

CARTELS, MARKETS AND CRIME

This study of the normative justification for the use of criminal sanctions as a means of cartel control goes beyond the historical and economic viewpoints by adding a normative evaluation of anti-cartel regimes and analysing cartel control in the USA, Europe and the UK. The analysis is unique in seeking to establish why, in a liberal society, criminal sanctions should apply to individuals who participate in this sort of activity. Although cartels have been rhetorically likened to theft and fraud, there are significant differences. Notwithstanding these differences, *Cartels, Markets and Crime* presents an argument for the criminalisation of economic collusion, and, with this argument in mind, analyses the regimes of the US, EU and UK and considers the possibility of global convergence.

BRUCE WARDHAUGH is a lecturer at the School of Law, Queen's University Belfast, where his research interests include competition law and WTO law.



THE CAMBRIDGE ANTITRUST AND COMPETITION LAW SERIES

Series Editors:

Maher M. Dabbah, Chair, Competition Law and Policy and Director of the Inter-disciplinary Centre for Competition Law and Policy (ICC), Queen Mary, University of London Barry Hawk, Professor of Law and Director of the Fordham Competition Law Institute, Fordham University School of Law; Senior Counsel, Labruna Mazziotti Segni

Board members:

Dr Werner Berg, Partner, Crowell & Moring LLP Professor Claus-Dieter Ehlermann, WilmerHale Professor Alan Fels, The Australia and New Zealand School of Government

Professor Eleanor Fox, New York University, New York

Professor Frederic Jenny, Cour de Cassation, Paris

Mr Paul Lasok OC, Monckton Chambers, London

Professor Mitsuo Mitsushita, Nagashima, Ohno & Tsunematsu, Tokyo, Japan; Professor Emeritus, University of Tokyo

Dr David E. Tadmor, Partner, Tadmor & Co. Law Offices, Tel Aviv, Israel; former Director General of the Israel Antitrust Authority

Dr Cento Valjenovski, Managing Partner, Case Associates, London

Publications within the Series consider various legal, economic and political developments related to competition law and policy. They also consider the application of competition law and policy in sector-specific as well as cross-sector contexts and deal with policy questions ranging from the formation and adoption of competition law and policy (whether at national, regional or international level) to those dealing with enforcement, and the connection between law and competition in the market place. The Series also accommodates different analytical and interdisciplinary viewpoints, such as law and economics; law and political science; law and economic geographydriven perspectives.

The Series includes publications designed to cater for academic demands as well as practitioner publications catering for the continuously evolving needs of regulators, policy-makers, and practitioners, in particular lawyers and economists (who increasingly provide advice on regulatory questions).

The editorial board of the Series welcome proposals by authors and editors who are interested in contributing to the Series through: academic monographs; revised PhD theses of high quality; practitioner texts and collections; and edited volumes.

List of books in the series:

Cartels, Markets and Crime: A Normative Justification for the Criminalisation of Economic Collusion Bruce Wardhaugh 9781107036307

Merger Control Worldwide (two-volume set) General editors: Maher M. Dabbah and Paul Lasok QC 9780521195096

Greening EU Competition Law and Policy Suzanne Kingston 9781107003026



A Principled Approach to Abuse of Dominance in European Competition Law *Liza Lovdahl Gormsen* 9780521767149

International and Comparative Competition Law Maher M. Dabbah 9780521516419

The International Dimension of EU Competition Law and Policy *Anestis* S. Papadopoulos 9780521196468

Anti-Cartel Enforcement Worldwide (three-volume set) *General editors: Maher M. Dabbah and Barry E. Hawk* 9780521897211





CARTELS, MARKETS AND CRIME

A Normative Justification for the Criminalisation of Economic Collusion

BRUCE WARDHAUGH





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107036307

© Bruce Wardhaugh 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by CPI Group Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Wardhaugh, Bruce, 1960-

Cartels, markets and crime : a normative justification for the criminalisation of economic collusion / Bruce Wardhaugh.

