

Index

- Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E), 114–16
- agriculture industry, biofuels and, 53–55
- air pollution, shale gas production, 61–63
- alternative fuel technology, biofuels, 53–55
- alternative rate regulation, 169
- America Competes Act, 114–16
- American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, 46–47
- American Energy Innovation Council, 106–8
- American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA), 90–91
 - clean coal initiative and, 51
 - investment in clean energy and, 125–29
- Ames Laboratory, 111–14
- amortization in electricity industry, failure of nuclear power and, 136
- antienvironmental industry coalition,
 - formation of, 17
- anti-regulatory politics, energy and environmental policies, 29–32
- Apollo Space Program, 102
- Applegtae, John, 81
- appliances
 - clean energy standards for, 47
 - energy efficiency and, 146–53
 - Energy Star program, 47–48
 - no-utility paradigm and, 200–2
 - programmable power pilot projects, 180
- Argonne National Laboratory, 111–14
- ARPANET, development of, 114–18
- Atomic Energy Commission, 111–14
- Averch-Johnson effect (A-J effect), 260n.48
- Awareness of Chemicals Act, 64–65
- balance of interests, electricity rates and, 171–72
- Baltimore Gas & Electric Co., 179–83
- Bank of America, 2
- basic research, energy innovation and, 111–18
- best system of emissions reduction (BSER), 14–15
 - nuclear power and, 58–59
- The Bet* (Sabin), 70–74
- Bezos, Jeff, 74, 103
- biofuels, development of, 53–55
- biotechnology innovations, government
 - funding of, 114–16
- blackouts, 193–94
- Bloom Energy, 154–56
- Bonbright, James, 167
- bounded perspective, energy/environmental
 - policy and, 94–97
- Boyd, William, 35–36
- Branson, Richard, 103
- Brattle Group, 27
- Breakthrough Energy Coalition, 2, 103
- Brookhaven National Laboratory, 111–14
- Brundtland Commission, 87–89
- buildings, carbon dioxide emissions from, 47–48
- Bureau of Land Management, 64–65
- Bush, George H. W., 17–20, 29–32
- Bush, George W., 51, 87–89
- business as usual (BAU) paradigm
 - clean power economy and, 85–98
 - innovation in regulation and, 157–58
 - politics of clean energy and, 75–78
 - social cost of carbon and, 91–92
- Business Roundtable, 75–78
- cap-and-trade systems, social costs of carbon
 - and, 91–92
- carbon capture and sequestration
 - clean coal production, 50–53
 - social costs of carbon and, 91–92

- carbon dioxide emissions
 - biofuels, 53–55
 - from buildings, 47–48
 - clean coal technology and, 50–53
 - energy metrics for measurement of, 67–68
 - EPA regulation of, 17–20
 - natural gas, 60–61
 - nuclear power, 55–59
 - shale gas production, 61–63
 - social cost of, 91–92
 - state regulations involving, 20–22
 - uniform rates for, 20–22
- Carbon Disclosure Project, 94
- Carter, Jimmy, 91, 161
- cellulosic ethanol, 53–55
- Center for American Progress, 31, 122–25
- Citi Climate Change Universe, 122–25
- Citigroup, 2, 122–25
- citizen participation, clean power planning
 - and governance and, 209–13
- Clay, Henry, 101–2
- Clean Air Act, EPA requirements to, 17–20
- Clean Coal Power Initiative (CCPI), 51
- clean coal technology, 50–53
- clean energy incentive program (CEIP), state
 - energy policies and, 20–22
- Clean Energy Standard Act, 45–46
- clean energy standards (CES)
 - advocacy for, 39
 - development in U.S. of, 43–49
- clean power
 - alternative sources of, 50–65
 - assessment of innovation in, 125–29
 - consumption and control of, 206–7
 - definitions of, 39
 - economic policy and, 85–98
 - economic value and investment in, 90–91
 - ethics of, 94–97
 - future predictions concerning, 70–74
 - lifestyle/quality of life issues and, 91–92
 - measurement of, 66–68
 - model for innovation in, 108–29
 - no-utility paradigm and, 197–200
 - policy support for, 87
 - private financing of innovation in, 122–25
 - production and delivery of, 204–6
 - public good principles and, 89–90
 - regulation and enforcement of, 207–9
 - simulation models for, 194–97, 200–1
- Clean Power Plan (CPP), 5–6
 - Building Blocks in, 14–15
 - compliance window for, 26–29
 - cost-benefit analysis of, 13
 - criticisms and responses to, 17–29
 - economic and technical challenges to, 22–29
 - electricity industry and, 139–41
 - EPA rules for, 9–11
 - fuel resources permitted in, 38
 - legal challenges to, 17–20, 214n.8
 - measurement of clean power in, 66–68
 - nuclear power and, 58–59
 - planning and governance and, 209–13
 - political challenges to, 20–22, 32–36
 - provisions of, 11–17
 - Quadrennial Energy Review and, 128–29
- Clean Water Act, 30
- Climate Action Plan (CAP), 16–17, 85–98
 - methane leakage in, 61–63
