

INDEX

A v. Governor of Arbour Hill Prison AllPay Consolidated Investment (2006), 107 Holdings (Pty) Ltd v. South African A and others v. Secretary of State for the Social Security Agency (2013), 143 Anderson, G. W., 67 Home Department (2004), 98 Anderson and others v. Scottish abortion prohibition on, 73 Ministers and another (2001), 114 Supreme Court of Canada's ruling Andrews, P., 48 on, 86, 87 Anisminic Ltd v. Foreign Compensation Ackermann, J., 112 Commission, 41 Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security Act Adams, E. M., 23 Adamski, J., 40, 186 2001, 98 adjudication Antoine, R.-M. B., 59 abstract/philosophical orientation apex courts, 20-21, 26, 29, 192-93. See and, 9-10 also judiciary access to, 4-5, 30, 157 Canadian, 118, 163 empirical/political-science docket control, 87 orientation and, 9-10 guidance from, 113, 119 internal, legal approach and, judicial agency, 120 13-14 remedies, 30-31 judicial function and, 18 South African, 118, 145, 163 restricting access to, 69-72 supply of cases, 72 technicalities of, 13-14 UK, 95, 113 Adler, M. D., 153 Appellate Division (South Africa), 76 Adler v. Ontario (1996), 103 Arnull, A., 179, 180 administrative review, 187 assisted suicide, 114, 132, 155-56, 176 association, freedom of, 41-42 advocacy groups, 9, 164 Austen-Baker, R., 40 Alberta (Information and Privacy Commissioner) v. United Food and Australia Commercial Workers, Local 401 colonial legislation, 58 (2013), 99judicial review in, 59 Albertyn, C., 16 supreme law, 189 Aleinikoff, T. A., 120 Alexy, R., 182 Baar, C., 17 Allan, J., 160 Bailey, M. A., 31 Allan, T. R. S., 22-23, 25, 30, 39, 56, 95, Baker, D., 117, 133, 183 116, 123, 125, 167, 174, 184, 185 Baker, J. H., 37



222 INDEX

Bale, G., 63	consequences of, 10
Barak, A., 120	definition of, 7
Barber, N. W., 55	empirical/political-science
Barnard-Naudé, J., 48	orientation, 8–9
Bates, E., 55	external approach and, 8-11
Baum, L., 32	internal, legal approach and, 7, 11–17
Baxi, U., 16	judicial agency and, 17–22
Beatson, J., 44, 46, 81, 83	overview, 7
Beatty, D. M., 22, 182	resistance to, 32
Beaulac, S., 41	Bishop, M., 48, 60, 61, 77, 99, 102, 105,
Beck, G., 188	107, 117, 133, 142, 144, 176
Bell, J., 40	Blackwell, M., 83
m 11 m	blue-pencil test, 40
Bellamy, R., 168 Rall Extrace Va. Limited Partnership v.	
Bell ExpressVu Limited Partnership v.	Boddington v. British Transport Police
Rex (2002), 42	(1998), 64
Bellinger v. Bellinger (2003), 98, 129,	Bomhoff, J., 24
167-68	Bone, R. G., 15, 38
Belmarsh prison case, 98, 119	Bonner, D., 125
Berger, B. L., 42, 181	Boothby, B., 57
Berryman, J., 186	Borgeat, L., 64, 65
Bhe and Others v. Khayelitsha	Borowski v. Canada (Attorney General)
Magistrate and Others (2004), 101,	(No 2) (1989), 86–87
133, 134	Bradley, A. W., 58, 65
Bickel, A. M., 14, 22, 90	Brickhill, J., 48
Bilchitz. D., 165	British North America, 58
Bilder, M. S., 56	British North America Act 1867, 63
bill of rights	Bronstein, V., 60
accessing judgment and, 68-92	Brouillet, E., 41, 58, 73
adjudication, 4–5	Brown, H. S., 86
constitutional supremacy and, 170,	Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka
185	(1955), 177
continuity and, 19, 53-56	Browne-Wilkinson, Lord, 55
judicial agency and, 1–2	Brümmer v. Minister for Social
judicial power and, 53, 193–94	Development and Others (2009),
remedial discretion and, 31, 66, 149,	104, 106
186, 187	Brun, H., 41, 58, 60, 61, 62, 64, 73
statutory interpretation and, 39	Budlender, G., 104, 163
strong-form. See judicial review,	Butler, A. S., 176
strong-form	Butler, P., 176
supplementing text of, 144–50	, ., .,
watershed view of, 10	C and Others v. Department of Health
weak-form. See judicial review,	and Social Development, Gauteng
weak-form	and Others (2012), 101
bill-of-rights exceptionalism, 3, 7–33,	Caldeira, G. A., 26
191	Campbell, T., 161
abstract/philosophical orientation, 8	Canada, 10
comparison against convergence,	adjudication rights in, 26
22–27	case selection, 27–28



INDEX 223

constitutional supremacy in, 185-86 dispute resolution in, 156 federalism, 60-61 judicial review in, 59 legal culture in, 16 remedial discretion in, 26, 185-86 Canada (Attorney General) v. Bedford (2013), 141, 148, 158, 173, 175-76, 183 Canada (Attorney General) v. Downtown Eastside Sex Workers *United Against Violence Society* (2012), 75Canada (Attorney General) v. Hislop (2007), 108, 144, 148, 187 Canada Pension Plan, 108 Canadian Bill of Rights, 43 Canadian Broadcasting Corp v. Canada (Attorney General) (2011), 87, 89 Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 4, 27, 41-44, 54. See generally chapters 2, 4-8 access to courts, 74 application clause, 42 children's rights, 97 constitutional supremacy and, 103 criminal procedure under, 109 declarations of invalidity and, 62 delaying invalidity and, 138, 139, 142 enforcement, 42-43 fundamental freedoms in, 41-42 Human Rights Act and, 28 judicial interpretation and, 116, 127, judicial review and, 54 judiciary and, 54 labour rights, 97 moot appeals, 86, 195 override/notwithstanding clause, 44 reading-in, 136 remedies under, 171 retrospective invalidity and, 187 rights review under, 26 section 1, 50 section 11(d), 108 section 24(1), 46 sex workers' rights, 183 standing, 73–75

