

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04094-6 - Numerical Reasoning in Judgments and Decision Making about Health

Edited by Britta L. Anderson and Jay Schulkin

Index

[More information](#)

Name index

- Abel-Kader, K., 95–96
 Anderson, B. L., 59–75, 281–283
 Apter, A. J., 94–95
- Baron, J., 51–52
 Berkman, J., 6, 105–125, 202, 281
 Berry, D. C., 224
 Berwick, D. M., 200–201
 Bhattacharya, J., 220–221
 Booth, J. L., 216–217
 Bramwell, R., 64–66
 Brewer, N. T., 235–236
 Bruine de Bruin, W., 178–179
 Brust-Renck, P. G., 6–7, 215–239, 281–282
- Carey, L. A., 235–236
 Cavanaugh, K., 6, 92–93, 105–125, 211–212, 281
 Ciampa, P. J., 96–97
 Cokely, E. T., 4–5, 6–7, 11–35, 107, 161, 281
 on objective numeracy in physicians, 60–61
 on visual aids for behavioral interventions, 163–165, 281–282, 282–283
- Colditz, G., 221–223
 Cosmides, L., 221–223
 Cuite, C. L., 221–223
 Cummings, J., 220–221
- DeFrank, J. T., 235–236
 Dehaene, S., 216–217
 Del Missier, F. T., 16–17
 Dhami, M. K., 154–156
 Dieckmann, N., 184–185, 220–221
 Dixon, A., 220–221
 Downs, J. S., 178–179
 Dukhovny, S., 6, 71, 130–148, 211–212, 281
- El-Jawahri, A., 52–53
 Emmons, K. M., 175–177, 221–223
 Epstein, S., 225–228
 Estrada, C. A., 93–94
 Evans, J. St. B. T., 225–226
- Fagerlin, A., 5, 80–99
 Feldman-Stewart, D., 232–233
 Finucane, M. L., 183
 Fischhoff, B., 178–179
 Fraenkel, L., 188, 232–233
 Freud, S., 225–226
 Frosch, D. L., 52–53, 188–189
- Gaissmaier, W., 5, 39–54, 236–237, 281
 Galesic, M., 49–51, 84, 154–156
 on bias reduction with visual aids, 157–163
 cognition research by, 224
 message-framing vulnerability, visual aids for reduction of, 161–163
- Garcia-Retamero, R., 6–7, 11–35, 49–51, 84, 281
 on bias reduction with visual aids, 157–163
 cognition research by, 224
 graph literacy research by, 223–224
 on low-numeracy patient risk perception, 154–156, 281–282
 message-framing vulnerability, visual aids for reduction of, 161–163
 on visual aids for behavioral interventions, 163–165, 282–283
- Ghazal, S., 11–35, 281
 Gigerenzer, G., 3–4, 154–156, 221–223, 262–263
 Giuliani, R., 47–48
 Glick, J. T., 175–177

Name index

285

- Hackshaw, A. K., 178
 Han, P., 230–232
 Hanoch, Y., 61, 220–221
 Hellems, M. A., 69–71
 Hibbard, J. H., 183, 220–221
 Huizinga, M. M., 92–93
- Izard, V., 216–217
- Jepson, C., 51–52
- Kahneman, D., 3–4, 260–261
 Kanter, S. L., 72–74
 Keysar, B., 181
 Kumar, D., 89–91
 Kurzon, N., 82–83
- Liberali, J. M., 11–13, 20–22
 Lipkus, L. M.
 abbreviated numeracy scale assessment,
 20–22
 Berlin Numeracy Test and, 22–23
 bias reduction using numeracy
 scale, 158
 cancer screening risk/benefit,
 patient numeracy concerning,
 96–97
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment
 and, 107–109
 numeracy measurement tools and, 4–5,
 14–17
 numeracy scale of, 154–156
 on numerical reasoning, 4
 on patient numeracy skills, 87–88
 patient numeracy studies and, 87
 Liu, P., 220–221
- Maldonado, A., 161
 Marden, S., 92–93
 Mayman, G., 80–99
 McGlynn, E. A., 193
 Mertz, C. K., 217–218, 220–221
 Multmeier, J., 5, 39–54, 281,
 282–283
- Natter, H., 224
 Nelson, W., 230–232
- Okan, Y., 161
 O’Neill, O., 263–265
- Paul, E. A., 178
 Paulos, J. A., 42–43
 Paulus, R., 6–7, 74–75, 193–212,
 281–282
- Peters, E., 6–7, 217–218, 220–221
 dual-process theory and, 228
 on framing effects, 226–228
 irrational bias research and, 229–230
 on visual aids for low-numeracy patients,
 175–189, 281–282
- Piaget, J., 226–228
 Pica, P., 216–217
 Pignone, M. P., 230–232
- Rao, G., 72–74
 Reyna, V. F., 6–7, 215–239, 230–232,
 235–236, 281–282
- Rice, T., 220–221
 Richman, A. R., 235–236
 Rideout, V., 52–53
 Robinson, P. A., 175–177
 Romo, T., 39–40, 47–48, 51–52
- Salton, E., 226–228
 Schapira, M. M., 17–20, 96–97, 221–223,
 229–230
 Schneider, C., 263–265
 Schroy, P. C., 175–177
 Schulkin, J., 59–75, 281–283
 Schwartz, L. M.
 Berlin Numeracy Test and, 22–23
 bias reduction with numeracy scale,
 154–156, 158
 numeracy measurement research of, 4,
 6–7, 11–13, 14, 93–94
 numeracy scale, 4–5, 42–43, 54, 109
 Schwartz, P. H., 252–275, 281–282
- Sharabi, D., 226–228
 Shewhart, W. A., 194–195
 Shiloh, S., 226–228
 Siegler, R. S., 216–217, 219
 Simon, H., 3–4, 262–263
 Slovic, P., 183, 217–218, 228
 Spelke, E., 216–217
 Stanovich, K. E., 225–226
 Stewart, W. F., 6–7, 74–75, 193–212,
 281–282
- Tusler, M., 183
 Tversky, A., 3–4, 260–261
- Ubel, B., 51–52
- Västfjäll, D., 217–218
 Volandes, A. E., 52–53
- Waldrop-Valverde, D., 96
 Waters, E. A., 221–223
 Wegwarth, O., 5, 39–54, 63–64, 281

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04094-6 - Numerical Reasoning in Judgments and Decision Making about Health

Edited by Britta L. Anderson and Jay Schulkin

Index

[More information](#)

286 Name index

Weinfurt, K. P., 82–83

Weinstein, N. D., 221–223

Welch, H. G., 54

Weller, J. A., 20–22

Wells, H. G., on statistics, 54

Whitney, S., 263–265

Wilkins-Haug, L., 6, 71, 130–148,
211–212, 281

Woloshin, S., 54

Wood, S., 220–221

Zikmund-Fisher, B., 5, 80–99

Subject index

- a priori construct validity model, Diabetes Numeracy Test, 109–112
- a priori risk reduction, genetic literacy and numeracy in, 138–139
- abbreviated numeracy scale, 4–5
- assessment of, 20–22, 34
- Berlin Numeracy Test comparisons with, 23–29
- absolute risk
- absence of PSA-screening link to, 41
 - for breast cancer, 266–267
 - in fuzzy-trace theory, 233–234
 - harm of cancer therapy represented as, 43–45
 - low-numeracy patients bias concerning, 157–163
 - mismatched framing of statistical information on, 69–71
 - relative risk vs., 43–45
- ACC (American College of Cardiology), CABG guidelines, 204–206
- ACMG (American College for Medical Genetics), 132–134, 137–143
- ACOG. *See* American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
- ACPF (American College of Physicians Foundation), “Living with Diabetes Guide,” 121–122
- action thresholds, in evidence-based medicine, 200–201
- adaptive communication, models for, 179–183
- adaptive testing
- Berlin Numeracy Test, 4–5, 22–23
 - numeracy assessment using, 22–35
- Adjuvant Online decision aid, patient numeracy and risk perception of cancer therapy, 87–88
- adolescents, numeracy research involving, 237–239
- Advance Progressive Matrices, numeracy assessment tests and, 16
- advertising, barriers to communication in, 188–189
- affect heuristic
- comprehension of health information and, 184–185
 - memory for numbers and, 185–186
 - quantitative imperative concerning, 252–253
- Affordable Care Act, diabetes management and, 204
- African American patients
- genetics testing literacy in, 145–147
 - HIV patients, numeracy skills and outcomes in, 96
 - sickle cell mutation, prenatal screening for, 132–134
- after visit summary (AVS) and shared decision making, 209
- age levels
- comprehension of health information and, 183–188
 - diabetic patient numeracy assessment and, 107–109
 - Down syndrome probability and maternal age, 131–132
 - in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
 - genetics testing literacy and, 145–147
 - numeracy research involving, 237–239
 - patient numeracy skills concerning cancer screening and, 96–97
 - shared-decision making models and, 206–208
 - visual aids for risk perception and, 154–156, 166–168
- AHA (American Heart Association), CABG guidelines, 204–206
- Alaskan Natives, diabetes-related numeracy performance in, 113–115

288 Subject index

- alerts, health outcomes monitoring using, 209–212
- ambiguity
 barriers to communication and, 179
 health outcomes monitoring by, 211–212
 patients' numeracy and tolerance for, 85
- ambulatory care, health outcomes monitoring, 209–212
- American College for Medical Genetics (ACMG), 132–134, 137–143
- American College of Cardiology (ACC), CABG guidelines, 204–206
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), 132–134
 BRCA1/2 mutation testing, 136–137
 consumer information guidelines, 204–206
 genetic screening guidelines, 143–145
- American College of Physicians Foundation (ACPF), "Living with Diabetes Guide," 121–122
- American Diabetes Association
 diabetes numeracy research and, 122–125
 Standards of Care, 203
- American Heart Association (AHA), CABG guidelines, 204–206
- American Indians, diabetes-related numeracy performance in, 113–115
- American Society of Clinical Oncology, 136–137
- amniocentesis
 Down syndrome screening, 131–132
 a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 risks associated with, 134–135
- analytical process
 dual-process numeracy research and, 225–226
 ratio bias effect and, 226–228
- aneuploidy screening programs
 a priori risk reduction, literacy skills in, 138–139
 ACOG guidelines for, 143–145
 Down syndrome screening, 131–132
 invasive prenatal diagnosis and, 134–135
 non-invasive prenatal genetic testing, 135–136
- angioplasty, narrative vs. statistical evidence concerning, 51–52
- ANQ (Asthma Numeracy Questionnaire), 94–95
- anticoagulation control, patient numeracy and outcomes in, 93–94, 109
- antidepressants, physicians' communication about benefits and side effects, 67–68
- arithmetic testing
 patient numeracy assessment and, 89–91
 WRAT-A, 17–20
- array technology, literacy and numeracy challenges of, 134–135
- Ashkenazi Jewish population
 BRCA1/2 mutations in, 136–137
 large effect genetic determinants in, 142–143
 Tay-Sachs mutation screening in, 132–134, 143–145
- Asthma Numeracy Questionnaire (ANQ), 94–95, 109
- asthma self-management, patient numeracy and outcomes in, 94–95
- autonomy
 mandatory autonomy, 263–265
 quantitative imperative and, 253–254
- autosomal dominant disease mutations and mendelian genetics, 140–142
- autosomal recessive disease mutations
 healthcare providers' training for counseling in, 143–145
 mendelian genetics and, 140–142
 population-based carrier screening, 132–134
- availability heuristic
 quantitative imperative and, 260–261
 reasonable person standard and, 272–273
- AVS (after visit summary) and shared decision making, 209
- bar charts, risk perception in low-numeracy patients using, 154–156, 162
- barriers to communication
 categories of, 178–186
 communicators as barriers, 179–183
 decision making impact of, 175–177
 in diabetes care, 118–122
 future research issues, 188–189
 in low-numeracy patients, 6–7
 motives of communicators and, 188
 overview of, 177–188
 from patient to consumer, 183–188
 research on, 175–189, 281–282
 shared decision-making models and, 206–208
 systemic barriers, 178–179
- base-rate neglect
 class-inclusion confusion and, 236–237
 computational numeracy research and, 221–223
- Bayes Theorem
 cancer screening statistics and, 45–46

