

### The Cambridge Handbook of English Corpus Linguistics

The Cambridge Handbook of English Corpus Linguistics (CHECL) surveys the breadth of corpus-based linguistic research on English, including chapters on collocations, phraseology, grammatical variation, historical change, and the description of registers and dialects. The most innovative aspects of the CHECL are its emphasis on critical discussion, its explicit evaluation of the state of the art in each subdiscipline, and the inclusion of empirical case studies. While each chapter includes a broad survey of previous research, the primary focus is on a detailed description of the most important corpus-based studies in this area, with discussion of what those studies found, and why they are important. Each chapter also includes a critical discussion of the corpus-based methods employed for research in this area, as well as an explicit summary of new findings and discoveries.

DOUGLAS BIBER is Regents' Professor of Applied Linguistics in the English Department at Northern Arizona University.

RANDI REPPEN is Professor of Applied Linguistics in the English Department at Northern Arizona University.





### The Cambridge Handbook of English Corpus Linguistics

Edited by **Douglas Biber**and **Randi Reppen**Northern Arizona University





#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107037380

© Cambridge University Press 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printing in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd. Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

The Cambridge handbook of English corpus linguistics / edited by Douglas Biber and Randi Reppen

Northern Arizona University.

p. cm. - (Cambridge handbooks in language and linguistics) Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-03738-0 (hardback)

1. Corpora (Linguistics) 2. Linguistic analysis (Linguistics) 3. Computational linguistics. I. Biber, Douglas, editor. II. Reppen, Randi, editor. P128.C68C46 2015

420.1′88-dc23

2014035299

### ISBN 978-1-107-03738-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



We dedicate this handbook to the memory of Geoffrey Leech, one of the true pioneers of English corpus linguistics. Geoff contributed seminal research to all aspects of corpus linguistics, from corpus construction to corpus tagging and annotation, to the evaluation of corpus representativeness. But from our point of view, Geoff's most important contributions involved the application of corpus-based analysis to investigate linguistic research questions about language variation and use. Geoff contributed to nearly all major subdisciplines of English linguistics with such analyses, including pragmatics, stylistics, descriptive grammar, dialect differences, and historical change. For us personally, Geoff was a model, mentor, co-author, and friend. He will be sorely missed.





### Contents

| List of j | figures   | page ix    |
|-----------|---|------------|
| List of   | tables  | xi         |
| Major (   | corpora cited in the handbook                               | XV         |
| List of   | contributors  | xvii       |
| Introd    | luction Douglas Biber and Randi Reppen                      | 1          |
| Part I    | Methodological considerations                               | 9          |
| 1 Co      | orpora: an introduction Mark Davies                         | 11         |
| 2 Co      | omputational tools and methods for corpus compilation       |            |
| an        | d analysis Paul Rayson                                      | 32         |
| 3 Qı      | antitative designs and statistical techniques               |            |
| Ste       | efan Th. Gries  | 50         |
| Part II   | Corpus analysis of linguistic characteristics               | <b>7</b> 3 |
| 4 Di      | scourse intonation: a corpus-driven study of prominence on  | -          |
| pr        | onouns Winnie Cheng   | 75         |
| 5 Ke      | ywords Jonathan Culpeper and Jane Demmen                    | 90         |
| 6 Co      | ollocation Richard Xiao                                     | 106        |
| 7 Ph      | raseology Bethany Gray and Douglas Biber                    | 125        |
| 8 De      | escriptive grammar Geoffrey Leech                           | 146        |
| 9 Gr      | rammatical variation Daniela Kolbe-Hanna and Benedikt       |            |
| Szi       | mrecsanyi   | 161        |
| 10 Gr     | rammatical change Martin Hilpert and Christian Mair         | 180        |
| 11 Le     | xical grammar Susan Hunston                                 | 201        |
| 12 Us     | sing corpora in discourse analysis Alan Partington and Anna | ,          |
| Ma        | archi   | 216        |
| 13 Pr     | agmatics Brian Clancy and Anne O'Keeffe                     | 235        |
| 14 Hi     | storical pragmatics   | 252        |
|           |   |            |



