

# POLITICS AND THE STREET IN DEMOCRATIC ATHENS

This book is the first in-depth study of the classical Athenian public sphere. It examines how public opinion was created by impromptu theatrics and by gossip, and how it flowed into and out of the civic institutions. Athenians did not have hookah bars or coffee shops but they did socialize in symposia and gymnasia and workshops, and above all in the Agora. These represented the Athenian "Street," an informal political space that was seen as qualitatively different from the institutional space of the Assembly, the Council, and the courts where elite orators held sway. The book explores how Athenians of all sorts, such as politicians, slaves, and philosophers, sought to exploit the resources of the "Street" in pursuit of their aims.

ALEX GOTTESMAN is Assistant Professor of Greek and Roman Classics at Temple University, Philadelphia.





# POLITICS AND THE STREET IN DEMOCRATIC ATHENS

ALEX GOTTESMAN





## **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107041684

© Cambridge University Press 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Gottesman, Alex.

Politics and the street in democratic Athens / Alex Gottesman.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Democracy–Greece–Athens–History. 2. Athens (Greece)–Politics and government–Early works to 1800. 3. Agora (Athens, Greece)–History. I. Title.

JC75.D36G67 2014 320.938'5-dc23 2014020406

ISBN 978-1-107-04168-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



### Contents

List of illustrations		<i>page</i> vii
	Preface Acknowledgments	
Аc		
	Introduction	I
	Approaching the Athenian public sphere	4
	The institutional public sphere	8
	The extra-institutional public sphere	II
	A synthesis	20
	Plan of the book	23
I	A tour of the Agora	26
	A brief history of the Agora	29
	How pure an Agora?	40
2	Athenian social networks	44
	Citizen associations	45
	"Mixed" associations	49
	Socializing in shops	55
	Putting the networks to work: enforcement	
	and the public sphere	63
3	The problem of non-institutional politics	77
	Separating the institutional from the extra-institutional	80
	The problem of supplication	86
	"Pitiable theatrics": further critics of supplication	94
4	Institutionalizing theatricality in the Assembly	100
	Supplication on the schedule	IOI
	The sponsors of the suppliants	106



vi	Contents	
5	Publicity stunts in Athenian politics A woman named Phye	114 118
	Ephialtes "naked" at the altar	125
	A mournful Apaturia	131
	The decline of the political stunt	140
	The rise of logography	147
6	Slaves in the Theseion	155
	Slaves on the run	160
	Aphairesis	163
	False citizens	169
	What was going on in the Theseion?	174
7	The Magnesian Street	180
,	Absent presence in the elections	186
	Women as "bearers" of the Magnesian public sphere	193
	The guardianship of the Nocturnal Council	197
	Disciplining Magnesian praise and blame	203
	Conclusion	210
W	orks cited	214
Index		2.41



### Illustrations

I	Map of ancient Athens, c. 430 BCE. Credit: Wikimedia	
	Commons.	page 2
2	Athens, Agora, reconstruction. Credit:	
	Peter Connolly/akg-images.	27
3	Plan of the Council district. Credit: American	
	School of Classical Studies at Athens: Agora Excavations.	32
4	Plan of the Agora in the fourth century. Credit:	
	American School of Classical Studies at Athens: Agora	
	Excavations.	33
5	Foundry Painter. Two men working on a statue	
	of Ares. Shell of an Attic red-figure kylix from Vulci,	
	c. 490–480 BCE. Inv: F2294. Photo: Ingrid Geske. Credit:	
	bpk Berlin / Staatliche Museen / Ingrid Geske /	
	Art Resource, NY.	63
6	Lysippides Painter (attributed to). Black-figure hydria:	
	Apotheosis of Herakles, c. 530–520 BCE. Trumbull-Prime	
	Collection, y171. Photo: Bruce M. White. Credit:	
	Princeton University Art Museum / Art Resource, NY.	121





### Preface

"The Street" in the title of this book derives from a metaphor that has in recent years become quite prominent in the news media. This metaphor has an interesting history. It originally derived from an Arabic metaphor (*al-shari*') for a general public mood or sentiment. Arabic writers might refer to the "British street," the "American street," or the "Israeli street." Western social scientists adopted the term in the 1970s and 80s as a scare-quoted description of the Arabic concept. From there it entered media discourse as a sui generis phenomenon, applied exclusively to Arabic-speaking countries. The term appears more frequently after 9/11 but without its scare-quotes, crowding out the term "Arab public opinion" that appeared regularly until then. Unlike that term, however, it describes a distinctive kind of politics. As one newspaper writer put it: "The Arab street: the wellworn phrase evokes men clustered around dusty coffee-house tables, discussing the events of the day with well-earned cynicism between puffs on a hookah – yet suddenly able to turn into a mob, powerful enough to sweep away governments." I find in the metaphor a useful concept for what I propose to study, and a superior one to the Western concept of "public opinion." There are two reasons for this. First, the metaphor has both negative and positive connotations that "public opinion" lacks (being in itself either positive or neutral). The passage quoted above captures both sets of these connotations. The positive connotations evoke a mood of sociality and political criticism almost resembling a Habermasian model of the public sphere. The term's negative connotations conjure a threat of mob violence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Regier and Khalidi 2009. <sup>2</sup> See Kifner 2001.