strike-down power and, 116 supremacy clause, 43-44 Supreme Court of Canada's activity under, 158-59 Supreme Court's relationship with legislatures under, 55 Canadian Council of Churches v. Canada (Minister of Employment and Immigration) (1992), 74 Canadian Egg Marketing Agency v. Richardson (1998), 140 Canadian Foundation for Children, Youth and the Law v. Canada (Attorney General) (2004), 97, 127 - 28Cane, P., 65, 66, 72, 80, 83 Carter v. Canada (Attorney General) (2012), 155-56Case and Another v. Minister of Safety and Security and Others (1996), 130 censorship, 73 Chanock, M., 48 Charkaoui v. Canada (Citizenship and Immigration) (2007), 111, 119, 141 Charter of Rights and Freedom. See Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms Charter of the French Language (Quebec), 110 Chaskalson, P., 77, 112 Chayes, A., 37-38, 69, 83, 153 Chen-Wishart, M., 186 child pornography, 101 Choudhry, S., 8, 22, 103, 107, 108, 139, 143, 147, 172, 176, 184, 189 Christiansen, E. C., 77, 164, 172 Clayton, R., 58, 59, 81, 82, 83 Coetzee v. Government of the Republic of South Africa, Matiso and Others v. Commanding Officer Port Elizabeth Prison and Others (1995), 112, 138, 142 cohabitation, 95, 101, 135, 140, 141 Cohen-Eliya, M., 22 Colonial Laws Validity Act 1865, 57-58, 62-63

colonial legislation, 4, 56-59, 64, 67



224 INDEX

Colonial Office, 187 Constitution of South Africa, 180 common law, 28 compatible interpretation and, as ancient body of reason/principle, 126 - 27courts and administration of justice, dispute resolution, 38 doctrine of precedent, 38 declaration of invalidity and, 109 judge's role in, 4, 37 enforcement of rights, 50-51 judging in, 34-38 judicial power in constitutional origins of, 36-37 cases, 103-4 overview, 4 legislative invalidity and, 143 procedure in, 34-38 remedial powers of judges and, 123 same-sex marriage and, 139 section 172(1)(b), 103-4 standing doctrine and, 69, 77 Constitutional Court of South Africa, 26, 29, 192-93 statutory interpretation, 38-41 substantive law and, 36-37 activism of, 191 common-law constitutionalism, 35, 36 compatible interpretation and, 96, criticism of, 35-36 130, 159 definition of, 35 declaration of invalidity, 100, 109 strong, 35 dispute resolution, 168 grant of relief, 99 weak, 35 Companies Act No. 61 of 1973, 100 interim order, 105-6 comparative constitutionalism, 3-4, 26 legislative engagement and, 163 legislative invalidity and, 143-44 comparative theory, difference-focused, 23-24 prostitution case, 111-12 compatible interpretation, 96, 100-101, remedial powers of judges, 145 121, 154, 158, 165, 192, 193, 195 same-sex marriage case, 111, 134 Constitution of South Africa and, constitutional enforcement, 154, 174. 126-27 See also judicial postures; Constitutional Court of South Africa legislative engagement constitutional supremacy, 2-3, 6, 43, and, 96, 130, 159 constitutionalism and, 165 180, 181-89, 190, 193. See also South African Bill of Rights and, 96, remedial discretion 116, 126-27, 130 bill of rights and, 170, 185 Supreme Court of Canada, 130, 158 in Canada, 185-86 UK, 72, 95, 96, 116, 128, 129, 132, impact of remedies on, 181-82, 146-47, 157, 158, 160 conscience, freedom of, 41-42 legislative engagement and, 184 constitution nullity theory and, 184 common-law, 35 remedial discretion and, 180, 183, 185, 190 homogenisation of, 24 in South Africa, 55, 185-86 Constitution Act, 1982 (Canada), 41, 43,60 constitutionalism section 52, 73 common-law, 28, 35-36 section 52(1), 41, 103 compatible interpretation and, 165 Constitution of Canada, 43 legislative engagement and, 165 Charter and, 41 universalism in, 163 declaration of invalidity and, 146-47 Consumer Affairs (Unfair Business primacy of, 43-44 Practices) Act, 106



INDEX 225

Davis, D., 16
Dawood and Another; Shalabi and
Another; Thomas and Another v.
Minister of Home Affairs and
Others (2000), 104, 106, 112, 134
de facto doctrine, 61
de Villars, A. S., 64
de Vos, P., 48
De Vos, W. le R., 38, 48, 76
De Waal, J., 48, 69, 77, 79, 85, 96, 100,
105, 156, 183
democratic rights, 41-42
derogation clause. See override clause
dialogue metaphor, 167, 174
Dicey, A. V., 171
difference-focused comparative theory
23–24
Director of Public Prosecutions v.
Hutchinson; Director of Public
Prosecutions v. Smith (1990), 63
dispute resolution, 5-6, 38, 72, 152-53
157, 174, 189, 192
in Canada, 156
common law, 38, 79
government and, 152-53
judicial review and, 152, 157
justice and, 152–53
for litigants, 38, 167–68
in South Africa, 156, 168
in UK, 157
Dixon, R., 117, 167
Dodek, A., 27, 117
Dorf, M. C., 98, 110
Dorsett, S., 67
Doucet-Boudreau v. Nova Scotia
(Minister of Education) (2003), 87
89
Dugard, J., 77, 165
Dunmore v. Ontario (Attorney General)
(2001), 103, 130
Duplé, N., 41, 60
Dussault, R., 64, 65
Dworkin, R., 14, 144, 181, 188
Dyzenhaus, D., 19, 37, 41, 56
•
Egan v. Canada (1995), 133
Eisenberg, M. A., 20
Ekins, R., 47, 48, 95, 162, 175