### viii Contents

| Part III Corpus analysis of varieties                        | 269 |
|--|-----|
| 15 Spoken discourse Shelley Staples                          | 271 |
| 16 Corpora and written academic English Ken Hyland           | 292 |
| 17 Register variation Susan Conrad                           | 309 |
| 18 Diachronic registers Merja Kytö and Erik Smitterberg      | 330 |
| 19 Literary style and literary texts Michaela Mahlberg       | 346 |
| 20 Dialect variation Jack Grieve                             | 362 |
| 21 World Englishes Marianne Hundt                            | 381 |
| 22 New answers to familiar questions: English as a lingua    |     |
| franca Anna Mauranen, Ray Carey, and Elina Ranta             | 401 |
| 23 Learner language Gaëtanelle Gilquin and Sylviane Granger  | 418 |
| Part IV Other applications of corpus analysis                | 437 |
| 24 Vocabulary Ron Martinez and Norbert Schmitt               | 439 |
| 25 Lexicography and phraseology Magali Paquot                | 460 |
| 26 Classroom applications of corpus analysis Thomas Cobb and |     |
| Alex Boulton   | 478 |
| 27 Corpus versus non-corpus-informed pedagogical materials:  |     |
| grammar as the focus Fanny Meunier and Randi Reppen          | 498 |
| 28 Translation Silvia Bernardini                             | 515 |
| References   | 537 |
| Index  | 618 |



## **Figures**

| 1.1.  | Decrease in for (as conjunction in COHA), 1810s–2000s        | 17  |
|-------|--|-----|
| 1.2.  | Decrease in <i>for</i> (as conjunction in COCA), 1990s–2012  | 17  |
| 1.3.  | "Snippet" view in Google (Web)                               | 20  |
| 1.4.  | Frequency chart in Google Books                              | 21  |
| 1.5.  | Lexical frequency: Sketch Engine                             | 23  |
| 1.6.  | Lexical frequency: Google Books (BYU)                        | 23  |
| 1.7.  | Word forms (*ism) in Google Books (BYU)                      | 23  |
| 1.8.  | Morphological variation in Google Books (BYU)                | 23  |
| 1.9.  | Probabilistic POS tagging in Google Books (BYU)              | 24  |
| 1.10. | Syntactic searches in Sketch Engine                          | 25  |
| 1.11. | Syntactic searches in Google Books (BYU)                     | 25  |
| 1.12. | Lexis of fiction and newspapers in COCA                      | 26  |
| 1.13. | *al.[j*]   | 26  |
| 1.14. | [vv*] to   | 26  |
| 1.15. | get passive  | 27  |
| 1.16. | quotative like: [c*] [p*] [be] like,                         | 27  |
| 1.17. | [be] real [j*] [y*]  | 27  |
| 1.18. | Collocates of <i>chair</i> in fiction and newspapers in COCA | 27  |
| 2.1.  | Concordance example for the word support                     | 40  |
| 3.1.  | Hypothetical and actual frequencies of the forms of GIVE in  |     |
|       | the ICE-GB and their relative entropies $(H_{\rm rel})$      | 52  |
| 3.2.  | The effect of variety on tense                               | 64  |
| 3.3.  | Cluster-analytic results for English consonant phonemes      |     |
|       | (from Gries 2013a)   | 69  |
| 4.1.  | Sample concordance lines for most + people                   | 87  |
| 4.2.  | Sample concordance lines for <i>just</i> + <i>only</i>       | 88  |
| 5.1.  | A keyword dispersion plot of Romeo and Juliet generated by   |     |
|       | WordSmith Tools (reproduced from Scott and Tribble 2006: 65) | 93  |
| 7.1.  | Number of distinct four-word combinations occurring at       |     |
|       | least once (with no dispersion restriction)                  | 140 |
|       |  |     |