 - Quadrennial Energy Review and, 128–29
- climate change
 - environmental metrics for measurement of, 66–67
 - innovation and politics of, 104–5
 - politics of clean energy and, 32–36, 79–85
 - resistance to ideology of, 29–32
- Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, 79–85
- Clinton, William, 88
- cloud computing systems, no-utility paradigm
 - and, 199
- Club of Rome, 81, 94–97
- coal
 - business sector promotion of, 77–78
 - clean coal production, 50–53
- commercialization
 - innovation models and, 108–29
 - private financing of innovation and, 122–25
 - valley of death in innovation and, 118–25
- community disruption, of shale gas
 - production, 64–65
- competition
 - economics of innovation and, 106–8
 - in electricity industry, 138–39
 - energy policy and role of, 3–4
 - programmable power pilot projects and, 180
 - regulation of energy and increase of, 161–63
 - in utilities industry, 141–43
- compliance with CPP, timing for, 26–29
- Consortium for Advanced Simulation of Light Water Reactors (CASL), 116–18
- consumer power
 - clean power consumption and, 206–7

- consumer power (cont.)
 - electricity price increases and, 135
 - energy policy and, 6
 - future of utilities and, 141–43
 - innovation and, 105–6
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 185–86
 - no-utility paradigm and, 197–200
 - stakeholder education and, 209–13
- cooperative federalism principle, 19
- core business identification, investor-owned utilities, 143–46
- corn ethanol, 53–55
- corporate average fuel efficiency (CAFE) standard
 - development of, 44–45
 - as efficiency standard, 47
- cost-benefit analyses
 - biofuels, 53–55
 - clean coal technology and, 52
 - innovation investment and, 118–25
 - language of, 32–36
 - least-cost dispatch approach and, 14–15
 - nuclear power, 55–59
 - shale gas production, 59–65
- cost-of-service (COS) ratemaking, 137–41
 - balance of interests and, 171–72
 - customer options and rate designs in
 - Minnesota e21 program, 176–77
 - electricity price increases and, 135
 - electric services operator and, 151–53
 - innovation in, 157–58
 - legacy financing and, 165–66
 - market power and, 172
 - Minnesota e21 program, 173–79
 - in MIT clean power simulation model, 195–96
 - “new normal” paradigm and, 158–64
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 183–89
 - performance-based ratemaking, 175–76
 - redesign of, 157–90
 - stranded costs of IOUs, 164–65
 - traditional electricity industry paradigms and, 158–59
- cost recovery, cost-of-service rates and, 169
- cost-sharing, rate redesign and, 170
- Coyle, Diane, 82–83
- Critical Materials Institutur (CMI), 116–18
- cross-subsidization, net metering and, 200–2
- customer service
 - energy industry focus on, 202–13
 - financing for utilities and, 181
 - microgrid development and, 181
 - in Minnesota e21 program, 176–77
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 185–86
 - utility company focus on, 141–43
- Davies, Lincoln, 43
- decarbonization strategies, energy metrics for measurement of, 67–68
- decentralization
 - clean power planning and governance and, 209–13
 - democratization of energy and, 193–94
 - power generation shift to, 204–6
- decoupling, cost-of-service rates and, 169
- Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), 114–18
- demand growth
 - clean power consumption and, 206–7
 - decline in, 139–41
 - energy efficiency and, 25
 - utility company focus on, 141–43
- demand-side energy efficiency programs, 12, 15–16
 - distributed energy resources as, 131–33
- democracy, energy policy and, 202–13
- demonstration projects, government investment in, 118–25
- Department of Energy (DOE)
 - Basic Energy Sciences Office, 116–18
 - budget increases for, 85–98
 - clean coal technology program, 50–53
 - corporate average fuel efficiency (CAFE) standard and, 44–45
 - federal energy policy and, 9–11
 - innovation research by, 111–29
 - Office of Fossil Energy, 51
 - Quadrennial Technology Review of, 127–28
 - sustainability policies of, 87–89
 - wind and solar demonstration projects by, 118–25
- deregulation of electricity industry, 136
- Deutsche Bank, 123
- Direct Energy, 154–56
- dispatch practices, innovations in, 14–15
- distributed energy resources (DER)
 - clean power consumption and, 206–7
 - costs of, 154–56

- CPP improvements in, 22–23
 - electric services operator and, 151–53
 - equity issues in, 166–67
 - future impact of, 141–43
 - future proliferation of, 141–43
 - grid design and, 172–90
 - increased use of, 25, 194
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 184–85, 186–89
 - ratemaking redesign and, 167
 - traditional utilities and, 131–33
- distributed generation (DG)
