

Building High Integrity Applications with SPARK

Software is pervasive in our lives. We are accustomed to dealing with the failures of much of that software – restarting an application is a very familiar solution. Such solutions are unacceptable when the software controls our cars, airplanes, and medical devices or manages our private information. These applications must run without error. SPARK provides a means, on the basis of mathematical proof, to guarantee that a program has no errors. This book provides an introduction to SPARK 2014 for students and developers wishing to master the basic concepts for building systems with SPARK.

SPARK is a formally defined programming language and a set of verification tools specifically designed to support the development of software used in high integrity applications. Using SPARK, developers can formally verify properties of their code such as information flow, freedom from runtime errors, functional correctness, security properties, and safety properties.

John W. McCormick is a professor of computer science at the University of Northern Iowa. He began his career at the State University of New York in 1979. He has served as secretary, treasurer, and chair of the Association for Computer Machinery Special Interest Group on Ada. In 1993 John was awarded the Chancellor's Award for Excellence in Teaching. He received the Special Interest Group on Ada Distinguished Service Award in 2002, as well as the Outstanding Ada Community Contributions Award in 2008. His additional awards include the Special Interest Group on Ada Best Paper and Presentation Award and the Ada Europe Best Presentation Award.

Peter C. Chapin is a professor of computer information systems at Vermont Technical College (VTC). Peter started at VTC in 1986 as an instructor in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Technology teaching courses on microcontrollers and C programming. Since 2009 Peter has been Software Director of VTC's CubeSat Laboratory where he has worked with students using Ada and SPARK to program small-scale nano-satellites. VTC successfully launched a working CubeSat satellite into low Earth orbit on November 19, 2013. It is the first CubeSat programmed using SPARK.

Building High Integrity Applications with SPARK

JOHN W. McCORMICK

University of Northern Iowa

PETER C. CHAPIN

Vermont Technical College



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment
 978-1-107-04073-1 — Building High Integrity Applications with SPARK
 John W. McCormick, Peter C. Chapin
 Frontmatter
[More Information](#)



CAMBRIDGE
 UNIVERSITY PRESS

Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment,
 a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of
 education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107040731

© John W. McCormick and Peter C. Chapin 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions
 of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take
 place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data

McCormick, John W., 1948–

Building high integrity applications with SPARK / John W. McCormick, University of
 Northern Iowa, Peter C. Chapin, Vermont Technical College.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-04073-1 (alk. paper)

1. SPARK (Computer program language) 2. Fault-tolerant computing.

I. Chapin, Peter C. II. Title.

QA76.73.S59M38 2015

004.2–dc23 2015014814

ISBN 978-1-107-04073-1 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-107-65684-0 Paperback

Additional resources for this publication at [www.cambridge.org/us/academic/subjects/
 computer-science/programming-languages-and-applied-logic/
 building-high-integrity-applications-spark](http://www.cambridge.org/us/academic/subjects/computer-science/programming-languages-and-applied-logic/building-high-integrity-applications-spark).

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence
 or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this
 publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will
 remain, accurate or appropriate.

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page ix</i>
1 Introduction and Overview	1
1.1 Obtaining Software Quality	2
1.2 What Is SPARK?	8
1.3 SPARK Tools	10
1.4 SPARK Example	12
Summary	16
Exercises	16
2 The Basic SPARK Language	18
2.1 Control Structures	21
2.2 Subprograms	27
2.3 Data Types	32
2.4 Subprograms, More Options	57
Summary	64
Exercises	65
3 Programming in the Large	68
3.1 Definition Packages	69
3.2 Utility Packages	71
3.3 Type Packages	73
3.4 Variable Packages	83
3.5 Child Packages	87
3.6 Elaboration	93
Summary	95
Exercises	96