x List of figures

| 7.2.   | Number of distinct four-word pattern types occurring at          |     |
|--------|--|-----|
|        | two frequency levels (range = 5 texts)                           | 141 |
| 7.3.   | Relationship between variability and predictability for          |     |
|        | 12*4 frames occurring more than 200 times per million            |     |
|        | words in academic prose  | 143 |
| 9.1.   | Projection of intercept adjustments to geography                 | 177 |
| 10.1.  | Possible results for analyses of the Brown family of corpora     | 183 |
| 10.2.  | The decline of the modal auxiliaries (based on Leech 2003:       |     |
|        | 228, table 3)  | 186 |
| 10.3.  | Absolute and normalized frequencies of new types with            |     |
|        | -ment in the OED   | 192 |
| 10.4.  | Changes in the expanding productivity of <i>-ment</i> in the OED |     |
|        | (Hilpert 2013: 131)  | 194 |
| 12.1.  | How the briefings participants refer to the Libyan               |     |
|        | administration in the first three months of 2011                 | 228 |
| 13.1.  | Concordance lines for mam in SettCorp (sorted 2R then 3R)        | 246 |
| 13.2.  | Functions of vocatives in Liveline and SettCorp                  |     |
|        | (normalized to 10,000 words)                                     | 248 |
| 14.1.  | General references in the text categories of EMEMT               |     |
|        | 1500–1700 (according to Taavitsainen 2009)                       | 266 |
| 15.1.  | Overall trends for stance features across phases and             |     |
|        | speaker groups   | 286 |
| 15.2.  | Frequency of modals used across phases and speaker groups        | 286 |
| 15.3.  | Frequency of stance adverbs used across phases and               |     |
|        | speaker groups   | 287 |
| 15.4.  | Frequency of stance complement clauses used across               |     |
|        | phases and speaker groups  | 290 |
| 17.1.  | Linguistic feature and text category emphases in                 |     |
|        | register variation studies                                       | 311 |
| 17.2.  | Register comparison along two dimensions                         |     |
|        | Dimension 1: involved vs. informational production               |     |
|        | Dimension 5: abstract/impersonal vs. non-impersonal style        | 325 |
| 20.1.  | Temporal variation in <i>not</i> contraction                     | 374 |
| 20.2.  | Gender variation in <i>not</i> contraction                       | 375 |
|        | Do not contraction   | 375 |
|        | Not contraction local spatial autocorrelation maps               | 377 |
|        | Not contraction clusters   | 378 |
|        | Regional variation in <i>not</i> contraction                     | 379 |
|        | Categorization of PP contexts (Davydova 2011: 124)               | 389 |
| 21.2a. | Relative frequency of PP and SP in the press section of          |     |
|        | ICE corpora (parsed)   | 394 |
| 21.2b. | PPs (frequency pmw) in the press sections of ICE corpora         |     |
|        | (parsed)   | 395 |
|        | PP vs. SP with selected verbs                                    | 396 |
| 21.3b. | PP vs. SP with selected verbs (active only)                      | 397 |



| List of figures  |            | xi |
|--|------------|----|
| 22.1 Polotive frequency may 100 000 years of cost of in the                              |            |    |
| 23.1. Relative frequency per 100,000 words of <i>sort of</i> in the                      | 432        |    |
| different subcorpora 23.2. Relative frequency per 100,000 words of <i>in fact</i> in the | 432        |    |
| different subcorpora   | 433        |    |
| 24.1. Entry for <i>game</i> in the West (1953) General Service List                      | 433<br>441 |    |
| 24.2. A sample of unedited 2–4 grams list derived from BNC                               | 453        |    |
| 24.3. Example of initial data deletion phase (faded <i>n</i> -grams                      | 455        |    |
| are deleted ones)  | 453        |    |
| 24.4. A sample from the first draft of the PHRASE List                                   | 455        |    |
| 24.5. A sample from a later revision of the PHRASE List                                  | 456        |    |
| 24.6. Example of integrated list of phrasal expressions and                              | 100        |    |
| single words   | 456        |    |
| 24.7. Sample of the PHRASE List with numerical genre-sensitive                           |            |    |
| frequency information  | 457        |    |
| 24.8. Genre-sensitive frequency information represented                                  |            |    |
| by system of symbols   | 458        |    |
| 25.1. A sample of the WordSketch for the verb <i>suggest</i>                             | 462        |    |
| 28.1. Box plots for <b>Adverb-Verb</b> (MI and LOG FQ)                                   | 532        |    |
| 28.2. Box plot for <b>Adverb-Adjective</b> (MI)  | 532        |    |
| 28.3. Box plot for <b>Adjective-Noun</b> (LOG FQ)  | 533        |    |
| 28.4. Box plot for <b>Noun</b> -(Preposition OR Conjunction)- <b>Noun</b>                |            |    |
| (LOG FQ)   | 533        |    |
| 28.5 Box plot for <b>Adverb-Verb</b> (MI)  | 534        |    |



