

Index

- abstract
 - guidelines for writing, *104*
 - learning from examples, *104, 106–7*
 - setting first impression, *107*
 - wow factor, *102*
- beliefs
 - conflicting, *34*
 - dropping, *28*
 - questioning, *22*
- big brother, watching you, *58*
- big problem
 - bottlenecks to solving problem, *23, 32, 99*
 - breakthrough, *25, 103, 142*
 - hypothetical solutions, *23, 32*
 - waiting to be solved, *21, 85, 102, 104, 110*
- blind spots, finding your, *38, 146*
- budget
 - cost quotations, *119–20*
 - direct and indirect costs, *118*
 - error in table, *76, 119*
 - negotiating with your employer, *120–1*
- career grant
 - assistant professor, *49*
 - associate professor, *49*
 - full professor, *49*
 - postdoc, *2, 18, 49*
- searching for opportunities, *52*
- student, *2, 18, 48*
- young group leader, *49*
- career ladder
 - climbing up, *2, 3, 47*
 - different stages on, *48*
 - foundation to place it on, *2*
 - next step on, *47*
- creativity, *26, 32*
- credibility, *105, 128, 163, 168*
- critiques
 - being open to criticism, *7, 152*
 - during interview, *165*
 - from your mentor, *175*
 - guidelines for writing rebuttal, *147, 149*
 - learning from examples, *151*
 - training panel members, *158*
- culture
 - in scoring, *156*
 - of nurturing younger scientists, *175*
 - unwritten rules in your field, *67*
- Curie, Marie
 - Nobel Prize winner, *10*
- curriculum vitae. *See* *résumé*
- CV. *See* *résumé*
- database
 - with awarded proposals, *58, 109*
 - with candidate mentors, *175, 177*

205 INDEX

- DFG, Deutsche Forschungs
 Gemeinschaft, 56
 dissemination plan, 96, 182
 dream
 field, 20–1
 proposal, 18
 ultimate, 24
- Einstein, Albert, Nobel Prize
 winner, 78, 194
 elevator pitch, 110
 English
 broken English, 72
 consulting corrector, 37, 73,
 150, 181
 native-English speaker, 71
 non-English names, 34
 non-native-English
 reviewers, 72
 ERC, European Research
 Council, 54
 ethical issues, 67, 73, 198
 eureka moments, 24
 flexible mind set, 28
 your stories, 168
 evaluation criteria, 62.
 See also quality
 example scores, 154
 scoring fairly, objectively, and
 accurately, 136
 thinking strategically, 33, 38
 evaluation procedure
 a complete simulation, 7, 186
 minimum number of panel
 members, 156
 minimum number of
 reviewers, 156
 preselection using short
 outline, 60
 the main steps, 60
 excitement
 lack of, 31
 lots of, 31, 168
- feedback
 from editor, 37, 73, 150
 from funding agency officer, 161
 from journal on presubmission
 inquiry, 35
 from panel discussions, 157
 from reviewers, 156
 listening to, 152, 172, 175
 looking for a brave mentor, 174
 figures, checking black-and-white
 print, 71
 format
 advantage of traditional, 86
 obligatory sections, 69
 funding agency
 discovering their world, 58
 mining their information, 52,
 59, 65
 their real targets, 46, 53, 100
- game of science, 12, 44, 167, 184
 Gandhi, Mahatma
 philosopher and writer, 42
 Gantt chart. *See* work plan,
 elements
 Google Scholar, 138, 140, 145,
 195
 guidelines for writing
 abstract, 110
 impact, 101
 rebuttal, 153
 title, 111
 work plan, 145–6
- HFSP, Human Frontiers Science
 Program, 55
 high potential, being a, 2, 47, 57
 H-index, 139
 honorary scholarship, 57
 human component
 accounting for health
 problems, 64
 at panel meetings, 134
 in getting funded, 6
 in proposal writing, 166
 panel members making
 errors, 158
- ideas, getting
 brain-still, 23, 27

206 INDEX

- ideas, getting (cont.)
 - brainstorm, 22–3, 27
 - mind list, 32
 - mind map, 26, 28, 32
 - success factors, 21
 - tunnel vision, 22, 26
- impact
 - direct, 90
 - end-users, 92
 - guidelines for writing, 93
 - learning from examples, 94, 96, 98
 - long-term, 90, 95, 100, 115
 - medium-term, 90, 95
 - opportunity, 25, 90, 104, 163
 - types of, 93
- impact factor, journal, 126–7, 138, 195
- independent group leader, 49
- ineligible proposal, 67, 70, 119
- innovation, 16, 18, 22, 29, 82
- international experience, 123
 - learning from examples, 124
- interview
 - going over résumé, 163
 - preparing an excellent presentation, 164
 - showing authenticity, 168
 - showing excitement, 164, 165
 - taking questions, 165
 - telling stories, 163, 168
- investigator
 - advanced, 49, 128
 - consolidating, 49
 - starting, 49
- ivory tower, 109, 164
- joy to read, 103, 149
- KISS principle, 111, 163
- layout
 - flagging important information, 137
 - pleasing to the eye, 71, 103, 105, 149
- leadership, 128, 201
 - during interview, 162
 - potential, 2, 51
 - qualities, 47, 120, 135
 - scientific, 54, 147
 - secondary measures, 140
 - top-10% scientist, 137
 - virtues, 194
- mentor
 - acting as grant-parent, 36
 - having a rewarding relationship, 174
 - program, 174
 - setting out a psychological contract, 178
- Mother Teresa
 - Nobel Peace Prize winner, 132
- Nature*, journal, 106, 196
 - award for mentors, 178
 - editor's view on editing, 182
 - getting a feel for good writing style, 73
 - guide for mentors, 174
 - learning from examples, 115
 - search for hot papers, 31
 - some papers are hardly cited, 127
- NIH, National Institutes of Health, 53
- NSF, National Science Foundation, 54
- NWO, Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research, 56
- one-minute scan
 - of abstract, 110
 - of résumé, 123, 129–30
 - of whole proposal, 63
- opinion paper, 35, 89, 196
- outside the box thinking
 - allowing for some “chaos”, 27
 - an illustrative exercise, 26
 - coming up with surprising impacts, 91
 - deciding about topic, 20