© in this web service Cambridge University Press



226 INDEX

Elliott, M., 46, 80, 81, 83, 84 Fitzpatrick v. Sterling Housing Ellmann, S., 48 Association Ltd (1999), 96 empirical research, 8-9 Flemming, R. B., 72 Endicott, T., 146, 166, 175 Fontana, D., 87 enforcement clause, 98 Ford v. Quebec (Attorney General) Engle, G., 40 (1988), 110Epp, C. R., 72 Forget v. Quebec (Attorney General) equality rights, 41-42 (1988), 88Erdos, D., 32 formalism, 16, 25 Etkins, R., 95 Forsyth, C., 64, 65, 66 European Convention on Human Francis v. Secretary of State for the Rights article 34, 81 Home Department (2006), 83 Frankenberg, G., 23 Human Rights Act and, 45 Ewing, K. D., 54, 58, 65 Fraser v. Children's Court Pretoria executive branch, 30, 42, 65, 88, 194-95 North and Others (1997), 104, 142 Ex Parte Minister of Safety and Security Fridman, G. H. L., 40, 186 Friedland, M. L., 86, 179 and Others: In Re S v. Walters and Another (2002), 109, 144 Friedman, B., 9, 13 expression, freedom of, 41-42 Friedman, M., 12 Fuller, L. L., 12, 14, 15, 37, 38, 39, 176 fair trial, right to, 41-42 Furmston, M., 40, 186 Fallon, R. H., Jr., 146, 153, 179 federalism, 59-63 Galligan, D. J., 27 Canadian, 60-61 Garant, J., 64 Garant, P., 64, 65, 66 continuity and, 59 judicial review and, 59-63 Gardbaum, S., 18, 28, 39, 47, 54, 55, 66, legislature and, 116 118, 128, 145, 147, 166, 189 Feldman, D., 19, 45, 72, 130, 183 Gauteng Liquor Act, 105 Feldman, S. M., 7, 12 Ghaidan v. Godin-Mendoza (2004), 95, Fenwick, H., 44, 125 125-26, 128, 129 Gibson, D., 69 Ferreira v. Levin NO and Others (1995), 78, 79, 100 Gibson, J. L., 26 Gillman, H., 31 Fielding, N. G., 15, 21 Figueroa v. Canada (Attorney General) Ginsburg, T., 122 (2003), 103Girard, P., 56 film censorship, 73 Glass, A., 18 Finlay v. Canada (Minister of Finance) Glenn, H. P., 24, 36, 37 (1986), 73Goldsworthy, J., 24, 25, 35, 44, 118 First National Bank (FNB) of SA Ltd t/a government, 2, 42 Wesbank v. Commissioner, South bill of rights' text and, 25 African Revenue Service (2002), Canada Charter's application clause and, 42 First National Bank of South Africa Ltd declaration of incompatibility and, v. Land and Agricultural Bank of South Africa and Others (2000), declaration of invalidity by, 99, 108 dispute resolution and, 152-53 Fiss, O. M., 15, 153 judicial activism and, 18

Fitzgerald, P. J., 38

legislative engagement and, 158, 165



INDEX 227

open remedies and, 118 relationship with judiciary, 194-95 retrospective/prospective rulings and, 178 rights enforcement and, 165 rights win/loss for, 8, 14, 165 tax collection, 187 terrorism and, 98 Guttman, D. F., 139, 174 Haigh, R., 174 Hale, Lady, 131 Halliday, S., 24 Halliday, T. C., 10 Halpern v. Canada (Attorney General) (2003), 139-40(Hammond) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (2005), 96 Harding, A., 24 Harel, A., 11, 183, 184 Harlow, C., 66, 71, 72, 80 Harris-Short, S., 125 Heclo, H., 21 Hedley, S., 10 Herberg, J., 44, 59, 185 Heydon, J. D., 157, 160 Hickman, T., 8, 19, 26, 45, 82, 120, 146, 160, 161, 162, 163, 167, 189 Hiebert, J. L., 13, 28, 54, 109, 110, 118 High Court of Australia, 63 Hilbink, L., 24 Hirschl, R., 24, 32 historical institutionalists, 15-16 Hoffman, D., 44 Hofmeyr, K., 31 Hogan, G. W., 107, 186 Hogg, P. W., 41, 60, 61, 62, 63, 69, 70, 71, 73, 86, 87, 88, 98, 102, 107, 117, 183 House of Lords, 29, 59, 63, 113, 167 Human Rights Act 1998 (UK), 4, 27, access to adjudication, 157 coverage of, 45 enactments, 46-47 enforcement of, 46 foundational provision of, 46 horizontal effect of, 45-46

interpretation of legislation, 46 judicial review, 54 legal culture and, 16 legislative engagement and, 164 non-victims, 84 prime remedial measure, 95 remedial powers of judges and, 123 section 10(2), 47 section 1(1), 45 section 2, 94-96 section 3, 46, 125, 131-32, 146-47, 157, 160–61, 166, 192–93, 195. See also compatible interpretation, UK section 3(1), 145 section 4, 132, 157–58, 161–62, 176–77, 195 section 4(2), 47, 97 vertical application to legislation, 45 victim test, 81 Hunt, M., 16 Hunter, C. E., 8 Hunter v. Southam Inc (1984), 135 Huscroft, G., 25, 109, 145, 182 Hy and Zel's Inc v. Ontario (Attorney General); Paul Magder Furs Ltd v. Ontario (Attorney General) (1993), Ibhawoh, B., 67 Imperial Parliament, 57 In re S (Minors) (Care Order: Implementation of Care Plan), 114, 125, 126, 129-30 *In re Spectrum Plus Ltd (in liquidation)* (2005), 109In re W (Minors) (Care Order: Adequacy of Care Plan) (2002), 114, 125, 126, 129-30 incompatibility, declaration of, 47, 157, Independent Electoral Commission v. Langeberg Municipality (2001), indirect victim, 81 interim orders, 105-06, 112, 116, 121, 175, 194 interim transitional regime, 106

