

DOMESTIC POLITICS AND INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS TRIBUNALS

International politics has become increasingly legalized over the past fifty years, restructuring the way that states interact with each other, with international institutions, and even with their own constituents. Perhaps the area subjected to the most intense restructuring has been human rights. The rise of the international legalization of human rights now makes it possible for individual constituents to make human rights claims against their governments at international courts such as the European and Inter-American Courts of Human Rights. This book brings together theories of compliance from international law, human rights, and international relations to explain the increasingly important phenomenon of states' compliance with human rights tribunals' rulings. The central argument of the book is that compliance with international human rights tribunals' rulings is an inherently domestic affair. It posits three overarching questions: first, why do states comply with human rights tribunals' rulings? Second, how does the compliance process unfold, and what are the domestic political considerations around compliance? Third, what effect does compliance have on the protection of human rights? This book answers these questions through a combination of quantitative analyses and in-depth case studies from Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Italy, Portugal, Russia, and the United Kingdom.

Dr. Courtney Hillebrecht is an assistant professor in the Department of Political Science at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln. Her research focuses on human rights, international relations, and international law. Hillebrecht's work has been published in *Human Rights Quarterly*, *Human Rights Review*, *The Journal of Human Rights Practice*, and *Foreign Policy Analysis*. She is the editor of a forthcoming volume on states' responses to human security crises and is beginning work on a new project on the effect of international criminal accountability on ongoing violence.





CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW

Established in 1946, this series produces high-quality scholarship in the fields of public and private international law and comparative law. Although these are distinct legal subdisciplines, developments since 1946 confirm their interrelations.

Comparative law is increasingly used as a tool in the making of law at national, regional, and international levels. Private international law is now often affected by international conventions, and the issues faced by classical conflicts rules are frequently dealt with by substantive harmonization of law under international auspices. Mixed international arbitrations, especially those involving state economic activity, raise mixed questions of public and private international law, whereas in many fields (such as the protection of human rights and democratic standards, investment guarantees, and international criminal law) international and national systems interact. National constitutional arrangements relating to "foreign affairs" and to the implementation of international norms are a focus of attention.

The series welcomes works of a theoretical or interdisciplinary character and those focusing on the new approaches to international or comparative law or conflicts of law. Studies of particular institutions or problems are equally welcome, as are translations of the best work published in other languages.

General Editors

James Crawford, SC, FBA Whewell Professor of International Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

John S. Bell, FBA Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.



For Carrick, Nola, and Willa



Domestic Politics and International Human Rights Tribunals

THE PROBLEM OF COMPLIANCE

COURTNEY HILLEBRECHT

University of Nebraska-Lincoln





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107040229

© Courtney Hillebrecht 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Hillebrecht, Courtney.

Domestic politics and international human rights tribunals: the problem of compliance / Courtney Hillebrecht, University of Nebraska–Lincoln.

pages cm. – (Cambridge studies in international and comparative law) Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-04022-9

International human rights courts – Political aspects.
 International and municipal law – Political aspects.
 International human rights courts – Europe.
 International human rights courts – Latin America.
 International human rights courts – Europe.
 European Court of Human Rights.
 Title.

K3240.5.H55 2013

341.4′8–dc23 2013015874

ISBN 978-1-107-04022-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Acronyms Acknowledgments		page xi xiii
1	Human Rights Tribunals and the Challenge of Compliance	1
	Two Anecdotes from the Americas	1
	Introduction to the Book	3
	The Human Rights Tribunals in Context and in Practice	4
	The European Court of Human Rights	4
	The Inter-American Human Rights System	8
	Questions about Compliance	11
	Book Outline and Methodology	13
2	Explaining Compliance with Human Rights Tribunals	19
	Compliance from the Bottom Up: Democratic Institutions and	
	Domestic Politics	19
	The Agents and Actors of Compliance	21
	Explaining Compliance with Human Rights Tribunals	25
	Demonstrating a Commitment to Human Rights	25
	Setting and Advancing Domestic Human Rights Agendas	29
	Democratic Preferences and Begrudging Compliance	31
	Competing Explanations for Compliance	33
	Tackling Endogeneity	33
	Coercion	34
	Enforcement	35