207 INDEX

- developing a title, 116
- look for discussion partners, 29
- on impact opportunities, 101
- thinking around the problem, 25
- panel members
 - being dominant, 157
 - conflict of interest, 155
 - guidelines for, 161
 - map of specialisms, 61, 65
 - needing reading glasses, 70
 - recognizing bias, 159
 - requiring training, 158
 - their work load, 62, 86, 102, 149
- passion
 - developing more, 193
 - for doing research, 2
 - leading to performance, 18
 - selecting topic, 24
 - testing your, 20
 - what excites you most, 20
- perspectives paper, 35, 37
- pilot evidence
 - adding new evidence in rebuttal, 150
 - making your idea look plausible, 82, 85, 103, 105
- pilot study, 16, 26, 34, 104
 - small grant covering pilot study, 57
- professional
 - editor, 72, 76, 150, 181
 - illustrator, 71, 181
 - layout, 71
- proposal circle, 97, 103, 110
- quality
 - of applicant, 62, 136, 138, 140, 154
 - of proposed research, 15, 62, 86, 136, 154, 173
 - of the panel, 158
 - of the review reports, 156
 - of title, 111, 113
 - of writing and presentation, 191
- rebuttal
 - guidelines for writing, 148
 - having less to rebut, 152
- reference list
 - Harvard style, 70
 - Vancouver style, 70
- research leader, 46–8, 55, 57, 100
- research line, 2
 - consolidating, 1
 - curiosity-driven, 57
 - dream, 16
 - future, 90, 117, 142
 - starting, 1
- researcher ID, 33
- résumé
 - bad and good examples, 123
 - confounding with collaborators, 137
 - confounding with supervisor, 140, 144, 162
 - discussing with other people, 38
 - elements, 122
 - strengthening, 15, 18, 33, 39
 - unique selling points, 122
- reviewers
 - guidelines provided by agency, 138
 - panels handling review reports, 155
 - proposal elements to evaluate, 143
- risks, having a plan B, 34
- Science*, journal, 31, 107
 - getting a feel for good writing style, 73
 - some papers are hardly cited, 127
- Scientific American*, journal
 - getting a feel for good writing style, 36, 73
- scientific bottleneck, 82, 90, 104
 - See also big problem
- scientific state-of-the-art, 23, 32, 99, 104
- Scopus, 145, 195
- self-reflection, 47, 51

208 INDEX

- serendipity, 22, 24
- signatures, 68
 - from ethical committee, 75
 - from your employer, 68
- SMARTI, 38, 152, 153
- spin-off, 40, 97
- starting
 - a year ahead, 16, 19, 38, 68–9
 - to allocate time, 15
 - to create ideas, 21
 - to prepare, 166
 - to write, 14, 17, 20
- step into the shoes
 - of audience, 164, 166
 - of funding agency officer, 53
 - of panel member, 154
 - of reader, 34, 166
- submission
 - deadline, 67
 - extension to time limits, 68
 - limits on number of times, 69
 - the timing of, 69
 - uploading provisional version, 68
- SUCCESS factors, 163, 165
- support staff
 - career development centre, 179
 - communications office, 96, 183
 - courses and symposia office, 96, 182
 - financial office, 118, 180
 - knowledge transfer office, 40, 96, 180
 - language centre, 181
- talent
 - demonstrating your, 33
 - developing other people's, 193
 - developing your, 3
 - using other people's, 29, 172
- template file
 - provided by funding agency, 69
 - studying awarded proposals, 36, 175
- tenuous claims
 - impact, 90
 - résumé, 128
 - title, 113
- The Scientist*, journal
 - getting a feel for good writing style, 36, 73
- title
 - guidelines for writing, 111, 116
 - learning from examples, 112, 114
 - start with three or four keywords, 116
- track record
 - building up, 18–19
 - importance for career grants, 155
 - of your competitors, 33
 - publications and citations, 127, 144
 - which facts to report, 145
- vision
 - creating a, 15
 - paper, 35, 82, 105
- voluntary tasks, 141
- weaknesses
 - recognizing yours, 38, 172, 186
- Web of Science, 127, 139–40, 144–5, 195
- winning a career grant, 12, 44, 47, 123
- women scientists, 127
 - maternity leave, 68, 127
 - part-time appointment, 68
 - pregnancy, 64, 68, 127
- work plan
 - business plan, 88
 - discussing with other people, 38
 - SMARTI descriptions, 141, 145
 - strengthening, 15, 35, 40, 82
- work plan, developing
 - full plan, 83
 - short outline, 2, 60, 82, 89

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-04006-9 - Your Career in Science: From Research Idea to Personal Grant

Ritsert C. Jansen

Index

[More information](#)**209** INDEX

- work plan, elements
 - deliverables, *86–7*
 - Gantt chart, *86, 87*
 - objectives, *86*
 - tasks, *86*
 - work packages, *86*
- work–life balance, *51*
- workshop, organizing, *33, 39*
- wow factor, *102, 110, 123, 141*
- writing style
 - being clear about risks and benefits, *34*
 - getting a feel for good style, *36, 73*
- writing style, avoiding
 - acronyms, *113*
 - hype words, *113*
 - jargon, *8, 66, 113, 116, 163*
 - strong or rude phrases, *151*