4	Dependency Contracts	99
4.1	Data Dependency Contracts	100
4.2	Flow Dependency Contracts	104
4.3	Managing State	110
4.4	Default Initialization	124
4.5	Synthesis of Dependency Contracts	127
	Summary	130
	Exercises	132
5	Mathematical Background	135
5.1	Propositional Logic	135
5.2	Logical Equivalence	139
5.3	Arguments and Inference	141
5.4	Predicate Logic	144
	Summary	150
	Exercises	151
6	Proof	155
6.1	Runtime Errors	155
6.2	Contracts	162
6.3	Assert and Assume	189
6.4	Loop Invariants	201
6.5	Loop Variants	211
6.6	Discriminants	216
6.7	Generics	224
6.8	Suppression of Checks	235
	Summary	240
	Exercises	243
7	Interfacing with SPARK	247
7.1	SPARK and Ada	247
7.2	SPARK and C	261
7.3	External Subsystems	269
	Summary	282
	Exercises	283
8	Software Engineering with SPARK	286
8.1	Conversion of SPARK 2005	286
8.2	Legacy Ada Software	291
8.3	Creating New Software	296

Contents

vii

8.4 Proof and Testing	307
8.5 Case Study: Time Stamp Server	310
Summary	325
9 Advanced Techniques	326
9.1 Ghost Entities	326
9.2 Proof of Transitive Properties	330
9.3 Proof Debugging	336
9.4 SPARK Internals	347
<i>Notes</i>	355
<i>References</i>	359
<i>Index</i>	363

Preface

SPARK is a formally defined programming language and a set of verification tools specifically designed to support the development of high integrity software. Using SPARK, developers can formally verify properties of their code such as

- information flow,
- freedom from runtime errors,
- functional correctness,
- security policies, and
- safety policies.

SPARK meets the requirements of all high integrity software safety standards, including DO-178B/C (and the Formal Methods supplement DO-333), CENELEC 50128, IEC 61508, and DEFSTAN 00-56. SPARK can be used to support software assurance at the highest levels specified in the Common Criteria Information Technology Security Evaluation standard.

It has been twenty years since the first proof of a nontrivial system was written in SPARK (Chapman and Schanda, 2014). The 27,000 lines of SPARK code for SHOLIS, a system that assists with the safe operation of helicopters at sea, generated nearly 9,000 verification conditions (VCs). Of these VCs, 75.5% were proven automatically by the SPARK tools. The remaining VCs were proven by hand using an interactive proof assistance tool. Fast-forward to 2011 when the NATS iFACTS enroute air traffic control system went online in the United Kingdom. The 529,000 lines of SPARK code were proven to be “crash proof.” The SPARK tools had improved to the point where 98.76% of the 152,927 VCs were proven automatically. Most of the remaining proofs were accomplished by the addition of user-defined rules, leaving only 200 proofs to be done “by review.”

Although SPARK and other proof tools have significant successes, their use is still limited. Many software engineers presume that the intellectual challenges

of proof are too high to consider using these technologies on their projects. Therefore, an important goal in the design of the latest version of SPARK, called SPARK 2014, was to provide a less demanding approach for working with proof tools. The first step toward this goal was the arrival of Ada 2012 with its new syntax for contracts. We no longer need to write SPARK assertions as special comments in the Ada code. The subset of Ada that is legal as SPARK language has grown to encompass a larger subset of Ada, giving developers a much richer set of constructs from which to develop their code.

The real power of SPARK 2014 is under the hood. The new set of SPARK tools is integrated with the front end of the GNAT compiler. This merger allows the SPARK tools to make direct use of the many code analyses performed by the GNAT compiler. Also, the new tools use an entirely new proof system based on the Why3 software verification system (Bobot et al., 2011). Why3 manages a collection of modern satisfiability modulo theory (SMT) provers such as Alt-Ergo (OCamlPro, 2014), CVC4 (New York University, 2014), YICES (Dutertre, 2014), and Z3 (Bjørner, 2012) that complete the actual proving of the contracts in our program. These underlying proof tools can handle far more situations than the original SPARK prover. Do not be put off by this high-powered mathematical foundation; you do not need knowledge of these low-level proof tools to use SPARK.

Another significant improvement in SPARK 2014 is the integration of proof and testing techniques. The Ada 2012 assertions in a SPARK program can be checked dynamically by running test cases. Alternatively, these assertions can be proven correct by the SPARK proof tools. Such a mixed verification approach allows us to incrementally increase the level of formality in our programs. Having the ability to combine testing and proof also allows us to more easily verify programs written in a mixture of languages.

