

Index

- Abrahamsen, R. 24
- absolute vs. relative costs 109
- abstract collective goods 125, 135, 155
- abstract objects 7, 70
- accidents, Vale railway 25
- accountability 22, 48–49, 75, 155
 - see also* assigning responsibility
- act-utilitarianism 105–6
- action, agent-neutral reasons for 67–69, 68 (Table 2), 79–80
- action, agent-relative reasons for 42, 53, 67–69, 68 (Table 2)
- activism 25, 29
- activists
 - Alien Tort Claims Act 152
 - anti-oil operations 24
 - and contemporary policy 32
 - environmental 19, 25
 - European jurisdictions 55
 - risks facing 107
 - Shell 19
 - Vale Brazil 25
 - see also* protesters; Shi Tao case
- actors *see* non-state actors; public actors; state vs. non-state actors
- Adams v. Cape* 49–52, 56
- Afghanistan 109
- agent-centred errors (type-1 errors) 111–14
 - acceptability and desirability 141
 - autonomy 142
 - roles and responsibilities 149, 157
 - unjustifiable responsibility 6, 111–12
 - vs. victim-centred 116, 150
- agent-neutral reasons for action 67–69, 68 (Table 2), 79–80
- agent-relative reasons for action 42, 53, 67–69, 68 (Table 2)
- agential costs 108–9
 - absolute vs. relative costs 109
 - Afghanistan 109
 - assigning responsibility 110
 - autonomy and roles 139
 - de facto* political authority 149
 - definition 108
 - justifiability and TNCs 149
 - respecting human rights 109–10
 - responsibility types 111, 115
 - TNCs vs. individuals 107
- agents of responsibility *see* moral agents; responsibility-bearers
- agents, public, identifying 6
- agreement method, to locate human rights practices 77
- aid projects 64
- Alien Tort Claims Act (ATCA) 17–18, 56, 152
- Alien Tort Statute (ATS) 20
 - see also* Alien Tort Claims Act (ATCA)
- Alston, Philip 64
- Amazon rainforest 26, 123
- Amazon region 127, 132
 - of Brazil 123, 125–27, 132–33
- Amnesty International 17, 21–22, 90, 160
- anarchist perspective 42–43
- anarchy 12, 41, 44
- Annan, Kofi 31
- anti-globalisation protesters 24
- appeal-court justice decisions (US) 29, 153–54
 - see also* Breyer, Justice; Roberts, Chief Justice

- arbitrary detention 75
- Arendt, H. 78, 142
- arrests 107
- asbestos, *Adams v. Cape* 49–52
- assault 21, 24, 87
- assigning blame 26
 - see also* blame responsibility
- assigning responsibility
 - errors in 6
 - to individuals 46–47
 - starting point 155
 - see also* accountability; agent-centred errors; victim-centred errors
- ATCA (Alien Tort Claims Act) 17–18, 56
- ATCA-style legislation 22, 152
- ATS (Alien Tort Statute) 20
 - see also* ATCA
- Australia, mining companies 55
- authority, knowledge based 43
 - see also* political authority
- autonomy 76, 108, 136, 138–42
- ‘bad norms’, diffusion of 23
- Barry, C. 111
- Basic Rights: Subsistence, Affluence, and US Foreign Policy* (Shue, H.) 78
- Basques 160
- Berlin Wall, Germany 137–38
- best interests of the public 128, 133
- Bhopal, India, Union Carbide gas leak 21–22
- blame, assigning 26
- blame responsibility 110–13, 156
- bloggers 23, 38
- borders, freedom of movement 125, 127
- Brazil
 - Amazon region 123, 125–27, 132–33
 - Carajás 25, 127
 - gangs 160
 - government 149
 - virgin rainforest 26
 - see also* Vale Brazil
- Breyer, Justice, *Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.* 20, 51
- brute costs 104–8, 110
- brute luck individuals 67 (Table 1)
- Bull, H. 41
- burdened societies 46, 124–25
- Burma (Myanmar) 21, 127
- business and human rights, vs. corporate social responsibility 52–55
- business and human rights policy 4, 16–17, 35
 - ATCA-style legislation 152
 - current status 1–2
 - delinquent states, conflicting authorities 37
 - first wave (1970s) 30–31
 - future analysis and research 91, 136, 154, 160–61
 - non-state actors 26, 37
 - responses 30–34
 - second wave (1990s–present) 31–34
 - TNC-specific policy 84
 - TNC vs. state 3
 - universalist position 63
- Canada
 - activism 25
 - international law 55
- capacities
 - mainstream assumptions 92–94
 - non-state actors 1
 - TNCs 47–48
- capacity approach 3, 5–6, 94–99, 156
 - agential costs 108–9
 - assessment of 114–15
 - biggest mistake of 114
 - brute costs 104–8
 - costs 103
 - drowning child analogy 94–95, 104–5
 - epistemology of responsibility 109–14
 - vs. publicness approach 114–15, 118, 150, 158
 - role-based capacity 99–103
 - strengths 5–6, 102, 114, 159
 - TNCs vs. individuals 47–48
 - universalist account 63, 114
 - weaknesses 5–6, 103–4, 159
 - see also* ideal-theory mode; moral philosophy; non-ideal theory mode

Index

179

- capacity principle
 - agential costs 108
 - balancing costs 113
 - brute costs 104–6
 - defence, in the case of invasion 108
 - drowning child analogy 94–95, 104–6
 - excessive costs 106
 - general form 89
 - non-ideal theory 156
 - parental roles 100–1
 - publicness approach 158
 - state–non-state actors 99
 - type-1/type-2 errors 113
 - utilitarianism 104–6, 113
- Cape 49–52, 56
- Carajás, Brazil 25, 127
- Carr, E.H. 92
- Catholic Church 144, 160
- causal questions, definition 12
- causality 74–77
- Center for Constitutional Rights 18
- charity 64
- Chevron 24
 - threats to 18
- China
 - arrests 17
 - collective goods 125
 - freedom of expression 123, 125
 - internet access 147
 - Tiananmen Square uprising 17, 133
 - see also* Yahoo China; ‘Yeehaw China’
- choice theories of rights 54
- church 136–37
 - see also* Catholic Church
- citizenship responsibilities 67 (Table 1)
- civil-political rights *see* liberty rights
- civil-society organisations 22, 118, 131, 160–61
- civilisation, standard of 122
- ‘civilised’ states 123
- Cold War 137–38
- collective goods
 - concept 148
 - political communities 125–28
 - publicness approach 117
 - sovereignty and TNCs 120–22
 - see also* abstract collective goods; empirical collective goods; public goods
- collective public resistance 133–34
 - see also* political responsiveness; protesters
- collective responsibility 1, 129–30
- colonialism 41, 122–23, 154
- common good, common conception of 128
- communitarians 108
- communities
 - forced relocation 21, 25, 127
 - legal obligations 25–26
 - mining companies 24–26
 - political, membership in 117, 125–28
 - representing organisations 132
 - rural 24–26, 133
- Companhia Vale do Rio Doce *see* Vale Brazil
- companies 50
 - see also* corporations; transnational corporations
- company employees
 - indigenous rural communities 24–26
 - local youths 24
 - responsibilities 86
 - TNC spheres of influence 133
- company law 50, 139
- compliance, regulatory 32
- complicity, of TNCs with the state 4, 22–24, 31, 129, 132
- conceptual responsibilities framework 63, 65, 69–70, 81 (Table 3)
 - future analysis and research 160–61
 - general/special and universal/specific 67 (Table 1)
 - reasons for action 67–69, 68 (Table 2)
 - theoretical combinations 67
- conflation of responsibilities (political philosophy)
 - costs to victims and agents 115
 - political philosophy 111
- conflation of responsibilities (UNGP) 153
 - of refraining from harming and respect 64, 82, 84–85

