the New Haven school of law and economics	54
	3.2.2 To what extent do the decisions of arbitral tribunals constitute evidence?	57
	3.3 Investment treaty protections as liability rules	58
	3.4 Efficiency – net economic benefits (or costs)	62
	3.4.1 The concept of efficiency	62
	3.4.2 The relationship between efficiency and other consequences	63
	3.4.3 Theory and evidence in the efficiency analysis	65
	3.4.4 Free markets and competitive equality: a basic efficiency analysis	65
	3.4.5 Efficient government and investor conduct	68

	CONTENTS	ix
3.4.6 Property rights and efficiency: the Coase theorem		80
3.4.7 Summary of efficiency		82
3.5 Distributive consequences – the fair allocation of economic costs and benefits		83
3.5.1 Evidence and theory in the analysis of distributive consequences		84
3.5.2 The nature and extent of the distributive consequences of investment treaty protections		84
3.5.3 Evaluating the distributive consequences of investment treaties		87
3.5.4 A theory of ‘compensatory’ justice cannot explain why compensation should be paid for some losses caused by the state but not others		89
3.5.5 Libertarian theories of distributive justice		91
3.5.6 Egalitarian theories of distributive justice		92
3.5.7 Utilitarian theories of distributive justice		94
3.5.8 Political process theories and distributive justice		97
3.5.9 Summary of distributive consequences of investment treaties		101
3.6 Attraction of foreign direct investment		102
3.6.1 Is additional FDI normatively desirable?		102
3.6.2 Evidence and theory on the relationship between investment treaty protections and FDI		104
3.6.3 Empirical evidence on the relationship between BITs and FDI		105
3.6.4 Theoretical insights on investment protection and FDI		109
3.6.5 The interaction between investment treaty protections, FDI and other measures affecting the investment climate		112
3.6.6 Summary of FDI		113
3.7 Regulatory chill: consequences for the realisation of human rights and for environmental conservation		113

x	CONTENTS	
	3.7.1 The relationship of regulatory chill to other consequences	114
	3.7.2 Evidence and theory in the regulatory chill inquiry	115
	3.7.3 Theoretical debate about regulatory chill in the existing literature	116
	3.7.4 Assessing empirical evidence of regulatory chill	118
	3.7.5 The possibility of more complex effects on government decision-making	127
	3.7.6 The consequence of regulatory chill for human rights and environmental conservation	129
	3.7.7 Summary of regulatory chill	132
	3.8 Respect for the rule of law	133
	3.8.1 Theory and evidence on investment treaty protections and the rule of law	134
	3.8.2 Empirical evidence of the impact of investment treaties on the rule of law in host states	136
	3.8.3 Theories on the relationship between investment treaties and respect for the rule of law	136
	3.8.4 Formulating hypotheses about the relationship between levels of investment treaty protection and the rule of law	137
	3.8.5 Summary of the rule of law	139
	3.9 The boundaries of the framework	139
	3.9.1 The impact of investment treaty protections on host and on home states	140
	3.9.2 Rationales for investment treaties that are unrelated to the consequences of investment treaty protections	141
	3.10 Conclusion	141
4	Fair and equitable treatment	143
	4.1 Introduction	143
	4.2 Foundational doctrinal issues in the interpretation of the FET standard	146
	4.2.1 The drafting of FET clauses	146

	CONTENTS	xi
4.2.2 FET: customary international law minimum standard or autonomous treaty standard?		148
4.2.3 Is it legitimate to abstract from textual variation in FET provisions in an analysis of FET decisions?		153
4.2.4 Other standards equivalent to the FET standard		156
4.3 Parameters: the scope of the FET standard and the limitations of this chapter		156
4.3.1 Conduct of a state's judicial institutions that breaches the FET standard		157
4.3.2 Conduct that breaches the FET standard because it is discriminatory		158
4.3.3 Conduct that breaches the standard because it is in bad faith		160
4.4 The elements of FET		161
4.4.1 The taxonomy of the elements of FET used in this chapter		161
4.4.2 A unified jurisprudential theory of FET?		164
4.4.3 Fact-specific reasoning and the FET standard		166
4.5 Legitimate expectations		167
4.5.1 The legal rights approach		170
4.5.2 The representations approach		175
4.5.3 The stability approach		184
4.5.4 The business plan approach		190
4.5.5 Summary: the four interpretations of the legitimate expectations element of FET		194
4.6 Procedural review of government conduct under the FET standard		194
4.6.1 <i>Genin</i> : a narrow approach		197
4.6.2 <i>Chemtura</i> : an intermediate approach		199
4.6.3 <i>Tecmed</i> : an exacting approach		206
4.6.4 Summary: the three interpretations of the procedural element of FET		210
4.7 Substantive review of government conduct under the FET standard		210
4.7.1 The 'no substantive review' approach		212
4.7.2 Reasonableness review in general		215
4.7.3 Reasonableness review: the margin of appreciation approach		216