pages cm. – (The Cambridge antitrust and competition) ISBN 978-1-107-03630-7

1. Cartels – Europe – History. I. Title. HD2757.5.W37 2014 338.8′7–dc23 2013016197

ISBN 978-1-107-03630-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To Jen and Jay





CONTENTS

	List of figures page xiv Preface xv Acknowledgements xvi Table of cases xvii Table of treaties, statutes and legislative instruments xxvii
	Introduction 1 0.1 Cartels and calls for their criminalisation 1 0.2 Cartels and their effects 4 0.2.1 Defining cartels 4 0.2.2 The economic effect of cartels 7
	0.3 An outline of this work 11
1	A normative approach to the criminalisation of cartel activity 18
	1.1 Liberalism and the harm to others justification of the
	criminal law 18
	 1.1.1 Harm (generally) and criminalisation 18 1.1.2 Mill and the harm to others principle 19 1.1.3 Protected harms 22 1.1.4 Rawls' 'veil of ignorance' and the choice of social institutions 26
	1.2 An outline of a liberal theory of the limits to criminal
	law 33
	1.3 Cartel harms: an analysis 37
	1.3.1 Raising the price of goods as a prohibited harm 37
	1.3.2 Creating deadweight loss as a prohibited harm 40
	1.3.3 Creation of social waste as a prohibited harm 41
	1.3.4 Stunting the development of new products and processes as a prohibited harm 42
	1.3.5 Exacerbation of X-inefficiency as a prohibited harm 43
	1.4 Harm to the institution of distributive justice as the
	justification for criminalising cartel activity 43
	· ·



X

	CONTENTS
	1.5 Conclusion: an extension and two caveats 48
2	Corporate responsibility, agency and the advantages of vicarious liability 52 2.1 Introduction 52
	 2.2 The agency problem in corporate action and control 2.3 Attribution rules: principles of corporate liability 2.4 Identification, <i>Tesco</i> and a historical mistake 2.4.1 The development of the doctrine 2.4.2 Tesco's flaw 65
	 2.5 Alternative models of corporate liability 2.5.1 Aggregation 67 2.5.2 Corporate fault and/or corporate culture 2.5.3 Vicarious liability 71
	2.6 The objective of corporate liability: deterrence beyond tort 75
	 2.7 A model corporate criminal liability regime 79 2.7.1 Agency, monitoring and liability: attribution rules 80 2.7.2 Vicarious liability: with or without a due diligence defence 83 2.8 Conclusion 87
3	Closing the deterrence gap: individual sanctions 89
	3.1 Introduction 89 3.2 A model of deterrence 90 3.3 Deterrence and cartel activity 92 3.3.1 Probability of cartel detection 93 3.3.2 Cartel overcharges 93 3.3.3 Cartel duration 94 3.3.4 Implications for cartel deterrence 95
	 3.4 Bankruptcy and the consequences of optimally deterrent fines 95 3.4.1 Bankruptcy considerations 95 3.4.2 The existing fine regime as under-deterring 97 3.5 Filling the deterrence gap with individual criminal liability 101 3.6 Conclusion 103
4	The American experience of cartel control: values and effectiveness 106 4.1 Introduction 106
	4.2 Natural law and morality: the nineteenth-century background 108