### **Tables**

| 1.1. | Types of phenomena   | 13 |
|------|--|----|
| 1.2. | Frequency of different phenomena in COCA, BNC, and Brown         | 14 |
| 1.3. | Phenomena that can be researched with three text                 |    |
|      | archives / Web   | 19 |
| 1.4. | Frequency of very infrequent words in BNC, COCA, and             |    |
|      | three text archives / Web  | 20 |
| 1.5. | Phenomena that can be researched with two "hybrid" corpora       | 22 |
| 1.6. | Number of collocates in different corpora                        | 25 |
| 1.7. | Similarity of lexis in web-based GloWbE and genres               |    |
|      | in COCA and BNC  | 28 |
| 2.1. | Quantitative analysis of papers published in 2012                | 35 |
| 2.2. | Top 10 n-grams from Alice's Adventures in Wonderland             | 45 |
| 2.3. | C-gram tree view for and the                                     | 47 |
| 3.1. | The frequencies of several <i>n</i> -grams in the untagged Brown |    |
|      | corpus   | 54 |
| 3.2. | Damerau's (1993) relative frequency ratio                        | 55 |
| 3.3. | Schematic co-occurrence table of token frequencies for           |    |
|      | association measures   | 56 |
| 3.4. | Toy example for 3L-3R collocations of the with row and           |    |
|      | column entropies   | 57 |
| 3.5. | Co-occurrence table for of and course in the spoken component    |    |
|      | of the BNC   | 58 |
| 3.6. | The distribution of different types of NPs across                |    |
|      | subject/non-subject slots (Aarts 1971: table 4.5)                | 61 |
| 3.7. | Hundt and Smith's (2009) observed frequencies of English         |    |
|      | present perfects and simple pasts in LOB, FLOB, Brown,           |    |
|      | and Frown  | 63 |
| 3.8. | Dimensions of variation in Biber (1988)                          | 68 |
| 3.9. | Chi-squared values with 2 df for pairwise comparisons            |    |
|      | (Egan 2012: table 1)   | 70 |
|      |  |    |



|       | List of to  | ables | xiii |
|-------|---|-------|------|
| 4 1   | Personal pronouns in HKCSE (prosodic)                         | 85    |      |
|       | Possessive pronouns in HKCSE (prosodic)                       | 86    |      |
|       | Romeo's parts-of-speech rank-ordered for positive keyness     | 00    |      |
| 0.1.  | (i.e. relative overuse)                                       | 102   |      |
| 5.2   | Romeo's semantic categories rank-ordered for positive         | 102   |      |
| 0.2.  | keyness (i.e. relative overuse)                               | 104   |      |
| 6.1   | Contingency table   | 111   |      |
|       | Examples of semantic prosodies                                | 113   |      |
|       | Distribution of consequence across meaning categories         | 110   |      |
| 0.5.  | in FLOB/Frown   | 119   |      |
| 7 1   | Major design parameters of corpus-based and corpus-driven     | 113   |      |
| ,     | studies of phraseology  | 126   |      |
| 7.2.  | Research studies of extended lexical sequences                | 134   |      |
|       | Summary of lexical bundles and frame patterns investigated in | 101   |      |
| ,     | the case study  | 139   |      |
| 7.4.  | 12*4 Frames identified in the present study in                | 100   |      |
|       | academic writing  | 142   |      |
| 9.1.  | Logistic regression model with fixed and random effects in    |       |      |
|       | complementation choice. Predicted odds are for the            |       |      |
|       | retention of the <i>that</i> -complementizer                  | 175   |      |
| 10.1. | Periods identified through VNC                                | 195   |      |
|       | Input data for a HCFA   | 197   |      |
|       | Results for types of <i>-ment</i> formation                   | 198   |      |
|       | Occurrences of vocatives in <i>Liveline</i> and SettCorp,     |       |      |
|       | normalized to 10,000 words                                    | 247   |      |
| 15.1. | Composition of the corpus used for the study                  | 284   |      |
|       | Lexico-grammatical features used for stance analyses          | 284   |      |
|       | Phases of the interactions                                    | 285   |      |
| 16.1. | Selected features in research articles and textbooks          | 293   |      |
| 17.1. | Examples of studies focusing on individual                    |       |      |
|       | features: single register, and comparisons of registers       |       |      |
|       | and subregisters  | 312   |      |
| 17.2. | Examples of studies using multidimensional (MD) analysis      | 317   |      |
|       | Examples of ESP studies that compare students and proficient  |       |      |
|       | speakers/writers  | 319   |      |
| 17.4. | Registers in civil engineering used in the study              | 323   |      |
| 17.5. | Features on Biber's (1988) multidimensional analysis of       |       |      |
|       | English (with factor loadings)                                | 324   |      |
| 18.1. | Thou and you forms in trials, depositions and drama 1560–1760 |       |      |
|       | (Walker 2007): raw frequencies and row percentages            | 342   |      |
| 20.1. | Gender variation in <i>not</i> contraction                    | 374   |      |
| 20.2. | Regional variation in <i>not</i> contraction                  | 378   |      |
| 21.1. | PP vs. SP in present perfect contexts                         | 390   |      |



