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To poor Reynard
If dogs had tong at will to talke in their defence,
If brutish beast might be so bold, to plead at barre for pence,
If poore Tom troth might speake, of all that is amysse,
Then might would beare no right a down: then me would pardon this,
Which I must here declare. Then quickly would be known,
That he which deales with strangers faults, should first amend his owne.
Thus much my selfe may say, thus much my selfe can proue,
Yet whiles I preache beware the Geese, for so it shall behoue.
I sigh (yet smyle) to see, that man (yea master man)
Can play his part in pollicie, as well as Raynard can.
And yet forsoth the Foxe is he that beares the blame,
But two leggd Foxes eate the ducks, when foure legs beare the name.
A wonder is to see, how people shoute and crye,
With hallowes, whoopes, and spitefull words, when I poore Fox go by.
Lay on him cryes the wife, downe with him sayes the childe,
Some strike, som chide, some throw a stone, som fal & be defilde [...] 
These faults with many moe, can wicked men commit,
And yet they say that Foxes passe, for subtletie and wit.
But shall I say my minde? I neuer yet saw day,
But euer town had two or three, which Rainards parts could play.
So that men vaunt in vaine, which say they hunt the Foxe,
To kepe their neighbors poultry free, & to defende their flockes,
When they them selues can spoyle, more profit in an hour,
Than Raynard rifles in a yere, when he doth most deouure.
No, no, the minds of men, which still be vainely bent,
Must haue their change of Uenerie, as first the Hare in Lent,
The Hart in Sommers heate, and me poore Foxe in cold:
But wherto serue these sundry sports, these chases manyfold?
Forsoth to feede their thoughts, with drags of vaine delight,
Whereon most men do muse by day, wheron they dream by night.
They must haue costly clothes, they must haue deintie fare,
    They must haue couches stuft with doune, they must haue all in square.
    They must haue newfound games, to make the laugh their fill,
    They must haue foules, they must haue beasts, to bayt, to hunt, to kyll.
    And all (when all is done) is nothing else but vayne,
    So Salomon the wiseman sayd, and so sayes Raynerd playne.

George Gascoigne, *The Noble Arte of Venerie* (1575)
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