 - electric services operator and, 151–53
 - future impact of, 141–43
 - performance-based ratemaking and, 175–76
 - traditional utilities and, 131–33
- distributed system operator (DSO)
 - no-utility paradigm and, 197–200
 - smart integrators, 149–51
 - wires-only technology, 147–49
- Distributed System Platform Provider (DSP),
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 184–85
- diversification, IOU path to increasing, 143–46
- Duke Energy, 94, 154–56
- Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, 80
- economic convergence on climate change,
 - Giddens's discussion of, 35–36
- economic policy
 - clean power transition and, 5–6, 85–98
 - electricity demand decline and, 139–41
 - energy policy and, 3–4, 29–32, 193–94
 - growth narrative in, energy policy and, 32–36
 - innovation and, 101–2, 106–8
 - politics of clean energy and, 70–74
 - slow growth/no-growth models, 83–85
 - utility regulation and, 158–59
- economic value, energy policy and, 90–91
- Edison, Thomas, 133–34
- Edison Electric Institute, 26–29, 163
- efficiency
 - in clean energy standards, 46–47
 - costs of, 146–53, 154–56
 - demand growth and, 25
 - electricity demand decline and, 139–41
 - resource conservation vs., 43
- efficiency innovation
 - economics of, 6
 - financing for, 181
- Ehrlich, Paul, 70–74
- electric generating units (EGUs)
 - CPP rules involving, 11–17
 - natural gas combined cycle and, 14–15
 - reliability issues and, 26
- electricity industry. *See also* investor-owned utilities (IOUs)
 - aging grid and, 139–41
 - changes in, 131–33
 - competition in, 138–39
 - demand decline in, 139–41
 - deregulation of, 136
 - economic and political challenges for, 134–37
 - energy policy assumptions in, 160
 - future challenges for, 141–43
 - history of, 133–34
 - innovation in, 6
 - nuclear failure and, 136
 - planning restructuring for, 178–79
 - price increases in, 135
 - shift to energy production in, 202–13
 - structural changes in, 137–41
 - traditional economic policies in, 158–59
- Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI),
 - 22–23, 25
 - grid design and, 172–90
- electric services operator (ESO), 151–53
- electric transmission lines, reliability of, 24–25
- electric vehicle charging, in Maryland
 - Utility 2.0 program, 182
- Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 43
- employment, energy politics linked to, 29–32
- energy crop production, biofuels and, 53–55
- energy efficiency standards (EES). *See also* efficiency
 - development in U.S. of, 43–49
- Energy Frontier Research Centers (EFRCs), 116–18
- energy fuel cycle, environmental policy and, 32–36
- Energy Future Coalition (EFC) (Maryland), 179–83
- Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA 2007), 44–45, 47, 53–55
- Energy Information Administration (EIA),
 - 23–24
 - clean energy standards assessment by, 46
 - electricity demand estimates and, 139–41
 - energy information systems, models for, 108–29
 - energy metrics, clean power measurement, 67–68

- energy policy
 - alignment with environmental politics and, 29–32, 36–37
 - clean power ethics and, 94–97
 - democracy and, 202–13
 - innovation and, 102–6
 - investment in, 2, 90–91
 - legislative history of, 17–20
 - model for innovation in, 108–29
 - new models for, 97
 - public good model in, 89–90
 - social costs of carbon and, 91–92
 - sustainability principles in, 87–89
 - traditional assumptions in, 160
 - transition to, 4–5, 9–11
- Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct 1992), 44–45, 78, 161–63
- Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct 2005), 44–45, 161–63
- Energy Policy and Conservation Act, passage of, 44–45
- energy resources
 - categories permitted in CPP, 38
 - preferred treatment for, 49
 - state criteria for renewable energy sources, 40–43
- Energy Star program, 47–48
- energy technology
 - innovation in, 6
 - investment in, 2
 - reliability issues in, 22–29
- Energy Works for US: Solutions for America's Energy Future*, 75–78
- Environmental and Social Policy Framework* (J. P. Morgan Chase & Co.), 124–25
- Environmental Defense Fund, 52
- environmental metrics, clean power measurement, 66–67
- environmental policy
 - clean power economy and, 85–98
 - clean power link to, 3–4
 - democracy and, 193–94
 - energy crisis of the 1970s and, 4
 - energy policy alignment with, 29–32, 36–37
 - evolution of, 87
 - politics of clean energy and, 70–74, 77–78
 - precautionary principle and, 79–85
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
 - Clean Power Plan rules from, 5–6, 9–11
 - easing of compliance timing for CPP by, 26–29
 - legal challenges to regulations by, 17–20