- conscripted militaries 108
- considered judgments 14, 90
- constitutive questions, definition 12
- constructivism 11, 137
- consumerism 141
- context-sensitivity 114, 146
- contextual method, to locate human rights practices 77
- contractors 23–24, 98
- contracts
 - Cape 51
 - companies 50
 - legality 44
 - residency 51
 - responsibility category 67 (Table 1)
- control theories of rights 54
- corporate agents 9, 143
- corporate entities vs. individual persons, international human rights law 49
- corporate liability 153
- corporate moral agency 8–11, 85
- corporate person 85
- Corporate Responsibility Coalition (CORE) 22
- corporate responsibility to respect human rights (UNGP) 62
 - conflation of responsibilities 153
 - conflation of refraining from harming and respect 64, 82, 84–85
- corporate social responsibility (CSR) 53, 159
 - vs. business and human rights 52–55
 - Escola que Vale programme 25
 - moral principles 140
 - Vale Brazil 133
 - withdrawal from 146, 148, 157
- corporations
 - UN Guiding Principles 4
 - see also* companies; incorporation; transnational corporations
- corporations vs. states, UNGP view of 4, 33
- costs
 - absolute vs. relative costs 109
 - acceptable-cost-to-responsibility-bearer threshold 112
 - agents vs. victims, balancing 115–16, 149–50
 - excessive 106–9
 - to self 110
 - see also* agential costs; brute costs
- crimes against humanity, accountability 26
- criminal law 26, 49
- criminal negligence 25, 27
- criminal offences, human rights violations 27
- criminal responsibility 87, 159
 - agent-centred (type-1 errors) 112–13
 - vs. human rights responsibilities 110–11
 - public vs. private agents 138
 - victim-centred (type-2 errors) 112
- CSR *see* corporate social responsibility
- de facto* political authority 28–29
 - conflicting authorities 37
 - conflicts of judgement 58
 - justifiability and TNCs 149
 - political agents, flexibility of 143
 - political-sociological perspective 144
 - primary political roles 150
 - rule of law problem 40, 45, 61, 154
 - socio-political context 144
 - state–non-state assemblages 24
 - term 6, 50
 - TNC agential costs 149
 - transforming into 147
 - see also* legitimate authority; political authority
- De Schutter, O. 30
- deaths 18, 21, 25, 107
- ‘decent peoples’ 128
- decision-making agents 54–55
 - complexity 60
 - insider/outsider status 125–26
 - see also* discretionary duties; duty-bearers; non-discretionary duties
- defence, in the case of invasions, agential costs 108–9
- delinquent companies 55–59
- delinquent states 4, 37, 154
 - capacity to act 107
 - legality levels 45–46
 - public representatives 129

Index

181

- Rawls' theory 46
- refraining from harm 155
- 'Yeehaw' 38–40
 - see also* imperfect states;
 - quasi-states; weak states
- democracy 17, 130
- descriptive sovereignty 46
- detention, arbitrary 75
- diplomatic situations, political
 - responsiveness 128
- discretionary choice 80, 147
- discretionary duties 10, 40, 53–55
 - analytical and normative perspective 54
- definition 86
- humanitarianism 159
- vs. non-discretionary 45, 53–54
- private moral agents 42
- respect/agential cost 109–10
- responsibility to respect 146
- theories of rights 54–55
- transnationality 52–54
- dislocation, forced 21, 25, 127
- dissidents *see* activists; protesters
- doctors' responsibilities 67 (Table 1)
- Doe v. Unocal* 21
- domestic criminal law, corporate entities
 - vs. individual persons 49
- domestic politics 30, 41
- domestic sovereignty 41, 121
- domestic/foreign duality *see* insider/outsider status
- Doobee, Saturday 18
- due diligence 5, 34, 83, 152
- duties
 - moral agents 47
 - negative/positive distinctions 65, 75
 - to protect human rights 7, 33
 - to respect human rights 77
 - see also* discretionary duties;
 - non-discretionary duties
- duty-bearers
 - discretion 54
 - multiple 132–34
 - see also* responsibility-bearers
- e-mails 17, 23, 38, 147
- EarthRights International 18, 21
- economic actors 41, 133–34, 145–46
 - see also* companies; corporations;
 - TNCs
- economic agenda, neo-liberal 93
- economic costs 107
 - see also* brute costs
- economic migrants 84, 96, 125
- economic power 25, 90
- economic rights 25–26, 73
- economic role, TNCs 145–46
- Eichmann, Adolf 129, 142
- Eide, Asbjørn 64
- electronic communications
 - bloggers 23, 38
 - e-mails 17, 23, 38, 147
 - surveillance 23, 39
- Elf 24
- empirical collective goods 117, 122–25, 148
- empirical sovereignty, vs. juridical
 - sovereignty 123
- employees, company
 - indigenous rural communities 24–26
 - local youths 24
 - responsibilities 86
 - TNC spheres of influence 133
- empowerment, levels of 26, 28–29
- England and Wales 27
- Enlightenment era *see* 'What is Enlightenment?' 85
- Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Morals* (Hume, D.) 85
- environmental activists 19, 24–25
- environmental interests 132, 134
- environmentalism 160
- epistemology of responsibility 109–14, 141
 - moral responsibilities 114
 - protect and provide 110
 - respect/agential cost 109–10
 - statistical language 111
 - value pluralism 160
 - see also* agent-centred errors;
 - conflation of responsibilities;
 - victim-centred errors
- errors, agent-centred *see* agent-centred errors

- errors, victim-centred *see* victim-centred errors
- Erskine, T. 8, 85, 93
- ethics
 - capacity approach 6, 159
 - discussions 7
 - frameworks 80, 88
 - global governance 1
 - globalisation 41
 - inner and outer spheres of morality 85–86
 - practices 159
 - special/general responsibilities 66
 - TNCs 85
 - value pluralism/monoism 160
 - see also* moral philosophy
- ethics, discussions
 - and human rights 7
 - inner and outer spheres of morality 85–86
 - special responsibilities 66
- EU (European Union) 160
- European jurisdictions 123
 - ATCA-style legislation 22, 152
 - human rights activists 55
 - NGO campaigns 22
- evolution, of state-centred authority 144
- excessive costs to responsibility-bearers 106–9
- executions 18
- exploited groups 67 (Table 1)
- expression, freedom of 123, 125, 161
- extraterritorial jurisdictions 25
- extraterritorial law
 - delinquent states 50, 59
 - global rule of law 55
 - non-discretionary duties 53
 - ‘Yeekhaw’ 55–59
- extraterritoriality
 - activists 55
 - ATCA-style legislation 22
 - delinquent states 37, 45, 59
 - Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.* 51
 - policy 59
 - UN Global Compact 31
 - UN Norms 31
- US legal mechanisms 31
 - see also* international human rights law; jurisdictions; rule of law problem
- fallibilist perspectives 111
- false convictions 18
- feminist perspectives 118–19
- filial responsibilities 67 (Table 1)
- food, prisoners without 74–77
- forced labour 17, 21, 107
- forces *see* military forces
- freedom from arbitrary detention 75
- Freedom from Extreme Poverty as a Human Right* (Pogge, T.) 90
- freedom from poverty 74–75, 90
- freedom of expression 123, 125, 161
 - see also* Yahoo; ‘Yeekhaw China’
- freedom of movement 125, 127
- French, P. 8, 85
- Fuller, L.L. 44
- future analysis and research 91, 136, 154, 160–61
- G4S 98
- gas, natural, *Doe v. Unocal* 21
- Gbokoo, Daniel 18
- General Comment 12 of the UN Committee on Social, Economic and Cultural Rights in 1999 64
- general public
 - best interests of 133
 - collective responsibility 129–30
 - common conception of good 128
 - dissidents/activists 107
 - institutions 130
 - revolts 107
- general responsibility 66–67, 67 (Table 1), 70, 129–30
- general/special responsibility 66–67 (Table 1)
- genocidal mobs 9, 140
- Germany, Berlin Wall 137–38
- Germany, HR principles 27

