

#### THE UNIVERSAL STRUCTURE OF CATEGORIES

Using data from a variety of languages such as Blackfoot, Halkomelem, and Upper Austrian German, this book explores a range of grammatical categories and constructions, including tense, aspect, subjunctive, case, and demonstratives.

It presents a new theory of grammatical categories – the Universal Spine Hypothesis – and reinforces generative notions of Universal Grammar while accommodating insights from linguistic typology. In essence, this new theory shows that language-specific categories are built from a small set of universal categories and language-specific units of language.

Throughout the book the Universal Spine Hypothesis is compared to two alternative theories – the Universal Base Hypothesis and the No Base Hypothesis. This valuable addition to the field will be welcomed by graduate students and researchers in linguistics.

MARTINA WILTSCHKO is a Professor in the Department of Linguistics at the University of British Columbia.



#### In this series

- 106 SHARON INKELAS and CHERYL ZOLL Reduplication: Doubling in Morphology
- 107 SUSAN EDWARDS Fluent Aphasia
- 108 BARBARA DANCYGIER and EVE SWEETSER Mental Spaces in Grammar:

  Conditional Constructions
- 109 HEW BAERMAN, DUNSTAN BROWN and GREVILLE G. CORBETT The Syntax— Morphology Interface: A Study of Syncretism
- 110 MARCUS TOMALIN Linguistics and the Formal Sciences: The Origins of Generative Grammar
- III SAMUEL D. EPSTEIN and T. DANIEL SEELY Derivations in Minimalism
- 112 PAUL DE LACY Markedness: Reduction and Preservation in Phonology
- 113 YEHUDA N. FALK Subjects and their Properties
- 114 P. H. MATTHEWS Syntactic Relations: A Critical Survey
- 115 MARK C. BAKER The Syntax of Agreement and Concord
- 116 GILLIAN CATRIONA RAMCHAND Verb Meaning and the Lexicon: A First Phase Syntax
- 117 PIETER MUYSKEN Functional Categories
- 118 JUAN URIAGEREKA Syntactic Anchors: On Semantic Structuring
- 119 D. ROBERT LADD Intonational Phonology Second edition
- 120 LEONARD H. BABBY The Syntax of Argument Structure
- 121 B. ELAN DRESHER The Contrastive Hierarchy in Phonology
- 122 DAVID ADGER, DANIEL HARBOUR and LAUREL J. WATKINS Mirrors and Microparameters: Phrase Structure beyond Free Word Order
- 123 NIINA NING ZHANG Coordination in Syntax
- 124 NEIL SMITH Acquiring Phonology
- 125 NINA TOPINTZI Onsets: Suprasegmental and Prosodic Behaviour
- 126 CEDRIC BOECKX, NORBERT HORNSTEIN and JAIRO NUNES Control as Movement
- 127 MICHAEL ISRAEL The Grammar of Polarity: Pragmatics, Sensitivity, and the Logic of Scales
- 128 M. RITA MANZINI and LEONARDO M. SAVOIA Grammatical Categories: Variation in Romance Languages
- 129 BARBARA CITKO Symmetry in Syntax: Merge, Move and Labels
- 130 RACHEL WALKER Vowel Patterns in Language
- 31 MARY DALRYMPLE and IRINA NIKOLAEVA Objects and Information Structure
- 132 JERROLD M. SADOCK The Modular Architecture of Grammar
- 133 DUNSTAN BROWN and ANDREW HIPPISLEY Network Morphology: A Defaults-Based Theory of Word Structure
- 134 BETTELOU LOS, CORRIEN BLOM, GEERT BOOIJ, MARION ELENBAAS and ANS VAN KEMENADE Morphosyntactic Change: A Comparative Study of Particles and Prefixes
- 135 STEPHEN CRAIN The Emergence of Meaning
- 136 HUBERT HAIDER Symmetry Breaking in Syntax
- 137 JOSÉ A. CAMACHO Null Subjects
- 138 GREGORY STUMP and RAPHAEL A. FINKEL Morphological Typology: From Word to Paradigm
- 139 BRUCE TESAR Output-Driven Phonology: Theory and Learning
- 140 ASIER ALCÁZAR and MARIO SALTARELLI The Syntax of Imperatives
- 141 BECKER The Acquisition of Syntactic Structure: Animacy and Thematic Alignment
- 142 MARTINA WILTSCHKO The Universal Structure of Categories: Towards a Formal Typology

Earlier issues not listed are also available



### CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LINGUISTICS

General editors: P. Austin, J. Bresnan, B. Comrie, S. Crain, W. Dressler, C. J. Ewen, R. Lass, D. Lightfoot, K. Rice, I. Roberts, S. Romaine, N. V. Smith

The Universal Structure of Categories





# THE UNIVERSAL STRUCTURE OF CATEGORIES

TOWARDS A FORMAL TYPOLOGY

MARTINA WILTSCHKO

University of British Columbia, Vancouver





# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107038516

© Martina Wiltschko 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Wiltschko, Martina.