It is useful to distinguish between SPARK as a programming language and the SPARK tools that perform the analysis and proof of program properties. The removal of difficult-to-analyze features such as access types and exceptions makes the SPARK 2014 language a subset of Ada 2012. Yet, the SPARK 2014 language also extends Ada 2012 with additional pragmas and aspects. The SPARK language is described in the *SPARK 2014 Reference Manual* (SPARK Team, 2014a) and could potentially be implemented by many Ada compiler vendors.

At the time of this writing, Altran/AdaCore's implementation of SPARK is the only one that exists. Details of their implementation are described in the *SPARK 2014 Toolset User's Guide* (SPARK Team, 2014b). Because there is only one implementation of SPARK, it is easy to assume that SPARK is really the union

of behaviors described in the reference manual and user's guide. However, it is possible that another implementation of SPARK may arise that implements the language in the reference manual while providing a different user experience.

As a convenience to the reader, in this book we have at times conflated SPARK the language and SPARK as implemented by Altran/AdaCore. With only one implementation of SPARK available, this approach seems reasonable, and it has the advantage of streamlining the presentation. For example, `SPARK_Mode`, described in Section 7.1.1, provides a way of identifying which parts of a program are SPARK. Technically, `SPARK_Mode` is a feature of Altran/AdaCore's implementation and not part of the SPARK language itself. Another implementation of SPARK could conceivably use a different mechanism for identifying SPARK code.

It is also important to understand that while the SPARK language is relatively static, the tools are rapidly evolving. As the tools mature they are able to automatically complete more proofs faster than before. You may find that recent versions of the tools do not require as many hints and assertions as older versions. In particular, some examples in this book may be provable by newer tools with fewer assertions required than we use here.

The SPARK language includes the Ada 2012 constructs necessary for object-oriented programming: tagged types, type extensions, dispatching operations, abstract types, and interface types. Contract notations are provided for ensuring that any operations applied to a superclass instance are also valid for instances of a subclass (the Liskov Substitution Principle). We have elected not to cover the object-oriented aspects of SPARK programming in this book.

Chapter Synopses

Chapter 1 provides an overview of high integrity software and some approaches commonly used to create high-quality software. The SPARK language and tool set are described in the context of reducing defect rates.

Chapter 2 introduces the basic subset of Ada 2012 that constitutes the SPARK language. SPARK's decision and loop structures will be familiar to all programmers. Subprograms come in two forms: functions and procedures. A significant portion of this chapter is devoted to types. Ada allows us to define our own simple and complex types. Using these types, we can create accurate models of the real world and provide valuable information to the SPARK tools so we can identify errors before the program is executed.

Chapter 3 is about the package. Packages facilitate the construction of large programs. We use packages to support separation of concerns, encapsulation,

information hiding, and more. A SPARK program consists of a main subprogram that uses services provided by packages.

Chapter 4 provides the first look at contracts – assertions about the program’s behavior that must be implemented correctly by the developer. Dependency contracts provide the means to verify data dependencies and information flow dependencies in our programs. Incorrect implementation of data dependencies or flow of information can lead to security violations. The SPARK tools can check that the implementation conforms to the requirements of these contracts. This analysis offers two major services. First, it verifies that uninitialized data is never used. Second, it verifies that all results computed by the program participate in some way in the program’s eventual output – that is, all computations are effective.

Chapter 5 provides a review of basic discrete mathematics useful in reading and writing contracts. Propositional and predicate logic provide the fundamental notions needed for expressing the assertions in contracts that specify functional behavior. The existential (there exists) and universal (for all) quantifiers of predicate logic are crucial in stating assertions about collections. Although not necessary to use the SPARK tools, we give a basic introduction to arguments and their validity. The verification conditions (VCs) generated by the SPARK proof tools are arguments that must be proven valid to ensure that our implementation fulfills the contracts. We leave it to the SPARK tools to do the actual proofs.

Chapter 6 describes how to use the SPARK tools to prove behavioral properties of a program. The first step is the proof that our program is free of runtime errors – that is, no exceptions can ever be raised. By including contracts such as preconditions and postconditions with each subprogram, we state the desired functionality. We can use the SPARK tools to show that these contracts are always honored, and thus, our code implements that functionality. In an ideal world, the tools would need only our code to verify it is free of runtime errors and meets all of its contracts. In reality, the tools are not yet smart enough to accomplish that verification alone. When a proof fails in a situation where we believe our code to be correct, we need to give the tool some additional information it can use to complete its proof. This information comes in the form of additional assertions.