Subject index

289

- physicians' statistical abilities and use of, 64–66
- Bayesian reasoning
 - example of, 65
 - objective numeracy in physicians and, 60–61
 - physicians' statistical abilities research and, 64–66, 68
- behavioral economics and expected utility or preference matching evaluation, 268–269
- benefits perceptions
 - affect heuristics in comprehension of, 186–187
 - communication of statistical information on, 67–68
 - mismatched framing of statistical information on, 69–71
 - relative risk representations and, 43–45, 186–187
 - vaccine risks and benefits, conflicting information concerning, 178–179
 - visual aids for perception of, 154
- Berlin Numeracy Test
 - cross-cultural discriminability and, 30–31
 - limitations of, 31–32
 - overview of, 22–23
 - test construction and items in, 23–29
- bet attractiveness estimation, abbreviated numeracy scale and, 20–22
- beta thalassemia, screening for, 132–134, 143–145
- bias
 - gist vs. verbatim processing and reduction of, 235–236
 - quantitative imperative and, 260–261
 - quantitative imperative concerning, 252–253
 - visual aids for reduction in low-numeracy patients, 154–156
- biomarkers
 - a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 - Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- biostatistics curriculum, need in medical education for, 72–74
- blood glucose monitoring
 - diabetic patient numeracy assessment concerning, 107–109
 - patient numeracy and outcomes in, 92–93
- BMI (Body Mass Index), patient numeracy and interpretation of, 84–88
- BMJ* (*British Medical Journal*), mismatched framing in studies by, 43–45
- Body Mass Index (BMI), patient numeracy and interpretation of, 84–88
- bottom line meaning, visual aids for comprehension of, 165–166
- bounded rationality
 - decision sciences and, 3–4
 - quantitative imperative and, 262–263
- BRCA1/2 mutations
 - breast and ovarian cancer screening and, 136–137
 - large effect genetic determinants in, 142–143
- breast cancer. *See also* mammography screening
 - absolute risk for, 266–267
 - barriers to information about therapy for, 184
 - conditional probability vs. natural frequencies in screening, 45–46
 - expected utility in risk/benefit evaluation of screening for, 267–268
 - genetic screening for, 136–137
 - large effect genetic determinants in, 142–143
 - numeracy skills and health behaviors concerning, 42–43, 88
 - overestimation of reduction with mammography, 43–45, 233–234
 - patient numeracy skills and screening results for, 84–85, 87–88
 - quantitative imperative in screening for, 254–255
 - recurrence risk perception, gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
 - risk perception, and numeracy skills, 4, 14, 87–88, 266–267
 - utility elicitations in screening decisions, 256
- breast self examination, systemic communication barriers concerning, 178
- “bundled” care systems
 - CABG care optimization and, 204–206
 - diabetes management and, 202–204
- bypass surgery, narrative vs. statistical evidence concerning, 51–52
- CABG (coronary artery bypass graft), Geisinger Health System performance improvement in, 204–206
- cancer screening. *See also specific types of cancer and cancer screening*
 - absolute vs. relative risk of harm and benefits of, 43–45

290 Subject index

- cancer screening (cont.)
 conditional probability vs. natural frequencies in, 45–46
 decision aids for, 175–177
 environmental risks and, 179
 lead-time bias and, 47–49
 outcomes description and, 269–270
 overdiagnosis bias and, 47–49
 patient numeracy skills and risk/benefit assessment, 84–85, 96–97
 uncertainty and predictability in, patients' understanding of, 82–83
- cancer therapy
 absolute vs. relative risk perception for, 43–45
 barriers to information about, 184
 communication of statistical information on, 67–68
 mismatched framing of statistics on, 69–71
 patient numeracy and risk perception in, 87–88
- capital-intensive health care, optimization of, 204–206
- care delivery systems
 chronic disease management, 202–204
 impact of television and movies on preferences in, 52–53
 Patient Centered Medical Home Model, 201–204
- Care Gaps, diabetes management and, 202–203
- carrier frequency
 healthcare providers' training for counseling in, 143–145
 mendelian genetics literacy and, 140–142
 population-based carrier screening recommendations and, 132–134
- Cartesian dualism, dual-process numeracy research and, 225–226
- categorical possibility
 absolute vs. relative risk and, 233–234
 in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
 gist and verbatim number representation, 232–233
- CDIS. *See* Clinical Decision Intelligence System
- CFTR gene mutations, mendelian genetics and, 140–142
- children's medication doses, patient numeracy skills and, 89–91
- chorionic villus sampling (CVS)
 Down syndrome screening, 131–132
 healthcare providers' training for, 143–145
 risks associated with, 134–135
- chromosomal microarray testing
 genetic variance and, 139–140
 healthcare providers' training for, 143–145
- chromosome analysis
 literacy and numeracy challenges of, 134–135
 non-invasive prenatal genetic testing, 135–136
- chronic disease management
 care delivery systems for, 202–204
 expected utility or preference matching evaluation and, 268–269
- chronic kidney disease (CKD)
 clinical management and patient numeracy in, 124
 patient numeracy and outcomes in, 95–96
- CKD. *See* chronic kidney disease
- class-inclusion hypothesis
 computational numeracy research and, 221–223
 fuzzy-trace theory and, 236–237
- classical testing theory, numeracy measurement and, 13–17
- Clinical Decision Intelligence System (CDIS) (Geisinger)
 diabetes management in, 202–204
 experimental-grade performance improvement using, 197–199
- clinical medicine
 barriers to diabetes care and, 118–122
 Bayesian reasoning abilities and, 64–66
 best options identification in, 208–209
 communication of clinical evidence in, 42–53
 diabetes numeracy research impact on, 122–125
 electronic health records and improvement of, 198–199
 genomic health literacy and, 130, 147–148
 health outcomes monitoring and, 209–212
 numeracy and decision making in, 4
 numeracy mechanisms in, 215–239
 performance improvements in, 194–195
 physicians' numeracy skills and, 5
 potential substitutions in, 200
 reasonable person standard in, 272–273
 tabular displays of evidence in, 54
- clinical trials
 predictability and uncertainty in, 82–83
 visual aids for bias reduction in, 157–163

Subject index

291

- CNV (copy number variants) and chromosome microarray testing, 139–140
- Cochrane Reviews, medical evidence analysis, 69–71
- cognitive function
 in computational numeracy research, 224
 in dual-process numeracy research, 225–226
 gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
 information overload and working memory and, 220–221
 numeracy assessment tests and, 16
 numeracy skills and, 6–7
 numerical perception and, 216–217
 patient numeracy and HIV management and, 96
 visual aids for risk perception and, 154–156, 166–168
- cognitive impulsivity
 abbreviated numeracy scale assessment and, 20–22
 numeracy assessment tests and, 16–17
- Cognitive Reflection Test, 20–22
 abbreviated numeracy scale assessment and, 20–22
 numeracy assessment tests and, 16–17
- “collective statistical illiteracy,” 5, 282–283
- colorectal cancer screening
 decision aids for, 175–177
 reasonable person standard in decisions about, 272–273
- Common Core State Standards Initiatives, 11–13
- communication. *See also* barriers to communication
 after visit summary, 209
 of clinical evidence, 42–53
 clinical practice in diabetes and improvement of, 122–125
 diabetes care and barriers to, 118–122
 for diabetic patients, strategies for, 119
 framing effects in, 226–228
 future research issues in, 282–283
 of genetic screening information, 71
 graphical information for risk communication, 49–51
 health outcomes monitoring, 209–212
 healthcare system failures concerning, 194
 information vs. persuasion, 40–42
 interdisciplinary research concerning visual aids in, 165–166
 low-numeracy patients and barriers to, 6–7, 153–168, 154–156
 overcoming low reading abilities and, 51–53
 overestimation of skills in, 181
 patient engagement in performance improvement and, 206–208
 in patient–physician collaboration, 164–165
 in performance improvement models, 201
 physician understanding and use of numeric information and, 59–75
 of risk, strategies for, 154–156, 166–168, 236–237
 skills training for physicians in, 74–75
 of statistical information, physicians’ abilities in, 67–68
 visual aids for, 153–168
- comparative evaluations
 barriers to information about, 183–184
 healthcare system failures concerning, 194
 numeracy assessment tests and, 16–17
- comprehension of health information
 barriers to communication and, 175–177
 class-inclusion confusion and, 236–237
 communicators’ barriers to, 181–183
 dosing instructions comprehension, 89–91
 graph literacy research and, 223–224
 health uncertainty and predictability comprehension, 82–83
 meaning of information, barriers to understanding, 184–185
 by patients and health plan consumers, 183–188
 preferences and intuitions concerning, 186
 probability comprehension, 85
 shared decision-making models and, 206–208
 visual aids for, 165–166
- computational numeracy research, 220–225
 future issues in, 237–239
 graph literacy in, 223–224
 information overload and working memory, 220–221
 simple and natural frequencies in, 221–223
- computational skills
 in Diabetes Numeracy Test, 109–112
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment and, 107–109
 gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
 neurophysiological function and, 219
 patient numeracy and, 89–91
- computer literacy, 23, 31
- concept questions, physicians’ statistical abilities in, 62–63