xiv List of tables

| 21.2. | Forms expressing perfect meaning                                      | 390 |
|-------|---|-----|
| 21.3. | Co-occurrence of PP and SP with adverbials just, (n)ever, yet         | 397 |
| 23.1. | Relative frequency per 100,000 words of DMs in LOCNEC                 |     |
|       | and LINDSEI   | 432 |
| 24.1. | Comparison of new GSL to West GSL and AWL (Brezina and                |     |
|       | Gablasova 2013)   | 444 |
| 24.2. | Coverage of AVL and AWL in COCA academic and BNC                      |     |
|       | academic (Gardner and Davies 2013: 19)                                | 445 |
| 24.3. | Spoken AFL top 10   | 450 |
| 24.4. | 1,000-level frequency cut-offs (BNC)                                  | 452 |
| 25.1. | Collocation boxes for verbs of evidence in the Big Five               | 471 |
| 25.2. | Recall rates for the collocates of the verb <i>support</i> in LDOCE5, |     |
|       | CCAD6, and MEDAL2   | 473 |
| 25.3. | Precision rates for subject and object collocations of verbs of       |     |
|       | evidence in LDOCE5, CCAD6, and MEDAL2                                 | 474 |
| 26.1. | Within-groups effect size $(k = 8)$ , sorted by effect size           | 492 |
| 26.2. | Between-groups effect size ( $k = 13$ ), sorted by effect size        | 493 |
| 27.1. | The passive in four corpus-informed grammar books                     | 507 |
| 27.2. | The passive in four non-corpus-informed grammar books                 | 508 |
| 27.3. | Comparison of the responses per category in Tables 27.1               |     |
|       | and 27.2  | 509 |
| 27.4. | Exercises on the passive in the four corpus-informed books            | 511 |
| 28.1. | The FINREP corpus   | 527 |
| 28.2. | The SHARLET corpus  | 528 |
| 28.3. | POS patterns used for candidate collocation extraction                | 529 |
| 28.4. | Adverb-Verb rankings (bigrams from translated and                     |     |
|       | non-translated English financial reports, with frequency              |     |
|       | and MI data from ukWaC)   | 530 |
| 28.5. | Results of significance testing (comparison of rankings from          |     |
|       | the FINREP-NT-EN and FINREP-TR-EN subcorpora)                         | 531 |
| 28.6. | Results of significance testing (comparison of rankings from          |     |
|       | the SHARLET-NT-EN and SHARLET-TR-EN subcorpora)                       | 534 |



# Major corpora cited in the handbook

ARCHER A Representative Corpus of Historical English Registers

BNC British National Corpus

Brown Brown Corpus

CED Corpus of English Dialogues

CEEC Corpus of Early English Correspondence

CIC Cambridge International Corpus

COCA Corpus of Contemporary American English
COHA Corpus of Historical American English
COLT Bergen Corpus of London Teenager Language

ELFA Corpus of English as a Lingua Franca in Academic Settings

EMEMT Early Modern English Medical Texts

FLOB Freiburg-LOB Corpus

FRED Freiburg English Dialect Corpus

FROWN Freiburg-Brown Corpus

GloWbE Corpus of Global Web-based English
HKCSE Hong Kong Corpus of Spoken English
ICE International Corpus of English