5

6

	CONTENTS Xi		
4.3	Pre-Sherman Act values: the states and Congress 114 4.3.1 Nineteenth-century public policy 114 4.3.2 Early state antitrust regimes 114 4.3.3 The Congressional background 120		
4.4	Protection of consumer surplus: judicial interpretation of the Sherman Act 125 4.4.1 Early days: literalism or realism 125 4.4.2 Standard Oil: per se and the rule of reason 132 4.4.3 Appalachian Coals: a Depression-era outlier 136		
4.5	 4.4.4 Per se rules against price-fixing 138 4.4.5 Sensitivity to economic reality 147 Efficacy and enforcement: other strands to the American 		
	System 157 4.5.1 Private (civil) enforcement 158 4.5.2 Certainty of punishment 162 4.5.3 Leniency programmes 162		
4.6	Conclusions: American values 165		
	E European experience 168 Introduction 168		
5.2	A tale of two cities: Washington and Freiburg 5.2.1 The influence of Washington 172 5.2.2 The influence of Freiburg 175		
5.3	Completing the market: the early days of European competition policy 181 5.3.1 Market Integration as a goal of competition policy 182 5.3.2 Economic crisis and litigation failure: a reformulation of competition policy 190 5.3.3 Economic analysis, modernisation and the shift to consumer welfare 193 5.3.4 Is consumer welfare the sole standard? 197		
5.4	Conclusion 204		
Cartel control in the UK: the failure of supplementary criminalisation 211			
	Introduction 211		
6.2	The UK regime: 1880–1936 213 6.2.1 The rise of marketplace liberalism 213 6.2.2 Mill's liberal influences 216		
6.3	The economic downturn of the 1930s: cartels as industrial		
	policy 220 6.3.1 Economic downturn: the coal industry as an example 220 6.3.2 Other industries 223		



xii	CONTENTS
	 6.4 Post-war: the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Commission, the Restrictive Trade Practices Act and Court 224 6.4.1 Post-war internationalisation 225 6.4.2 The post-war UK regime 227
	6.5 The British reception of European reform 6.5.1 Reforming the regime 6.5.2 The attempt at criminalisation 6.5.3 The failure of criminalisation 240
	6.6 The 2012 reforms 242 6.6.1 Calls for consultation 242 6.6.2 The Reform Bill 244
	6.7 Conclusion: tolerance and ad hocery – the failure of supplementary criminalisation 247
7	Internationalisation and transplantation 253
,	7.1 Introduction 253
	7.2 Convergence: goals and types 254
	7.2.1 Types of convergence 254 7.2.2 Convergence, legal values and norms 257
	7.3 Anglo-American values of antitrust 259 7.3.1 Introduction 259 7.3.2 American values 260 7.3.3 European values 261 7.3.4 British values 263
	 7.4 Competing values at the global level 265 7.4.1 Hofstede's results 265 7.4.2 Difficulties with 'top-down' convergence 267 7.4.3 Regional agreements as an alternative 269 7.4.4 Global 'bottom-up' convergence 271
	 7.5 Resource allocation, institutional credibility and national priorities 276 7.5.1 Resource allocation as a global problem 276 7.5.2 Resource allocation and competing demands 278
	7.6 Corporate governance and cartel control 280 7.6.1 The nature of the problem 280 7.6.2 Individual criminal liability 281
	7.7 Collectivist values and individual responsibility 7.7.1 Hofstede's insight <i>redux</i> 284 7.7.2 Collectivist values and legal norms 285
	7.8 Global convergence of corporate governance 286
	7.9 Cartel control, convergence and good practice 288



CONTENTS XIII

Conclusion 297

8.1 The normative background to cartel criminalisation 297

8.2 A pragmatic justification for cartel criminalisation 302

8.3 Scepticism about the expansion of criminalisation: the US, EU and UK regimes examined 304

8.4 Scepticism about internationalisation 310

Bibliography 316 Index 335



FIGURES

Figure 1 Welfare losses from monopoly page 7
Figure 2 Loss from productive inefficiency 10



PREFACE

At the time that this work went to the publisher (January 2013), the Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Bill (part of which was intended to reform the UK's competition regime) was still subject to Parliamentary consideration. The Bill was expected to receive Royal Assent in the spring of 2013. Accordingly, the discussion of reforms to the UK regime reflects the provisional nature of this legislation.

This work refers to the Articles of the various European Treaties in the way they are numbered in the Treaties. This should present no confusion to those familiar with the topic. It has also relied upon Commission Decisions (and other European materials) in authentic languages, when there is no authentic English version. I have consulted French, German and Dutch versions, though citing the French text. Unless otherwise attributed, all translations are mine.