Index

183

- Germany, Nazi 47–48
 see also Holocaust
- global governance
 - ethics 1
 - public and private literature 118
- global institutions, non-state
 - representatives 130
- global resource extraction 134
- global rule of law 37, 55, 57, 60
- globalisation
 - Amnesty International 90
 - violence, monopolising 144
- good of society 128, 133
- Good Samaritans 76–77
- Goodin, R.E. 99
- goods *see* collective goods; empirical
 - collective goods; objects,
 abstract and material; public
 goods
- Google 2, 147
- governance
 - global 1, 118
 - public and private literature 118
 - respecting human rights 129
 - state–non-state assemblages 24
 see also institutions of authority;
 regulation
- governments
 - Brazil 149
 - as bureaucratic committees 131
 - limiting regulation 29
 - role in violations 23
- Griffin, J. 70–71
- Grudge Informers 46–47
 - accountability 48
 - agent-relative reasons for action 53
 - Grudge-Informer-type intervention
 47
 - individual capacity 48
 - responsibility to refrain from
 harming 78, 129
- Guidelines for Multinational
 Enterprises (OECD) 30
- Guiding Principles *see* UN Guiding
 Principles on Human Rights
- Guinea 25
- Habermas, J. 131
- Habermasian model 134
- harm, responsibility to refrain from
 see responsibilities to refrain
 from harming
- harming human rights *see* violating
 human rights
- Hart, H.L.A. 44
- higher-order principles and values
 140–41
- Holocaust 16, 23, 78
- horizontalism 27
- host communities *see* communities
- hostage-taking 25
- house-arrest 24
- human rights
 - and human rights responsibilities
 62–63, 148
 - and international law 26, 49
 - vs. normative rights 7–8, 70
- human rights discussions, nature of 8
- human rights activists
 - Europe 55
 - Shell 19
 - Vale Brazil 25
- human rights law, international 26, 49
- human rights policy *see* business and
 human rights policy
- human rights practice 63–77, 160
 - list of current practices 159
 - methods to locate 77
 - ‘What is Enlightenment?’ 142–43
- human rights principles, application
 methods 27
- human rights responsibilities 71
 - vs. general responsibility 70
 - vs. legal duties 54–55
 - vs. other types of responsibility
 110–11
 - starting point for discussions about 22
 see also conflation of
 responsibilities
- human rights responsibilities theory,
 necessary criteria 6, 91
- Human Rights USA 17
- human rights violations
 - criminal offences 27
 - governments’ roles 23
 - human rights advocates policy/
 action guidance 52
 - responsibilities 63

- human rights violations (cont.)
 - state and non-state actors 24
 - victims 115–16, 149–50
- human rights vs. human rights
 - responsibilities 1, 7
- humanitarianism 64, 159
- Hume, D. 85
- hunger strikes 76
- Hurd, I. 41
- ICC (International Criminal Court) 12, 26, 111
- ICISS (International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty), *Responsibility to Protect* 46, 123
- ideal states 46, 92–93
- ideal-theory mode 5
- ideal-theory mode (capacity approach) 91, 156
 - and non-ideal 91–94, 101, 114, 156
 - sovereignty 123
 - see also* non-ideal theory mode
- ideal-theory mode (publicness approach) 118, 149
- identity/identities 8–9
 - abandoning 136, 139
 - company, nature of 84
 - constructivism 11
 - disclosure of 38–39
 - individual, separation from 139
 - insider identity 126–27
 - moral agents 136, 139
 - multiple 136
 - political 80
 - political, shared 66
 - vs. roles 8–9
 - salient 137
 - social 84
 - vs. social roles 135
 - see also* insider/outsider status
- imperfect states 13
 - Rawls' theories 46
 - rules to cater for 45–46
 - see also* burdened societies; delinquent states; non-ideal states; outlaw states; quasi-states; states; weak states
- incorporation 50
- India, Bhopal, Union Carbide gas leak 21–22
- indigenous people 24–26, 130, 132
- individual-like transnational corporations, vs. state-like 47–49, 60–61, 154
- individualists, methodological 141
- individuals 27, 136–37
 - agential costs 108–9
 - autonomy 108, 137–38
 - monarchs 114, 144
 - multiple identities 136
 - non-natural persons 85
 - political responsiveness 130
 - public vs. private agents 138
 - publicness 136, 139
 - role abandonment 137–38
 - self (individual self) 8, 85, 140–41
 - stateless 126–27
 - see also* public leaders; TNCs as individuals
- individuals vs. corporate entities 49, 60–61, 139
- individuals vs. state, human rights standards 60–61
- information-technology companies 161
 - freedom of expression 123
 - see also* Google; Lavabit; Yahoo
- inner/outer spheres of ethical life 85
- insider/outsider status
 - delinquent states 59
 - of individuals 117, 125–26
 - rule of law problem 59
 - state decisions on 125–26
 - TNC decisions on 125–28
 - of TNCs 47, 49, 52, 154
- institutional capacity 94
- institutionalism, liberal 11
- institutionalist theories of rights 54
- institutions of authority
 - as corporate agents 143
 - delivering outcomes 72
 - fallibility 111
 - mainstream assumptions about 58
 - non-state representatives 130
 - objects needed 72
 - political institutions 111, 143
 - political responsiveness 128
 - respecting human rights 129

