

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03973-5 - Ethical Challenges in the Behavioral and Brain Sciences: Case Studies and Commentaries

Edited by Robert J. Sternberg and Susan T. Fiske

Index

[More information](#)

Index

- academic advisors, 48–49
 academic dishonesty, 4, 114–118
 Amazon, 153
 American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), 45–46, 188
 American Beverage Association (ABA), 198
American Journal of Psychology, 35
 American Psychiatric Association (APA), 36
 American Psychological Association (APA), 36, 42, 61, 186, 209
 Association for Psychological Sciences (APS), 112
 attribution, 8
 authorship, credit, 35–37, 38–40, 50.
 See also ordering of authorship
- BBC Prison Study
 ethical challenges, resolution, 135–138
 social identity model of tyranny and, 134–135
 Belmont Report, 148, 157
 BlackBerry Project, 76–78
Brilliant Brothers (Livio), 89
 Byrd, James, 155
- Cain, Daylian, 199
Canadian Psychological Association, 174
 case studies, overview
 five key issues, xvii
 importance of, xvi
 cheater-detection, 11
 children
 behavior observation of, 140–142
 child care studies, 145–148
 eyewitness abilities of, 131–133
 temptation probe technique and, 140–143
 Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 198
Chronicle of Higher Education, xvi
- claiming ownership, 66–67
 Clark-Pine, Dora, xv
 collaboration, 5–6, 111–112, 113, 128
 Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers, 46
 competition, xvi
 confidentiality limits, 76. *See also* digital communication, adolescents, confidentiality
 courses of action, 174–176
 Crabbe, John, 106–107
 culture, 161–163, 177
- data analysis, motivated reasoning, 87–88
 data fabrication, 122–123, 124
 data patterns, 124
 data sharing policies, 92–93
 data, theory matching, 85–86
 digital communication, adolescents, confidentiality, 76
 disgruntled colleagues, 20
 dissertations, non-publication, 59–61
 Dutch Childcare Act, 213–215
 Dutch Consortium for Research into Child Care (NCKO), 212–214
- East-West Center, 161–162
 ego issues, 177
Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers (Committee on Publication Ethics), 46
Ethical Guidelines for Reviewers (American Association for the Advancement of Science), 45–46
Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (American Psychology Association), 61, 167, 209
 ethical reasoning model, 219–220
 abstract ethical rules, concrete solutions, 223–224
 applicable abstract ethical rules and, 223

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03973-5 - Ethical Challenges in the Behavioral and Brain Sciences: Case Studies and Commentaries

Edited by Robert J. Sternberg and Susan T. Fiske

Index

[More information](#)

228 Index

- ethical reasoning model (*cont.*)
 ethical dimension significance, 221–222
 event ethical dimension, 220–221
 event to which to react, 220
 personal responsibility to generate
 ethical solution and, 222
 repercussions preparation, 224–225
 taking action and, 225
 exam cheating, plagiarism *vs.*, 9
 exam proctoring, 6–7
 experimental design
 design matters, 101–102
 replacement, refinement, reduction and,
 101–102
 results, consequences, 102–103
 expert testimony
 ethical issues, personal expectations and
 bias, 200–201
 truth, scientific summary and, 202–204
 extra credit
 emotional appeals for, 15
 fair, equal use of, 15–17

 fair evaluation, deservedness issue, 28–29
 fairness doctrine, 22, 31
 Family Education Rights and Privacy Act
 (FERPA), 21
 Federation of Associations in Behavioral
 and Brain Sciences, xvii
 fidelity, responsibility in leadership,
 167–170
 file drawer problem, 60

 grading policies
 fairness doctrine and, 22
 subjective element, 23–24

 HARKing, 94–96

 idea-poaching, 44–45
 industry funding. *See* research funding
 informed consent, 120
International Positive Psychology
 Association, 174
 International Society for Prevention
 of Child Abuse and Neglect
 (ISPCAN), 149

Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 94

 Livio, Mario, 89
 loyalty, 177

 matching data sets, 126
Mechanical Turk, 153
 moral education, 55

 National Institute of Child Health and
 Human Development (NICHD), 145
 National Research Ethics Committee, 150
 NCKO (Dutch Consortium for Research
 into Child Care), 212–214

 Obama, Barack, 85
 ordering of authorship, 50–51
 owning errors, 89–90

 peer-review, 44, 47
 personal networking, 163
 plagiarism
 defined, 10
 detection of, 10–11
 electronic communication, Internet
 and, xvi
 exam cheating *vs.*, 9
 from external sources, xvi
 prevalence of, xv
 privileged documents, 44–45
 professional responsibility, 7
Psychological Bulletin, 186, 187
 published scales, 41–42

Quebec Society for Research in
 Psychology, 174

 replaceability, 51–52
 research funding
 blindspots, self-forgiveness and, 198
 critics of, 197
 disclosure of potential conflicts
 and, 199
 Dutch Childcare Act, 213–215
 funding documentation in psychology,
 210–211
 funding effect, 208
 funding sources documentation,
 208–209
 monitoring of, 197
 motives, 197
 recent literature as guide, 210
 research grants monitoring, 205–207
 research grants monitoring, 205–207
 Research Information Network, 210
 research relationships, power
 dynamics, 55–56
 reviewing, editing of manuscripts
 bias in review process, 183–184
 dissenting experts, published
 commentaries opportunities, 189
 hot button issues, 189
 qualified reviewers, 189
 readers' comments, ongoing forum for
 issues, 189–190

Index	229
repeat reviewing, 181–182	
third parties, conflicts of interest, 191–193	
Rind et al. affair, 186–190	
<i>Science Magazine</i> , 64	
scientific data, withholding, 29–30	
sexual violence victims, research ethics, 149–152	
Slacker Stack, 61	
Standard	
Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (APA), 45	
Stanford Prison Experiment (SPE), 134	
<i>Stormfront</i> , 155–156	
student contact, unpublished research dilemma, 53–54	
student requests	
evidence, documentation for, 18–19	
future professions and, 25, 27	
incomplete grades and, 26	
stalking, harassment and, 25	
student's disengagement, irresponsibility and, 25, 27	
system-level monitoring, 11	
tenure, 165–166, 171–173	
True Score ANCOVA, 83	
truth telling, competing motives, 57–58	
Turnitin.com, xvii, 10	
unreliable data, 120	
Wesleyan University Honor Code, 9–10	
<i>White Aryan Resistance</i> , 155	
withholding scientific data, 29–30	
women, feminism, 65	
<i>World Church of the Creator</i> , 155	
World Health Organization (WHO), 149	
writing assignments, guidelines, 9	
Zimbardo, P., 134–135	