internal, 11



228 INDEX

internal, legal approach, 7, 193-94 Jaffe, L. L., 71 Jaftha v. Schoeman and Others (2004), description of, 11 detailed reading of judgments and, Janse van Rensburg and Another v. historical institutionalist works, Minister of Trade and Industry and 15 - 16Another (2000), 104, 106 judicial power and, 12 Jones, D. P., 64 legal practice and philosophical Jones, P., 164 debates in, 14 Jowell, J. L., 64, 65 legal process literature, 14–15 legal/professional cultures and, activity/duty in rights cases, 192 16 - 17announcing conflict with rights, 97 - 102research activities and, 12-13 rights adjudication and, 13-14 decision making by, 31-32 socio-legal research on legal delaying invalidity. See invalidity, profession, 15 delaying Interstate Succession Act, 97, 101 eagerness to decide rights cases, invalidity 84 - 92declaration of, 47, 52, 60, 99-100, emboldening, 177-81 107-09, 143, 146-47 guiding legislative drafters, 109-15 delaying, 5, 53, 62, 93, 98, 102-06, interpreting compatibly with rights, 107, 111, 116, 119, 124, 137, 138, 94 - 97139, 140, 142, 143, 145, 148, prospective rulings, 178-79 149-50, 160, 165, 166, 170, 171, reading down by, 100 172, 173–74, 175, 176, 177–78, reading-in, 100 179, 180, 181, 183, 184, 189, 190, relativizing strike-down power, 191, 192, 193, 194 115 - 22legislative, 98, 143-44 remedial powers, 123 prospective. See prospective rulings role in common law, 37 reading-in and, 131-37 standing doctrine, 72–76 retrospective, 107 as strategic actors, 178 suspending declaration of. See strike-down power, 192 invalidity, delaying judgments textual basis for declarations of, accessing, 68-92 103 in common law, 34-38 detailed reading of, 12-13 **Investigating Directorate: Serious** pending, 175 Economic Offences and Others v. Hyundai Motor Distributors (Pty) reading in detail, 3 Ltd and Others (2000), 97, 127 rights, 2 Issalys, P., 64, 65, 66 judicial activism, 8, 18, 163, 194-95 judicial agency, 1-2, 196 J T Publishing (Pty) Ltd & Another v. comparison of, 21 Minister of Safety and Security & continuity and, 17-22 Others (1996), 85 definition of, 19 J and Another v. Director General, exercising, 20-21 Department of Home Affairs and identifying, 20 Others (2003), 136 justifications for practices of, 197 Jackson, V. C., 22, 24 operations, 20



INDEX 229

legislature and, 17-18, 89 overview, 7 remedial discretion and, 197 legitimacy of, 14-15 Judicial Committee of the Privy rights review, 26 Council, 59 South African Constitution and, 55 judicial postures, 2, 153-55 suspended declarations and. See constitutional enforcement, 154 invalidity, delaying judicial reviews and, 165-66 Juratowitch, B., 108, 109, 179 legislative engagement, 154-55 overview, 5-6 corrective, 72, 157 judicial power, 2, 3, 103-4 declaration of invalidity and, 143 bill of rights and, 53, 193-94 dispute resolution and, 152-53 Constitution of South Africa and, expository, 72 immediate vs. delayed, 137-44 103 - 4constitutional provision for, 70 exercise of, 20, 29 Kavanagh, A., 11, 39, 45, 47, 48, 56, 95, internal, legal approach and, 12 119, 125, 128, 145, 146, 147, 161, legislative drafting and, 109 162, 163, 166, 168 open remedies as limited exercise of, Kellaway, E. A., 40 171 Kelly, J. B., 28, 54 technicalities and, 3 Kerr, Lord, 132 judicial review, 10, 52-67, 186-87, 196 Keyes, J. M., 64 colonial legislation, 56-59 Kilroy, C., 81, 82, 83, 85 dispute resolution and, 152, 157 King, J. A., 155, 159, 164, 182 federalism and, 59-63 Kingstreet Investments Ltd v. New overview, 4, 52 Brunswick (Finance) (2007), 187 perceptions of novelty and Klare, K. E., 16, 17, 48-49, 180 continuity in, 53-56 Klug, H., 26, 30, 49, 55, 60, 77, 100, 101, postures, 152-56, 169 148-49, 159, 164, 172, 180 scopes, 152-56, 169 Kritzer, H. M., 15 secondary legislation, 63-67 Kronman, A. T., 22 standard for evaluative scholarship Kumm, M., 120, 182 Kyritsis, D., 155 on, 17-18 strike-down power in, 2 strong-form, 2, 5-6, 28, 44, 47, Landau, D., 165 50-51, 98, 118, 148, 151, 152, 154, Lang, M., 188 160, 165, 166, 171, 188, 192-93, Lavallee, Rackel & Heintz v. Canada 194, 195 (Attorney General), 106 weak-form, 2, 5–6, 28, 44, 47, 118, Lavoie v. Canada (2002), 111 120, 151, 152, 154, 165, 166, 193, Law, D. S., 22, 32 Law Society of Upper Canada v. judicial supremacy, 54 Skapinker (1984), 86 judiciary, 194-95 Lawrence, S., 158 Lawyers for Human Rights v. Minister of application clause and, 42 colonial legislation and, 63 Home Affairs (2004), 78 constitutional supremacy and, 188 Leckey, R., 21 functions with respect to rights Leclair, J., 108, 167 issues, 147 legal, 11 Human Rights Act and, 54 legal philosophers, 8, 9