When a work which has been reprinted over time (e.g. Locke's Second Treatise) is cited, citations to chapters and sections are provided, avoiding page numbers. This facilitates users of other editions to find the relevant passage. The exception to this is Mill's On Liberty where an authoritative text exists, and to which I refer.

The terms 'antitrust law' and 'competition law' are used interchangeably, though strictly speaking the former is American terminology. This allows for a bit of variation in my vocabulary.

All web references were verified on 30 January 2013.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have assisted me in the production of this work. Parts of this work have appeared in print previously. In particular, some of the Introduction and Chapter 1 appear as part of my article 'A Normative Approach to the Criminalisation of Cartel Activity' in *Legal Studies* (2012), and part of Chapter 3 appeared as 'Closing the Deterrence Gap: Individual Liability, the Cartel Offence and the BIS Consultation' in *The Competition Law Journal* (2011).

Prior to publication, I presented versions of the *Legal Studies* article at the Socio-Legal Studies Annual Meeting in Brighton in 2011 and the Society of Legal Scholars Meeting in Cambridge, also in 2011. Part of Chapter 2 was presented at the Socio-Legal Studies Association Annual Meeting in Leicester in 2012 and at the 2012 Society of Legal Scholars meeting in Bristol. Parts of Chapter 4 were presented at the University of East Anglia/Centre for Competition Policy Conference in June of 2012. I wish to thank all who commented on these papers (including anonymous reviewers), and in particular Jonathan Galloway, Andreas Stephen, Angus MacCulloch, Bill Kovacic, Christopher Brown and Morten Hviid. The usual disclaimers apply.

I would also like to thank my wife, Jennifer, who assisted in checking references and proof reading. Of course, all errors are mine alone.

My greatest debt is to my family, Jennifer and Jason, who tolerated my work and endured my absence from them (physically, mentally and at times spiritually) during the writing of this book. I doubt I would have accomplished this task without their support, for which I thank them.



TABLE OF CASES

Canada

- Canadian Dredge and Dry Dock v. The Queen [1985] 1 SCR 662, 19 DLR (4th) 314, 19 CCC (3d) 1 57
- Irving Paper Limited v. Atofina Chemicals Inc. (2009) 99 OR (3d) 358 (Ont SC) 161
- Pro-Sys Consultants Ltd et al. v. Microsoft Corporation et al., Sun-Rype Products Ltd et al. v. Archer Daniels Midland Company et al., and Samsung Electronics Co Ltd et autres v. Option Consommateurs et autres SCC Docket Numbers 34282, 342823 and 34617 (heard 17 October 2012) 255
- R v. Sault Ste Marie [1978] 2 SCR 1299, 85 DLR (3d) 161, 40 CCC (2d) 353

European Union

Commission Decisions

- Electrical and Mechanical Carbon and Graphite Products (C.36.490) [2002] OJ L100/1 96
- Case COMP/39406 Commission Decision of 28.1.2009 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 of the Treaty and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/39406 *Marine Hoses*) [2008] OJ C122/01 101
- Case COMP/B/37.766 Summary of Commission Decision of 18 April 2007 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 of the Treaty establishing the European Community (Case COMP/B/37.766 *Dutch Beer Market*) [2008] OJ C122/01 101
- Bathroom Fittings 23 June 2010, IP/10/790 (non-confidential version not yet available) 96
- Case COMP/39839 *Telefónica and Portugal Telecom*; IP/11/1241, 25.10.2011 (non-confidential version not yet available) 199