The universal structure of categories : towards a formal typology / Martina Wiltschko. pages cm – (Cambridge studies in linguistics ; 142)

ISBN 978-1-107-03851-6 (Hardback)

 Categorial grammar.
 Structural linguistics.
 Language, Universal.
 Title. P161.W58 2014

415-dc23 2014011843

ISBN 978-1-107-03851-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> Dedicated to my elders Gertrude, Thea Yámelot, Th'áth'elexwot and Tootsinaam





# **Contents**

	List of figures	page xi
	List of tables	xii
	Acknowledgements	xiv
	A note on the core languages of investigation	xvi
	List of abbreviations	xvii
1	The universal structure of categories	1
1.1	What is a category and how do we find one?	1
1.2	The Universal Base Hypothesis	10
1.3	The No Base Hypothesis and its problems	19
1.4	The Universal Spine Hypothesis	23
1.5	Methodological implications	29
1.6	Overview	36
2	A history of ideas behind the spine	39
2.1	Structure	39
2.2	Labels in the structure: functional categories	52
2.3	The areas of the spine and their functions	62
2.4	When do the units of language associate with the spine	79
3	The universal spine as a heuristic for the identification	
	of grammatical categories	84
3.1	Units of language associate with the spine	84
3.2	The logic of Associate	86
3.3	Categorizing the Units of Language	89
3.4	Identifying grammatical categories	95
4	Anchoring categories in independent clauses	98
4.1	Tense as an anchoring category	98
4.2	The Universal Base Hypothesis	100
4.3	The No Base Hypothesis	114
4.4	The Universal Spine Hypothesis	118
4.5	The universal structure of the anchoring category	139

ix



#### x Contents

5	Anchoring categories in dependent clauses	145
5.1	Introduction	145
5.2	The Universal Base Hypothesis	147
5.3	The No Base Hypothesis	153
5.4	The Universal Spine Hypothesis	156
5.5	Towards a formal typology of subjunctives	183
6	Nominal anchoring categories	188
6.1	Introduction	188
6.2	The Universal Base Hypothesis	189
6.3	The No Base Hypothesis	202
6.4	The Universal Spine Hypothesis	207
6.5	The essence of nominal anchoring	246
7	Categories that introduce a point of view	249
7.1	Introduction	249
7.2	The Universal Base Hypothesis	254
7.3	The No Base Hypothesis	268
7.4	The Universal Spine Hypothesis	270
7.5	Towards a typology of viewpoint aspect	295
8	Towards a formal typology	299
8.1	Introduction	299
8.2	Linguistic typology and formal grammar	300
8.3	Why do we need a formal typology of categorization?	303
8.4	Classic criteria for formal classification and their problems	305
8.5	Formal classification criteria based on the Universal	
	Spine Hypothesis	309
8.6	Conclusions and open questions	316
8.7	The Universal Spine Hypothesis as a research agenda	325
	References	327
	Index	352



# **Figures**

Figure 1.1	Multifunctionality as homophony	page 4
Figure 1.2	Categorial identity mediates the relation between a	
	UoL and its interpretation	6
Figure 1.3	Categorial identity mediates between form and	
	interpretation	9
Figure 1.4	Direct mapping between a UoL and interpretation	10
Figure 1.5	$\kappa$ mediates the relation between a UoL and its	
	interpretation	27
Figure 1.6	The universal structure of categories	30
Figure 1.7	Universal categories as prototypes	34
Figure 1.8	Substance-based comparisons	35
Figure 1.9	Comparison based on $\kappa$	35
Figure 2.1	The base and the transformational component	80
Figure 2.2	Separating the lexicon from the syntactic component	80
Figure 2.3	Lexicalism	81
Figure 2.4	Weak lexicalism (split morphology)	82
Figure 2.5	Distributed morphology	83
Figure 3.1	Comparison based on $\kappa$	85
Figure 4.1	Blackfoot verbal template	119
Figure 4.2	Blackfoot clause-types	119
Figure 4.3	Halkomelem clause-types	128
Figure 5.1	Blackfoot clause-types	175
Figure 7.1	Blackfoot verbal template	261
Figure 7.2	Blackfoot verbal template	285
Figure 8.1	The universal structure of categories and their	
	language-specific instantiations	310
Figure 8.2	Valuation typology for $\kappa$	312
Figure 8.3	Typology of association relations	313
Figure 8.4	$\kappa$ mediates between UoL and its interpretation	324
Figure 8.5	Syntax mediates between form and interpretation	325