230 INDEX

legal practice, 3 Lemieux, D., 64, 65, 66 legal professions, socio-legal research Lemmens, K., 27 Lester, A., 44, 59, 185 on, 15 legal rights, 41-42 Levinson, D. J., 31, 179, 181 legal scholars/theorists, 8, 196 Lewis, J., 168 legislation Leyland, P., 24 colonial, 56-59 Liebenberg, S., 77, 78, 142, 172 declaration of invalidity, 47 Lieven, N., 81, 82, 83, 85 under federal constitution, 59-63 limitation clause, 43, 50 Human Rights Act and, 95 Lindell, G., 55 interpretation of, 46 Liston, M., 31, 103, 171 invalidation of, 10, 52, 61 litigants primary. See primary legislation conservatism on procedure in secondary. See secondary legislation screening out, 89 legislative engagement, 154-55. See also constitutional enforcement and, 174 constitutional enforcement; declaration of incompatibility and, judicial postures 162 constitutional enforcement and, 188 dispute resolution for, 38, 167-68 constitutional supremacy and, 166 grant of relief to, 99, 143 constitutionalism and, 165 legislative engagement and, 164 litigated cases and, 164 remedial discretion and, 171-76, 177 overview, 5-6 remedial discretion and injustice for, remedial forms and, 179-80 research implications, 163-68 standing doctrine and. See standing rights dilution and, 188 doctrine rights enforcement and, 166 litigation, 13 legislature legislative engagement and, 164 application clause and, 42 political economy of, 72 declaration of invalidity and, 60, 100, public law, 37-38 Lobban, M., 36 delayed declarations and, 171 locus standi doctrine, 69 dialogue, 167 Loots, C., 70, 76, 77, 78, 79, 85 engaging. See legislative engagement Loveland, I., 80, 82, 84 federalism and, 116 Lovell, J., 109 Lustgarten, L., 46, 82, 161 Human Rights Act and, 54 Human Rights Act section 4 and, 161 - 62M v. H (1999), 87, 88, 89, 108, 132, 134, judicial agency and, 20 judiciary and, 17-18, 89 Macdonald, R. A., 19 Macfarlane, E., 12, 16, 54, 76, 159, 167, mootness doctrine and, 70 notwithstanding clause and, 44 respect for, 131-37 Mackin v. New Brunswick (Minister of South African Bill of Rights and, 50 Finance) (2002), 139 Supreme Court of Canada and, 55 Macklem, Timothy, 89 Maiman, R., 83 suspended declarations and, 175 Legrand, P., 23, 24 Mak, E., 17 Lehmann, K., 164 Maldonado, D. B., 27, 28 Leigh, I., 44, 46, 82, 161, 162 Maltzman, F., 31



INDEX 231

Mance, Lord, 132 Manfredi, C. P., 8, 54, 178 Manitoba, 61-62 Manitoba language case. See Re Manitoba Language Rights (1985); See also Reference re Manitoba Language Rights (1992) Manitoba Metis Federation Inc v. Canada (Attorney General) (2013), 75Maranda v. Richer (2003), 89 Marriott, J., 82, 84 Masterman, R., 44, 162 Matrimonial Causes Act 1973, 129 Mbazira, C., 181 McCamus, J. D., 40, 186 McCann, M., 72 McCormick, P. J., 13 McCrudden, C., 163 McKendrick, E., 40 McKinney v. University of Guelph (1990), 42McLaren, J., 57, 67 McLean, K., 165 McNamara, L., 25, 27, 122 Meltzer, D. J., 153, 179 Mendes, E., 41 Meuwese, A., 26, 32 Michelman, F. I., 183 Miles, J., 72, 82, 153 Miller, B. W., 182 Minister for Justice and Constitutional Development v. Nyathi and Others (2009), 106Minister of Communications v. Ngewu and Others (No 2) (2013), 105, 175 Minister of Home Affairs and Another v. Fourie and Another (2005), 105, 111, 137, 142-43, 159-60 Minister of Justice (Can) v. Borowski (No 1) (1981), 73 Minister of Justice v. Ntuli (No 2) (1997), 143 Miron v. Trudel (1995), 101, 134, 135 Mistry v. Interim National Medical and Dental Council and Others (1998), mobility rights, 41-42, 140

Mohr, T., 58, 67 Moise v. Greater Germiston Transitional Local Council (2001), 85 Möller, K., 22 Monahan, P., 41 Montréal (City) v. 2952-1366 Québec Inc (2005), 97, 128 mootness doctrine, 4-5, 69-71, 85-86, 87, 88-89, 91 Morissette, Y.-M., 108 Mubangizi, J. C., 77 Mullan, D. J., 64, 65 Multani v. Commission scolaire Marguerite-Bourgeoys (2006), 87 Murphy v. Attorney General (1982), 107 Muttart, D. M., 9 Nardell, G., 45, 80 National Assembly for Wales, 59 National Coalition for Gay and Lesbian Equality and Another v. Minister of Justice and Others (1998), 109 National Coalition for Gay and Lesbian Equality and Others v. Minister of Home Affairs and Others (1999), 101, 134, 135, 137 National Credit Regulator v. Opperman and Others (2012), 112 National Council of Welfare, 173 Neuberger, Lord, 114, 132 New Brunswick (Minister of Health and Community Services) v. G (J) (1999), 86, 87, 89 new Commonwealth model, 28, 39, 166 New Zealand, 27, 189 Newman, D. J., 173 Ngcobo, S., 172 Ngcukaitobi, T., 79 Ngewu and Another v. Post Office Retirement Fund and Others (No 1) (2013), 102, 104, 105 Ngxuza v. Secretary, Dept of Welfare, Eastern Cape Provincial Government (2001), 78 Nicholls, Lord, 129, 130 Nicol, D., 82, 84, 110, 157, 161 Nordenfelt v. Maxim Nordenfelt Guns and Ammunition Co Ltd, 40



