

CATEGORIAL FEATURES

Proposing a novel theory of parts of speech, this book discusses categorization from a methodological and theoretical point of view. It draws on discoveries and insights from a number of approaches – typology, cognitive grammar, notional approaches and generative grammar – and presents a generative, feature-based theory.

Building on up-to-date research and the latest findings and ideas in categorization and word-building, Panagiotidis combines the primacy of categorial features with a syntactic categorization approach, addressing the fundamental, but often overlooked, questions in grammatical theory.

Designed for graduate students and researchers studying grammar and syntax, this book is richly illustrated with examples from a variety of languages and explains elements and phenomena central to the nature of human language.

PHOEVOS PANAGIOTIDIS is Associate Professor of Linguistics in the Department of English Studies at the University of Cyprus.



In this series

107.	SUSAN	EDWARDS:	Fluent	Anhasia

- 108. BARBARA DANCYGIER AND EVE SWEETSER: Mental Spaces in Grammar: Conditional Constructions
- 109. HEW BAERMAN, DUNSTAN BROWN AND GREVILLE G. CORBETT: The Syntax-Morphology Interface: A Study of Syncretism
- 110. MARCUS TOMALIN: Linguistics and the Formal Sciences: The Origins of Generative Grammar
- 111. SAMUEL D. EPSTEIN AND T. DANIEL SEELY: Derivations in Minimalism
- II2. PAUL DE LACY: Markedness: Reduction and Preservation in Phonology
- 113. YEHUDA N. FALK: Subjects and Their Properties
- 114. P. H. MATTHEWS: Syntactic Relations: A Critical Survey
- 115. MARK C. BAKER: The Syntax of Agreement and Concord
- 116. GILLIAN CATRIONA RAMCHAND: Verb Meaning and the Lexicon: A First Phase Syntax
- 117. PIETER MUYSKEN: Functional Categories
- 118. JUAN URIAGEREKA: Syntactic Anchors: On Semantic Structuring
- 119. D.ROBERT LADD: Intonational Phonology, Second Edition
- 120. LEONARD H. BABBY: The Syntax of Argument Structure
- 121. B. ELAN DRESHER: The Contrastive Hierarchy in Phonology
- 122. DAVID ADGER, DANIEL HARBOUR AND LAUREL J. WATKINS:
 Mirrors and Microparameters: Phrase Structure beyond Free Word Order
- 123. NIINA NING ZHANG: Coordination in Syntax
- 124. NEIL SMITH: Acquiring Phonology
- 125. NINA TOPINTZI: Onsets: Suprasegmental and Prosodic Behaviour
- 126. CEDRIC BOECKX, NORBERT HORNSTEIN AND JAIRO NUNES: Control as Movement
- 127. MICHAEL ISRAEL: The Grammar of Polarity: Pragmatics, Sensitivity, and the Logic of Scales
- 128. M. RITA MANZINI AND LEONARDO M. SAVOIA: Grammatical Categories: Variation in Romance Languages
- 129. BARBARA CITKO: Symmetry in Syntax: Merge, Move and Labels
- 130. RACHEL WALKER: Vowel Patterns in Language
- 131. MARY DALRYMPLE AND IRINA NIKOLAEVA: Objects and Information Structure
- 132. JERROLD M. SADOCK: The Modular Architecture of Grammar
- 133. DUNSTAN BROWN AND ANDREW HIPPISLEY: Network Morphology: A Defaults-Based Theory of Word Structure
- 134. BETTELOU LOS, CORRIEN BLOM, GEERT BOOIJ, MARION ELENBAAS AND ANS VAN KEMENADE: Morphosyntactic Change: A Comparative Study of Particles and Prefixes



- 135. STEPHEN CRAIN: The Emergence of Meaning
- 136. HUBERT HAIDER: Symmetry Breaking in Syntax
- 137. JOSÉ A. CAMACHO: Null Subjects
- 138. GREGORY STUMP AND RAPHAEL A. FINKEL: Morphological Typology: From Word to Paradigm
- 139. BRUCE TESAR: Output-Driven Phonology: Theory and Learning
- 140. ASIER ALCÁZAR AND MARIO SALTARELLI: The Syntax of Imperatives
- 141. MISHA BECKER: The Acquisition of Syntactic Structure: Animacy and Thematic Alignment
- 142. MARTINA WILTSCHKO: The Universal Structure of Categories: Towards a Formal Typology
- 143. FAHAD RASHED AL-MUTAIRI: The Minimalist Program: The Nature and Plausibility of Chomsky's Biolinguistics
- 144. CEDRIC BOECKX: Elementary Syntactic Structures: Prospects of a Feature-Free Syntax
- 145. PHOEVOS PANAGIOTIDIS: Categorial Features: A Generative Theory of Word Class Categories