xvii



xviii

TABLE OF CASES

Commission Decisions

- Commission Decision of 23 September 1964 relative à une procedure au titre de l'article 85 du traité (IV-A/00004-03344 *Grundig-Consten*) [1964] OJ 23/2545 182-4, 205-6
- Commission Decision of 16 July 1969 relative à une procedure au titre de l'article 85 du traité (IV/26.623 *Entente internationale de la quinine*) [1969] OJ L192/5 182, 184–5, 189, 206
- Commission Decision of 24 July 1969 relative à une procedure au titre de l'article 85 du traité CEE (IV/26.267 *Matières colorantes*) [1969]? OJ L195/11 182, 184–7, 189, 206
- Décision de la Commission, du 16 décembre 1971, relative à une procédure au titre de l'article 85 du traité de la CEE (IV/324 *Vereeniging van Cementhandelaren*) 72/22/CEE [1972] OJ L13/34 189
- Commission Decision of 29 April 1994 relating to a proceeding under Article 85 of the EC Treaty (IV/34.456 *Stichting Baksteen*) 94/296/ EC [1994] OJ L131/15 190, 198, 207
- Commission Decision of 24 January 1999 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 of the EC Treaty and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case IV.F.1/36.718 CECED) [2000] OJ L187/47 198, 204, 207
- Commission Decision of 7 June 2000 relating to a proceeding pursuant to Article 81 of the EC Treaty and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/36.545/F3 *Amino Acids*) [2000] OJ L152/24 196
- Commission Decision of 21 November 2001 relating to a proceeding pursuant to Article 81 of the EC Treaty and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/E-1/37.512 *Vitamins*) [2003] OJ L6/1 196
- Commission Decision of 5 December 2001 relating to a proceeding pursuant to Article 81 of the EC Treaty and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case COMP/E-1/36 604 *Citric Acid*) [2002] OJ L239/18 196
- Commission Decision of 3 December 2003 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 of the EC Treaty and Article 53 of the EEA Agreement (Case C.38.359 *Electrical and mechanical carbon and graphite products*) [2004] OJ L125/49 96
- Summary of Commission Decision of 21 February 2007 relating to a proceeding under Article 81 of the Treaty establishing the European Community (Case COMP/E-1/38.823 *Elevators and Escalators*) (notified under document number C(2007) 512 final) [2008] OJ C75/10 4



TABLE OF CASES

xix

EU - Courts

Court of Justice

- Cases 56 & 58/64 Consten SaRL and Grundig-Verkaufs-GmbH v. Commission [1966] ECR 299, CMLR 418 182-4, 200, 205, 206, 261-2, 292-3
- Case 5/69 Völk v. Vervaecke [1969] ECR 295 187
- Case 41/69 ACF Chemiefarma NV v. Commission [1970] ECR 661 182
- Case 45/69 Boehringer Mannheim v. Commission [1970] ECR 769 182
- Cases 48, 49, 51–57/69 ICI v. Commission, BASF v. Commission, Bayer v. Commission, Geigy v. Commission Sandoz v. Commission, Francolor v. Commission, Cassella v. Commission, ACNA v. Commission [1972] ECR 619 185, 187, 191
- Case 6/72 Europemballage Corporation and Continental Can Company Inc v. Commission [1973] ECR 215 202
- Case 8/72 Vereeniging van Cementhandelaren v. Commission [1972] ECR 977 189
- Case 27/76 United Brands v. Commission [1978] ECR 305 186
- Case 22/78 Hugin Kassaregister AB v. Commission [1979] ECR 1869 187
- Cases 100–103/80 Musique Diffusion Française SA v. Commission [1983] ECR 1825 187
- Case 240/83 Procureur de la République v. ADBHU [1985] ECR 531 203
- Cases 25 & 26/84 Ford Werke AG v. Commission [1985] ECR 2725 187
- C-89/85, C-104/85, C-114/85, C-116/85, C-117/85 and C-125-9/85 Ahlström Oy et al v. Commission [1988] ECR 5193 ("Woodpulp I") 191
- C-89/85, C-104/85, C-114/85, C-116/85, C-117/85 and C-125–9/85 *Ahlström Oy et al* v. *Commission* [1993] ECR I-1307 ("Woodpulp II") 191
- C-137/92 P Commission v. BASF et al [1994] ECR I-2555 191
- C-185/93 Bundesanstalt für den Güterfernverkehr v. Gebrüder Reiff [1993] ECR I-5801 187
- C-233/94 Federal Republic of Germany v. European Parliament [1997] ECR I-2441 203
- C-126/97 Eco Swiss China Time Ltd v. Benetton International NV [1999] ECR I-3055 203
- C-379/99 PreussenElektra AG on the Interpretation of Article 30 of the EC Treaty [2001] ECR I-2159 203
- C-453/99 Courage Limited v. Crehan [2001] ECR, I-6297 203, 192
- C-295-289/04 Vincenzo Manfredi v. Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni [2006] ECR I-6619, 5 CMLR 17 292