 - social cost of carbon and, 91–92
 - social costs of carbon and, 91–92
 - water pollution from hydraulic fracturing study by, 63–64
- equity issues, regulation of clean energy and, 166–67
- ethanol fuels, 53–55
- Europe, feed-in-tariff policies in, 42
- ex ante* regulations
 - climate change politics and, 35–36
 - MIT clean power simulation model and, 195–96
 - prudence and need reviews and, 171–72
 - reform of, 189–90
- exempt wholesale generators, energy regulation and, 161–63
- ex post* regulations
 - climate change politics and, 35–36
 - MIT clean power simulation model and, 196
 - prudence and need reviews and, 171–72
- Federal Energy Regulation Commission (FERC), 22–23
 - clean power regulation and enforcement, 207–9
 - deregulation of electricity industry and, 136
 - interstate electricity sales and, 137–41, 251n.31
 - non-IOU electric generation and, 135
- Federal Meat Inspection Act, 35–36
- federal power sources, 137–41
- federal regulation, independent power production and, 161–63
- Federal Trade Commission (FTC), corporate average fuel efficiency (CAFE) standard and, 44–45
- feed-in-tariffs (FITs)
 - comparison with CES/EES standards, 45
 - cost-of-service rates and, 169
 - renewable portfolio standards and, 40–43
- financing for utilities, in Maryland Utility 2.0 program, 181
- Fitoussi, John-Paul, 82–83
- Florida Power & Light Company, 56
- food crops, biofuels from, 53–55
- fossil fuels. *See also* specific fossil fuels, e.g. coal
 - clean power and, 39, 85–98
 - policy shift away from, 2
 - renewable power generation vs., 204–6
 - shale gas, 59–65

- fossil plant retirements, reliability issues and, 23–24
- fracking. *See* hydraulic fracturing
- Francis (Pope), 79–85, 94–97
- free riding of private sector, economics of innovation and, 106–8
- frontiers in innovation, development of, 116–18
- Fuel Cell Energy, 154–56, 257*n*.165
- Fukushima typhoon, 193–94
- fusion research, 111–14
- FutureGen project, 51
- Gates, Bill, 103, 106
- General Electric, 25, 106
- generic dockets, regulatory process use of, 177–78
- Germany, feed-in-tariff policies in, 42
- Giddens, Anthony (Sir), 34–35
- global surface temperature, measurements of, 66–67
- Goldman Sachs, 2, 203
- government policy
 - assessment of innovation and, 125–29
 - clean power regulation and enforcement, 207–9
 - economics of innovation and, 106–8
 - initial investment in innovation and, 118–25
 - innovation in, 6, 102–6
 - market ideology and, 70–74
 - model for innovation in, 108–29
 - planning and governance of clean power and, 209–13
 - politics of clean energy and, 75–78
 - renegotiation of regulatory compact by, 164–67
- Green Bank systems, in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 184–85
- Green Building Council, 47–48
- greenhouse gas performance standards, 12
 - investor-owned utilities and, 138–39
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 183–89
- grid defection, no-utility paradigm and, 197–200
- grid improvement
 - aging problems and, 139–41
 - electricity price increases and, 135
 - government funding of, 114–16
 - microgrids, 181
 - in Minnesota e21 program, 178–79
 - models for, 172–90
 - no-utility paradigm and, 200–2
 - performance-based ratemaking and, 175–76
 - planning for, 143–46
 - private investment in, 131–33
 - role of utilities in, 141–43
- gross domestic product (GDP)
 - economic policy and, 82–83
 - energy innovation financing and, 122–25
- Halliburton exception, 64
- Hamiltonian ideology, innovation and, 101–2
- happiness, wealth and, 83–85
- Hart, David M., 39
- heat rate
 - CPP improvements in, 23–24
 - EGU improvements in, 14–15
- home energy management systems, no-utility paradigm and, 197–200
- hubs, energy innovation and development of, 116–18
- Human Development Index, 83–85
- Hurricane Katrina, 193–94
- hydraulic fracturing, 61
 - air pollution from, 61–63
 - community disruption from, 64–65
 - politics of, 77–78
 - water pollution, 63–64
- incentive rates, 169
 - in MIT clean power simulation model, 195–97
- independent system operators (ISOs), 136
 - reliability of energy and, 26–29
 - wires-only technology and, 147–49
- information and communication technologies (ICT)
 - energy innovation and, 118–25, 141–43
 - future impact on utilities, 141–43
 - grid design and, 172–90
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 183–89
 - no-utility paradigm and, 197–200
 - programmable power pilot projects, 180
 - smart integrators and, 149–51
- innovation
 - assessment phase in, 125–29
 - basic research investment in, 111–18
 - clean power model for, 108–29
 - economics of, 101–2, 106–8
 - future challenges in, 129
 - government and, 102–6

- innovation (cont.)