292 Subject index

- conditional probability
 a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 in cancer screenings, 45–46, 47
 computational numeracy research and, 221–223
 visual aids in patient–physician communications about, 164–165
- condom use, visual aids for promotion of, 163–165
- confidence. *See* statistical confidence
- confirmatory dimensionality, NUMi test assessment, 17–20
- confirmatory factor analysis, abbreviated numeracy scale, 20–22
- conflicting information, barriers to communication and, 178–179
- congestive heart failure, patient numeracy and clinical management of, 124
- conjoint analysis, expected utility or preference matching evaluation, 268–269
- conjunction fallacy, computational numeracy research and, 221–223
- consumers of healthcare. *See also* patient-centered care
 barriers to communication from patient to, 183–188
 comprehension of health insurance in, 181–183
 critical health information, barriers to, 175–177
 performance improvement in, 201–204
 continuing medical education programs
 genetic counseling, 143–145
 healthcare providers, genetics numeracy skills development, 137–143
 statistical literacy curriculum in, 72–74
- Continuous Quality Improvement and healthcare system reform, 194–195
- convergent validity
 Berlin Numeracy Test, 23–29
 in numeracy assessment methods, 18–20
- cooperative medicine, statistical information and, 71–72
- copy number variants (CNV) and chromosome microarray testing, 139–140
- coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), Geisinger Health System performance improvement in, 204–206
- costs of healthcare
 barriers to communication and, 175–177
 direct-to-consumer marketing genetics testing and, 145–147
 healthcare system failures concerning, 193
 optimization of high-cost, capital-intensive care, 204–206
- counting systems, neurophysiological function and, 219
- cross-cultural issues
 Berlin Numeracy Test, 30–33
 numeracy assessment tests and, 16
 psychometric numeracy performance tests, 30–31
- cultural factors
 in diabetes numeracy, 124–125
 genetics testing literacy and, 145–148
 gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
 visual aids for risk perception and, 166–168
- Curriculum Management and Information Tool (CurrMIT), statistics/biostatistics curriculum data in, 72–74
- “curse of knowledge,” barriers to communication and, 180
- CVS. *See* chorionic villus sampling
- cystic fibrosis
 genetic screening for, 132–134, 143–145
 mendelian genetics literacy and screening for, 140–142
- D antigen negative patients, non-invasive prenatal genetic testing, 135–136
- data quality
 in Clinical Decision Intelligence System, 197–199
 in performance improvement models, 195–197
 shared decision-making models and, 208
- decision aids, 176–178
 assessment of, 270–271
 health outcomes monitoring and, 211
 International Patient Decision Aids Standards, 258–259
 patient–physician collaboration using, 175–177
 quantitative imperative concerning, 252–253, 263–265, 275
 SDM process and use of, 206–208
 utility elicitations, quantitative imperative and, 256
- decision conflict scale, decision aid assessment, 270–271
- decision making. *See also* shared decision-making (SDM) process
 absolute vs. relative risk and, 233–234
 barriers to critical information and, 175–189

Subject index

293

- distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
- in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
- gist and verbatim number representation and, 232–233
- information overload and working memory effects on, 220–221
- informed decision-making model, 253–254
- invasive prenatal diagnosis risk assessment and, 134–135
- judgment and, 3–4
- medical decision making, statistics and, 71–72
- narrative vs. statistical evidence and, 51–52
- numeracy skills assessment and, 14–17
- numeracy theories and, review of, 215–239
- numerical reasoning and, 4
- objective numeracy in physicians and, 60–61
- overcoming low reading abilities and, 51–53
- patient education for informed decision making, 41–42
- patient numeracy skills and, 80–99
- physician numeracy and, 59
- quantitative imperative and, 253–254
- ratio bias and framing effects and, 226–228
- rationality theories and, 262–263
- visual aids for low-numeracy patients, 153–168
- decision sciences, evolution of, 3–4
- decision tree algorithm, Berlin Numeracy Test, 22–23
- dementia, small effect genetic determinants, 142–143
- denominator neglect bias
 - framing effect and, 226–228
 - frequency effect and, 228
 - quantitative imperative and, 260–261
 - risk communication and, 49–51, 236–237
 - visual aids for reduction of, 49–51, 157–163
- descriptive research, decision sciences and, 3–4
- detection rates, population-based carrier screening recommendations and, 132–134
- developmental research, numerical perception, 216–217
- Diabetes Literacy and Numeracy Education Toolkit (DLNET), assessment of, 118–122
- diabetes mellitus. *See also* American Diabetes Association; glycemic control; hemoglobin A1c levels; Latino diabetic patients
 - barriers to care due to numeracy skills, 118–122
 - care delivery systems for, 202–204
 - clinical practice and numeracy research in, 122–125
 - communication strategies for, 119
 - epidemiology, 105–106
 - future research issues in patient numeracy and, 124–125, 282–283
 - general objective numeracy measures of patients, 107–109
 - health disparities and patient numeracy, 117
 - numeracy applications in, 6, 105–125, 281
 - numeracy assessment in patients with, 107–113
 - objective numeracy measures of patients, diabetes-specific, 109–112
 - outcomes and numeracy in patients with, 113–118
 - patient numeracy and self-management of, 92–93
 - performance assessment, diabetes-related numeracy, 113–115
 - self-efficacy and self-care behaviors in patients with diabetes knowledge, 116–117
 - small effect genetic determinants, 142–143
 - subjective numeracy assessment of patients with, 112
 - summary of patient numeracy assessments, 114–119
 - tasks and related numeracy domains, 106
 - web resources for, 122
- Diabetes Numeracy Test (DNT), 92–93
 - clinical practice and impact of, 122–125
 - diabetes knowledge, self-efficacy, and self-care and performance on, 116–117
 - future research issues for, 124–125
 - health outcomes and, 115–118, 211–212
 - for Latino diabetic patients, 111
 - limitations of, 109–112
 - objective patient numeracy assessments and, 109–112
 - performance evaluations in, 113–115
- Diabetes Numeracy Test (DNT15) for Latino diabetic patients
 - hemoglobin A1c management and, 115–118
 - introduction of, 111
 - performance assessment of, 113–115

294 Subject index

- diagnostic inferences
 objective numeracy in physicians and, 60–61
 visual aids in patient–physician communications about, 164–165
- dimensionality analysis, of NUMi test, 18–20
- direct-to-consumer marketing (DTC)
 barriers to communication in, 188–189
 genetics testing, patient health literacy concerning, 145–147
- discrete emotions, in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
- disease management. *See also specific diseases, e.g., diabetes*
 patient numeracy skills and, 92–99
- disjunction fallacy, computational numeracy research and, 221–223
- DLNET (Diabetes Literacy and Numeracy Education Toolkit), assessment of, 118–122
- DNA array technology
 genetic variance and, 139–140
 literacy and numeracy challenges of, 134–135
 patient health literacy concerning, 145–147
- DNT. *See Diabetes Numeracy Test*
- DNT15. *See Diabetes Numeracy Test (DNT15) for Latino diabetic patients*
- doctors. *See physicians*
- domain framing, numeracy skills assessment and, 14–17, 20–22
- dosing instructions
 diabetes self-management, patient numeracy and, 92–93
 for low-numeracy patients, 153–154
 patient numeracy and, 89–91
- Down syndrome probability
 genetic counselors' numeracy concerning, 143–145
 genetic screening for, 131–132
 invasive prenatal diagnosis, 134–135
 non-invasive prenatal genetic testing, 135–136
 physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities concerning, 64–66
 a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
- drug facts boxes, format for, 54
- DTC. *See direct-to-consumer marketing*
- dual-choice experiments, expected utility or preference matching evaluation, 268–269
- dual-process numeracy research, 225–230
 frequency effect and percentages in, 228
 future issues in, 237–239
- irrational bias and mood in, 229–230
 overview, 225–226
 quantitative imperative and, 261–262
 ratio bias and framing effects, 226–228
- ecological validity
 bias in, visual aids for reduction of, 157–163
 graph literacy research and, 223–224
- education levels
 abbreviated numeracy scale assessment and, 20–22
 barriers to diabetes care and, 118–122
 Berlin Numeracy Test validity and, 23–29
 Diabetes Numeracy Test correlation with, 109–112
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment and, 107–109
 in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
 genetics testing literacy and, 145–147
 gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
 of healthcare providers, genetics numeracy and, 137–143
 information vs. persuasion and, 40–42
 low reading abilities and, 51–53
 numeracy education and, 259–260
 numeracy skills assessment and, 11–13, 14
 numerical perception, 216–217
 NUMi test and impact of, 18–20
 patient numeracy and, 89–91
 in shared decision-making models, 206–208
 in statistical literacy, 72–74
 visual aids comprehension and, 163–165
- effect size
 large effect genetic determinants, 142–143
 small effect genetic determinants, 142–143
- effectiveness of treatment
 perception bias concerning, visual aids for reduction of, 157–163
 quantitative imperative and, 254–255
- EHR. *See electronic health records*
- electronic health records (EHR)
 CABG care optimization and, 204–206
 clinical medical practices and, 211–212
 data quality in, 208
 in experimental-grade performance improvement models, 197–199
 in performance improvement models, 195–197
 shared decision-making models and, 206–208

Subject index

295

- emergency contraception, television shows and information on, 52–53
- Emergency Room* (television show), health information on, 52–53
- emotion effect, in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
- encoding systems
numerical perception and, 219
visual aids for comprehension of, 165–166
- end-of-life, informational videos on, 52–53
- end stage renal disease (ESRD), patient numeracy and outcomes in, 95–96
- “Enduring Materials” format, statistical literacy curriculum in, 72–74
- environmental cancer risk, conflicting information concerning, 179
- ESRD (end stage renal disease), patient numeracy and outcomes in, 95–96
- ethics in numeracy
autonomy and, 253–254
dual-representation model and, 261–262
probabilities and expected utility theory and, 256–258
problems with quantitative imperative and, 259–265
quantitative imperative and, 252–253, 263–265
rationality theories and, 262–263
reasonable person standard and, 271–272
utilities principles in, 256
- ethnic groups
BRCA1/2 mutation distribution, 136–137
diabetes-related numeracy performance in, 113–115
mendelian genetics literacy and screening in, 140–142
numerical perception in, 216–217
population-based carrier screening in, 132–134
- evaluability of health information, patient numeracy and, 85–88
- evidence-based medicine
action thresholds in, 200–201
chronic disease management and, 202–204
diabetes management in, 202–204
electronic health records and improvement of, 197–199
healthcare system failures concerning, 193
numeracy and decision making in, 4
physicians’ numeracy skills and, 5
physicians’ use of statistics in, 69–71
statistical literacy training curriculum for, 72–74
- statistical literacy training development for, 74–75
- excess treatment intensity, performance improvement models and, 200
- executive function measurements
numeracy assessment tests and, 16–17
patient numeracy and HIV management and, 96
- expected utility theory
outcomes description and, 269–270
preference matching evaluation, 268–269
quantitative imperative and, 256–258, 260–261
quantitative information evaluation and, 267–268
- experiential processing
gist vs. verbatim processing as, 235–236
ratio bias effect and, 226–228
- experimental-grade performance improvement, healthcare systems reform and, 197–199
- experimentation improvement models, 194–195
- eye-tracking studies
patient numeracy skills and graphics comprehension and, 83–85
visual aids for risk perception and, 166–168
- Factor analyses, Diabetes Numeracy Test, 109–112
- Faith in Intuition scale
dual-process numeracy research and, 225–226
framing effects and, 226–228
- false-positive test results, physicians’ Bayesian reasoning abilities concerning, 64–66
- fast cognitive system, numeracy skills and, 6–7
- FDA. *See* Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulation
- fetal DNA, non-invasive prenatal genetic testing, 135–136
- fetal sex determination, non-invasive prenatal genetic testing for, 135–136
- five-year survival rate, cancer treatment assessment using, 63–64
- Flexner report, 1–3
- flow characteristics, performance improvement models and, 200
- flu vaccine risks and benefits, conflicting information on, 179
- fluid intelligence, numeracy assessment tests and, 16