Index

185

- inter-governmental organisations 160
- interest theories of rights 54
- internal sovereignty 41
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 26
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 26
- International Criminal Court (ICC) 12, 26, 111
- international criminal law 26, 49
 - corporate entities vs. individual persons 49
- international human rights, current purpose 79
- international human rights law 26, 49, 55, 59
 - academic analyses 1, 26–30, 152
 - ATCA-style legislation 22, 152
 - corporate entities vs. individual persons 49
 - global rules 37, 55, 57, 60
 - philosophy 1
 - policy perspective 4, 31, 59
 - Ruggie, J. 65
 - TNCs and human rights 4
 - see also* extraterritoriality
- international-interventionism 47
- International Labour Organization (ILO) 30
- international political theory 1, 11–15
 - typical definitions 11–12
 - working definition 12–15
- international public policy, ATCA-style legislation 22, 152
- international relations, binding agreements with TNCs 93
- internet companies
 - delinquent states 107–8
 - freedom of expression 123, 125, 161
 - responsibilities 161
 - surveillance roles 39
 - see also* Google; Lavabit; Yahoo; Yahoo China; ‘Yeehaw China’
- internet surveillance 23, 39
 - see also* Yahoo; ‘Yeehaw China’
- invasions 108–9, 129
- investment agreements
 - neo-liberalism 28, 93
 - TNCs (transnational corporations) 93
- Iraq, invasion of 129
- Ireland, non-state actors 27
- Jackson, R. 93, 122, 131
- juridical sovereignty
 - definition 123
 - vs. empirical sovereignty 123
- international law 123
 - not providing empirical collective goods 124
 - protect and provide 123
- jurisdictions
 - effect on legal assignments 27
 - jurisdiction levels 25
 - positive law 27
 - sovereign 50
 - TNC mobility 28–29
 - TNC structures 45
 - and transnationality 49–52
- Justiça nos Trilhos 132
- justice, social, corporate functions 29
- Kant, I. 45, 78, 136–43
- Kantian constructivism 137
- kidnappings 18, 24
- Kiobel, Barinem 18
- Kiobel, Esther 19
- Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.* 19–21, 29, 51, 153–54
- Kolstad, I. 90
- Kpuinen, John 18
- Krasner, S.D. 41
- Kuper, A. 129–30
- labour standards
 - forced labour 17, 21, 107
 - International Labour Organization 30
 - Southeast Asia 29
 - sweatshops 29
 - Vale Brazil 25
- Lavabit 23, 147
- law
 - benefits of 44
 - and economic power 25
 - international criminal law 49

- see also* company law;
 extraterritorial law;
 international human rights law;
 rule of law; rule of law problem;
 tort responsibility
- law of nations 18, 20, 153
 - see also* Alien Tort Claims Act
- legal duties, vs. human rights
 - responsibilities 54–55
- legal institutions, fallibility 111
- legal obligations
 - jurisdiction levels 25
 - rural communities 25–26
- legal personalities, transnational 50
- legal-positivist approach, mainstream
 - view on states 2, 92
- legal precedents, accountability 22
- legal systems
 - vs. non-legal political rule 44
 - territorial 51
 - see also* international human rights law; jurisdictions
- legal theory 1, 3
- legalistic theory 3
- legality 44
- legislation, ATCA-style 22, 152
- legitimate authority 58–59, 128, 137–39
 - political–sociological perspectives 143–44
 - see also* de facto political authority; political authority
- legitimate migrations 125
- liability, limited 139
- liberal-democratic ideal, political
 - responsiveness 133–34
- liberal institutionalism 11
- liberty rights 73–74
 - arbitrary detention 75
 - protect and provide 73–74
 - refraining from harm 74
 - universal duties 75
 - vs. welfare rights 74
- limited liability 139
- living standards, raising 123
- loss of human life 18, 21, 25, 107
- lower-level rules, practices and
 - decision-making procedures 140
- Luban, D. 63
- Marxist perspectives 131
 - see also* neo-Marxist perspectives
- material objects *see* objects, abstract and material
- media companies 161
- methodological individualists 141
- methods to locate human rights
 - practice(s) 77
- migrant workers 84, 96, 125
- military forces
 - conscript military vs. volunteer military 108–9
 - invasion defence 108–9
 - mainstream assumptions 24
 - militias 132
 - see also* policing; private security operations
- military regimes 19, 21
- Miller, D. 106, 128
- mining companies 24–26, 55, 127
 - see also* *Adams v. Cape; Vale Brazil*
- Mobil, threats to 18
- monarchs 114, 131, 144
- money *see* settlements
- monism (values) 160
- moral agency
 - changing thresholds 64
 - corporate 8–11, 85
 - higher thresholds 10, 64, 82, 85
 - Kantian standard 140–41
- moral agency, minimal thresholds
 - 10–11
 - criteria 64
 - discretionary duties 10
 - protect and provide 11
 - responsibilities 63
- moral agents
 - agent-neutral reasons for action 67–69, 68 (Table 2), 79–80,
 - agent-relative reasons for action 42, 53, 67–69, 68 (Table 2)
 - anarchist perspective 42
 - breaching responsibilities 79, 81, 85
 - duties 47
 - higher-order/lower-order attributes 140–41
 - individual residents 46–47
 - multiple 132–34, 149
 - non-physical agents 23, 107

Index

187

- role-independent 136, 144–45
- roles and autonomy 138–42
- roles and identities 139–40
- social stigma 112
- sovereign agents 101
- state vs. non-state actors 22
- TNCs as 47, 69, 141
- TNCs vs. states as 3
 - see also* agential costs; duty-bearers; responsibility-bearers
- moral judgements, quality of 146
- moral philosophy 155
 - agential costs 108–9
 - corporate social responsibility (CSR) 140
 - ‘do no harm’ discussion 78, 82
 - human rights vs. human rights responsibilities 1
 - material and abstract objects 7
 - moral importance 104–6
 - objects, abstract and material 8
 - responsibility to respect 64
 - universality, of human rights 62–63
 - views on military 108
 - see also* ethics
- moral responsibilities
 - capacity approach 114
 - and objects of fundamental moral importance 7
 - public vs. private agents 138
- Movement for the Emancipation of the Niger Delta 132
- Movement for the Survival of the Ogoni People 132
- movement, freedom of 125, 127
- Mozambique, Vale Brazil 25
- multiple identities, individuals with 136
- multiple moral agents 132–34, 149
- Myanmar (Burma) 21, 127
- NAAC (North American Asbestos Corporation) 49–52
- NATO 109, 160
- natural gas, *Doe v. Unocal* 21
- Nazi Germany 47–48
 - see also* Holocaust
- negative duties 65, 75, 83
- neo-liberalism, investment agreements 28, 93
- neo-Marxist perspectives, political responsiveness 131, 134
- New York courts 18–19
- news reports, BBC 2, 19, 23
 - Tiananmen Square uprising 17
- NGOs *see* non-governmental organisations
- Nickel, J.W. 64
- Niger Delta oil companies
 - brute costs 107
 - collective goods 123–25
 - insider/outsider status 127
 - political responsiveness 130, 132
 - political roles 145–46
 - private security operations 18–21, 24, 124
 - senior management 24
 - TNC sponsorships 127, 133
 - universal responsibilities 87
 - see also* *Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.*; *Shell*; *Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Company*
- Nigerian government
 - Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.* 153
 - publicness threshold 149
- Nigerian military 19
- political responsiveness 132
- Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Company (Shell)* 131
- Nigerian society, political responsiveness 132
- Nigerian state
 - bureaucracy 24
 - criminal law 27
 - political responsiveness 132
- non-discretionary duties 10, 40
 - complexity 60
 - delinquency 56
 - vs. discretionary 45, 53–54
 - moral choice 53
 - point of 58
 - vs. profit motives 113
 - role-holders as role-holders 138
 - rule of law 56
 - transnationality 55
 - universality/specificity 65