 - investor-owned utility investment in, 141–43
 - necessity of, 101–2
 - politics of clean energy and, 70–74
 - private financing of, 122–25
 - in rate redesign, 170
 - in regulation of energy, 103–6, 167–72
 - stages of, 118–25
 - “technological valley of death” and, 118–25
- Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), 128–29
- Insull, Samuel, 158–59
- integrated grid design, 172–90
- integrated resource analysis (IRA), in
 - Minnesota e21 program, 175–76
- integrated resource plans (IRPs), in Minnesota
 - e21 program, 175–76
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 66–67, 91–92, 124–25, 236n.6
- internal carbon price, 94
- International Energy Agency (IEA), 60–61
- internet, no-utility paradigm and use of, 197–200
- investment in clean energy
 - assessment and cost-benefit analysis of, 125–29
 - climate change innovation and, 104–5
 - energy policy and, 90–91
 - failure of nuclear power and, 136
 - private financing of innovation and, 122–25
 - ratemaking redesign and, 167
 - technology innovation and, 102–6
- investor-owned utilities (IOUs). *See also* electricity industry
 - aging grid and, 139–41
 - clean power systems as competition for, 131–33
 - competition for, 138–39
 - demand decline and, 139–41
 - deregulation of electricity industry and, 136
 - energy policy assumptions in, 160
 - future business models for, 141–43, 146–53, 172–90, 200–2
 - grid design and, 172–90
 - historical evolution of, 133–34
 - market shift away from, 193–94
 - Maryland Utility 2.0 program and, 179–83
 - Minnesota e21 program and, 173–79
 - New York Reforming the Energy Vision (REV) and, 183–89
 - no-utility paradigm and, 197–200
 - planning guidelines for, 143–46
 - price increases in, 135
 - ratemaking redesign and, 167
 - regulatory changes for, 161–63
 - regulatory renegotiation with, 164–67
 - stranded costs of, 164–65
 - structural changes in, 137–41
 - technological advances and, 154–56
 - traditional electricity industry paradigms and, 158–59
 - wires only systems, 147–49
- Jeffersonian ideology, innovation and, 101–2
- Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis (JCAP), 116–18
- Joint Center for Energy Storage Research (JCESR), 116–18
- J. P. Morgan Chase & Co., 124–25
- Kellerman, Larry, 203
- Keystone Pipeline project, 29–32
- Krakoff, Sara, 94–97
- language, environmental/energy politics and
 - role of, 32–37
- large-scale investments, alternatives for utilities
 - to, 143–46
- Lawrence, Ernest, 111–14
- Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 111–14, 116–18
- Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) labels, 47–48, 207–9
- least-cost dispatch approach, 14–15
- legacy financing, regulation of clean energy and, 165–66
- legal challenges to energy regulation, Clean Power Plan challenges, 17–20, 214n.8
- Lester, Richard, 39
- levelized cost of electricity (LCOE), nuclear
 - power and, 56–57
- lifestyle issues, clean power and, 91–92
- Limits to Growth* (Club of Rome), 81, 94–97
- local government agencies, clean power
 - regulation and enforcement, 207–9
- local utility companies, 137–41
- Manhattan Project, 102, 111–14
- Marcellus Shale region, 64
- market-based rates, 169
- market ideology

- cost-of-service (COS) ratemaking, 172
- democracy and, 202–13
- innovation and, 105–6
- “new normal” paradigm and, 158–64
- in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 186–89
- politics of clean energy and, 70–74
- private financing of innovation and, 122–25
- Martin, Cheryl, 126–27
- Maryland Utility 2.0 program, 179–83
 - electric vehicle charging and, 182
 - financing goals in, 181
 - microgrid development in, 181
 - programmable power systems in, 180
 - utility revenue in, 180
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 50–53
 - clean power simulation models, 194–97
 - Energy Initiative of, 141–43
 - levelized cost of electricity studies and, 56–57
- McKinsey and Company, 46–47
- mercantilism, innovation and, 101–2
- merchant transmission firms, 24–25
- mercury pollutants, EPA regulation of, 17–20
- methane, shale gas production and leakage of, 61–63
- Michigan v. EPA*, 13
- microgrid development, 181
- Middle East oil production, politics of clean energy and, 75–78
- Millennium Development Goals (UN), 87–89
- minerals, future predictions on prices of, 70–74
- Minnesota e21 program, 173–79
 - customer options and rate designs in, 176–77
 - performance-based ratemaking in, 175–76
 - planning reforms in, 178–79
 - regulatory process reforms, 177–78