Earlier issues not listed are also available





CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN LINGUISTICS

General Editors: P. Austin, J. Bresnan, B. Comrie, S. Crain, W. Dressler, C. J. Ewen, R. Lass, D. Lightfoot, K. Rice, I. Roberts, S. Romaine, N. V. Smith

CATEGORIAL FEATURES
A Generative Theory of Word Class Categories





CATEGORIAL FEATURES

A GENERATIVE THEORY OF WORD CLASS CATEGORIES

PHOEVOS PANAGIOTIDIS

University of Cyprus





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107038110

© Phoevos Panagiotidis 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St lves plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Panagiotidis, Phoevos.

Categorial features : a generative theory of word class categories / Phoevos Panagiotidis.

pages cm – (Cambridge studies in linguistics; 145)

ISBN 978-1-107-03811-0 (Hardback)

- 1. Grammar, Comparative and general-Grammaticalization. 2. Categorial grammar.
- 3. Language, Universal. I. Title.

P299.G73P36 2014

415-dc23 2014020939

ISBN 978-1-107-03811-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	Preface	page xii
1	Theories of grammatical category	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Preliminaries to a theory: approaching the part-of-speech problem	1
	1.2.1 On syntactic categories and word classes: some clarifications	3
	1.2.2 Parts of speech: the naïve notional approach	4
	1.2.3 Parts of speech: morphological criteria	6
	1.2.4 Parts of speech: syntactic criteria	7
	1.2.5 An interesting correlation	8
	1.2.6 Prototype theory	9
	1.2.7 Summarizing: necessary ingredients of a theory of category	11
1.3	Categories in the lexicon	12
1.4	Deconstructing categories	17
	1.4.1 Distributed Morphology	17
	1.4.2 Radical categorylessness	18
1.5	The notional approach revisited: Langacker (1987)	
	and Anderson (1997)	19
1.6	The present approach: LF-interpretable categorial features	
	make categorizers	21
2	Are word class categories universal?	24
2.1	Introduction	24
2.2	Do all languages have nouns and verbs? How can we tell?	25
2.3	Two caveats: when we talk about 'verb' and 'noun'	26
	2.3.1 Verbs, not their entourage	26
	2.3.2 Misled by morphological criteria: nouns	
	and verbs looking alike	27
	2.3.3 What criterion, then?	28
2.4	Identical (?) behaviours	29
2.5	The Nootka debate (is probably pointless)	32
2.6	Verbs can be found everywhere, but not necessarily as a word class	
2.7	An interim summary: verbs, nouns, roots	40

ix



x Contents

2.8	What about adjectives (and adverbs)?	41
	2.8.1 Adjectives are unlike nouns and verbs	41
	2.8.2 Adjectives are not unmarked	42
	2.8.3 Adverbs are not a simplex category	48
2.9	The trouble with adpositions	49
2.10	Conclusion	51
3	Syntactic decomposition and categorizers	53
3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Where are words made?	54
3.3	Fewer idiosyncrasies: argument structure is syntactic structure	58
3.4	There are still idiosyncrasies, however	60
3.5	Conversions	62
3.6	Phases	65
3.7	Roots and phases	67
3.8	On the limited productivity (?) of first phases	70
3.9	Are roots truly acategorial? Dutch restrictions	72
3.10	Conclusion	77
4	Categorial features	78
4.1	Introduction	78
4.2	Answering the old questions	78
4.3	Categorial features: a matter of perspective	82
4.4	The Categorization Assumption and roots	89
	4.4.1 The Categorization Assumption	89
	4.4.2 The interpretation of free roots	93
	4.4.3 The role of categorization	95
	4.4.4 nPs and vPs as idioms	97
4.5	Categorizers are not functional	98
4.6	Nouns and verbs	100
	4.6.1 Keeping [N] and [V] separate?	101
	4.6.2 Do Farsi verbs always contain nouns?	103
5	Functional categories	106
5.1	Introduction	106
5.2	The category of functional categories	106
5.3	Functional categories as 'satellites' of lexical ones	110
5.4	Biuniqueness	111
5.5	Too many categorial features	116
5.6	Categorial Deficiency	117
5.7	Categorial Deficiency \neq c-selection	120
5.8	Categorial Deficiency and roots (and categorizers)	120
5.9	Categorial Deficiency and Agree	124
	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