 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$

TABLE OF CASES

- C-289/04P Showa Denko KK v. Commission [2006] ECR I-5859 203
 C-308/04P, C-308/94 SGL Carbon v. Commission [2006] ECR I-5977 203
 C-468/06 to C-478/06 Sot. Lelos kai Sia EE et al. v. GlaxoSmithKline AEVE [2008] ECR I-7139 203
- C-501, C-513, C-515 and C-519/06 *GlaxoSmithKline Services* v. *Commission* [2009] ECR I-9291 199–200
- C-360/09 Pfleiderer v. Bundeskartellamt NYR (14 June 2011) 243, 250, 291
- C-496/09 Italy v. Commission NYR (17 November 2011) 202

General Court/Court of First Instance

- T-30/91 Solvay et al v. Commission [1995] ECR II-1775 191
- T-83/91 Tetra Pak International SA v. Commission [1994] ECR II-755 203
- T-25/95 Cimenteries CBR v. Commission [2002] ECR II-491 6
- T-62/98 Volkswagen AG v. Commission [2000] ECR II-2707 187
- T-68/99 Società Italiano Vetro et al v. Commission [1992] ECR II-1552 191
- T-342/99 Airtours v. Commission [2002] ECR II-2585 192
- T-310/01 Schneider Electric SA v. Commission [2002] ECR II-4071 (Schneider/Legrand) 192
- T-502 and T-80/02 Tetra Laval v. Commission [2002] ECR II-4381 (Tetra Laval/Sidel) 192
- T-217/03 and T-245/03 FNCBV et al v. Commission [2006] ECR II-4987 203
- T-25/04 González y Díez SA v. Commission [2007] ECR II-3121 203
- T-69/04 Schunk GmbH and Schunk Kohlenstoff-Technik GmbH v. Commission [2008] ECR II-2567 203

Germany

Reichgericht, Urtile von 4. Febuar 1897 (Sächlischen Holzstoff Fabrikanten Verband) 38 RGZ 155 170, 171 Pfliederer v. Bundeskartellamt 51 Gs 53/09 AG Bonn (18 January 2012) 243

United Kingdom

England

- Attorney General of Australia v. Adelaide Steam Ship Co Ltd [1913] AC 781 215
- Attorney General v. Associated Newspapers Ltd and News Group Newspapers Ltd [2011] EWHC 418 (Admin) 57