- Mission Initiative, 2
- mitigation, regulation of clean energy, 166–67
- Muir, John, 43
- Muller, Richard, 77–78
- multiple energy providers, shift to, 202–13
- multiple stakeholders, regulatory reform and, 189–90
- National Academy of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine, 46–47, 114–18
- National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, 20–22
- National Climate Assessment*, 79–85, 87
- National Energy Act, 161–63
- National Energy Plan*, 88
- national energy programs, renewable portfolio standards proposal, 40–43
- National Environmental Policy Act, 29–32, 87
- National Laboratories, innovation research at, 111–14
- National Ocean and Atmospheric Agency (NOAA), 66–67
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), 38, 111–14
- National Research Council, 46–47, 114–18
- natural disasters, energy policy and, 193–94
- natural gas
 - air pollution from, 61–63
 - investor-owned utilities and use of, 138–39
 - lower costs of, 56
 - reliability policies for, 24–25
 - shale gas production, 59–65
- natural gas combined cycle (NGCC), 14–15
- natural monopoly, 242n.106
- Natural Resources Defense Council, 52
- Navigant research, 199
- need reviews, electricity rates and, 171–72
- net metering, drawbacks of, 200–2
- network effects, no-utility paradigm and, 197–200
- new economics movement, 240n.75
- New York Public Service Commission, 183–89
- New York Reforming the Energy Vision (REV), 183–89
 - customer participation in, 185–86
 - distribution system in, 184–85
 - regulatory reform in, 186–89
- NextEra Energy, 154–56
- next-generation storage systems, 114–16
- Nixon, Richard, 30
- no-growth model, 83–85
- nonutility energy providers, 135, 137–41
 - future opportunities for, 143–46
 - regulatory renegotiation concerning, 164–67
- Nordhaus, William, 77–78
- North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), 22–23, 218n.62
 - heat rate improvements assessment, 23–24
 - renewable portfolio standards and, 25
 - timing for compliance with CPP and, 26–29
- no-utility paradigm
 - future of utilities and, 200–2
 - simulation of, 197–200
- NRG Energy, 154–56

- nuclear power
 - Consortium for Advanced Simulation of Light Water Reactors (CASL), 116–18
 - failure of, 136
 - politics of, 77–78
 - production and politics of, 55–59
- Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), 55–59
- Nussbaum, Martha, 81
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 116–18
- Obama, Barack, 47–48
 - Clean Power Plan, 5–6, 85–98
 - on shale gas production, 60–61
 - sustainability policies under, 87–89
- oil production
 - politics of clean energy and, 75–78
 - shale gas production and, 59–65
- O'Malley, Martin, 179
- OPEC, loss of market share and influence by, 75–78
- Oppenheimer, J. Robert, 111–14
- Pacific Gas & Electric, 136
- Paris Climate Agreement, 79–85
 - sustainability principles in, 87–89
- partisanship
 - Clean Power Plan politics and, 20–22
 - energy and environmental policy alignment and, 29–32
- People's Republic of China, investment in clean energy in, 122–25
- performance assessment
 - energy innovation, 125–29
 - utility revenue and, 180
- performance-based ratemaking, 169, 175–76
- pharmaceutical industry, energy innovation compared with, 118–25
- PJM Interconnection, 22
- planning reforms for electricity industry, 178–79
 - clean power systems and, 209–13
- plasma physics, 111–14
- political convergence on climate change, Giddens's discussion of, 35–36
- politics and clean energy
 - of Clean Power Plan, 20–22, 32–36
 - clean power transition and, 5–6, 70
 - energy and environmental policy alignment and, 29–32
 - federal-state politics, 31
 - future predictions concerning clean power and, 70–74
 - public opinion politics, 31
 - traditional path or business as usual paradigm, 75–78
- The Politics of Climate Change* (Giddens), 34–35
- The Politics of Nature* (Purdy), 193–94
- pollution, legal challenges concerning
 - regulation of, 17–20
- positive externalities, economics of innovation and, 106–8
- Potomac Electric Power, Inc., 179–83
- power pooling, reliability of energy and, 26–29
- precautionary principle
 - politics of clean energy and, 79–85
 - slow growth/no growth models and, 83–85
- President's Council on Sustainable Development, 87–89
- Price-Anderson Act, 78
- pricing models for electricity industry, 135
 - future issues with, 141–43
- Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL), 111–14
- private equity (PE) firms, energy innovation investment and, 118–25