	Contents	xi
	5.9.1 On Agree	124
	5.9.2 Biuniqueness as a product of categorial Agree	126
	5.9.3 Why there are no mid-projection lexical heads	127
	5.9.4 How projection lines begin	128
	5.9.5 Deciding the label: no uninterpretable Goals	130
5.10	Conclusion	133
6	Mixed projections and functional categorizers	134
6.1	Introduction	134
6.2	Mixed projections	134
6.3	Two generalizations on mixed projections	136
6.4	Free-mixing mixed projections?	140
6.5	SWITCHES as functional categorizers	142
6.6	Morphologically overt SWITCHES	148
6.7	SWITCHES and their complements	152
	6.7.1 Locating the SWITCH: the size of its complement	153
	6.7.2 Phases and SWITCHES	159
6.8	Are all mixed projections externally nominal?	161
	6.8.1 Verbal nouns	162
6.9	The properties of mixed projections	165
	6.9.1 Similarities: Nominalized Aspect Phrases in English	
	and Dutch	166
	6.9.2 Differences: two types of Dutch 'plain' nominalized infinitives	166
	6.9.3 Fine-grained differences: different features in	
	nominalized Tense Phrases	169
6.10	Why functional categorizers?	170
6.11	Conclusion	172
7	A summary and the bigger picture	173
7.1	A summary	173
7.2	Loose ends	175
7.3	Extensions and consequences	176
8	Appendix: notes on Baker (2003)	179
8.1	Introduction	179
8.2	Are nouns referential?	180
8.3	Syntactic predication, semantic predication and specifiers	181
8.4	Are adjectives the unmarked lexical category? Are they roots?	183
8.5	Pred and other functional categories	184
8.6	Two details: co-ordination and syntactic categorization	185
	References	189
	Index	204





Preface

The project resulting in this monograph began in 1999, when I realized that I had to answer the question of why pronouns cannot *possibly* be 'intransitive determiners', why it is impossible for Determiner Phrases (DPs) consisting of a 'dangling D head' (a turn of phrase my then PhD supervisor, Roger Hawkins, used) – that is, made of a Determiner without a nominal complement – to exist. The first answer I came up with was Categorial Deficiency, extensively argued for in Chapter 5. Back then, however, Categorial Deficiency of functional heads was just an idea, which was expounded in my (2000) paper. The case for it was limited to arguments from biuniqueness and the hope was that it would eventually capture Head Movement. The paper was delivered at the April 2000 Spring Meeting of LAGB, in the front yard of UCL, in the open: the fire alarm, this almost indispensable element of British identity and social life, went off seconds after the talk started. It did not look good. However, Categorial Deficiency did find its way into my thesis and the (2002) book version thereof.

There were more serious problems, though: I quickly realized that 'uninterpretable [N]' and 'uninterpretable [V]' mean *nothing* if we have no inkling of the actual interpretation of 'interpretable [N]' and 'interpretable [V]'. This inevitably brought me to the question of the nature of categorial features and what it means to be a noun, a verb and an adjective. Surprisingly, this was an issue very few people found of any interest, so for a couple of years or so I thought I should forget about the whole thing. This outlook changed dramatically in 2003, when Mark Baker's book was published: a generative theory of lexical categories with precise predictions about the function and interpretation of categorial features. On the one hand, I was elated: it was about time; on the other, I was disappointed: what else was there to say on lexical categories and categorial features?

Quite a lot, as it turned out. Soon after my (2005) paper *against* syntactic categorization, I had extensive discussions with Alan Bale and, later, Heidi Harley. These were the impetus of my conversion to a syntactic decomposition

xiii



xiv Preface

approach. At around the same time, Kleanthes Grohmann and I thought it would be a good idea to see if his Prolific Domains could be shown to be coextensive to the categorially uniform subtrees making up mixed projections (Bresnan 1997).

It is easy to figure out that I have incurred enormous intellectual debts to a number of people; this is to be expected when working on a project stretching for well over a decade. Before naming names, however, I have to gratefully acknowledge that parts of this project were generously funded by Cyprus College (now European University Cyprus) through three successive faculty research grants, between 2003 and 2006.