- non-governmental organisations (NGOs)
 - Amnesty International 160
 - ATCA-style legislation 22, 152
 - European campaigns 22
 - perspectives 64
 - political responsiveness 131–32
 - Shi Tao case (China) 17
 - UN Norms 32
 - see also* civil-society organisations
- non-ideal states 46, 92–93
 - see also* delinquent states; imperfect states; weak states
- non-ideal theory mode, definition 5
- non-ideal theory mode (capacity approach) 91, 102, 114, 156, 159
 - brute costs 107
 - and ideal theory 91–94, 101, 114, 156
 - need for 91
 - primary political roles 157–59
 - and publicness approach 151, 157–58
 - Shell 102
 - Vale Brazil 102
 - see also* ideal-theory mode
- non-ideal theory mode (publicness approach) 118, 149–51, 157–58
- non-legal political rule 44
- non-natural persons 85
- non-physical agents 23, 107
- non-political roles, definition 150
- non-responsiveness, political responsiveness 130
- non-state actors 26
 - analysis of responsibility 3
 - capacities 1
 - complicity with the state 23
 - criminal offences 27
 - dualities 161
 - Ireland 27
 - legal obligations 37
 - mainstream assumptions 93
 - mainstream capacity assumptions 93
 - other than TNCs 161
 - positive law 27
 - roles 1
- non-state authority 1
- non-state vs. state actors
 - capacity principle 99
 - positive law 27–28
- non-state vs. state functions 24, 29
- normative questions 12–15
- normative responsibilities, associated with normative rights 71
- normative rights
 - agent-neutrality 80
 - vs. human rights 7–8, 70
 - and human rights responsibilities 8
 - multiple duty-bearers 134, 149
 - vs. normative responsibilities 71
 - responsibilities associated with 72, 74
 - universalist theory/universalism 70–72
 - see also* objects, abstract and material
- normative sovereignty 46
- normative standards, shifts in 123
- Nuate, Felix 18
- Nussbaum, M.C. 70
- objects, abstract and material (of normative rights)
 - current view of 69
 - delivering outcomes 72
 - important 7, 155
 - moral philosophy 8
 - and moral responsibilities 7
 - need for institutions 72
 - ‘ought to have’ list 70–72, 155
 - universal duties 78
- Ogoni people
 - collective responsibility for HR violations against 130
 - Movement for the Survival of 132
- Olympic Games, 2012 98
- O’Neill, O. 7, 69, 72–73, 99
- order (collective goods) 121, 123
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) 30
- outlaw states 46
- Palestinian Authority 160
- Pará *see* Carajás, Brazil
- parent companies
 - insiders/outside, political 52
 - transnationality and jurisdiction 9, 22, 45, 49–52, 55
 - see also* Yahoo; ‘Yeehaw’

Index

189

- parental responsibilities 67 (Table 1),
100–2, 150
- persons, non-natural 85
- philosophical perspectives *see* moral
philosophy; political philosophy
- philosophy of international law 1
- Pinochet, Augusto, President of Chile
138
- piracy 18, 20
- pluralism (values) 160
- Pogge, T. 47, 65, 69, 75, 77, 82, 90,
128–29, 142
- policing
 - G4S, 2012 Olympics 98
 - Niger Delta 87, 102, 123–24
 - private security operations 87, 123
 - public policing 102
 - public vs. private 124
 - spy police 24
 - see also* military forces;
surveillance
- policy agenda/projects *see* business
and human rights policy; future
analysis and research
- political authority
 - accepting 145–47
 - anarchist perspective 42–43
 - blurring of boundaries 133
 - delinquent states 60
 - domestic politics 41
 - erosion of sovereign authority 41
 - evolution of state-centred 144
 - gaps/unanswered questions 41
 - vs. individual discretion 42
 - institutional assumptions 58
 - legitimate 58–59, 137–39
 - non-state 1
 - public vs. private agents 133
 - quality of moral judgements 146
 - Raz-based account 43–44
 - and social contexts 43
 - state-non-state assemblages 24
 - TNCs in a weak state 145
 - Waldron account 44–45
 - see also* sovereign authority
- political community membership 30,
117, 125–28
- political identity *see* insider/outsider
status
- political insiders 52, 59
see also insider/outsider status
- political institutions
 - as corporate agents 143
 - fallibility 111
- political membership 30, 117, 125–28
- political philosophy 2
 - conflation of responsibilities 111
 - human rights vs. human rights
responsibilities 1
 - legitimate authority 58–59
 - role of 42
 - views on military 108
- political power, of TNCs 26, 28–29
- political representation
 - conflation of theories 130
 - vs. political responsiveness 129–30
 - public leaders 128
 - public representatives 129
- political responsiveness 117, 134–35
 - collective goods 128–35
 - environmental interests 134
 - general responsibility vs. specific
responsibility 129–30
 - ignoring host communities 133–34
 - issues 130
 - liberal-democratic ideal 133–34
 - multiple moral agents 132–34
 - Niger Delta oil companies 130
 - non-responsiveness 130
 - vs. political representation 129–30
 - protestors 133–34
 - punishing protestors 133
 - spheres of influence 133
- political roles 136, 142–48
 - accepting political authority 145–48
 - publicness 117
 - responsibility to respect 146–47
 - TNCs 145–46
 - TNC sponsorship 125, 148
 - weak states 145
 - withdrawing from political authority
146–48, 150
- political rule, non-legal 44
- political-sociological perspectives,
legitimate authority 143–44
- politics (domestic), TNC involvement
30
- positive duties 65, 75

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-03788-5 - Responsibility for Human Rights: Transnational Corporations
in Imperfect States

David Jason Karp

Index

[More information](#)

190

Index

- positive law
 - case for ignoring 47, 147
 - jurisdictions 27
 - state vs. non-state actors 27–28
 - Yahoo China 96, 147
 - ‘Yeehaw’ 41
- poverty, freedom from 74–75, 90
- power, economic 25, 90
- power of TNCs 26, 28–29
- Price, R. 23
- prima facie* responsibilities 66–67, 89, 129
- primary political roles 143, 157
 - definition 150
 - non-ideal theory 157–59
 - political theory 99
 - Shell in the Niger Delta 145
 - state losing its 144
 - withdrawal from role 145, 149
- primary violators, mainstream
 - assumptions 22, 24
- prisoners, autonomy 76
- prisoners, without food 74–77
- privacy rights 161
- private and public functions,
 - boundaries 29
- private owners vs. public corporations 139
- private security operations
 - G4S, 2012 Olympics 98
 - Niger Delta oil companies 18–21, 24, 87, 123
- private vs. public agents 116–17, 161
 - Augusto Pinochet 138
 - policing 124
- private vs. public authority 118–20
 - blurring of boundaries 133
 - privatisation 29
 - state–non-state assemblages 24
- privatisation 29
- privileged groups 67 (Table 1)
- profit motives
 - CSR discourse 140
 - ethical self 85
 - foreign investment 29
 - historical perspectives 28
 - home-based companies 30
 - imperfect political contexts 26
 - vs. non-discretionary duties 113
 - shareholders 53, 85, 140, 145
- Shell 145
- tax jurisdictions 29
- TNC brute costs 107
- type-2 errors 113
- promises 67 (Table 1)
- Protect, Respect and Remedy*,
 - (Ruggie, J.) *see* Ruggie, J.;
 - Ruggie reports on business and human rights
- protect-respect-fulfil trichotomy 64
- protecting human rights
 - idea behind 63–64
 - Protect, Respect and Remedy* 33–34
 - Rawls theory 46
 - Responsibility to Protect* (ICISS) 46
 - UN Guiding Principles 4–5
 - see also* responsibilities to protect and provide
- protesters
 - anti-globalisation 24
 - collective public resistance 133–34
 - costs borne by 107
 - ignoring 133–34
 - political responsiveness 133
 - punishing 133
 - Vale Brazil, railway accidents 25
 - see also* activists; political responsiveness
- public actors 117
- public corporations vs. private owners 139
- Public Eye Awards, Vale Brazil 25
- the public (general public)
 - best interests of 133
 - collective responsibility 129–30
 - common conception of good 128
 - dissidents/activists 107
 - institutions 130
 - revolts 107
- public goods 120–21
 - examples 120–21
 - production 121
 - protection 25
 - provision 25–26, 121
 - Vale, Brazil 25–26
 - working definition 122
 - see also* collective goods; empirical collective goods; objects, abstract and material