296 Subject index

- Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
 regulation
 adaptive communication model and, 180
 direct-to-consumer marketing genetics testing and, 145–147
 risk information communication and, 187
 framing effects. *See also* mismatched framing comprehension of health insurance and, 164, 181–183
 dual-process numeracy research on, 226–228
 graphical risk communication and reduction of, 49–51
 visual aids for reduction in low-numeracy patients, 161–163
 frequency effect bias, in dual-process numeracy research, 228
 frequency format. *See also* natural frequency format
 a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 Bayesian reasoning and, 64
 in computational numeracy research, 221–223
 risk information, patient numeracy skills and, 83–85
 single event probability vs., 46–47
 future predictions, physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities concerning, 64–66
 fuzzy-trace theory, 230–237
 absolute and relative risk in, 233–234
 dual-process numeracy research and, 225–226
 future issues in, 237–239
 gist and verbatim numbers representation in, 232–233
 gist vs. verbatim processing in, 235–236
 meaning and emotion in, 230–232
 risk communication and class-inclusion confusion in, 236–237
- gain-framed appeals, visual aids for, 163–165
 gambling risk perception, irrational bias and, 229–230
 Geisinger Health System
 Clinical Decision Intelligence System, 197–199
 health outcomes monitoring, 209–212
 optimization of high-cost, capital-intensive health care in, 204–206
 Patient Centered Medical Home Model in, 201–204
 performance improvement model in, 201
 quality and numeracy skills in, 6–7
 statistical literacy data from, 74–75
- gene-wide association studies (GWAS), 142–143
 general population, Berlin Numeracy Test assessment of, 31
 genetic counseling, literacy and numeracy requirements for, 143–145, 211–212
 genetic screening
 a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 breast and ovarian cancer risk, 136–137
 direct-to-consumer testing, patient numeracy and, 89–91
 Down syndrome, 131–132
 future research in literacy about, 147–148, 282–283
 genetic variance and, 139–140
 by healthcare providers, 137–145
 invasive prenatal diagnosis, 134–135
 large vs. small effect genetic determinants, 142–143
 mendelian genetics and, 140–142
 non-invasive prenatal genetic testing, 135–136
 numeracy challenges in, 6, 130–148, 281
 in obstetrics and gynecology, 130–137
 patient health literacy concerning, 145–147
 physician communication of statistical information on, 71
 population-based carrier screening, 132–134
 genetic variance, healthcare provider literacy and numeracy in, 139–140
 genomic literacy
 in clinical medicine, 5, 130, 148
 gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
 gist representation
 absolute vs. relative risk and, 233–234
 dual representation model and, 261–262
 evaluation of quantitative information and, 266–267
 future issues in, 237–239
 fuzzy-trace theory and concepts of, 230–233
 impact measurement and, 273–274
 quantitative imperative and, 260–261
 risk communication and class-inclusion confusion and, 236–237
 verbatim processing vs., 235–236
 glycemic control
 Diabetes Numeracy Test and, 115–118
 mathematics skills and interpretation of, 105–106
 patient numeracy and outcomes in, 92–93
 government agencies, risk information communication from, 187

Subject index

297

- graphic literacy
 bias reduction in patients and, 161
 in computational numeracy research, 223–224
 future research in, 166–168
 innumeracy and limits of, 154–156, 259–260
 patient numeracy skills and, 20, 83–85
 preferences and intuitions concerning health information and, 186
 risk perception and, 154–156
 scale for, 49–51
Grey's Anatomy (television show), health information on, 52–53
Guide to Clinical Preventive Services, 43–45
 guideline-based evidence, performance improvement models and, 197–199
 GWAS (gene-wide association studies), 142–143
 gynecologists. *See* obstetricians and gynecologists
- harm from cancer therapy, absolute risk representations for, 43–45
 hCG (human chorionic gonadotropin), 131–132
- health behavior
 diabetes health disparities and patterns of, 117
 diabetes knowledge, self-efficacy, and self-care, 116–117
 distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
 impact of video information on, 52–53
 numeracy in patients and, 42–43
 patient numeracy skills and decision making on, 85–88
 promotion and encouragement of, 39–40
 visual aids for promotion of, 154, 163–165, 166–168
- health campaigns
 mismatched framing of statistical information in, 69–71
 non-transparent and incomplete information in, 41
- health data, patient numeracy implications for, 97–98
- health informatics systems, patient numeracy implications for, 97–98
- health information. *See also* uncertainty in health information
 balance in, 39–40
 barriers to critical information, 175–177
 barriers to patients and consumers from, 183–188
 collective statistical illiteracy in, 39–54
 communicators' barriers to, 179–183
 comprehension of, 175–177
 decision aids for access to, 175–177
 diabetes management and literacy in, 105–106
 “evaluability” of, patient numeracy and, 85–88
 evaluation of, 266–274
 framing effects in, 226–228
 health outcomes monitoring, 209–212
 literacy, defined, 1
 low reading abilities and communication of, 51–53
 in movies and television, 52–53
 non-transparent information sources, 44
 numeracy and, 1
 numeracy skills and organization of, 6–7
 patient numeracy skills and, 80–99
 persuasion vs., 40–42
 preference components, patient numeracy linked to, 89–91
 quantitative imperative concerning, 252–253
 reading comprehension test for, 17–20
 shift changes and exchange of, 181
 single event probability vs. frequencies in, 46–47
 technology innovations and diabetic numeracy, 124–125
 transparency in, 53–54
 visual aids for comprehension of, 165–166
 visual displays of, 49–51
- Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS) data
 cancer screening risk/benefit, patient numeracy concerning, 96–97
 patient literacy in genetics testing, 145–147
 patient numeracy assessment and, 89–91
- health insurance plans
 barriers to comprehension of, 181–183
 information overload in decision process on, 220–221
- health interventions, pros and cons of, 39–40
- health literacy
 a priori risk reduction, 138–139
 barriers to diabetes care due to, 118–122
 clinical practice in diabetes and, 122–125
 cross-cultural comparisons, 30–31
 defined, 1
 diabetes health disparities and, 117
 diabetes knowledge, self-efficacy and self-care behaviors, 116–117

298 Subject index

health literacy (cont.)

- Diabetes Numeracy Test correlation with, 109–112, 115–118
- diabetes-related numeracy, performance comparisons, 113–115
- fuzzy-trace theory and, 230–237
- genetics screening skills and, 137–143, 147–148
- in genomics, 130
- health outcomes monitoring and, 209–212
- healthcare providers, numeracy skills for genetic interpretation and counseling, 137–143
- hemoglobin A1c levels management and, 115–118
- invasive prenatal diagnosis risk assessment and, 134–135
- in mendelian genetics, 140–142
- numerical reasoning skills and, 153–154
- patient genetic health literacy, 145–147
- patient numeracy skills and, 89–91
- performance improvements in, 194–195
- shared decision-making models and, 206–208
- subjective diabetic patient numeracy assessment and, 112
- health outcomes
 - CABG care optimization study and, 204–206
 - description and identification of, 269–270
 - in diabetes, 105–106
 - diabetes health disparities and patient numeracy, 117
 - Diabetes Numeracy Test performance and, 115–118
 - diabetes-related patient numeracy and, 113–118
 - examples of, 92
 - expected utility in measurement of, 267–268
 - Geisinger's quality outcomes program, 202
 - healthcare system failures concerning, 193
 - monitoring of, 209–212
 - numeracy skills and, 5
 - patient engagement and improvement in, 206–208
 - patient numeracy skills linked to, 85–88, 92–99
 - in performance improvement models, 195–197
 - pragmatic rational theory and evaluation of, 262–263
 - reasonable person standard in judgment concerning, 272–273
 - uncertainty of, patients' understanding concerning, 82–83
 - utility elicitation, quantitative imperative and, 256
- health plan choice studies, barriers to information in, 183–188
- health policymaking
 - barriers to communication in, 175–177
 - patient numeracy implications for, 97–98
- health risk calculators, patient numeracy and use of, 89–91
- healthcare providers. *See also* physicians
 - barriers to communication by, 179–183
 - barriers to critical information concerning, 175–177
 - Berlin Numeracy Test assessment of, 31
 - communication skills development for, 166–168
 - genetic testing and counseling by, 143–145
 - genetic variance literacy and, 139–140
 - genomic health literacy in, 130
 - health outcomes monitoring by, 211–212
 - informed decision-making model and role of, 253–254
 - mendelian genetics literacy in, 140–142
 - numeracy research and, 7–8, 282–283
 - numeracy skills for genetic interpretation and counseling, 137–143
 - patient engagement with, in performance improvement (PI) models, 206–208
 - patient numeracy implications for, 97–98
 - quantitative imperative and role of, 254–255
 - shift change exchange of health information, 181
 - team variations, performance improvement models and, 200
- healthcare systems
 - improvement for low-numeracy patients in, 6–7
 - missing elements in, 200
 - numeracy challenges and, 6
 - optimization of high-cost, capital-intensive care in, 204–206
 - patient numeracy implications for, 97–98
 - PDSA improvement model for, 195–197
 - PI models for, 194–195
 - rational proposals for, 193–212, 281–282
 - visual aids development for, 166–168
- hemoglobin A1c levels
 - Diabetes Numeracy Test association with, 115–118