ICLE International Corpus of Learner English

LINDSEI Louvain International Database of Spoken English

Interlanguage

LLC London-Lund Corpus

LOB Lancaster-Oslo-Bergen Corpus

LOCNEC Louvain Corpus of Native English Conversation
LSWC Longman Spoken and Written English Corpus

LWP Language in the Workplace Project

MICASE Michigan Corpus of Spoken Academic English
MICUSP Michigan Corpus of Upper-level Student Papers



#### xvi Major corpora cited in the handbook

NHCC Nottingham Health Communication Corpus

T2KSWAL T0EFL 2000 Spoken and Written Academic Language Corpus

Time Time Magazine Corpus of American English
VOICE Vienna-Oxford International Corpus of English

WrELFA The Written Corpus of English as a Lingua Franca in Academic Settings



### Contributors

Silvia Bernardini, Associate Professor of English Language and Translation, University of Bologna

Douglas Biber, Regents' Professor, Applied Linguistics, Northern Arizona University

Alex Boulton, Professor, English and Applied Linguistics, Université de Lorraine

Ray Carey, Researcher, ELFA Project, University of Helsinki

Winnie Cheng, Professor, English language, Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Brian Clancy, Researcher, Mary Immaculate College, University of Limerick

Thomas Cobb, Associate Professor, Applied Linguistics, Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada

Susan Conrad, Professor, Applied Linguistics, Portland State University Jonathan Culpeper, Professor, Linguistics and English Language, Lancaster University

Mark Davies, Professor, Linguistics, Brigham Young University Jane Demmen, Research Fellow, Linguistics and Modern Languages, University of Huddersfield

Gaëtanelle Gilquin, Research Associate, FNRS, Centre for English Corpus Linguistics, Université catholique de Louvain

Sylviane Granger, Professor, Centre for English Corpus Linguistics, Université catholique de Louvain

Bethany Gray, Assistant Professor, Applied Linguistics and Technology, Iowa State University

Stefan Th. Gries, Professor, Linguistics, University of California, Santa Barbara

Jack Grieve, Lecturer, Forensic Linguistics, Aston University Martin Hilpert, Assistant Professor, English Linguistics, University of Neuchâtel



#### xviii

#### List of contributors

Marianne Hundt, Professor and Chair, English Language and Linguistics, University of Zurich

Susan Hunston, Professor, English Language and Applied Linguistics, University of Birmingham

Ken Hyland, Chair, Professor of Applied Linguistics and Director of the Center for Applied English Studies, University of Hong Kong

Daniela Kolbe-Hanna, Assistant Professor, English Studies, University of Trier

Merja Kytö, Professor, English Language, Uppsala University

Geoffrey Leech, Professor Emeritus, Linguistics and English Language, Lancaster University

Michaela Mahlberg, Professor, English Language and Linguistics, University of Nottingham

Christian Mair, Professor and Chair, English Linguistics, University of Freiburg

Anna Marchi, Linguistics and English Language, Lancaster University Ron Martinez, Assistant Professor of English, Federal University of Paraná Anna Mauranen, Professor of English Philology and Vice Rector, University of Helsinki

Fanny Meunier, Professor, Centre for English Corpus Linguistics, Université catholique de Louvain,

Anne O'Keeffe, Senior Lecturer, Applied Linguistics and English Language Teaching, Mary Immaculate College, University of Limerick

Magali Paquot, Postdoctoral Researcher, FNRS, Centre for English Corpus Linguistics, Université catholique de Louvain

Alan Partington, Associate Professor, Linguistics, University of Bologna Elina Ranta, Researcher, ELFA Project University of Helsinki, University of Tampere

Paul Rayson, Director, University Centre for Computer Corpus Research on Language (UCREL), Lancaster University

Randi Reppen, Professor, Applied Linguistics and TESL, Northern Arizona University

Norbert Schmitt, Professor, Applied Linguistics, University of Nottingham Erik Smitterberg, Researcher, English Language, Uppsala University Shelley Staples, Assistant Professor, English, Purdue University Benedikt Szmrecsanyi, Odysseus Research Professor, Linguistics, KU

Irma Taavitsainen, Professor, English Philology, University of Helsinki Richard Xiao, Reader, Linguistics and English Language, Lancaster University