Index

191

- public governance structures
 - see* institutions of authority
- public interests 128, 133
- public leaders
 - Augusto Pinochet 138
 - political representation 128
 - role vs. private individual 138
- public of private people 131, 134
- public policy, international, ATCA-style legislation 22, 152
- public-private surveillance project 23
- public programmes, TNC taxation 29
- public resistance 133–34
 - see also* political responsiveness; protesters; revolts; Tiananmen Square
- public roles 149
 - see also* autonomy; political roles; socially constructed roles
- public vs. private agents 116–17, 161
 - Augusto Pinochet 138
 - policing 124
- public vs. private authority 118–20
 - blurring of boundaries 133
 - privatisations 29
 - state-non-state assemblages 24
- public vs. private functions 29
- publicness approach 4, 6, 117–18, 156–58, 161
 - and abstract collective goods 135
 - assessment of 148–51
 - vs. capacity approach 114–15, 118, 150, 158
 - collective goods version 117
 - empirical collective goods 117, 122–25, 148
 - human rights regime 135
 - ideal and non-ideal, distinguishing 135
 - ideal-theory mode 118, 149
 - non-ideal theory mode 118, 149–51, 157–58
 - objections to 151, 158–60
 - political membership 117, 125–28
 - political roles 117, 142–48
 - public actors 117
 - public vs. private agents 116–17
 - responsibility to respect 149–50
 - role version 117, 135–38
 - short-term vs. long-term 158
 - socially constructed roles 117, 135–38
 - state-centrism 135
 - strands of 117
 - and TNCs 157
 - see also* political responsiveness
- punishing protestors 133
- punishing states financially 139
- purpose method, to locate human rights practices 77
- quasi-states 93, 122–23
- railways, mining company 25
- rainforest 26, 123
 - see also* Amazon region
- rape 21
- Rawls, J. 14, 30, 46, 120, 128–29, 137
- Raz, J. 43–44, 58, 71–72, 132
- realism 11
- reasons for action, agent-neutral 67–69, 68 (Table 2), 79–80
- reasons for action, agent-relative 42, 53, 67–69, 68 (Table 2)
- reflective equilibrium 13–15
- refrain from harm, responsibility to
 - see* responsibilities to refrain from harming
- refraining from harm, international terminology 64
- regulation(s)
 - enforcement capacity 25
 - home-based companies 30
 - limiting 29
 - post state/TNC agreements 93
 - ‘rational but not reasonable’ 30
 - weaker levels 25
 - see also* delinquent states
- regulatory compliance, monitoring 32
- relative vs. absolute costs, agential costs 109
- relocation of communities, forced 21, 25, 127
- remedial responsibility
 - fallibility 111–12
 - vs. human rights responsibilities 110–11
 - type-2 errors 113

- remedy 34
 - see also* settlements
- research, future 91, 136, 154, 160–61
- residency 50–51
- residents, individual, responsibilities 46–47
- resistance, collective public 133–34
 - see also* political responsiveness; protesters; revolts; Tiananmen Square
- resource extraction 134
 - see also* mining companies
- respect
 - duties to 77
 - international terminology 64
 - official disrespect 129
 - responsibility 79–80
 - see also* responsibilities to refrain from harming, vs. respect; responsibilities to respect
 - respecting human rights (UNGP) 4–5
 - respecting normative rights
 - see* responsibilities to respect
 - responsibilities, breaching 79, 81, 87
 - responsibilities, conflation of
 - see* conflation of responsibilities
 - responsibilities, forms of 110–11
 - responsibilities, mainstream
 - assumptions about 65
 - responsibilities to refrain from harming, vs. respect 64
 - ‘do no harm’ discussion 78, 82
 - to human rights 82–87
 - inner and outer spheres of morality 86
 - UN Guiding Principles 82–87
 - responsibilities to shareholders
 - see* profit motives; shareholders
 - responsibility, assigning 6
 - to individuals 46–47
 - starting point 155
 - see also* accountability; agent-centred errors; victim-centred errors
 - responsibility-bearers
 - accepting public roles and responsibility 150
 - cost examinations 110
 - determining 64, 89
 - excessive costs 106–7
 - mainstream assumptions 121
 - multiple 132–34, 149
 - see also* agential costs; duty-bearers; moral agents
 - responsibility, epistemology of
 - see* epistemology of responsibility
 - responsibility for blame 110–13, 156
 - responsibility to protect and provide (for others’ normative rights) 11, 88, 155
 - causality/causation 75–77
 - juridical sovereignty 123
 - liberty rights 73–74
 - normative rights vs. duty 72
 - Responsibility to Protect* 123
 - and responsibility to refrain from harming 63–77, 87, 155
 - specific responsibility 72–79, 81 (Table 3)
 - TNCs 124–25
 - UN Guiding Principles 65
 - universal responsibility 73
 - weak states 124–25
 - welfare rights 73–74
 - see also* protecting human rights
 - responsibility to refrain from harming (others’ normative rights) 88, 155
 - delinquent states 155
 - importance to human rights 63–77
 - liberty rights 74
 - and responsibilities to protect and provide 87
 - TNCs 86, 155
 - as universal responsibilities 70–72, 81 (Table 3), 87
 - responsibility to respect human rights (UNGP) 4–5
 - responsibility to respect (others’ normative rights) 79, 81 (Table 3), 85
 - agential costs 109–10
 - breaching respect 85
 - discretionary responsibilities 146
 - moral agency 64
 - political roles 146–47
 - publicness approach 149–50
 - specific vs. universal responsibilities 63, 81 (Table 3)