viii	Contents	
	International Law as Instructive and Constitutive Conclusion	37 39
3	Domestic Institutions and Patterns of Compliance	41
	Challenges of Measuring Compliance with Human Rights	
	Tribunals The Compliance with Human Rights Tribunals (CHRT)	41
	Dataset: An Overview	44
	The Inter-American Court of Human Rights	44
	The European Court of Human Rights	45
	Additional Case and Obligation Characteristics: Types of	
	Mandates and Obligations	47
	Estimating Compliance with Human Rights Tribunals:	
	Statistical Analyses	52
	Robustness Checks	60
4	Compliance as a Signal of States' Human Rights	
•	Commitments: Uribe's Colombia	66
	Colombia and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights:	
	An Overview	66
	Domestic Political Institutions and Constraints in Colombia	68
	Uribe's Incentives to Express a Commitment to Human Rights	69
	Using Human Rights Rulings: The Novelty of the Inter-American	
	Court of Human Rights	73
	19 Tradesmen: A Reputational Boost with Reservations	74
	Unwilling and Implicated: A Dearth of Compliance Coalition	
	Partners	77
	Conclusion	81
5	Leveraging International Law's Legitimacy to Change	
	Policies: Compliance and Domestic Policy Promotion in	0
	Argentina and Portugal	82
	Leveraging International Law at Home: Between Style and	
	Substance	82
	Argentina: Institutions and Incentives for Compliance	83
	Domestic Institutions in Argentina	84
	Using the Inter-American Court's Rulings to Confront the Past	0
	and Build a "New Argentina"	87
	Leveraging the Court's Rulings to Ultimately Address the Past Portugal: A Brief Study of When Judges Take up the Mantle of	89
	Compliance	0.3
	Conclusion	93 97
	O01101001011	9/

97



	Contents	1 X
6	The Bitter Pill of Compliance: Preferences for Human Rights, Democracy, and the Rule of Law	98
	•	90
	The United Kingdom and the European Court of Human	
	Rights	99
	The United Kingdom's Compliance Record	101
	The Trouble over Terrorists: The European Court's Reshaping of the Global War on Terror and Immigration in the	
	United Kingdom	102
	Deportation and Detention	104
	Stop-and-Search Laws	107
	DNA and Prisoners' Voting Rights: Different Types of Security,	•
	Same Compliance Dynamics	108
	Storage of DNA from Innocent Citizens	108
	The Row over Prisoners	109
	Conclusion	111
_	Compliance Failures: Russia, Italy, and Brazil and the	
7	Politics of Noncompliance	113
	Russia: Problems of Caseloads and Compliance	
	Constraints on the Kremlin	114 116
	The Politics of Partial Compliance in Russia	
	Italy: Moving beyond Reparations to Reform the Rule of Law	119 121
	Why Italy Won't Comply with the European Court of Human	121
	Rights' Rulings	122
	Brazil: The Unwilling Participant	125
	Formal and Informal Institutional Constraints in Brazil	126
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	127
		130
	Conclusion	132
8	Conclusion: The European and Inter-American Courts in	
	Context	134
	Summary of Central Argument	134
	Extensions to Other Tribunals	137
	The United Nations' Human Rights Treaty Bodies	139
	The African Human Rights Institutions	142
	Asia-Pacific Human Rights Institutions	144
	The International Criminal Court	145
	A Larger Research Agenda	149
	Long-Term Effectiveness and Compliance	150
8	Brazil's Inconsistent Compliance: A Comparison The Prospect of Changes in Brazil's Compliance Practices Conclusion Conclusion: The European and Inter-American Courts in Context Summary of Central Argument Extensions to Other Tribunals The United Nations' Human Rights Treaty Bodies The African Human Rights Institutions Asia-Pacific Human Rights Institutions The International Criminal Court A Larger Research Agenda	



ıtents

Beyond Compliance: Courts, Constituents, and States	150
Best Practices	153
Compliance and Human Rights Tribunals Revisited	156
Works Cited	159
Index	



Acronyms

ACmHPR African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights

ACtHPR African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

AICHR ASEAN Intergovernmental Commission on Human Rights

ASEAN Association of South East Asian Nations

AU African Union

AUC United Auto-Defense Forces of Colombia

CAR Central African Republic

CDS-PP Conservative Centrist Democratic-Popular Party

CEJIL Center for Justice and International Law

CHRT Dataset Compliance with Human Rights Tribunals Dataset

CM Committee of Ministers
COE Council of Europe

DRC Democratic Republic of the Congo ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