Subject index

299

- mathematics skills and interpretation of, 105–106
- patient numeracy and assessment of, 92–93
- hemoglobin mutation, genetic screening for, 132–134
- hemolytic disease, invasive prenatal genetic testing and, 135–136
- heuristics, quantitative imperative and, 260–261
- high number bias, patient numeracy skills and, 87
- hindsight bias, barriers to communication and, 180
- HINTS. *See* Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS) data
- HIT systems
 - health outcomes monitoring, 209–212
 - shared decision-making models and, 207–208
- HIV
 - maternal transmission of, information in television shows about, 52–53
 - patient numeracy skills and management of, 96
 - in women physicians' statistical estimations concerning, 62–63
- Homeland Security, risk information communication from, 187
- hospital policymaking, numeracy research and, 7–8
- hospital quality
 - barriers to information about, 183–184, 185
 - mood effect bias in judgments on, 229–230
 - patient numeracy skills and assessment, 85–88
- House* (television show), health information on, 52–53
- HPV (human papillomavirus), television shows and information on, 52–53
- human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), 131–132
- human papillomavirus (HPV), television shows and information on, 52–53
- hypertension, small effect genetic determinants, 142–143
- icon array graphics
 - bias reduction using, 157–163
 - class-inclusion confusion and, 236–237
 - computational numeracy research on, 223–224
 - patient numeracy skills and comprehension of, 83–85
 - risk perception in low-numeracy patients using, 154–156, 160–162
- iconicity
 - gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
 - graph literacy research and, 223–224
- ICSI (Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement) guidelines, best options identification, 208–209
- impotence, single event probability vs. frequencies in information about, 46–47
- incidence rates, physicians' statistical abilities concerning, 62–63
- income levels
 - Diabetes Numeracy Test correlation with, 109–112
 - diabetic patient numeracy assessment and, 107–109
 - patient numeracy skills and, 89–91
- incremental risk
 - graphical communication of, 49–51
 - visual aids for comprehension of, 154
- individual differences and numeracy assessment tests, 16–17
- individual numerical competencies and communication of clinical evidence, 42–43
- information. *See also* health information; meaning of information; numeric information; nutrition information; quantitative information
 - fuzzy-trace theory and meaning of, 230–237
 - gist vs. verbatim processing of, 235–236
 - overload, computational numeracy and, 220–221
- information multipliers and transparent health information, 54
- informed consent and quantitative imperative, 253–254
- informed decision-making model and quantitative imperative, 253–254
- inhibin A, Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- innumeracy. *See also* low-numeracy patients
 - communication of clinical evidence and, 42–43
 - quantitative imperative and, 259–260
- “Innumeracy: Mathematical Illiteracy and its Consequences” (Paulos), 42–43
- INR (international normalized ratio), anticoagulation control, patient numeracy and understanding of, 93–94

300 Subject index

- Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) guidelines, best options identification, 208–209
- insulin dose calculations, patient numeracy and outcomes in, 92–93
- “integrated training” programs, for physicians’ statistical literacy improvement, 74–75
- integrating dimensions, gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
- intelligence assessments
numeracy assessment tests and, 16
numeracy measurement and, 13–17
Wonderlic, 17–20
- internal medicine healthcare providers
genetic numeracy skills in, 137–143
statistical abilities in, 63
- internal number representation, numerical perception, 216–217
- international normalized ratio (INR), anticoagulation control, patient numeracy and understanding of, 93–94
- International Patient Decision Aids Standards (IPDAS) and quantitative imperative, 258–259
- interpretive labeling of results, comprehension enhancement using, 184–185
- interquartile range (IQR) scores, Diabetes Numeracy Test performance, 113–115
- intraparietal sulcus, numerical perception and, 219
- intuition
in dual-process numeracy research, 225–226
framing effects and, 226–228
gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
quantitative imperative and, 263–265
- invasive prenatal diagnosis
a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
ACOG guidelines for, 143–145
genetic screening and, 134–135
- IPDAS (International Patient Decision Aids Standards) and quantitative imperative, 258–259
- IRQ (interquartile range) scores, Diabetes Numeracy Test performance, 113–115
- irrational bias, dual-process numeracy research on, 229–230
- item response theory (IRT)
abbreviated numeracy scale, 20–22
comparison of test formats, 34
numeracy skills assessment and, 15, 17
Numeracy Understanding in Medicine Instrument and, 17–20
- JAMA (Journal of the American Medical Association)*, mismatched framing in studies by, 43–45
- “journal continuing medical education,” statistical literacy curriculum in, 72–74
- judgment
affect heuristic and, 184–185
benefits perception and, 186–187
decision making and, 3–4
distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
numeracy and health behaviors and, 42–43
numerical reasoning and, 4
objective numeracy in physicians and, 60–61
ratio bias and framing effects and, 226–228
simple vs. natural frequency perception and, 221–223
- Kaiser Family Foundation, 52–53
- kidney disease
chronic. *See* chronic kidney disease
ESRD, patient numeracy and outcomes in, 95–96
numeracy skills and outcomes in kidney transplant patients, 95–96
- Kuder-Richardson score, Diabetes Numeracy Test correlation with, 109–112
- Lancet*, mismatched framing in studies by, 43–45
- language-independent numerical estimation, neurophysiological function and, 219
- large effect genetic determinants, genetic screening and, 142–143
- Latino diabetic patients
acculturation and DNT test performance, 116–117
Diabetes Numeracy Test adaptation for, 111
Diabetes Numeracy Test performance in, 113–115
hemoglobin A1c levels management and DNT scores, 115–118
- lead-time bias
illustration of, 49
mortality rates vs. survival rates and, 47–49
screening statistics and, 63–64
- Lean model, healthcare system reform and, 194–195

Subject index

301

- learned language-dependent numerical estimation, neurophysiological function and, 219
- “less is more” format, information communication and, 183–184
- lifestyle interventions, patient literacy in genetics and, 145–147
- likelihood estimation
 - frequency effect bias in, 228
 - reasonable person standard and, 272–273
- Likert scale and irrational bias, 229–230
- line plots, risk perception in low-numeracy patients using, 154–156
- linear number representation
 - distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
 - neuroscience of, 219
 - numerical perception, 216–217
- linguistic encoding
 - numerical perception and, 219
 - verbatim number representation and, 232–233
- listening, communication barriers and, 181
- Literacy and Numeracy Initial Assessments (UK Skills for Life Programme)
 - glycemic control outcomes in diabetic patients and, 115–118
 - numeracy in diabetic patients, general assessment, 92–93
- “Living with Diabetes Guide” (ACPF), assessment of, 121–122
- local independence, item response theory and, 17
- logarithmic number representation
 - distortion in numerical perception, 217–218
 - neuroscience of, 219
 - numerical perception, 216–217
- longitudinal data, performance
 - improvement models and incorporation of, 198
- loss-framed appeals, visual aids for, 163–165
- low literacy levels
 - barriers to diabetes care and, 118–122
 - diabetes management and, 105–106
 - diabetes-related numeracy performance and, 113–115
 - dosing instructions comprehension and, 89–91
 - information communication and overcoming of, 51–53
- low-numeracy patients
 - behavioral interventions in, visual aids for, 163–165
 - bias reduction in, visual aids for, 157–163
 - frequency effect and percentage bias in, 228
 - graphical risk communication for, 49–51
 - health outcomes in, 6
 - health outcomes monitoring in, 211–212
 - healthcare improvements for, 6–7
 - interdisciplinary research concerning visual aids in, 165–166
 - limits of quantitative imperative with, 259–260
 - Numeracy Understanding in Medicine Instrument and, 17–20
 - overview of research on, 215–216
 - risk understanding, visual aids for improvement of, 154–156
 - visual aids for, 153–168, 281–282
 - vulnerability to framing effects, visual aids for reduction of, 161–163
- magnitude distortion
 - absolute vs. relative risk and, 233–234
 - numerical perception, 216–217
 - risk communication and class-inclusion confusion, 236–237
- malignant glioma, informational videos on end-of-life care for, 52–53
- mammography screening. *See also* breast cancer
 - absolute vs. relative risk and, 233–234, 266–267
 - conditional probability vs. natural frequencies in, 45–46, 47
 - decision aids on benefits of, 263–265
 - expected utility in risk/benefit evaluation, 267–268
 - expected utility or preference matching in evaluation of, 268–269
 - overestimation of mortality reduction from, 43–45
 - quantitative imperative in decisions on, 254–255
- mandatory autonomy and quantitative imperative, 263–265
- maternal age
 - a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 - Down syndrome risk assessment and, 131–132
- maternal Rh alloimmunization, non-invasive prenatal genetic testing, 135–136
- maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein (MSAFP), Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- maternal serum free beta hCG, Down syndrome screening, 131–132

302 Subject index

- mathematics
 barriers to diabetes care and lack of skill in, 118–122
 cognition in, visual aids for, 166–168
 cross-cultural discriminability and literacy in, 30–31
 diabetes management and literacy in, 105–106
 in Diabetes Numeracy Test, 109–112
 education for statistical literacy and, 72–74
 theory and definitions of, 11–13
MD (television show), health information on, 52–53
 meaning of information
 barriers to comprehension of, 184–185
 in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
 gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
 measurement ceiling effects
 numeracy assessment tests and, 16–17
 numeracy skills assessment and, 15–16
 measurement of numeracy
 classical testing theory, 13–17
 limitations and benefits of, 11–13
 tools for, 11–35
 medical innovations
 numeracy challenges and, 6
 performance improvement models and, 200
 medical journals, mismatched framing of risk in, 43–45
 medical schools, statistics/biostatistics curriculum data for, 72–74
 medical testing, visual aids for interpretation of, 164–165
 Medicare Part D plan
 objective numeracy in physicians and recommendations concerning, 60–61
 patient numeracy skills and assessment of, 85–88
 medication labeling
 drug facts boxes, 54
 fuzzy-trace theory concerning, 233–234
 medications management
 in chronic kidney disease, 124
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment concerning, 107–109
 patient numeracy and success in, 89–91
 medicine
 autonomy and bioethics in, 253–254
 decision making in, statistical information and, 71–72
 physicians' use of statistics in, 69–72
 statistical reasoning in, 1–3

 Mediterranean population
 beta thalassemia in, 143–145
 hemoglobin mutation screening in, 132–134
 memory load, cognitive performance improvement and, 220–221
 mendelian genetics
 healthcare provider literacy and numeracy concerning, 140–142
 prenatal screening and, 132–134
 metacognition, in computational numeracy research, 224
 Michigan Technological University, Berlin Numeracy Test assessment by, 23–29
 microsystems model, healthcare system reform and, 194–195
 miscarriage risk
 a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 invasive prenatal diagnosis and, 134–135
 mismatched framing. *See also* framing effects
 low-numeracy patients' vulnerability to, 153–154
 by pharmaceutical companies and health campaigns, 69–71
 physician training in recognition and interpretation of, 72–74
 for relative and absolute risk, 43–45
 visual aids for reduction in vulnerability, 161–163
 modern society, importance of statistics in, 11
 molecular diagnostic techniques
 large vs. small effect genetic determinants, 142–143
 literacy and numeracy challenges of, 134–135
 mood effects bias
 dual-process numeracy research on, 229–230
 in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
 mortality rates
 absence of reduction in PSA-screening, 40–42
 framing effects in perception of, 226–228
 graphical presentation of, 49–51
 low-numeracy patients bias concerning, 157–163
 overestimation of reduction with mammography, 43–45, 233–234
 physicians' screening statistics abilities concerning, 63–64
 survival rates and, 39–40, 47–49
 movies
 health information in, 52–53
 transparent health information in, 54