X

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-04168-4 - Politics and the Street in Democratic Athens Alex Gottesman Frontmatter More information

Preface

In "the Arab Street" informal discussion is always on the verge of mobilizing violence toward political aims.

The second reason why this metaphor is a useful concept for me is because it describes a politicized social space that is marginal to the governmental institutions. Note that the tables are "dusty." The hookah-smokers gathered around them are not the opinion-leading elites our media are accustomed to focus on, such as business leaders and politicians. They are the politically marginal. And yet, though the occupiers of the Arab Street are politically marginal they are also politically engaged in a way that we Westerners are not. There is no comparable Western concept that describes a sphere of politically engaged sociality that is at the same time marginal to the political process. Perhaps the "kitchen table" metaphor comes close, but that image familiar from contemporary campaign rhetoric is strictly a domestic, private space usually crowded with unpaid bills over which concerned parents discuss which candidate's policies are most likely to help pay them. Imagined conversations over the "kitchen table" rarely lead to violent demonstrations – although perhaps they should.

As I conceive it, the Athenian Street, like the Arab Street, had positive and negative sides that depended on one's perspective. The positive side fostered political interaction across a wide spectrum of statuses and classes. Participants here were not just the institutionally active, elite orators but also the passive citizens who never attended assemblies or courts, as well as non-citizens such as foreigners, women, and even slaves. Athenians did not have hookah bars or coffee shops but they did socialize in symposia and gymnasia and shops, and above all in the Agora. These (especially the shops) were seen as informal, politically marginal spaces that were qualitatively different from the institutional spaces of the Assembly, the Council, and the courts where the orators held an uneasy sway.

The negative side of the Athenian Street is different from the negative side of the Arab Street. As far as we can tell, the Athenian Street did not erupt into regime-changing violence very often, nor was there a fear that it might. In fact, phenomena of political violence such as riots were quite rare in Athens compared to other times and places.<sup>3</sup> This

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For a possible exception see Ober 1993, with the response and counter-response in Raaflaub 1998a; 1998b; Ober 1998a.



#### Preface xi

makes sense. A political system that gives its subjects the opportunity to exercise influence (or "allots them honor," as Aristotle understood it) is less likely to be overthrown from within. The negative side of the Athenian Street, as critics both ancient and modern complain, is that it could derail institutional proceedings and lead to bad outcomes by introducing the emotional influences of the crowd.

This criticism also makes sense, for the Athenian governmental institutions *needed* the Street in a way that modern representative institutions and hierarchies do not. In the absence of an enforcement arm like a police force, Athenian governmental institutions needed Athenians to talk about their work and acts. This was just about the only way in which anything they decided would be carried out. Yet the institutions also needed the Street to remain marginal to the political process. It would defeat the logic of the governmental institutions if Athenians did not see them as an accurate representation of the entirety of politics. This paradox was the source of a tension. This book seeks to understand the role that tension played in the political life of the city, and how various Athenians (politicians, slaves, and philosophers) sought to use it in pursuit of their aims.



## Acknowledgments

Anyone who has been on a long journey knows that you need help along the way. Danielle Allen not only advised the distant dissertation on supplication from which this project began, along with Chris Faraone and Jonathan Hall, but read and commented on several drafts of individuals chapters and the whole book. Angelos Chaniotis, Janet Downie, James Kierstead, Stephen Lambert, and David Wolfsdorf also read parts of previous versions and made valuable criticisms and suggestions. Audiences at the University of Chicago, the University of Pennsylvania, Rutgers, and Temple University gave helpful feedback on material that went into this book, as did workshop participants at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, New Jersey, and the Association for Political Theory in Columbia, South Carolina. I am grateful to Temple University for the research leave that enabled me to bring this project to completion, and to Temple's Center for the Humanities in particular for inviting me to participate in its interdisciplinary fellows workshop. A much-appreciated grant from the Loeb Classical Library Foundation supported the leave. I am also grateful to the Institute for Advanced Studies for the opportunity to spend a semester on its campus as a member, as well as for supplemental funding from the Herodotus Fund.

Along the way I was lucky to have taught at several institutions where I found colleagues who inspired and benefited me immensely: Lee Fratantuono and Donald Lateiner at Ohio Wesleyan; Annette Baertschi, Catherine Coneybare, Rad Edmonds, Rick Hamilton, and Darby Scott at Bryn Mawr; Rebecca Futo Kennedy, Hans Mueller, Stacie Raucci, Mark Toher, and Tarik Wareh at Union; and at Temple Dan Berman, Martha Davis, Melissa Haynes, Karen K. Hersch, Eric Kondratieff, Robin Mitchell-Boyask, David Ratzan, Sydnor Roy,



#### Acknowledgments

xiii

Caroline Stark, and (last but not least) Dan Tompkins. Thanks are also due to Michael Sharp, along with the two anonymous referees for Cambridge University Press, for their suggestions and criticisms.

Finally, I owe special thanks to my wife, Susanne, for her support throughout the journey, and to our little Jonah, who helped in his own way.