TABLE OF CASES

xxi

- British Airways Board v. Laker Airways Ltd [1985] AC 58 219 Christian and Others v. The Queen (Pitcairn Islands) [2006] UKPC 47, [2007] 2 AC 400 [2007] 2 WLR 120 246
- Director General of Fair Trading v. Pioneer Concrete (UK) Ltd and Another [1995] 1 AC 456, [1994] 3 WLR 1249 (HL) (aka In re Supply of Ready Mixed Concrete (No. 2)) 74, 230
- H L Bolton (Engineering) Co Ltd v. T J Graham & Sons Ltd [1957] 1 QB 159 60-2, 64
- I B v. The Queen [2009] EWCA Crim 2575 212, 240
- In re Supply of Ready Mixed Concrete [1992] QB 213 (CA) 74
- Jones v. North (1875) LR 19 EQ 426 215, 219
- Lennard's Carrying Company Ltd v. Asiatic Petroleum Company Ltd [1915] AC 705 58–9, 64
- Meridian Global Funds Management Asia Ltd v. Securities Commission [1995] 2 AC 500 (PC) 64
- The Mogul Steamship Company, Limited v. McGregor Gow & Co., and Others [1891] AC 25 (HL) 215, 217–18, 219
- National Grid Electricity Transmission PLC v. ABB Ltd et al. [2012] EWHC 869 (Ch) 243
- North Western Salt Co. Ltd v. Electrolytic Alkali Co. Ltd [1913] 3 KB 422 215, 219
- Norris v. The Government of the United States of America [2008] UKHL 16 214, 219, 251, 264, 265, 290, 309
- Pharmaceutical Society v. The London and Provincial Supply Association Ltd (1879–80) LR 5 AC 857 57, 73
- R v. H M Coroner for East Kent, ex parte Spooner (1989) 88 Cr App R 10 (CA) 69
- R v. Huggins (1730) 93 ER 518, 2 Ld Raym 1574 (KB) 73
- R v. Hyam [1997] Crim LR 439 (CA) 238
- R v. ICR Haulage Ltd [1944] 1 All ER 691 (CA) 60
- R v. George and others (Southwark Crown Court, May 2010) 212, 240-2
- R v. George and others [2010] EWCA Crim 1148, [2010] 1WLR 2676 212, 240-2
- R v. Ghosh [1982] QB 1053 (CA) 238, 241, 246, 276, 309
- R v. Majeed, Butt, Asif and Amir (Southwark Crown Court, 3 November 2011) 46
- R v. P & O European Ferries (Dover) Ltd [1991] 93 Cr App R 72 (CCC) 66



xxii

TABLE OF CASES

R v. Robertson [2006] EWCA Crim 1289 (CA) 238

R v. Secretary of State for Work and Pensions (Respondent) ex parte Kehoe (FC) (Appellant) [2005] UKHL 48, [2006] AC 42 218

R v. Whittle, Allison and Brammar [2008] EWCA Crim 2560 212, 240 Rawlings v. General Trading Co [1921] 1 KB 635 215, 219

Tesco Stores Ltd v. Brent London Council [1993] 2 All ER 718 (QB) 73-4

Tesco Supermarkets Ltd v. Nattrass [1972] AC 153 13, 53-4, 57, 61-7, 80, 81, 83, 87, 281, 282

Re Yarn Spinners Agreement (No. 1) [1959] 1 WLR 154, [1959] 1 All ER 299 (RTCP) 230

Office of Fair Trading and Competition Appeal Tribunal Decisions

Price-fixing of Replica Football Kit (Case CP/0871/01) 1 August 2003 99 Tobacco (Case CE/2596–03) 15 April 2010 99

Tesco Stores Ltd, Tesco Holdings Ltd and Tesco Plc v. Office of Fair Trading [2012] CAT 31 268

United States

Abbott Laboratories v. Durrett 746 So 2d 316, 1999-1 Trade Cases P 72,559 (Ala 1999) 126

Albrecht v. Herald Co 390 US 145, 88 S Ct 869 (1968) 150

American Needle, Inc. v. National Football League et al 560 US 183, 130 S Ct 2201 (2010) 155

Appalachian Coals, Inc. v. United States 288 US 344, 53 S Ct 471 (1933) 136-8, 140-3, 212, 305

Arizona v. Maricopa County Medical Association 457 US 332, 102 S Ct 2466 (1982) 149–51

AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion et ux. (2011) 563 US _____, 131 S Ct 1740 (April 27, 2011) 161

Board of Trade of the City of Chicago v. United States 246 US 231, 38 S Ct 242 (1918) 135-6, 147, 165