Subject index

303

- MSAFP (maternal serum alpha-fetoprotein), Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- multivariate linear regression, numeracy skills and understanding of, 84–85
- NAAL (National Assessment of Adult Literacy), 11–13, 14
- NALS. *See* National Adult Literacy Survey
- narrative evidence
- graphical risk communication and reduction of, 49–51
 - misleading information in, 39–40
 - statistical evidence vs., 51–52
 - visual aids for error reduction in, 154
- National Action Plan to Improve Health Literacy, 118–122
- National Adult Literacy Survey (NALS)
- lack of numeracy skills found in, 1
 - low reading abilities data in, 51–53
- National Assessment of Adult Literacy (NAAL), 11–13, 14
- National Society of Genetics Counselors, 136–145
- Native Americans, diabetes-related numeracy performance in, 113–115
- natural experiments, performance improvement models and incorporation of, 198
- natural frequency format
- a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 - Bayesian reasoning abilities and, 64–66
 - in cancer screenings, 45–46, 47
 - in computational numeracy research, 221–223
 - visual aids in patient–physician collaboration, 164–165
- Need for Cognition scale
- dual-process numeracy research and, 225–226
 - framing effects and, 226–228
- negative consequences, reasonable person standard and disclosure of, 272–273
- negative skewness
- benefits perception and, 186–187
 - Berlin Numeracy Test, 23–29
 - numeracy skills assessment and, 15–16
- neurophysiological function
- numeracy skills and, 6–7
 - numerical perception and, 219
- neutrality, in fuzzy-trace theory, 233–234
- New England Journal of Medicine*, statistical information in, 69–71
- Newest Vital Sign (NVS) test, diabetic patient numeracy assessment, 107–109
- Newsweek* magazine, 82–83
- next generation sequencing techniques, non-invasive prenatal genetic testing, 135–136
- NNT (number needed to treat)
- calculations, physicians’ statistical abilities concerning, 62–63
- non-invasive prenatal genetic testing
- genetic counselors’ numeracy concerning, 143–145
 - risks and procedures for, 135–136
- non-native speakers, overcoming low reading abilities in, 51–53
- non-normalized joint frequencies, computational numeracy research and, 221–223
- non-progressive cancers, overdiagnosis bias and, 47–49
- non-transparent health information sources, 44
- normalized probabilities, computational numeracy research and, 221–223
- normative research
- decision sciences and, 3–4
 - probabilities and expected utility theory and, 256–258
- nuchal translucency
- a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 - Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- number needed to invite to screen (NNI), physicians’ statistical abilities concerning, 62–63
- number needed to treat (NNT)
- calculations, physicians’ statistical abilities concerning, 62–63
- number perception and distortion, numeracy skills and, 6–7
- numeracy. *See also* patient numeracy; *specific numeracy research methods*, e.g., psychophysical numeracy research
- a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 - abbreviated numeracy scale for assessment of, 20–22
 - applied contexts for, 6
 - decision making and, 4
 - defined, 1, 2, 59
 - diabetes mellitus care and applications of, 105–125, 281
 - empirical issues in, 252–275
 - ethical issues in, 252–275
 - future research issues in, 237–239, 282–283
 - fuzzy-trace theory and, 230–237
 - genetic screening and, 130–148, 281
 - in genetic variance, 139–140

304 Subject index

- numeracy (cont.)
 graph literacy research and, 223–224
 health outcomes monitoring and,
 209–212
 history of research on, 11–13, 281
 interdisciplinary research on, 237–239
 invasive prenatal diagnosis risk
 assessment and, 134–135
 lack of skills in, 1
 mathematics and, 11–13
 measurement tools for, 4–5, 11–35
 in mendelian genetics, 140–142
 national comparisons, USA and
 Germany, 43
 physician numeracy, research on, 59–61
 predictability and uncertainty,
 understanding based on, 82–83
 psychological mechanisms in, 215–239
 psychophysical research on, 216–220
 societal level impact of, 5
 statistics and, 1–3
 theoretical review of, 215–239
- numeracy scales
 assessment of, 4–5, 11–35, 281
 bias reduction using, 157–163
 risk perception in low-numeracy patients
 using, 154–156
- Numeracy Understanding in Medicine
 Instrument (NUMi), 4–5
 Berlin Numeracy Test comparisons with,
 23–29
 comparison with other tests, 34
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment
 and, 107–109
 limitations of, 18–20
 numeracy assessment and, 16, 17–20
- numerators
 class-inclusion confusion and, 236–237
 ratio bias and focus on, 226–228
- numeric information
 limitations and benefits of, 6–7
 physicians' understanding and use of,
 59–75
- numerical perception
 distortion in, 217–218
 neuroscience of, 219
 psychophysical numeracy research,
 216–217
- numerical reasoning, judgment and
 decision making and, 4
- NUMi. *See* Numeracy Understanding in
 Medicine Instrument
- nutrition information
 for low-numeracy patients, 153–154
 patient numeracy concerning, 89–91
- NVS (Newest Vital Sign) test, diabetic
 patient numeracy assessment, 107–109
- objective numeracy measurements
 clinical practice in diabetes and, 122–125
 diabetes-specific measures, 109–112
 general objective numeracy measures,
 107–109
 mood effect bias in, 229–230
 numeracy assessment in diabetic patients,
 107–113
 numeracy research and, 11–13
 in physicians, 60–61
- obstetricians and gynecologists (ob-gyns)
 Bayesian reasoning abilities in, 64–66
 breast and ovarian cancer screening,
 136–137
 communication of statistical information
 by, 67–68
 Down syndrome screening by, 131–132
 genetic counseling partnerships with,
 143–145
 genetic testing examples in, 130–137
 genomic health literacy in, 130, 148
 invasive prenatal diagnosis by, 134–135
 mendelian genetics literacy in, 140–142
 population-based carrier screening by,
 132–134
 range of statistical abilities in, 62–63
 statistical literacy of, 62–69
 training programs in statistical literacy for,
 72–74
- older patients, visual aids for risk perception
 research in, 154–156
- online health information
 Adjuvant Online decision aid, 87–88
 barriers to diabetes care and, 118–122
 Berlin Numeracy Test, 31–32
 diabetes web sources, 122
 gist vs. verbatim processing of, 235–236
 patient numeracy and, 89–91
 visual aids development using, 166–168
- optimism bias
 quantitative imperative and, 260–261
 risk underestimation and, 157–163
- ordinal representations
 absolute vs. relative risk and, 233–234
 gist and verbatim number representation
 and, 232–233
- organizational structure, performance
 improvement models and, 199
- ovarian cancer
 genetic screening for, 136–137
 large effect genetic determinants in,
 142–143

Subject index

305

- overdiagnosis bias
 - illustration of, 50
 - mortality rates vs. survival rates and, 47–49
 - screening statistics and, 63–64
- overestimation of literacy skills
 - barriers to communication and, 179–183
 - genetics testing literacy and, 145–147
 - health outcomes monitoring and, 209–212
 - numeracy research and, 11–13
 - in physicians, 64–66, 74–75
- pan-ethnic universal carrier testing
 - genetic screening using, 132–134
 - mendelian genetics and, 140–142
 - training and education for, 143–145
- PAPP-A (pregnancy associated plasma protein A), Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- parallel processing, of information, 235–236
- Parental Health Literacy Activities Test (PHLAT), patient numeracy skills and, 89–91
- part-to-whole relations, visual aids for comprehension of, 165–166
- past events, physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities concerning, 64–66
- patient brochures, mismatched framing of statistical information in, 69–71
- patient-centered care. *See also* consumers of healthcare
 - performance improvement in, 201–204
 - quantitative imperative and role of, 254–255
 - shortcomings in, 193
 - statistical information and collaborative decision making, 71–72
 - statistical literacy training development for, 74–75
- Patient Centered Medical Home model, components of, 201–204
- patient numeracy
 - anticoagulation control outcomes and, 93–94
 - assessment in diabetic patients, 107–113
 - asthma self-management and, 94–95
 - barriers to critical health information and, 175–177
 - barriers to diabetes care due to, 118–122
 - cancer screening risks/benefits assessment and, 96–97
 - chronic kidney disease management and, 95–96
 - communication to consumer, barriers to, 183–188
 - diabetes self-management and, 92–93
 - education in, 41–42
 - future research issues in, 124–125
 - genetic health literacy and, 145–147
 - health outcomes linked to, 85–88, 92–99
 - health uncertainty and predictability, comprehension of, 82–83
 - HIV self-management and, 96
 - invasive prenatal diagnosis risk assessment and, 134–135
 - key functions in, 81
 - low numeracy skills and health outcomes and, 6
 - number task completion and, 89–91
 - objective numeracy in physicians compared with, 60–61
 - performance improvements in, 194–195
 - policy and healthcare implications of, 97–98
 - risk perception and, 83–85, 87–88
 - shared decision-making models and, 206–208
 - skills assessment, 5, 80–99, 281
 - smoking cessation outcomes and, 97
 - statistical evidence vs. testimonials and, 51–52
- patient–physician collaboration. *See also* shared decision-making (SDM) process
 - after visit summary and, 209
 - diabetes management, 105–106
 - health outcomes monitoring and, 209–212
 - informed decision-making model and role of, 253–254
 - invasive prenatal diagnosis risk assessment and, 134–135
 - medical decision making and, 71–72
 - morbidity reduction and participation in, 175–177
 - in performance improvement (PI) models, 206–208
 - quantitative imperative and role of, 254–255, 263–265
 - rational choice and, 263–265
 - reasonable person standard and, 271–272
 - shared decision-making process and, 209
 - visual aids for, 164–165
- PCEC (Prostate Conditions Education Council), 39–40
- PDSA model. *See* Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) model
- Pearson correlation coefficients, NUMi test assessment, 17–20