EU European Union

FARC Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia

FREPASO Front for a County in Solidarity

FTA Free Trade Agreement GDP Gross Domestic Product

GEE Generalized Estimating Equation

HRA Human Rights Act
HRTs Human Rights Tribunals
HRW Human Rights Watch

хi



xii Acronyms

IACmHR Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

IACtHR Inter-American Court of Human Rights

ICC International Criminal Court

IRSIG-CNR Research Institute on Judicial Systems, National Research

Council

JCHR Joint Committee on Human Rights

JPL Justice and Peace Law
MP Member of Parliament

NGO Nongovernmental Organization
OAS Organization of American States
OAU Organization of African Unity

OHCHR Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights

PJ Peronist Justice Party (Partida de Justica)

PSD Social Democratic Party

TPIMs Terrorism Prevention and Investigation Measures

UCR Radical Civic Party

USAID United States Agency for International Development



Acknowledgments

In many ways, this book represents a team effort. Without the support, prodding, and encouragement of my family, friends, and mentors, I would never have been able to undertake and complete this project. While I am in the debt of many, there are some who deserve particular thanks.

The Department of Political Science at the University of Wisconsin–Madison trained me well. My graduate school advisor and mentor, Jon Pevehouse, helped me take a seedling of an idea and form it into a large research agenda. His guidance on this project taught me how to think like a political scientist, and his patience, encouragement, and friendship were invaluable. My other mentors at UW, particularly Lisa Martin, Scott Straus, Helen Kinsella, and Mark Copelovitch, were all instrumental in my development as a scholar, as were my graduate student colleagues. Tricia Olsen deserves a special round of thanks for always supplying ready advice, empathy, and friendship.

My colleagues at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln have provided tremendous insight and encouragement as I worked to complete this manuscript. David Forsythe, Alice Kang, Ari Kohen, Patrice McMahon, Ross Miller, Dona-Gene Mitchell, Beth Theiss-Morse, Mike Wagner, Sergio Wals, and Tyler White have not only read drafts and provided feedback on my work, but they also have helped to guide me in the process of producing my first book manuscript and supported me in my first years in a faculty position.

This manuscript also has benefited tremendously from the help of a number of promising young scholars at the University of Nebraska–Lincoln: Margo Berends,



xiv

Acknowledgments

Jalele Defa, Frank Gonzalez, and Stephanie Spar. I owe a special thanks to Nick Cordonier, whose research assistance over the past two years has been invaluable.

I am grateful to the School of Human Rights Research at Utrecht University and the Carr Center for Human Rights at the Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University for welcoming me into their communities as a Ph.D. candidate. The work I did as a research Fellow at these two institutions was some of the most formative of my academic career. I am similarly grateful to the International Relations Institute at the University of São Paolo for inviting me to present and receive feedback on my work as it neared completion. John Berger at Cambridge University Press skillfully guided me through the publishing process, and I thank him for his guidance.

While I was researching this project, I had the opportunity to conduct fieldwork at the tribunals central to my study, namely the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, the European Court of Human Rights, and the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. Financial support from the National Science Foundation (Award No. SES-0921353) and the Department of Political Science, the Division of International Studies, and the Graduate Student Collaborative, all of the University of Wisconsin–Madison, made these trips possible. The hospitality and openness of the lawyers, advocates, and administrators who work at these tribunals made these trips successful. I am profoundly grateful for the chance to have met the talented individuals who work at the front lines of human rights reform.

I am extraordinarily lucky to have such a supportive family, and I cannot even begin to thank my parents, Donald and Jane Hillebrecht, for their unwavering support, both in my academic pursuits and in life more generally. Similarly, my grandmother, Frieda Fontanella, has been a life-long champion and companion. My in-laws, Carol and Rick Detweiler, have provided endless encouragement and enthusiasm for my work, for which I thank them most sincerely. Above all, I am deeply grateful to my husband, Carrick Detweiler, for supporting this endeavor in its entirety. We are both lucky to have our two daughters, Nola and Willa, not to mention our goofy dog, to remind us to not to take ourselves too seriously and to get out and play.