- private sector
 - clean power consumption and, 206–7
 - economics of innovation and, 106–8
 - energy innovation model and, 108–29
 - financing of innovation by, 122–25
 - initial investment in innovation and, 118–25
 - innovation and, 35, 73–74, 101–11, 121–22, 130
 - politics of clean energy and, 70–74
 - social costs of carbon and, 91–92
 - sustainability principles and, 87–89
- programmable power pilot projects
 - clean power consumption and, 206–7
 - Maryland Utility 2.0 program, 180
- prudence reviews, electricity rates and, 171–72
- PSE&G, 154–56
- public good
 - clean power as, 49
 - clean power planning and governance and, 209–13
 - energy policy and, 89–90
 - innovation and, 106–8
- public opinion politics, 31
- public-private partnerships
 - assessment of innovation investment and, 125–29

- clean power planning and governance and, 209–13
- innovation and, 101–2
- national laboratories and, 113
- private financing of innovation and, 122–25
- public sector, innovation and, 101–2, 106–8
- public utility commissions (PUC). *See also* investor-owned utilities
 - innovation in regulation and, 157–58
 - least-cost dispatch approach of, 14–15
 - Minnesota e21 program reforms for, 177–78
 - renewable portfolio standards and, 40–43
- Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005, 161–63
- Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act (PURPA), 161–63
- public utility theory, climate change politics and, 35–36
- Purdy, Jedediah, 193–94
- push-pull approach to innovation, 103–6
- Quadrennial Energy Review, 39
- Quadrennial Energy Review (QER), 128–29
- Quadrennial Technology Review (QTR), 127–28
- qualifying facilities (QFs), energy regulation and, 161–63
- quality of life issues, clean power and, 91–92
- race-to-the-bottom theory, clean power planning and governance and, 209–13
- ratemaking. *See* cost-of-service (COS) ratemaking
 - in MIT clean power simulation model, 197
- reactor design, nuclear power and, 57–58
- Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI), 12, 85–98
- regional transmission organization (RTO), 22, 26–29, 136
 - wires-only technology and, 147–49
- regulatory impact analysis (RIA), 12
- regulatory process
 - changes in, 161–63
 - clean power regulation and enforcement, 207–9
 - democracy and, 193–94
 - electric industry restructuring and, 135
 - electricity industry compact concerning, 158–59, 164–67
 - equity issues in, 166–67
 - future issues in, 141–46
 - innovation in, 103–6, 167–72, 189–90
 - legacy financing and, 165–66
 - legal challenges to, 17–20
 - Minnesota e21 program reforms, 177–78
 - MIT clean power simulation model and, 196–97
 - mitigation issues, 166–67
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision (REV), 186–89
 - ratemaking redesign and, 167
 - renegotiation of compact concerning, 164–67
 - stranded cost problem in, 164–65
 - traditional utilities and, 131–33
 - universal service and reliability, 166–67
- reliability issues
 - in Clean Power Plan, 22–29
 - regulation of clean energy and, 166–67
 - reliability services, 26
- REN 21, 122–25
- renewable electricity (RE)
 - future plans for, 38
 - global contributions energy capacity of, 122–25
 - growth of, 204–6
 - penetration of, 25
 - production of, 14–15
 - regulation of energy and increase of, 161–63
- Renewable Energy Future report, 113
- renewable energy sources, growth of, 39
- renewable fuel standards (RFSs), biofuels, 53–55
- renewable portfolio standards (RPSs), 12, 25
 - comparison with CES/EES standards, 45
 - feed-in-tariffs and, 40–43
 - politics of, 78
 - reliability issues and, 26
- research and development (R&D)
 - basic research investment, 111–18
 - economics of innovation and, 106–8
 - model for, 108–29
- resource conservation, history in U.S. of, 43
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 64–65
- results-based regulation, cost-of-service rates and, 169
- revenue mechanisms, Maryland Utility 2.0 program, 180
- RIIO rate design (U.K.), 170
- Rising Above the Gathering Storm*, 114–18

- Romney, Mitt, 59, 75
 rooftop solar installations, 49, 131–33
- Sabin, Paul, 70–74
 Sachs, Jeffrey, 83–85
 Safe Drinking Water Act, 64
 safety issues, nuclear power, 58–59
 safety valve proposal
 compliance window for CPP and, 26–29
 reliability issues and, 26
- San Diego Gas and Electric, 154–56
 Sarkozy, Nicholas, 82–83
 scale of power production, decline in, 141–43
 Scalia, Antonin, 9–11
 Schumacher, E. F., 81
 Sen, Amartya, 82–83