хi



## **Tables**

Table 1.1	A paradigmatic contrast	page 7
Table 1.2	An interpretive contrast	7
Table 1.3	Two ways of being unmarked	8
Table 2.1	Patterns of nominalization	77
Table 3.1	Two ways of being unmarked	89
Table 3.2	Surface effects of $\kappa$ -contrast	90
Table 4.1	Standard German present and past	107
Table 4.2	Upper Austrian German present; past forms	
	not attested	107
Table 4.3	Correlation between order and person prefixes	121
Table 4.4	Order paradigms	124
Table 4.5	Distribution of auxiliaries across clause-types	128
Table 4.6	Subjunctive marking in Upper Austrian German	
	weak verbs	131
Table 4.7	Subjunctive marking in Upper Austrian German	
	strong verbs	132
Table 4.8	Subjunctive marking in Standard German weak verbs	132
Table 4.9	Subjunctive marking in Standard German strong verbs	133
Table 4.10	Distribution of independent subjunctives	138
Table 5.1	Halkomelem agreement paradigm	169
Table 5.2	Blackfoot subjunctive marking	173
Table 5.3	Clause-type paradigms	175
Table 5.4	Distribution of independent subjunctives	179
Table 5.5	Formal and distributional properties of subjunctives	184
Table 5.6	A typology for UoLs used to construct subjunctives	186
Table 6.1	The Squamish demonstrative system	193
Table 6.2	The Blackfoot demonstrative system	193
Table 6.3	Derived Blackfoot demonstratives	194
Table 6.4	Morphological case is not a homogeneous category	197
Table 6.5	German personal pronouns	210

xii



	List of table.	s xiii
Table 6.6	Reflexives and 1st person across languages	213
Table 6.7	French pronouns	215
Table 6.8	Blackfoot pronoun paradigm	215
Table 6.9	Blackfoot possessor constructions	216
Table 6.10	English reflexive pronouns	217
Table 6.11	Halkomelem pronoun paradigm	217
Table 6.12	The Squamish deictic determiner paradigm	219
Table 6.13	English and Squamish determiners	224
Table 6.14	Squamish demonstratives	227
Table 6.15	Differences between determiners and demonstratives	228
Table 6.16	Halkomelem determiner paradigm	237
Table 6.17	German demonstratives inflect for case	238
Table 6.18	The nominative/accusative determiner paradigm of	
	Standard German	239
Table 6.19	Classical Armenian: NOM/ACC syncretism	243
Table 6.20	Lak: ERG/GEN syncretism	243
Table 7.1	Morphological markedness in aspectual contrasts	258
Table 7.2	Control marking without a contrast in control	267
Table 7.3	The paradigm of direct inverse marking in matrix	
	clauses	283
Table 8.1	Formal grammar vs. linguistic typology	301
Table 8.2	Morphological typology	308
Table 8.3	Interaction between valuation strategies and timing of	
	association	315



# Acknowledgements

First and foremost I wish to thank the speakers of the main languages that I discuss here. They provided the data as well as their expertise, their comments, and insight into their fascinating languages. For Upriver Halkomelem, this was the late Rosaleen George (*Yámelot*) and the late Dr. Elizabeth Herrling (*Th'áth'elexwot*). I wish I could tell them how much they taught me. For Blackfoot, this is Beatrice Bullshields (*Tootsinaam*). She opened up yet another world for me, the prairie world of Blackfoot. One day we will have a conversation in Blackfoot. I do hope that the way I have come to analyse the data is true to these speakers' insights.

The theoretical ideas that I develop here did not emerge in isolation. Many people have shaped my thinking: my mentors, my collaborators, and my students.

My mentors from the days when I was only working on German (Martin Prinzhorn, Edwin Williams, and Wolfgang U. Dressler) have shaped the ways I identify and approach problems. And the mentors I have found at the University of Bitish Columbia have helped me to find my way into the Salishanist and the Algonquianist world (the late M. D. Kinkade, Henry Davis, Lisa Matthewson, and Rose-Marie Déchaine).

I feel very fortunate to have ongoing collaborative relations with two linguists I admire immensly: Rose-Marie Déchaine and Betsy Ritter. Their ways of thinking about language have shifted mine many times in important ways. This book would look much different if I hadn't had the opportunity to work with them so closely. I am grateful for their intellectual generosity as well as their friendship.

I also have benefitted greatly from the annual meetings with some of my friends and colleagues across Canada: Jila Ghomeshi, Diane Massam, Éric Mathieu, and Ileana Paul.

My students were essential in the way my thinking about categories has evolved: Solveiga Armoskaite, Heather Bliss, Christiana Christodoulou, Atsushi Fujimori, Peter Jacobs, Olga Steriopolo, Sonja Thoma, and James

xiv



Acknowledgements

Thompson. While I hope I have taught them a thing or two, I know that they have taught me much more than they would ever imagine. Much of their work is reported here.