Index

193

- universal responsibility 81 (Table 3)
 see also responsibilities to refrain
 from harming, *vs.* respect
- Responsibility to Protect* (ICISS) 46, 123
- responsive actors 130
- responsiveness *see* political
 responsiveness
- revolts 107
 see also public resistance;
 Tiananmen Square
- right-holders, discretion 54
- right to food 64, 74–77
- right to freedom from arbitrary
 detention 75
- right to freedom from poverty 74–75,
 90
- right to freedom of expression 123, 125,
 161
- right to freedom of movement 125, 127
- right to have rights 78, 125
- right to liberty *see* liberty rights
- right to privacy 161
- Rights of Man 77
- risk management
 cost levels 113–14
 fallibility of institutions 111–12
 UN Guiding Principles 34
 see also agent-centred errors;
 victim-centred errors
- risks facing activists 107
- Roberts, Chief Justice, *Kiobel v. Royal
 Dutch Petroleum Co.* 20, 51
- role abandonment, by the individual
 137–38
- role-based capacity 99–103
- role-holders as role-holders 137–39
- role-independent moral agents 136,
 144–45
- role responsibility
 Kantian constructivism 137
 term 137
- roles (publicness approach) 117
 abandoning 136
 autonomy strand 136, 138–42
 vs. identities 8–9
 non-state actors 1
 and the publicness approach 135–38
 and responsibilities, International
 Relations (IR) scholarship 135
 see also political roles
- Royal Dutch Shell *see* *Kiobel v. Royal
 Dutch Petroleum Co.*; Shell;
 *Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum
 Company*
- Ruggie, J. (UN Representative) 1,
 33–34
 14, Principle 12 84
 17, Principle 18 84
 official mandate 33, 65
 refrain from harm discussion
 82–84
 state-centrism constraint 65
 UN Guiding Principles 152
 UN Human Rights Council 4, 33
 UN Norms 33–34
 unofficial mandate 33
- Ruggie reports on business and human
 rights 33
 (2007) Mapping International
 Standards 28, 32–33
 (2008a) ‘Sphere of Influence’ and
 ‘Complicity’ concepts 31,
 33–34, 133
 (2008b) ‘Protect, Respect and
 Remedy’ Framework 1, 4,
 33–34, 62, 82, 124
 (2011) ‘Protect, Respect and Remedy’
 Framework implementation 4,
 33–34, 36, 62, 82–84, 86
 (2013) ‘Just Business’ 1, 33, 84
- rule, non-legal political 44
- rule of law
 benefits of 44, 46
 circumventing states 154
 global 55, 57
 jurisdictions 51
 public goods 120
- rule of law problem 37, 56, 60
- delinquency 58
- legitimate authority 59, 137–39
 see also international human
 rights law; jurisdictions;
 transnationality
- rules, legal character of 44–45
- rural areas/communities 24–26, 133
- Saro-Wiwa, Ken 18, 87, 124, 130
 see also *Wiwa v. Royal Dutch
 Petroleum Co.*
- Sassen, S. 24, 144

- Schneewind, J.B. 85
- schools
 - privately funded 124
 - as public goods 120
 - TNC sponsored 25, 126–27
- secondary political agents 157–58
 - TNCs as 146
- secondary political roles 143, 149–50
- security (as collective good) 121, 123, 125
 - public goods 122
- security measures
 - anti-terrorism 39–40
 - Myanmar (Burmese) military 21
 - policing 124
 - surveillance 23
- security provision 24
 - see also* policing
- security threats, Niger Delta oil companies 18
- self (individual self) 8
 - higher-order/lower-order attributes 140–41
 - TNCs 85
- settlements (through legal action)
 - Shell 19
 - Unocal 21
 - Yahoo 18–19
- shareholders
 - balancing shareholder interests 53, 113, 146
 - corporate social responsibility (CSR) 53
 - operational decisions 10, 86
 - profit motives 53, 85, 140, 145
 - unwilling to sacrifice profit motives 146
- Shell
 - capacity approach 102
 - lawsuits 2
 - Nigerian military 131
 - non-ideal theory 102
 - political responsiveness 130
 - political roles 145
 - primary political roles 145
 - profit motives 145
 - responsibilities 87
 - as a state-like TNC 154
 - threats to 18
 - see also* *Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.*; Niger Delta oil companies; *Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Company*
- Shell UK, cases against 18
- Shi Tao case (China) 2, 17
- short-term vs. long-term type-2 errors 151
- Shue, H. 64, 70, 72, 78, 99, 142
- Singer, P. 104
- Snowdon, Edward case (USA) 23
- social contexts, and authority 43
- social justice, corporate functions 29
- social responsibility *see* corporate social responsibility
- social rights 25–26
- social stigma 112
- socially constructed roles 117, 135–38
- society of societies 12
- society, the good of 128, 133
- socio-economic rights *see* welfare rights
- South Africa, *Adams v. Cape* 49
- South East Asia, sweatshops 29
- South, global 131
- Southeast Asia, working conditions 29
- sovereign agents 101
- sovereign authority 25, 60, 93
 - blurred boundaries 24, 29
 - erosion of 41
 - ignoring for principled reasons 47–48, 52
 - interventions in 46–48
 - invasion by a foreign power 108–9, 129
 - losing primary political role 144
 - when to disregard 46–47
 - see also* de facto political authority; political authority; weak states
- sovereign rules 47, 60
- sovereign states *see* delinquent states; imperfect states; state-centrism; states; weak states
- sovereignty
 - collective goods 120–22
 - colonialism 122–23, 154
 - descriptive 45–46
 - domestic sovereignty 121
 - ideal-theory 123
 - International Relations theory 41–42

Index

195

- jurisdictions 50
- normative 45–46
- political theory, international 1
- relationship with human rights 1
- shifts in meaning 122–25
- spheres of influence, TNCs 101
- unique rights 41
- Soviet regime (1980s) 144
- special responsibility 66–67, 67 (Table 1)
- specific responsibility 67 (Table 1),
66, 69, 68 (Table 2), 81 (Table 3)
vs. general responsibility 129–30
- specific responsibility-bearers 129
- spheres of influence (TNCs)
complicity with the state 31
- political responsiveness 133–34
- sovereignty 101
- UN Global Compact 31
- UN Norms 32
- sponsorships *see* TNC sponsorships
- spy police 24
- standard of civilisation 122
- standard of living, raising 123
- state-centric account 2
vs. universalist account 2–4
- state-centrism
capacity assumptions 92–93
- evolution of state-centred authority
144
- human rights regime 135
- institutional capacity, assumptions
94
- mainstream assumptions 22, 92–94,
121
- publicness approach 135
see also Habermasian model;
liberal-democratic ideal;
neo-Marxist perspectives;
sovereignty; Weberian
perspectives
- state-controlled media 123
- state-like transnational corporations
37, 161
choosing legal duties 28
vs. individual-like 47–49, 60–61, 154
vs. individuals 48, 60–61
Shell 154
- state–non-state assemblages 24
- state vs. individual 60–61
- state vs. non-state actors
capacity principle 99
positive law 27–28
- statelessness 126–27
- states (territories) 13
abstract collective goods 125
capacity to enforce regulations 25
criminal offences 27
duty to protect human rights 33
ideal vs. non-ideal 46, 92–93
vs. individuals 27, 60–61, 136–37
insider/outsider status 125–26
Kantian perspective 136
modern 125–28
responsibilities 29
supra-states 43
UN Guiding Principles 4
UN Norms 32
see also burdened societies;
delinquent states; imperfect
states; non-ideal states; outlaw
states; quasi-states; weak states
- states vs. corporations 48
UNGP view of 4, 33
- statistical language 111
see also agent-centred errors;
victim-centred errors
- Steinhardt, R.G. 30
- Stone, C.D. 139
- Strange, S. 41
- subsidiary companies
China 2
delinquent states 107–8
economic and political roles 145
insiders/outsiders, political 52
transnationality and jurisdiction
9, 22, 45, 49–52, 55
see also Yahoo China; ‘Yeehaw
China’
- suicide 45
see also hunger strikes
- supra-states 43
- Supreme Court *see* US Supreme Court
- surveillance 23, 39
see also Yahoo; ‘Yeehaw China’
- sweatshops 29
- Taliban 109
- Tamil Tigers 160

