

LEGAL RESOLUTION OF NUCLEAR NON-PROLIFERATION DISPUTES

How viable is the resolution of nuclear non-proliferation disputes through the International Court of Justice and international arbitration? James Fry examines the compromissory clauses in the IAEA Statute, IAEA safeguards agreements and the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material that give jurisdiction to these fora and analyzes recent jurisprudence to demonstrate how legal resolution can handle such politically sensitive disputes. In sum, legal resolution of nuclear non-proliferation disputes represents an option that States and commentators have all too often ignored. The impartiality and procedural safeguards of legal resolution should make it an acceptable option for target States and the international community, especially vis-à-vis the procedural shortcomings and general heavy-handedness of Security Council involvement under the UN Charter Chapter VII.

James D. Fry is Assistant Professor of Law at the University of Hong Kong Faculty of Law, where he is also Director of the LL.M. program, Deputy Director of the Japan and Korea program and Warden of Lee Shan Kee Hall.

This publication has been made possible by a generous grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No HKU 744310H).





LEGAL RESOLUTION OF NUCLEAR NON-PROLIFERATION DISPUTES

JAMES D. FRY





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107041295

© James D. Fry 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United Kingdom by CPI Group Ltd, Croydon CR0 4YY

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Fry, James D., 1975–

Legal resolution of nuclear non-proliferation disputes / James D. Fry.

pages cm ISBN 978-1-107-04129-5

1. Nuclear nonproliferation 2. Dispute resolution (Law) 3. Diplomatic negotiations in international disputes. I. Title.

KZ5675.F79 2013 341.7'34-dc23 2013013388

ISBN 978-1-107-04129-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Optimus Parentibus





CONTENTS

	PART I Introduction 1
1	Foundational elements of the study 3 1.1 The problem and solution 3 1.2 Outline 7 1.3 Methodology 12 1.4 Audience 14 1.5 Originality of this study 16
2	Definitions, delimitations and disclaimers of the study 2.1 The nuclear non-proliferation regime 20 2.1.1 Treaties comprising the regime 23 2.1.2 Nuclear weapons 28 2.2 Focus on legal resolution 34 2.2.1 Variety in dispute settlement mechanisms 34 2.2.2 Legal resolution in this study 43 2.3 Pragmatism over utopianism 47
	PART II Security Council involvement with nuclear non-proliferation disputes under UN Charter Chapter VII 53
3	Exploring potential problems with Security Council involvement 55 3.1 Introduction 55 3.1.1 Structure of this chapter 59 3.1.2 Disclaimers for this chapter 60 3.1.3 Methods of interpreting Security Council resolutions 61 3.1.4 Binding resolutions of the Security Council 75 3.2 Security Council Chapter VII measures 87 3.2.1 Resolutions 255 and 984: establishing security assurances 88 3.2.1.1 Positive security assurances of Resolution 255 89 3.2.1.2 Positive security assurances of Resolution 984 93



viii	CONTENTS			
		3.2.1.3	Negative security assurances of the unilateral	
			statements 95	
		3.2.1.4	International estoppel and security assurances 96	
		3.2.1.5	Legal nature of these assurances 101	
		3.2.1.6	Binding permanent members of the Security Council 103	
		3.2.1.7	Binding the Security Council 105	
	3.2.2	Resoluti	on 487 and Israel's attack on Iraq 107	
	3.2.3	The 199	1 Gulf War and Resolution 687 110	
		3.2.3.1	Cease-fire agreement on the ground 111	
		3.2.3.2	Nuclear disarmament obligations of Resolution 687 112	
		3.2.3.3	UNSCOM and UNMOVIC under Resolution 687 114	
		3.2.3.4	Iraq's acceptance of Resolution 687 121	
		3.2.3.5	UNSCOM's strength 122	
		3.2.3.6	Due-process concerns with Security Council	
			proceedings 123	
		3.2.3.7	Reiterating treaty obligations 131	
		3.2.3.8	Suspending Iraq's NPT rights 133	
	3.2.4	India, P	akistan, and Resolution 1172 135	
	3.2.5	North K	Corea and various Security Council resolutions 144	
		3.2.5.1	Imposing treaty obligations on North Korea 152	
		3.2.5.2	Imposing treaty obligations on all States	
	3.2.6	Iran and	parties 154 l various Security Council resolutions 154	
	3.2.7		errorism and Resolution 1540 163	
	3.4.7		New obligations concerning non-State actors 167	
			New obligations concerning legislation 167	
		3.2.7.3	New obligations in preventing trade in nuclear weapons	
			and material 169	
		3.2.7.4	Shifting to supply-side non-proliferation measures	
			through imposition 171	
	3.3 Concl	usion for	this part 173	
	PART I	_	gal resolution and nuclear non-proliferation putes 179	
		-	putes 177	
	Introduc	ction	181	
4			gal resolution of similarly sensitive disputes as liferation disputes 197	
		-	etween the US and the USSR over the role of legal	
	resolu		200	
			arbitration from ancient times 203	
			disputes before the PCIJ and the ICJ 212	