Chapter 7 explores the issues around building programs that are not completely written in SPARK. It is often infeasible or even undesirable to write an entire program in SPARK. Some portions of the program may need to be in full Ada to take advantage of Ada features that are not available in SPARK such as access types and exceptions. It may be necessary for SPARK programs to call third-party libraries written in full Ada or in some other programming

language such as C. Of course, SPARK's assurances of correctness cannot be formally guaranteed when the execution of a program flows into the non-SPARK components.

Chapter 8 provides an overview of SPARK in the context of a software engineering process. We describe three common usages: conversion of SPARK 2005 programs to SPARK 2014, analysis or conversion of existing Ada programs, and development of new SPARK programs from scratch. We introduce the INFORMED design method for SPARK and discuss how testing and proof may be used in combination. Finally, we present a case study of developing an application using the INFORMED process.

In Chapter 9 we examine some advanced techniques for proving properties of SPARK programs including ghost entities and proof of transitive properties. We discuss the approaches we found useful for debugging proofs and provide a number of guidelines for completing difficult proofs. Finally, we give a brief tour of the internal workings of SPARK and suggestions for learning more.

Tools

Currently, the partnership of Altran and AdaCore provides the only implementation of SPARK 2014. They provide two versions. SPARK GPL with the corresponding GNAT GPL Ada compiler is available for free to software developers and students at <http://www.libre.adacore.com>. SPARK Pro with the corresponding GNAT Pro Ada compiler is intended for industrial, military, and commercial developers. Information on subscriptions to these professional tools is available at <http://www.adacore.com>.

Web Resources

A Web site with the complete source code for all of the examples and some of the exercises in the book may be found at <http://www.cambridge.org/us/academic/subjects/computer-science/programming-languages-and-applied-logic/building-high-integrity-applications-spark>.

The *Ada 2012 Language Reference Manual* and the *Rationale for Ada 2012* are available at <http://www.ada-auth.org/standards/12rm/html/RM-TTL.html> and <http://www.ada-auth.org/standards/12rat/html/Rat12-TTL.html>.

The *GNAT Reference Manual* and the *GNAT User's Guide* are available at http://docs.adacore.com/gnat_rm-docs/html/gnat_rm.html and http://docs.adacore.com/gnat_ugn-docs/html/gnat_ugn.html.

The *SPARK 2014 Reference Manual* and the *SPARK 2014 Toolset User's Guide* are available at <http://docs.adacore.com/spark2014-docs/html/lrm> and <http://docs.adacore.com/spark2014-docs/html/ug>.

Additional resources for Ada 2012 and SPARK may be found at <http://university.adacore.com>, <https://www.linkedin.com/groups/Ada-Programming-Language-114211/about>, and <https://www.linkedin.com/groups/SPARK-User-Community-2082712/about>

You can keep up with the latest SPARK developments at <http://www.spark-2014.org>.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the many SPARK 2014 developers at AdaCore and Altran who helped us with all of the nuances of the language and tools. We appreciate their work in reading and commenting on several drafts of this book. This book is much better as a result of their efforts. We also thank those individuals in industry who use SPARK on real projects for their feedback. Their comments, corrections, and suggestions have enormously improved and enriched this book. We are grateful to (in alphabetical order) Stefan Berghofer, Roderick Chapman, Arnaud Charlet, Robert Dorn, Claire Dross, Pavlos Efstathopoulos, Johannes Kanig, David Lesens, Stuart Matthews, Yannick Moy, Florian Schanda, and Tucker Taft.

Anyone who has written a textbook can appreciate the amount of time and effort involved and anyone related to a textbook author can tell you at whose expense that time is spent. John thanks his wife Naomi for her support and understanding. Peter thanks his wife Sharon for her patience and his students for their interest in SPARK.

John W. McCormick
University of Northern Iowa
mccormick@cs.uni.edu

Peter C. Chapin
Vermont Technical College
PChapin@vtc.vsc.edu