Special thanks are due to Heather Bliss, Erin Guntley, and the brave first-year undergraduate student Eric Laylock for taking the time to proofread the manuscript, catching typos, errors, inconsistencies, and lots of superfluous hyphens.

I also wish to thank the anonymous reviewer for constructive feedback.

Finally, I am most grateful to my family. Konrad who thought it was cool that I worked on my book manuscript during our vacation in Guatemala. I do hope he will find his passion. And Strang-Dr.-Dexterous-Burton, linguist, thinker, radical skeptic, inventor of the "kobe-beef-approach to writing," and strict enforcer of the "you-have-to-write-every-day-at-least-for-15-minutes-rule." I would not think the way I do, let alone have written a book without him. *Thank you, eh!* 



# A note on the core languages of investigation

There are four main languages I investigate here: Blackfoot, Halkomelem, Squamish, and Upper Austrian German. If not otherwise indicated, the data from these languages come from my own fieldwork. All data are presented in the practical orthography of each language. The key to the Blackfoot orthography can be found in Frantz (1991); the key to the Halkomelem orthography can be found in Galloway (1993).

The particular choice of these languages is based on my expertise: Blackfoot and Halkomelem are the two languages I have conducted extensive fieldwork on. Blackfoot is a Plains Algonquian language, consisting of four mutually intelligible dialects, spoken on three reserves in southern Alberta and one reservation in northwestern Montana. In Alberta, the three dialects are Siksiká (aka Blackfoot), Kaináá (aka Blood), and Piikani (aka Peigan), and in Montana, the dialect is Blackfeet. Data from my own fieldwork stems from the Kaináá dialect. I wish to thank Heather Bliss for help with fieldwork, data glossing, formatting, and proofreading the data.

Halkomelem is a Central Coast Salish language, consisting of three mutually intelligible dialects: Halq'eméylem (aka Upriver Halkomelem), Hən'q'əmin'əm (aka Downriver Halkomelem), and Hulq'umín'um' (aka Island Halkomelem). It is spoken in the lower mainland of British Columbia and on Vancouver Island. Data from my own fieldwork stem from the Upriver dialect. I wish to thank Strang Burton for proofreading the data.

As for Squamish (Skwxwu7mesh), another Central Coast Salish language, I was fortunate enough to supervise Peter Jacobs' (2011) UBC dissertation on control in Squamish. Most data on Squamish come from his fieldwork.

And finally Upper Austrian German is my native language. It is spoken in the province of Upper Austria (Oberösterreich). The judgments reported here are my own; they have been confirmed with four other speakers of the same dialect.

xvi



# **Abbreviations**

1 1st person 2 2nd person 3 3rd person

4 4th (obviative) person

acc accusative accom accompany adhort adhortative agr agreement

ai animate intransitive

Asp Aspect

AspP AspectPhrase assert assertion aux auxiliary caus causative cl clitic clas classifier cnj conjunction

cn common noun connective

coin coincidence comp complementizer

conj conjunct
D determiner
dat dative
deic deictic

dem demonstrative deon deontic

dep dependent tense det determiner dir direct dist distal

DP determiner phrase

xvii



#### xviii List of abbreviations

ds different subject

ECM Exceptional Case Marking

emph emphatic

EPP Extended Projection Principle

erg ergative Ev event

Eval evaluation world

evid evidential excl exclusive

exis assertion of existence

final event fe feminine fem **FOC** focus future fut genitive gen hab habitual horiz horizontal initial change ic Ident identity initial event ie

ii inanimate intransitive

imperative imp imperfective impf impersonal imprs inan inanimate inch inchoative inclusive incl ind indicative inf infinitive int intensifier inv inverse irr irrealis

lc limited control

LCA Linear Correspondence Axiom

LF logical form link linker loc local person locy locative

masculine

masc



#### List of abbreviations xix

mid middle

NBH No Base Hypothesis

neg negative neut neuter nmlz nominalizer

nm.term nominal terminative

nom nominative non-affirmative nonaff nonfact non-factive non-local person nonloc NP noun phrase non-visible nv object obj obl oblique obv obviative om object marker part participle passive pass perc perceived perfective perf

PF phonological form

pl plural

pnp perfective non past

poss possessive
PoV point of view
prep preposition
pres present
prn pronoun

prosp prospective aspect

prox proximate particle prt prtv partitive past pst question q reduplicant redup refl reflexive rep reportative realis rl S subject



#### xx List of abbreviations

sg singular

SpecDP specifier of Determiner phrase

SpecIP specifier of IP

SpecKP specifier of Kase phrase

ss same subject
subj subjunctive
ta transitive animate
TAM tense aspect mood
ti transitive inanimate

top topic

TP tense phrase tr transitive

UBH Universal Base Hypothesis

UG Universal Grammar

unr unreal

UoL Unit of Language

USH Universal Spine Hypothesis

Utt utterance VP verb phrase

WALS World Atlas of Language Structures