232 INDEX

Northern Ireland Assembly, 47 Post Office Retirement Fund, 105 notional severance, 61 postures, judicial, 153-55 notwithstanding clause. See override constitutional enforcement, 154 judicial reviews and, 165-66 Nova Scotia (Attorney General) v. Walsh legislative engagement, 154-55 (2002), 87, 89 overview, 5-6 Nova Scotia Board of Censors v. McNeil precedents, 11, 12, 13, 31, 38 (1975), 73President of the Ordinary Court Martial Nova Scotia (Workers' Compensation NO v. Freedom of Expression Board) v. Laseur (2003), 185 Institute (1999), 85 Nova Scotia (Workers' Compensation Prevention of Terrorism Act 2005, Board) v. Martin, 185 nullity theory, 64, 107, 154, 184, 187, primary legislation, 28, 29, 30, 41, 45, 193 46-47, 53, 63, 64, 65, 66, 95, 97, 121, 129. See also legislation O'Connell, P., 165 compatibility with Convention Ontario (Attorney General) v. Fraser rights, 95 (2011), 97, 128 invalidating, 160, 168 open remedies, 118, 171, 175, 179 Print Media South Africa and Another v. O'Regan, K., 25, 48, 78, 79, 159 Minister of Home Affairs and originalism, 25 Another (2012), 112 Osborne v. Canada (Treasury Board) Privy Council, 59 (1991), 127 procedure, in common law, 34-38 override clause, 44, 54, 118, 136, 158 prohibition on abortion, 73 Pannick, D., 44, 59, 185 on assisted suicide, 114, 132 Parkinson, C., 59 constitutionally invalid, 175-76 of discrimination, 45 parliamentary sovereignty, 35, 55, 58, 63, 67, 113 of discrimination based on sexual peaceful assembly, 41-42 orientation, 148-49 of sodomy, 73 pending judgment, 175 on use of other languages, 110 Petter, A., 110 Phillips, F., 59 proportionality, 23, 148 Phillipson, G., 44, 161, 174 federalism and, 116 Phillips and Another v. Director of judicial reasoning and, 120 Public Prosecutions and Others legislative drafting and, 112, 121 (2003), 112remedial discretion and, 148 Pierson, P., 16 requirements, 96 Pinard, D., 184 rights and, 181-82 plaintiffs, 30, 37, 69, 71, 73, 76, 78, 82, test, 50 157, 176 universalism and, 22 Plucknett, T. F. T., 37 prospective rulings, 93, 107-09, 116, 124, 144, 147, 148, 153, 166, 170, political science, 8-9 political scientists, 9 172, 174, 176, 178-79, 180, 191, Poole, T., 9, 35, 36, 83 192, 193 Porat, I., 22 prostitution, 74-75, 111-12, 148, 183 Port Elizabeth Municipality v. Prut NO Protection of Communities and

& Another (1996), 78

Exploited Persons Act, 175



INDEX 233

public authorities, 45, 46, 47, 61, 71 public law litigation, 37–38, 71

quantitative methods, 9 Quebec, 28, 110

R v. A (No 2) (2001), 96, 125

R v. Bain (1992), 139

R v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd (1985), 74, 99

R v. Central Television Plc (1994), 190

R v. Demers (2004), 134

R v. Ferguson (2008), 98

R v. Finta (1994), 127

R v. Grant (1993), 100

R v. Heywood (1994), 133

R v. Inland Revenue Commissioners Ex p. National Federation of Self-Employed and Small Businesses Ltd (1982), 80

R v. Lambert (2001), 96, 126

R v. Lucas (1998), 100

R v. Moazami (2014), 175-76

R v. Morgentaler (No 2) (1988), 86

R v. Oakes (1986), 43

R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department Ex p Salem (1999), 85

R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, Ex Parte Simms; R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, Ex Parte O'Brien (1999), 113

R v. Sharpe (2001), 101, 133

R v. Sparrow (1990), 120

R v. Swain (1991), 103, 135

R v. Waya (2012), 114

R (Baiai and another) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (Nos 1 and 2) (2008), 96

R (Chester) v. Secretary of State for Justice (Respondent) (2013), 132

R (F (A Child)) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (2010), 113

R (Hammond) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (2005), 96

R (Hirst) v. Secretary of State for Home Department (2002), 82

R (Medway Council) v. Secretary of State for Transport (2003), 82 R (Nicklinson and another) v. Ministry of Justice (2014), 114–15, 132, 147, 161–62, 176–77

R (O) v. Crown Court at Harrow (2006), 96

R (Rusbridger and another) v. Attorney General (2003), 84, 131

R (T) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (2014), 98

R (Trailer & Marina (Leven) Ltd) v. Secretary of State for Environment (2005), 83

R (Westminster City Council) v. Mayor of London (2003), 82

R (Wright and others) v. Secretary of State for Health and another (2009), 113

Rautenbach, C., 101

Rawlings, R., 66, 72, 80

Raz, J., 155, 184

Re Manitoba Language Rights (1985), 62, 102

reading down, 61, 96, 100

reading in, 100–101, 105, 132–34, 135,

Constitutional Court of South

Africa's suspension of, 159

with immediate effect, 105 invalidity and, 131–37

Supreme Court of Canada's use of, 136

Reference re Manitoba Language Rights (1992), 62

Reference re Remuneration of Judges of the Provincial Court (PEI) (1997), 108, 134