			CONTENTS	ix		
	4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3	ICJ case	r-weapon-related disputes 216 es involving the use of force 225 es involving international crimes by military 232			
	4.4 Concl	usion for	this chapter 233			
5	Jurisdict	ion ove	r nuclear non-proliferation disputes	236		
	5.1 Standi	ing before	e the ICJ and international arbitral tribunals	237		
	5.2 Specif 5.2.1		ctional consent to legal resolution 251 consent to legal resolution through compromis 254	sory		
	5.2.2	Compre	omissory clauses 255			
	5.2.3	Compre	omissory clauses in nuclear non-proliferation			
		agreem		ĺ		
		5.2.3.2	<u> •</u>	:		
		3.2.3.2	settlement 268			
			5.2.3.2.1 Standard language 270			
			5.2.3.2.2 Variations on the standard			
			language 281			
			8 8	285		
		5.2.3.3	Convention on the Physical Protection of Nu			
		3.2.3.3	Material 286	icicui		
	5.3 Advar	ntages and	d disadvantages of legal resolution 294			
	5.3.1 Application of law 299					
		5.3.1.1				
		5.3.1.2		ds 312		
		5.3.1.3	5 1			
			5.3.1.3.1 Defining "consistency" 320			
			5.3.1.3.2 Goals of consistency 323			
			5.3.1.3.2.1 Predictability 32	4		
			5.3.1.3.2.2 Fairness 326			
			5.3.1.3.2.3 Confidence in legal			
			resolution 328			
			5.3.1.4 Potential conflicts of interest 3	30		
		5.3.2	The litigation process 333			
			5.3.2.1 Showing good faith through legal resolution 333			
			5.3.2.2 Expediency through legal resolutio	n 336		
			5.3.2.3 Revealing the heart of the dispute	340		
				340		
		5.3.3	Gaps in nuclear non-proliferation agreement			
	5.4		sion for this chapter 355	312		
		20110141				



X

	CONTENTS				
6	Justiciability of nuclear non-proliferation disputes 357				
	6.1 Basics of justiciability 360				
	6.1.1 How justiciability relates to jurisdiction 364				
	6.1.2 How justiciability differs from admissibility 365				
	6.1.3 Justiciability and optional clause declarations 367				
	6.2 History of justiciability 371				
	6.2.1 Justiciability before 1945 371				
	6.2.2 Justiciability after 1945 381				
	6.3 Treaty interpretation as a legal dispute 389				
	6.4 Treaty interpretation of nuclear non-proliferation agreements 393				
	6.5 Handling mixed disputes 401				
	6.5.1 Substantive approach to justiciability 408				
	6.5.2 Process approach to justiciability 409				
	6.5.3 Reasons to favor the process approach 415				
	6.6 Fragmentation of disputes as an alternative 420				
	6.6.1 Examples of fragmentation 426				
	6.6.2 Criticism of fragmentation 428				
	6.7 Conclusion for this chapter 430				
	PART IV Conclusion 433				
7	Conclusion 435				
	Bibliography 439				
	I Books and treatises 439				
	II Articles and book chapters 449				
	Index 485				