Moving on to people now: Paolo Acquaviva, whom I met in 2009 at the Roots workshop in Stuttgart, made me regain faith in my project and provided me with priceless insight on where we could go after we finished with categories and how roots really mattered. I owe to David Adger some pertinent and sharp questions on Extended Projections, feature (un)interpretability and mixed projections. Relentless and detailed commentary and criticism by Elena Anagnostopoulou go a long way, and they proved valuable in my sharpening the tools and rethinking all sorts of 'facts'. Thanks to Karlos Arregi I had to seriously consider adpositions and roots inside them. Mark Baker, talking to me in Utrecht in 2001 about the book he was preparing, and discussing nouns and verbs in later correspondence, has been an inspiration and an indispensable source of encouragement. Thank you, Hagit Borer, for asking all those tough questions on idiomaticity. I am truly indebted to Annabel Cormack, who significantly deepened (or tried to deepen) my understanding of the foundational issues behind lexical categories and their interpretation. Discussing roots and categorizers with David Embick in Philadelphia in 2010 served as a oneto-one masterclass for me. Kleanthes Grohmann - enough said: a valuable interlocutor, a source of critical remarks, a true collega. Heidi Harley, well, what can I say: patience and more patience and eagerness to discuss pretty much everything, even when I would approach it from an outlandish (I cannot really write 'absurd', can I?) angle, even when I would be annoyingly ignorant about things; and encouragement; and feedback. Most of what I know about Russian adjectives I owe to Svetlana Karpava and her translations. Richie Kayne has been supportive and the most wonderful person to discuss all those 'ideas' of mine with throughout the years. Richard Larson, thank you for inviting me to Stony Brook and for all the stimulating discussions that followed. Winnie Lechner helped me immensely in investigating the basic questions behind categorization and category and his contribution to my thinking about mixed projections was momentous and far-ranging. Alec



Preface xv

Marantz took the time and the effort when I needed his sobering feedback most, when I was trying to answer too many questions on idiomaticity and root interpretation. Discussions with Sandeep Prasada, and his kindly sharing his unpublished work on sortality with me, provided a much-needed push and the opportunity to step back and reconsider nominality. Gratitude also goes to Marc Richards, the man with the phases and with even more patience. Luigi Rizzi has been a constant source of support and insight, through both gentle nudges and detailed discussions. David Willis' comments on categorial Agree and its relation to movement gave me the impetus to make the related discussion in Chapter 5 bolder and, I hope, more coherent.

I also wish to thank the following for comments and discussion, although I am sure I must have left too many people out: Mark Aronoff, Adriana Belletti, Theresa Biberauer, Lisa Cheng, Harald Clahsen, Marijke De Belder, Carlos de Cuba, Marcel den Dikken, Jan Don, Edit Doron, Joe Emonds, Claudia Felser, Anastasia Giannakidou, Liliane Haegeman, Roger Hawkins, Norbert Hornstein, Gholamhosein Karimi-Doostan, Peter Kosta, Olga Kvasova, Lisa Levinson, Pino Longobardi, Jean Lowenstamm, Rita Manzini, Ora Matushansky, Jason Merchant, Dimitris Michelioudakis, Ad Neeleman, Rolf Noyer, David Pesetsky, Andrew Radford, Ian Roberts, Peter Svenonius, George Tsoulas, Peyman Vahdati, Hans van de Koot, Henk van Riemsdijk.

I also wish to thank for their comments and feedback the audiences in Cyprus (on various occasions), Utrecht, Pisa, Potsdam, Jerusalem, Patras, Paris, Athens and Salonica (again, on various occasions), Cambridge (twice, the second time when I was kindly invited by Theresa Biberauer to teach a mini course on categories), Chicago, Stony Brook, NYU and CUNY, Florence, Siena, Essex, Amsterdam, Leiden, York, Trondheim, Lisbon and London.

Needless to say, this book would have never been completed without Joanna's constant patience and support.

My sincere gratitude goes out to the reviewers and referees who have looked at pieces of this work: from the editor and the referees at *Language* who compiled the long and extensive rejection report, a piece of writing that perhaps influenced the course of this research project as significantly as key bibliography on the topic, to anonymous referees in other journals, and to the reviewers of Cambridge University Press. Last but not least, I wish to express my gratitude to the Editorial Board of the Cambridge Studies in Linguistics for their trust, encouragement and comments.

Finally, I wish to dedicate this book with sincere and most profound gratitude to my teacher, mentor and friend Neil V. Smith.