Reference re Remuneration of Judges of the Provincial Court (PEI) (1998), 108, 179

Reference re Motor Vehicle Act (British Columbia) S 94(2) (1985), 121

Rehnquist Court, 18

religion, freedom of, 41-42

remedial discretion, 2–3, 6, 170–90. See also constitutional supremacy bill of rights and, 31, 66, 149, 186,

Canada, 26, 185-86

187



234 INDEX

remedial discretion (cont.) 103, 104, 106, 107, 108, 139, 140, 141, 143, 147, 156, 158, 163, 165, comparison, 25-26 171, 172, 176, 177–78, 184, 189 constitutional supremacy and, 180, 183, 190 Robinson, T., 36 as dialogic device, 178 Rogers, J. R., 147 equal protection, 99 Roux, T., 26, 55, 101, 143, 159, 164, 180, impacts of, 184 184 interim orders and, 105 Rowe, J., 44 judicial agency and, 197 Rundle, K., 14 litigants and, 171–77 Russell, P. H., 12, 63, 117 negative effects on litigants, 176 RWDSU v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd (1986), overview, 5, 170-71 Ryder, B., 103, 139, 172, 177 reduction of predictability and, 174 - 75rights and, 148 S and Others v. Van Rooyen and Others in rights cases, 170, 180, 181, 183, (General Council of the Bar of 184, 188, 190, 193 South Africa Intervening) (2002), secondary legislation and, 172 112 socio-economic rights and, 164 S v. Bhulwana, S v. Gwadiso (1995), 99, South Africa, 160 107, 127, 143 S v. Jordan and Others (Sex Workers strong forms of, 177-78, 184, 185 Education and Advocacy Task UK, 25 remedies, 30-31, 123-50 Force and Others as Amici Curiae) (2002), 112decision making, 31 delayed. See invalidity, suspending Sv. Makwanyane and Another (1995), declaration of 100 immediate vs. delayed justice, S v. Manamela and Another 137 - 44(Director-General of Justice interpreting vs. legislating, 124-31 Intervening) (2000), 101, 135 open. See open remedies S v. Ntuli (No 1) (1995), 104, 105, 142 reading down, 100 S v. Ntuli (No 2) (1997), 105 reading-in, 100 S v. Singo (2002), 135 socio-economic rights, 164-65 S v. Steyn (2000), 104 suspended. See invalidity, delaying S v. Zuma and Others (1995), 144 repugnancy, 56 Sadurski, W., 24 colonial legislation and, 57 Sales, P., 48, 162, 175 with imperial law, 64, 67 Salvesen v. Riddell and another, Lord res judicata doctrine, 61, 107 Advocate intervening (Scotland) restrospective rulings, 178 (2013), 113retrospective invalidity, 62, 64, 107, 117 same-sex couples, 95, 101, 111, 134, 159 Rice v. New Brunswick (2002), 139 Santos, B. de S., 33 Sathanapally, A., 17, 31, 118, 131, 142, rights adjudication. See adjudication Riles, A., 13 147, 157, 171, 172 Ripinsky, S., 117 Schachter v. Canada (1992), 116, 133, RJR-MacDonald Inc v. Canada 134, 135, 137, 138-39, 141 (Attorney General) (1995), 111 Schauer, F., 13 Roach, K., 18, 19, 26, 27, 39, 53, 54, 55, Schmidt, P., 24 60, 61, 63, 72, 86, 88, 89, 96, 98, Schneiderman, D., 23, 120



INDEX 235

scopes, judicial	Smith, R. M., 16
dispute resolution, 152–53	Smith, S. A., 186
in judicial review, 152–56, 169	Sobkin, M., 174
overview, 5–6	socio-economic rights, 5–6, 50, 77,
systemic improvements, 153	164–65
Scott, Lord, 113	sodomy, 109, 173
Scottish Parliament, 47, 59	Sossin, L., 69, 71, 86, 87, 91
secondary legislation, 4, 52, 63-67. See	South Africa
also legislation	apex courts, 118, 145, 163
colonial legislation and, 57–58	case selection, 27–28
compatibility with Convention	constitutional supremacy in, 55,
rights, 95	185–86
Human Rights Act and, 45, 95	dispute resolution in, 156, 168
invalidity of, 65–66	judgments against executive branch,
remedial discretion and, 172	30
remedies, 64–65	judicial review in, 60
validity of, 64	notional severance doctrine, 61
Secretary of State for the Home	remedial discretion, 160
Department v. AF (No 3), 96, 113	South African Association of Personal
Secretary of State for the Home	Injury Lawyers v. Heath and
Department v. MB (2007), 129	Others (2000), 113
Seedorf, S., 104, 111, 142, 143	South African Bill of Rights, 4, 27,
Segal, J. A., 8	48–51. See generally chapters 2, 4,
Seron, C., 15	5, 6, 7, 8
Sgayias, D., 139	amending, 48–49
Shafron v. KRG Insurance Brokers	compatible interpretation and,
(Western) Inc (2009), 40	126–27
Shah, S., 9, 83	coverage of, 49–50
Shapiro, M., 10	enforcement of, 50–51
Sharpe, R. J., 54, 70, 71, 87	enforcement of rights, 76-77
Shaw, B., 41	individual protections in, 49
Sheard v. Land and Agricultural Bank of	limitation clause, 50
South Africa and Another (2000),	as part of Constitution, 48-49
104	scope of application, 50
shebeen, 105	section 38, 78–79
Sheldrake v. Director of Public	section 39(2), 96–97
Prosecutions (2004), 96	section 8(2), 50
Sibanda, S., 104, 111, 142, 143	section 8(3), 50
Sibiya and Others v. The Director of	sections, 49–50
Public Prosecutions, Johannesburg,	South African Constitutional Court,
and Others (2005), 102	96
Sibiya and Others v. Director of Public	South African Liquor Traders
Prosecutions (2006), 102	Association and Others v.
Siedman, L. M., 27	Chairperson Gauteng Liquor Board
Siegel, R. B., 23	and Others (2006), 106
Silbey, S. S., 15	South African National Defence Union
Skocpol, T., 16	v. Minister of Defence and Another
Slattery, B., 43	(1999), 100