xii	CONTENTS	
	4.7.4 Reasonableness review: the politics-as-irrationality approach	220
	4.7.5 The proportionality approach to substantive review	224
	4.7.6 Summary: the four interpretations of the substantive element of FET	227
	4.8 Conclusion	227
5	Indirect expropriation	229
	5.1 Introduction	229
	5.2 Expropriation provisions of investment treaties	231
	5.2.1 Indirect expropriation and variation in treaty text	232
	5.2.2 Indirect expropriation in customary international law	235
	5.2.3 What is an investment, and why does it matter?	235
	5.2.4 The requirements for an expropriation to be legal, including compensation	240
	5.3 The taxonomy	241
	5.3.1 The taxonomy used in this chapter	242
	5.3.2 Can arbitral decisions be explained by a unified jurisprudential theory of indirect expropriation?	243
	5.3.3 Fact-specific reasoning and indirect expropriation	245
	5.3.4 Are decisions dealing with the expropriation of contracts distinct?	245
	5.4 The effects structure of inquiry	247
	5.4.1 The <i>Metalclad</i> approach: effect on economic value	248
	5.4.2 The <i>Pope & Talbot</i> approach: substantial deprivation of property interests	251
	5.4.3 The effect of <i>appropriation</i> : an alternative approach?	255
	5.4.4 Summary: the effects structure of inquiry	255
	5.5 The exception structure of inquiry	256
	5.5.1 The <i>Methanex</i> approach: a police powers carve-out	256
	5.6 The balancing structure of inquiry	260

	CONTENTS	xiii
5.6.1	The <i>Tecmed</i> approach: proportionality balancing	261
5.6.2	The US annex approach: characterisation as a technique for balancing	263
5.7	Conclusion	271
6	Application of the framework and conclusions	273
6.1	Introduction	273
6.2	The framework: a brief recapitulation	274
6.3	To what extent should the FET standard protect investors' legitimate expectations?	275
6.3.1	The business plan approach versus the stability approach	276
6.3.2	The stability approach versus the representations approach	279
6.3.3	The representations approach versus the legal rights approach	283
6.3.4	Conclusion: the most desirable level of protection of legitimate expectations	288
6.4	To what extent should the FET standard provide for procedural review of government conduct?	288
6.4.1	The narrow approach versus the intermediate approach	289
6.4.2	The intermediate approach versus the exacting approach	293
6.4.3	Conclusion: the most desirable level of protection from procedural unfairness	297
6.5	To what extent should the FET standard provide for substantive review of government conduct?	298
6.5.1	The margin of appreciation approach versus the politics-as-irrationality approach	298
6.5.2	The margin of appreciation approach versus the proportionality approach	304
6.5.3	The margin of appreciation approach versus the 'no substantive review' approach	309
6.5.4	Conclusion: the most desirable level of protection from substantive irrationality	313
6.6	Which of the five levels of protection against indirect expropriation is the most desirable?	315

xiv	CONTENTS	
	6.6.1 The <i>Metalclad</i> approach versus the <i>Pope & Talbot</i> approach	316
	6.6.2 The US annex approach versus the <i>Tecmed</i> approach	320
	6.6.3 The <i>Methanex</i> approach versus the US annex approach versus the <i>Pope & Talbot</i> approach	324
	6.6.4 Conclusion: the most desirable level of protection from indirect expropriation	330
	6.7 Overall conclusions	330
	6.8 Summary	335
7	Implications of the conclusions	336
	7.1 Introduction	336
	7.2 The implications for states: drafting, amendment and clarification of investment treaties	337
	7.2.1 The case for reconsidering existing treaty practice	337
	7.2.2 The range of options available to states	338
	7.2.3 The perspective of a single state	339
	7.2.4 Drafting the substantive protections of new investment treaties	341
	7.2.5 Amendment of existing treaties	344
	7.2.6 Interpretative statements clarifying the meaning of existing investment treaties	348
	7.3 The implications for arbitrators and practitioners: the interpretation of existing treaties	350
	7.3.1 The characterisation and significance of a treaty's 'object and purpose'	351
	7.3.2 The influence of 'extra-legal' factors on interpretation in practice	357
	7.4 Conclusion	362
8	The future of debate about investment treaties	363
	<i>Bibliography</i>	365
	<i>Index</i>	393