 shale gas, 59–65
 air pollution from, 61–63
 community disruption from development
 of, 64–65
 water pollution from production of, 63–64
- Simon, Julian, 70–74
 slow-growth model, 83–85
Small Is Beautiful (Schumacher), 81
 smart grid development
 federal-state politics and, 31
 financing for, 181
- smart integrators, 149–51
 smart technology
 clean power consumption and, 206–7
 no-utility paradigm and, 200–2
 programmable power pilot projects, 180
- social costs of carbon, 91–92
 Solar City company, 154–56
 Solar Energy Research Institute, 113
 solar power
 demonstration projects, 118–25
 global contributions energy capacity of, 122–25
 renewable electricity production and, 14–15
- Solyndra, 125–29
 Soros, George, 103
 Southern Company, nuclear power plant
 construction by, 56
- Speth, Gus, 32–33, 71, 83–85
 stakeholder education, clean power planning
 and governance and, 209–13
- Stanford Linear Accelerator, 116–18
 Stanford University, 116–18
 Starr, Kenneth, 158–59
 state energy policies and programs. *See also*
 federal regulation
- clean power regulation and enforcement,
 207–9
 compliance window for CPP and, 26–29
 CPP rules and, 11–17
 energy regulation and, 161–63
 federal-state politics and, 31
 innovation and, 101–2
 interstate electricity sales and, 138–39
 legal challenges to federal regulations and,
 17–20
 politics of Clean Power Plan and, 20–22
 renewable portfolio standards, 26, 40–43
 transmission planning and investment and,
 24–25
- Stern, Nicholas, 77–78
 Stiglitz, Joseph, 82–83
 stranded costs, of IOUs, 164–65
 Sunstein, Cass, 81
 Superstorm Sandy, 129, 193–94
 super wicked problems concept, climate
 change politics and, 35–36
- supply-side energy resources
 clean power regulation and enforcement,
 207–9
 declining energy production costs and,
 141–43
 distributed energy resources as, 131–33
- Supreme Court (US), stay of CPP rules
 by, 9–11
- sustainability
 in energy policy, 87–89
 slow growth/no growth models and,
 83–85
- Synapse Energy Economics, 91–92
 system optimization, IOU path to increasing,
 143–46
- Taking Action on Energy*, 75–78
 technological innovation. *See* innovation
 “technological valley of death,” energy
 innovation and, 118–25
- Tesla company, 90–91
 third-party energy providers
 future opportunities for, 143–46
 production and delivery of clean power and,
 204–6
- Thoreau, Henry David, 43
 transition to clean power
 challenges to, 50–65
 rate redesign and, 170
 stranded cost problem in, 164–65

- transmission and distribution (T&D)
 - regulation
 - energy policy assumptions in, 160
 - in New York Reforming the Energy Vision, 184–85
 - renegotiation concerning, 164–67
 - universal service and reliability and, 166–67
- transmission planning and investment
 - CPP proposals for, 24–25
 - federal-state politics and, 31
 - wires-only technology and, 147–49
- transmission system operator (TSO)
 - smart integrators and, 149–51
 - wires-only technology, 147–49
- Twenty First Century Utilities, 203
- UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, signing of, 1
- UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 32–36, 79–85
- Union of Concerned Scientists, 31, 52
- United Kingdom, RIIO rate design, 170
- United Nations, sustainability policies of, 87–89
- United States
 - clean energy standards and energy efficiency standards in, 43–49
 - feed-in-tariff policies in, 40–43
 - global climate change policy and politics of, 1–2
 - investment in clean energy in, 122–25
 - universal service principle, regulation of clean energy and, 166–67
- Upper Big Branch mining disaster, 77–78
- US Chamber of Commerce, 31
 - business as usual (BAU) paradigm and, 75–78
- Utility 2.0* (Energy Future Coalition), 179–83
- Utility of the Future Study* (MIT), 141–43, 146–53
- utility revenue, in Maryland 2.0 program, 180
- value, IOU path to increasing, 143–46
- venture capital (VC), energy innovation investment and, 118–25
- Veridian, 154–56
- volatile organic compounds (VOCs), shale gas production and release of, 61–63
- water pollution, shale gas production, 63–64
- wealth, happiness and, 83–85
- Wellinghoff, John, 132
- wind power
 - demonstration projects, 118–25
 - global contributions energy capacity of, 122–25
 - levelized cost of electricity and, 56–57
 - renewable electricity production and, 14–15
- wires-only technology, 147–49
- Wiseman, Hannah, 65
- Yellowstone National Park, 43
- Yergin, Daniel, 32–33, 71
- zero-emitting renewable energy, 14–15
- Zero Population Growth, 70–74