306 Subject index

- pediatrics
 chromosome microarray testing in, 139–140
 healthcare failures in, 193
Pediatrics journal, inferential statistical procedures in, 69–71
 pedigree analysis, BRCA1/2 mutations, 136–137
 percentages
 Bayesian reasoning and, 64
 in computational numeracy research, 221–223
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment and understanding of, 107–109
 dual-process numeracy research, 228
 perceptual processing, psychophysical numeracy research and, 216–220
 performance evaluation
 diabetes-related numeracy performance, 113–115
 mood effect bias in, 229–230
 numeracy research and, 11–13
 of physician–patient interactions, 67–68
 rational healthcare reform and, 194–195
 performance improvement (PI) models
 areas selected for, 199–201
 consumer focus in, 201–204
 experimental-grade performance improvement, 197–199
 healthcare system reform and, 194–195
 limitations of, 195–197
 patient engagement in, 206–208
 personalized risk assessment tools, decision making using, 175–177
 persuasion
 communication of statistical information and, 67–68
 information vs., 40–42
 pharmaceutical companies, mismatched framing of statistical information from, 69–71
 physicians. *See also* healthcare providers; obstetricians and gynecologists; patient–physician collaboration
 a priori risk reduction, literacy skills in, 138–139
 barriers to communication from, 179–183
 Bayesian reasoning abilities in, 64–66, 66
 communication of statistical information by, 67–68
 conditional probability vs. natural frequencies in cancer screening and, 45–46
 education for statistical literacy, 72–74
 evidence-based medical practice, statistical abilities in, 69–71
 genetic testing and counseling skills in, 63–64, 143–145, 147–148
 genetic variance literacy in, 139–140
 genomic health literacy in, 130
 hindsight bias in, 180
 informed decision-making model and role of, 253–254
 large and small effect genetic determinants, knowledge of, 142–143
 mendelian genetics literacy in, 140–142
 numeracy skills in, 5, 59–75, 281
 objective numeracy in, 60–61
 overestimation of communication skills by, 181
 relative vs. absolute risks of cancer screening, numeracy skills concerning, 43–45
 statistical literacy assessment of, 62–69, 74–75, 281
 subjective numeracy in, 61
 PI. *See* performance improvement (PI) models
 pie charts, risk perception in low-numeracy patients using, 154–156, 162
 PISA (Programme for International Student Assessment), 11–13
 Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) model
 healthcare systems improvement and, 195
 limitations of, 195–197
 point-of-care solutions, health outcomes monitoring and, 209–212
 population-based carrier screening, 132–134
 mendelian genetics literacy and, 140–142
 population management, care delivery models and, 201–202
 positive predictive value
 patient numeracy skills and calculation of, 84–85
 physicians’ statistical abilities involving, 64–66
 posterior probability estimation, physicians’ Bayesian reasoning abilities and, 64–66
 practice-based models
 best options identification and, 208–209
 shared decision-making and, 206–208
 pragmatic rational theory
 evaluation of quantitative information and, 266–267
 quantitative imperative and, 262–263
 preconception planning, pan-ethnic universal carrier testing and, 132–134

Subject index

307

- predictive validity
 - Berlin Numeracy Test, 23–29
 - of health information, patients' understanding of, 82–83
 - psychometric numeracy performance tests, 29
- preference-sensitive decisions
 - distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
 - expected utility and, 267–268
 - preference matching evaluation, 268–269
 - quantitative imperative and, 252–253, 254–255, 263–265
- pregnancy associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A), Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- prenatal testing
 - a priori risk reduction, literacy skills in, 138–139
 - Down syndrome screening, 132–134
 - invasive prenatal diagnosis, 134–135
 - non-invasive genetic testing, 135–136
 - population-based carrier screening, 132–134
- prescriptive research
 - decision sciences and, 3–4
 - fuzzy-trace theory, 233–234
- prevalence rates
 - physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities concerning, 64–66
 - physicians' statistical abilities concerning, 62–63
- prevention decisions, distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
- primary care practices
 - best options identification in, 208–209
 - diabetes management and, 109–112
 - genetic screening skills and, 142–143
 - Latino diabetic patients and, 113–115
 - numeracy skills requirements in, 80
 - patient-centered healthcare systems, 201–204
 - patient numeracy and, 89–91
- probability
 - Bayes Theorem and, 64–66
 - in computational numeracy research, 221–223
 - diabetic patient numeracy assessment and understanding of, 107–109
 - in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
 - gist and verbatim number representation, 232–233
 - numeracy skills assessment and, 15–16
 - NUMi testing of, 18–20
 - outcomes description and assignment, 269–270
 - over- and underestimation, visual aids effect on, 166
 - patient numeracy and comprehension of, 85
 - quantitative imperative and, 256–258
 - rationality theories and, 262–263
 - professional practice standard, bioethics and, 271–272
- Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), 11–13
- proof-of-concept experiments, barriers to communication and, 188
- proportional analysis
 - distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
 - low-numeracy patients bias concerning, 157–163
- prospect theory, numerical perception and, 219
- prospective natural frequency, physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities concerning, 64–66
- prostate cancer
 - numeracy and informed judgment concerning, 42–43
 - overdiagnosis bias and lead-time bias in screening for, 47–49
 - physicians' screening statistics abilities concerning, 63–64
 - quantitative imperative and intervention in, 254–255
 - relative vs. absolute risk of, 43–45
 - screening not linked to mortality from, 40–42
 - unnecessary and risky treatment for, 40–42
- Prostate Conditions Education Council (PCEC), 39–40
- prostate specific antigen (PSA)-screening
 - impact of video information about, 52–53
 - overdiagnosis and lead-time biases in, 47–49
 - overestimation of benefits of, 43–45
 - pros and cons of, 40–42
 - recommendations against, 41
- ProvenCareSM elements (Geisinger Health Systems) and CABG care optimization, 204–206
- ProvenHealth NavigatorSM, 201–204
 - diabetes management and, 203
 - optimization of high-cost, capital-intensive healthcare and, 204–206

308 Subject index

- PSA-screening. *See* prostate specific antigen (PSA)-screening
- pseudo-disease-screening-detected abnormalities, overdiagnosis bias and, 47–49
- psychodynamic distinction, dual-process numeracy research and, 225–226
- psychometric numeracy performance tests convergent and discriminant validity properties, 27–28
- decision conflict scale, 270–271
- descriptions and references for validity in, 24
- limitations of, 16
- numeracy research and, 11–13
- NUMi test assessment, 17–20
- predictive validity, 29
- scale properties, 26
- validated research instruments, 33
- psychophysical numeracy research distortions in numerical perception, 217–218
- future issues in, 237–239
- neuroscience of number sense, 219
- numerical perception, 216–217
- overview of, 216–220
- QALY (quality adjusted life year) and quantitative imperative, 256
- quadruple screening procedure, Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- quality adjusted life year (QALY) and quantitative imperative, 256
- quality improvement initiatives
- Geisinger's quality outcomes program, 202
- health outcomes monitoring and, 209–212
- healthcare system reform and, 194–195
- quantitative imperative
- alternatives to, 275
- autonomy and informed decision making and, 253–254
- bias and, 260–261
- ethical views of, 263–265
- ethics in numeracy and, 252–275
- gist and, 260–261
- heuristics and, 260–261
- impact measurement and, 273–274
- innumeracy and limits of, 259–260
- International Patient Decision Aids Standards, 258–259
- probabilities and expected utility theory, 256–258
- problems with, 259–265
- provider and patient roles in, 254–255
- rationality theories and, 262–263
- reasonable person standard and, 271–272
- utilities in, 256
- quantitative information
- on cancer screening risks, 43–45
- evaluation of, 266–274
- expected utility relevance in, 267–268
- health outcomes monitoring and, 209–212
- limits of quantitative imperative and, 259–265
- measurement of, 266–274
- outcomes description and, 269–270
- patient numeracy skills and decision making and, 85–88
- verbal information vs., 271
- quantitative skills tests
- Diabetes Numeracy Test correlation with, 109–112
- diabetic patient numeracy assessment and, 107–109
- numeracy measurement and, 13–17
- question format, Berlin Numeracy Test, 23–29
- race
- diabetes health disparities and patient numeracy and, 117
- genetics testing literacy and, 145–147
- randomness, patients' numeracy and understanding of, 82–83, 85
- rapid learning paradigm
- health outcomes monitoring and, 211
- performance improvement models and, 199
- Rasch approach, abbreviated numeracy scale, 20–22
- ratio bias
- abbreviated numeracy scale and, 20–22
- class-inclusion confusion and, 236–237
- dual-process numeracy research on, 226–228
- NUMi testing of, 18–20
- ratio-dependent logarithmic discrimination distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
- psychophysical numeracy research and, 220
- rational choice, quantitative imperative and, 263–265
- Rational-Experiential Inventory (REI)
- dual-process numeracy research and, 225–226
- ratio bias and framing effects and, 226–228

Subject index

309

- rational healthcare
 probabilities and expected utility theory and, 256–258
 proposals for, 193–212
- rationality theories, quantitative imperative and, 262–263
- Raven's Standard test, 16
- real time data and PI models, 199
- reasonable person standard
 clinical applications of, 272–273
 impact measurement and, 273–274
 quantitative imperative and, 271–272
- re-engineering model
 CABG care optimization and, 204–206
 healthcare system reform and, 194–195
- reference class
 physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities concerning, 64–66
 single event probability vs. frequencies and, 46–47
- Regularly Scheduled Series (RSS)
 continuing medical education, statistical literacy curriculum in, 72–74
- REI. *See* Rational-Experiential Inventory
- relationship questions, physicians' statistical abilities in, 62–63
- relative risk
 absolute risk vs., 43–45
 benefits of cancer screening represented as, 43–45
 in fuzzy-trace theory, 233–234
 graphic literacy and perception of, 259–260
 mismatched framing of statistical information on, 69–71
- reliability in testing
 abbreviated numeracy scale, 20–22
 Diabetes Numeracy Test, 109–112
 numeracy measurement and, 13–17
- reminders, 209–212
- residency training, statistics/biostatistics curriculum data for, 72–74
- retrospective natural frequency, physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities and, 64–66
- return on investment (ROI)
 care category selection for, 200
 in performance improvement models, 195–197, 198
- Rh determination, non-invasive prenatal genetic testing for, 135–136
- risk ladders, patient numeracy skills and comprehension of, 83–85
- risk perception. *See also* absolute risk; benefits perceptions; relative risk
 a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 abbreviated numeracy scale and, 20–22
 affect heuristics in comprehension of, 186–187
 Berlin Numeracy Test and, 22–23
 bias in, visual aids for reduction of, 157–163
 in breast cancer patients, 136–137, 266–267
 cross-cultural discriminability and, 30–31
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment and, 107–109
 Down syndrome screening, 131–132
 dual-representation model for, 261–262
 in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232, 233–234
 gist and verbatim number representation, 232–233
 graph literacy research on, 223–224
 graphical information and improvement of, 49–51
 health risk calculators, patient numeracy and use of, 89–91
 invasive prenatal diagnosis, 134–135
 numeracy skills and, 14
 numeric benefit patterns and, 68
 ovarian cancer screening, 136–137
 over- and underestimation, visual aids and increase in, 166
 patients' numeracy skills and understanding of, 83–85, 87–88
 population-based carrier screening, 132–134
 randomness and, patients' understanding of, 82–83
 ratio bias in, 226–228
 reasonable person standard in judgments on, 272–273
 relative vs. absolute risk, 43–45
 risk communication, fuzzy-trace theory, 236–237
 vaccine risks and benefits, conflicting information concerning, 178–179
 visual aids for comprehension of, 154–156, 157, 165–166
- risky decision making
 gist and verbatim number representation and, 232–233
 irrational bias and, 229–230
 numeracy skills assessment and, 14–17
 visual aids for comprehension of, 165–166
- ROI. *See* return on investment
- RSS (Regularly Scheduled Series)
 continuing medical education, statistical literacy curriculum in, 72–74