236 INDEX

South Australian Supreme Court, 57 Sumner, L. W., 54 Spaeth, H. J., 8 Sunkin, M., 63 supremacy clause, 43-44, 73, 98, 103, spanking case, 127, 130 Special Immigration Appeals 117, 123-26, 183, 184 Commission (UK), 111 Supreme Court Act, 88 Supreme Court Act (Senior Courts spouse, 101, 140 standing doctrine, 4-5, 33, 68-69, Act) 1981, 80 71-72, 92, 157, 191 Supreme Court of Canada, 29, 132-34, liberalized by constitutional drafters, 192 - 9376 - 79compatible interpretation, 158 liberalized by judges, 72-76 corporal punishment ruling, 97 litigants and, 71–72 declaration of invalidity, 99–100, Parliament and, 80-84 107 restricting access to adjudication, federalism cases, 61-62 interim transitional regime and, 69 - 72statutory interpretation, 4, 19, 38-41. See also compatible interpretation legislative engagement and, 158-59, bill of rights and, 39 163 Canadian Charter and, 42 legislative invalidity and, 98, 143 Human Rights Act and, 95 prostitution case, 148 as indirect juridical review, 41 public-interest standing and, 74 as inter-institutional meeting Quebec's Charter of French language between courts and Parliament, and, 110 relationship with legislatures, 55 pre-Humans Right Act, 56 remedial activity of, 117 Steyn, Lord, 83 rules of standing, 72-74 Stokke, K., 164 Supreme Court of the UK, 29 Swan, A., 40, 186 Strasbourg Court, 45, 47, 48, 81, 132, 162, 193 Swart, M., 165 Swinfen, D. B., 56, 57, 58, 67, 187 Strayer, B. L., 56, 57, 58, 60, 63 strike-down power, 2, 93-122, 192. See systemic improvements, 153, 156-68 also judges announcing conflict with rights, Taggart, M., 37 97 - 102Tamanaha, B. Z., 9, 11, 16 declaring invalidity for future. See Tata, C., 32, 124, 144-45 prospective rulings technicalities, 3, 13, 14 delaying invalidity, 102-6 third-party interveners, 83, 195 guiding legislative drafters, 109–15 Thomas, K., 173 interpreting compatibly with rights, Thomas, R., 46, 80, 81 94 - 97Thorson v. Attorney General of Canada relativizing, 115-22 (1975), 73strong-form judicial review, 2, 5-6, 28, thoughts and expression, freedom, 44, 47, 50-51, 98, 118, 148, 151, 41 - 42152, 154, 160, 165, 166, 171, 188, Tomkins, A., 30, 35, 161 Tomlinson, H., 58, 59, 81, 82, 83 192-93, 194, 195 substantive law, 36-37 transgender individuals, 129, 167-68 Suicide Act 1961, 114 Tremblay, G., 41, 58, 73 Sullivan, R., 40 Tremblay, L. B., 56



INDEX 237

Tremblay v. Daigle (1989), 87	Volks NO v. Robinson and Others
Tribe, L. H., 17, 70	(2005), 102
Tsakyrakis, S., 182	Vriend v. Alberta (1998), 74, 101, 103,
Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia	134, 136
(2014), 120	Vryenjoek and Others v. Powell NO and
Tushnet, M., 12, 28, 95, 118, 158, 164, 165	Others (1995), 78, 100
Twomey, A., 57	Waddams, S. M., 40
·	Wade, W., 64, 65, 66
ultra vires, 65, 84, 187	Wadham, J., 44, 81, 83
UK	Waldron, J., 8, 14, 18, 30, 71, 188
adjudication rights in, 26	Walters, M. D., 35, 57
case selection, 27–28	Waltman, J. L., 11
dispute resolution in, 157	Waluchow, W. J., 119
remedial discretion in, 25	Warren Court, 18
supreme law, 189	Watt, G., 23
US Supreme Court, 63	weak-form judicial review, 2, 5-6, 28,
universalism	44, 47, 118, 120, 151, 152, 154,
in comparative constitutionalism,	165, 166, 193, 194
163	Webber, G. C. N., 118, 167, 182, 183
in modern constitutional law, 22	White, J. B., 12
national constitutional culture and,	White, Ottenheimer & Baker v. Canada
24	(Attorney General), 106
proportionality and, 22	Whittington, K. E., 15
skepticism on, 25–26	Whyte, G., 107, 186
	Williams, K., 117
van Caenegem, R. C., 36	Wilson, S., 165
van der Merwe, C. G., 28	Wood v. Ondangwa Tribal Authority
Van der Merwe, S., 40	(1975), 76
Van der Merwe v. Road Accident Fund	Woolf, Lord, 64, 65
and Another (2006), 134	Woolman, S., 48, 159
Van Rooyen v. Stoltz and Others (2004),	,,,
142	Yacoob, J., 79
Vermeule, A., 32, 118	Young, A. L., 55, 167, 182, 183
Versteeg, M., 26, 27, 32	Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence
victims, 81	Act, 96
indirect, 81	,
remedial discretion and, 172	Zondi v. MEC for Traditional and Local
Vick, D. W., 55	Government Affairs (2004), 104,
Vining, J., 69	106