- taxation, TNC mobility 29
- Taylor, C. 10, 42
- territorial disputes (state level) 160
- territory, role of 128
- terrorist acts, fear of 39–40
- Texas courts, transnationality 49–52
- Thailand, Yadana gas pipeline 21
- theories of political representation, conflation of 130
- Thomson, J.E. 144
- Tiananmen Square, China 17, 133
- Tibetans 160
- TNCs *see* transnational corporations
- tort responsibility 87
 - Alien Tort Claims Act 17–18, 56, 152
 - Alien Tort Statute 20
 - see also* Alien Tort Claims Act
- torture
 - accountability 26
 - non-physical agents 107
 - risk of 107
 - Shell, in the Niger Delta 87
 - spy police 24
 - Viza, Michael Temor 19
 - Wang Xiaoning case (China) 17
 - would-be torturers 53
 - Yadana gas pipeline 21
- Total 21
- transnational, term 28
- transnational corporations (TNCs)
 - circumstances for responsibility 154
 - corporate and social functions 29
 - dualities 47, 161
 - duty to protect 33–34
 - economic role 145–46
 - higher-level values/lower-level rules 140–41
 - higher-order, private selves 140
 - individuals within 48–49
 - investment agreements 93
 - as non-state actors 27–30
 - parents and subsidiaries 45
 - standing up to the state 47
 - state regulation 30
 - UN Guiding Principles 4
 - UN Norms 32
 - war situations 49
- TNC sponsorships 125–27, 145–46, 148
 - Brazilian Amazon / Vale 126–27, 133
 - Niger Delta 127, 133
 - political roles 125, 148
 - schools 25, 126–27
- TNCs as individual-like entities 154
 - vs. state-like 49
- TNCs as individuals 48–49, 60–61
- TNCs as state-like entities 37, 161
 - choosing legal duties 28
 - vs. individual-like 47–49, 60–61, 154
 - vs. individuals 48, 60–61
- Shell 154
- TNCs capacity to intervene in
 - sovereign states' affairs 47–48
- TNCs vs. states, as moral agents 3
- transnational duties, states 3
- transnational legal personalities 50
- transnationality 28, 49, 52
 - and discretion, 'Yeehaw' 52–55
 - and jurisdiction 49–52
 - Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.* 51
- TNCs 37
 - see also* extraterritoriality; international human rights law; jurisdictions; rule of law problem
- Tripartite Declaration of Principles Concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy (ILO) 30
- type-1 errors *see* agent-centred errors
- type-2 errors *see* victim-centred errors
- UDHR (United Declaration of Human Rights) 26
- UK (United Kingdom)
 - Adams v. Cape* 49–52
 - Companies Act 22
 - legal assignments 27
 - Parliament, political roles 144–45
 - private security operations 98
 - Terrorism Acts 39
 - transnationality and jurisdiction 49–52
 - see also* 'Yeehaw'; 'Yeehaw China'
- UN (United Nations) 160
- UN Global Compact 31–32, 157
- UN Guiding Principles on Human Rights (UNGPR) 4, 82–87
 - corporate responsibility 4–5, 82

Index

197

- duty to protect 65
- main weaknesses 152–53
- respect vs. not to harm 64, 82–87
- states vs. companies 33, 152–53
 - see also* Ruggie, J.
- UN Human Rights Council
 - endorsements 33
 - John Ruggie's work 1, 4, 33, 152
- UN Norms 31–33
 - John Ruggie 33
 - objections to 34, 41
- UNGP *see* Ruggie, J.; UN Guiding Principles on Human Rights
- Union Carbide, gas leak (1984), Amnesty International 21–22
- United Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) 26
- United States of America (USA)
 - as invader 109
 - legal assignments 27
 - private security operations 98
- universal responsibilities 4–5, 66–72
- universalist account
 - definition 2
 - vs. state-centric account 2–3
- universalist theory/universalism 3, 154–56
 - normative rights 70–72
 - responsibilities vs. rights 62–63, 69
 - TNCs 69
- Unocal 21, 127
- uprisings, Tiananmen Square 17, 133
- US National Security Agency 23
- US Supreme Court
 - and ATCA 152
 - Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.* 20, 51, 153
- utilitarianism 68, 78, 104–6 Table 2
 - capacity principle 113
- Vale Brazil (Companhia Vale do Rio Doce mining company) 25, 127
 - CSR strategy 133
 - non-ideal theory mode 102
- value method, to locate human rights practices 77
- value pluralism/monism 160
- values 24, 109–10, 141
- verticalism 27
- victim-centred errors (type-2 errors) 112
 - acceptability and desirability 141
 - vs. agent-centred errors 116, 150
 - autonomy 142
 - cost of not assigning responsibility 113
 - definition 6, 112
 - publicness 151, 158–60
 - remedial responsibility 113
 - roles and responsibilities 157
 - short-term vs. long-term 151
- victims
 - vs. agents 115–16, 149–50
 - ATCA (Alien Tort Claims Act) 152
 - see also* victim-centred errors; *specific cases*
- vigilantes 24
- violating human rights
 - criminal offences 27
 - sovereign authority 52
 - state and non-state actors 23–24
 - see also* responsibilities to refrain from harming; victim-centred errors; victims
- violators, primary, mainstream assumptions 22
- violence, monopolising 144
- virgin rainforest, Carajás, Brazil 26, 123
- Viza, Michael Temor 19
- voluntarism, effectiveness of 32
- volunteer militaries, vs. conscript military 108
- Waldron, J. 44–45, 47, 56, 79
- Wales, and England 27
- Walzer, M. 128
- Wang Xiaoning case (China) 17
- war crimes, accountability 26
- wars
 - TNC implications for 49
 - see also* invasions
- weak states 152, 154
 - Brazilian Amazon rain forest 123
 - empirical collective goods 124–25
 - political roles 145
 - TNC profit motives 145
 - TNCs and 124–25

- weak states (cont.)
 - Weberian perspectives 132–33
 - see also* delinquent states;
 - imperfect states; quasi-states
- Weber, M. 121–22, 124, 131
- Weberian perspectives 134
 - challenges to 122, 131
 - political responsiveness 131–33
 - publicness threshold 149
 - view of state 122, 134
- welfare rights 73
 - example 74
 - vs. liberty rights 74
 - poverty example 74–75
 - protect and provide responsibility 74
- well-ordered societies 128
- Wenar, L. 90, 99–100, 106, 108
- Western vs. non-Western judgements, fallibility 58
- ‘What is Enlightenment?’ (Kant, I. 1784) 136–43
- will theories of rights 54
- Williams, M.C. 24
- Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.* 18–19, 131
 - see also* Saro-Wiwa, Ken
- workforces
 - indigenous rural communities 24–26
 - local youths 24
 - responsibilities 86
 - TNC spheres of influence 133
- working conditions
 - forced labour 17, 21, 107
 - sweatshops 29
 - Vale Brazil 25
- World Organization for Human Rights 17
- World Summit, 2005 123
- World War I/II, legal impacts 26, 45, 78
- World War II 127
- Yahoo 17–18, 147
- Yahoo China
 - brute costs 23, 107
 - business and human rights policy 1
 - forced labour and torture 1
 - positive law 96
 - Shi Tao case 1, 17
 - type-1/type-2 errors 111–12
 - ‘Yeehaw’ example 38–40
 - see also* Shi Tao case; Wang Xiaoning case; ‘Yeehaw China’
 - ‘Yeehaw’ 38–40
 - ‘Yeehaw China’ 38–40
 - delinquency 55–59
 - discretion 40–41, 45, 52–54
 - ignoring sovereign rules 53
 - policy prescriptions 55
 - role based capacity 103
 - transnationality and discretion 52–55
 - transnationality and jurisdiction 52
- Young, I.M. 126
- young men, able-bodied 108–9
- youths, Niger Delta oil companies 24