310 Subject index

- salmon, nutritional benefits of, conflicting information concerning, 178–179
- sampling techniques, objective numeracy research in physicians and, 60–61
- satisficing, health outcomes and principle of, 262–263
- Schwartz numeracy scale, anticoagulation therapy, 109
- science literacy, cross-cultural comparisons, 30–31
- scoring protocols, Berlin Numeracy Test, 23–29
- screening statistics
 expected utility or preference matching evaluation and, 268–269
 physicians' statistical abilities concerning, 63–64
- screening tests. *See also specific types of screening, e.g., mammography*
 screening
 objective numeracy in physicians and inferences about, 60–61
 physicians' statistical abilities for interpretation of, 63–64
- Scrubs* (television show), health information on, 52–53
- SDM. *See* shared decision-making (SDM) process
- self-care behaviors
 chronic kidney disease and, 124
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment concerning, 107–109
 in heart disease patients, 124
 numeracy research involving, 237–239
 patient numeracy and success in, 89–91
- self-efficacy, diabetes knowledge and self-efficacy and, 116–117
- sensitivity of testing, physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities concerning, 64–66
- serum markers
 a priori risk reduction and, 138–139
 Down syndrome screening, 131–132
- sex chromosome aneuploidies
 mendelian genetics and, 140–142
 non-invasive prenatal genetic testing for, 135–136
- sexual problems, single event probability vs. frequencies in information about, 46–47
- sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), visual aids for behavioral interventions in, 163–165, 282–283
- shared decision-making (SDM) process
 after visit summary in, 209
 health outcomes monitoring and, 209–212
 performance improvement and integration of, 206–208
 quantitative imperative and, 253–254
 rational choice and, 263–265
 visual displays in, 209
- side effects
 communication of statistical information about, 67–68
 patient education concerning, 41–42
 patient numeracy skills and risk information concerning, 84–85
- sigmoidoscopy, absolute vs. relative risk statistics for, 43–45
- significance, reasonable person standard and principle of, 272–273
- single event probability
 frequentist information vs., 46–47
 physicians' Bayesian reasoning abilities and, 64–66
- single gene disorders, non-invasive prenatal genetic testing for, 135–136
- single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), small effect genetic determinants, 142–143
- Six Sigma initiatives, healthcare system reform and, 194–195
- slow cognitive system, numeracy skills and, 6–7
- SMA (spinal muscular atrophy), carrier screening for, 132–134
- small effect genetic determinants, genetic screening and, 142–143
- smoking cessation outcomes
 comprehension of information concerning, 175–177
 patient numeracy and, 97
- SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms), small effect genetic determinants, 142–143
- SNS. *See* Subjective Numeracy Scale
- Society for Thoracic Surgery, CABG guidelines, 204–206
- society, numeracy in, 5, 281
- spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), carrier screening for, 132–134
- stakeholders, healthcare systems improvement and, 194–195
- standard gamble measurement, expected utility or preference matching, 268–269
- standard of care, professional practice standard and, 271–272

Subject index

311

- Standard Picture concept
 probabilities and expected utility theory and, 256–258
 rationality theories and, 262–263
- statistical confidence
 mood effects, 229–230
 patient numeracy and, 83–85, 145–147
 physicians' Bayesian reasoning ability and, 64–66
 proposals for improvement in physicians of, 74–75
- statistical illiteracy
 graphical communication and improvement of, 49–51
 health care decision making based on, 40–42
- statistical information
 collective statistical illiteracy in health, 39–54
 communication by physicians of, 67–68
 in evidence-based medicine, physicians' use of, 69–71
 importance in modern society of, 11
 medical decision making and, 71–72
 in medical research publications, increased coverage of, 69–71
 on medical television shows, 52–53
 misleading statistics, communication of, 39–40
 narrative evidence vs., 51–52
 numeracy in medicine and, 1–3
 physicians' literacy in, 5, 62–69, 74–75, 281
- statistical literacy
 defined, 59
 education for, 72–74
 future research on physicians and, 74–75
 in physicians, 62–69
 range of physician abilities in, 62–63
- STDs (sexually transmitted diseases), visual aids for behavioral interventions in, 163–165, 282–283
- subjective numeracy measurements
 clinical practice in diabetes and, 122–125
 numeracy assessment in diabetic patients, 112
 numeracy research and, 11–13
 in physicians, 61
 risk information, patient numeracy skills and, 83–85
- Subjective Numeracy Scale (SNS)
 clinical practice in diabetes and, 122–125
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment, 112
 patient numeracy assessment, 84–85, 89–91
- physicians' subjective numeracy assessment and, 61
- supply chain inefficiencies, performance improvement models and, 200
- survival rates
 in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
 mortality rates and, 39–40, 47–49
 ratio bias in perception of, 226–228
- systemic communication barriers, 178–179
- tabular health data
 clinical medicine and displays of, 54
 patient numeracy skills and assessment of, 85–88
- Tay–Sachs mutation, screening for, 132–134, 143–145
- technological innovation, in performance improvement models, 198
- television
 health information in, 52–53
 transparent health information on, 54
- temporal discounting, distortion in numerical perception and, 217–218
- Terra Nova Test of mathematics achievement, numerical perception, 216–217
- Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (TOFHLA)
 diabetes-related numeracy, performance comparisons, 113–115
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment, 107–109
- testimonials, statistical evidence vs., 51–52
- testing formats
 Berlin Numeracy Test, 23–29
 comparison of, 34
 estimated difficulty ranges for, 34
- thermometer reading, patient numeracy skills and, 89–91
- time tradeoff measurement, expected utility or preference matching, 268–269
- TOFHLA. *See* Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults
- Total Quality Management (TQM) and healthcare system reform, 194–195
- transforming ratios, numeracy testing emphasis on, 228
- transparent representations
 a priori risk reduction, 138–139
 communication of statistical information and, 67–68
 in computational numeracy research, 221–223
 relative vs. absolute risk in, 43–45

312 Subject index

- transparent representations (cont.)
of statistical evidence, 53–54
visual aids for low numeracy patients, 154
- transplacental hemorrhage, invasive
prenatal genetic testing and, 135–136
treatment for cancer, benefits as relative risk
reduction, 43–45
- trisomy 13 aneuploidies, non-invasive
prenatal genetic testing for, 135–136
- trisomy 18 aneuploidies, non-invasive
prenatal genetic testing for, 135–136
- trisomy 21. *See* Down syndrome probability
- UK Skills for Life Programme
glycemic control outcomes in diabetic
patients and, 115–118
numeracy in diabetic patients, general
assessment, 92–93
- ultrasound techniques
Down syndrome screening, 131–132
invasive prenatal diagnosis following,
134–135
- uncertainty in health information
barriers to communication and, 179
genetic variance and, 139–140
genetics screening and, 134–135
patients' understanding of, 82–83
pragmatic rationality and, 262–263
rational choice and, 263–265
- unconjugated estriol, Down syndrome
screening, 131–132
- underestimation of risk
low-numeracy patients bias concerning,
157–163
optimism bias and, 260–261
- unidimensional traits, item response theory
and, 17
- United Kingdom
diabetic patient numeracy assessment in,
107–109, 115–118
patient numeracy and health outcomes in,
92–93
- United States Preventive Services Task
Force (USPSTF), 41, 43–45, 136–137,
254–255
- utility elicitations
expected utility or preference matching
evaluation, 268–269
outcomes description and, 269–270
patient numeracy and, 89–91
quantitative imperative and, 256, 263–265
reasonable person standard and, 272–273
- vaccine risks and benefits, conflicting
information concerning, 178–179
- value-based care systems, performance
improvement and, 202
- value-based reimbursement model,
Geisinger's system for, 202
- value concordance, expected utility and,
267–268
- variance, numeracy measurement and
elimination of, 13–17
- verbal information, vs. quantitative
information, 271
- verbatim representation
future issues in, 237–239
in fuzzy-trace theory, 230–232
gist vs. verbatim processing, 235–236
of numbers, 232–233
verbatim memory and, 236–237
- Vioxx, systemic communication barriers
concerning, 178
- visual aids
bias reduction in low-numeracy patients
using, 154–156
class-inclusion confusion with, 236–237
computational numeracy research on,
223–224
framing effects vulnerability, reduction
using, 161–163
future research on, 166–168
gist vs. verbatim processing and, 235–236
graph literacy scale for assessment of,
154–156
for health behavior interventions,
163–165
innumeracy and limits of, 259–260
interdisciplinary research concerning,
165–166
limits of, 166
for low-numeracy populations, 153–168
objective numeracy in physicians and use
of, 60–61
risk communication using, 49–51,
236–237
shared decision-making process and, 209
- vulnerable populations
diabetes-related numeracy performance
in, 113–115
visual aids for risk perception in,
154–156
- warfarin regimens, patient numeracy and
outcomes in, 93–94
- web-based information
Berlin Numeracy Test assessment
and, 31
gist vs. verbatim processing of,
235–236

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04094-6 - Numerical Reasoning in Judgments and Decision Making about Health

Edited by Britta L. Anderson and Jay Schulkin

Index

[More information](#)

Subject index

313

- Wide Range Achievement Test-3 (WRAT-3)
 Diabetes Numeracy Test correlation
 with, 109–112
 diabetes-related numeracy, performance
 comparisons, 113–115
 diabetic patient numeracy assessment
 and, 107–109
 patient numeracy assessment, 84–85,
 89–91
- Wide Range Achievement Test-A
 (WRAT-A) arithmetic test, numeracy
 assessment, 89
- women patients
 barriers to information about breast
 cancer therapy for, 184
 collaborative health care and morbidity in,
 175–177
 health behavior and numeracy in,
 42–43, 88
 HIV management, numeracy skills
 and, 96
- numeracy skills in, 4
 perception of breast cancer risk in, 14,
 43–45, 84–85, 87–88, 96–97, 221–223,
 266–267
- Wonderlic intelligence assessment, 17–20
- Woodcock Johnson Test of Cognitive
 Abilities
 Applied Problems Subtest, 96
 numeracy measurement and, 13–17
- workflow analysis
 optimization of CABG procedures and,
 204–206
 shared decision-making models and,
 207–208
- working memory, in computational
 numeracy research, 220–221
- WRAT-3. *See* Wide Range Achievement
 Test-3
- WRAT-A (Wide Range Achievement
 Test-A) arithmetic test, numeracy
 assessment, 89