Broadcast Music v. Columbia Broadcasting Systems 441 US 1, 99 S Ct 1551 (1971) 147

Brunswick Corporation v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat Inc et al 429 US 477, 97 S Ct 690 (1977) 160



TABLE OF CASES

xxiii

- California Dental Association v. Federal Trade Commission 526 US 756, 119 S Ct 1604 (1999) 155-6
- Chicago Professional Sports Limited Partnership v. National Basketball Association 961 F 2d 667 (CA 7 1992) 155
- City of Chicago v. Morales 527 US 41, 119 S Ct 1849 (1999) 5
- Connolly v. Union Sewer Pipe Co 184 US 540, 22 S Ct 431 (1902) 118-19
- Coperweld Corp v. Independence Tube Corp 467 US 752, 104 S Ct 2731 (1984) 138
- *Dr Miles Medical Company* v. *John D Park and Sons Co* 220 US 373, 31 S Ct 370 (1911) 134, 156
- Eastman Kodak Company v. Image Technical Service Inc 504 US 451, 112 S Ct 2072 (1992) 144
- Fashion Originators' Guild of America v. Federal Trade Commission 312 US 457, 312 US 668, 61 S Ct 703 (1941) 138, 143
- Federal Trade Commission v. Indiana Federation of Dentists 476 US 447, 98 S Ct 2009 (1986) 155
- Grenada Lumber Co et al v. State ex rel Attorney General 217 US 433, 30 S Ct 53 (1910) 116
- Hannover Shoe v. United Shoe Machinery Corporation 392 US 481, 88 S Ct 2224 (1968) 160, 255
- Illinois Brick Co v. Illinois 431 US 720, 97 S Ct 2061 (1977) 160, 255
- In Re Corrugated Container Antitrust Litigation 643 F 2d 195 (CA5 1981) 160
- In Re SGL Carbon Corporation, Debtor 200 F 3d 154 (CA3 1999) 56 In Re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation 184 FRD 506 (SDNY 1999) 159, 161
- International Harvester Co v. Missouri 234 US 199, 34 S Ct 859 (1914) 119
- International Salt Co v. United States 332 US 392, 68 S Ct 12 (1947) 144
- Jefferson Parish Hospital Dist No 2 v. Hyde, Jefferson Parish Hosp Dist No 2 v. Hyde 466 US 2, 104 S Ct 1551 (1984) 153
- Kiefer-Stewart Co v. Joseph E Seagram & Sons Inc 340 US 211, 71 S Ct 259 (1951) 150
- Kimbrough v. United States 552 US 85, 128 S Ct 558 (2007) 70
- Law v. National Collegiate Athletic Association 134 F 3d 1010 (CA10 1998) 155
- Leegin Creative Leather Products Inc v. PSKS Inc d b a Kay's Kloset and Kay's Shoes 551 US 877, 127 S Ct 2705 (2007) 5, 135, 165



xxiv

TABLE OF CASES

Missouri v. Frye 566 US ____ (21 March 2012) 162

Morris v. *Ameritech Illinois* 337 Ill App 3d 40, 271 Ill Dec 411, 785 NE 2d 62 (1st Dist 2003) 72

Munn v. Illinois 94 US 113, 4 Otto 113 (1876) 121

National Collegiate Athletic Association v. Board of Regents of the University of Oklahoma 468 US 85, 104 S Ct 2948 (1984) 149, 151-5

National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States 435 US 679, 98 S Ct 1355 (1978) 153, 155

New York Central and Hudson River Railway Co v. United States 212 US 481, 29 S Ct 304 (1909) 71

Northern Pacific Railway Co. v. United States 356 US 1, 78 S Ct 514 (1958) 144-5, 150, 158, 165, 260, 305

Old Monastery Co. v. United States 147 F 2d 905 (CA4 1945) cert denied 326 US 734 (1945) 72

Panama Refining Co. v. Ryan 293 US 388, 55 S Ct 241 (1935) 140

Pennsylvania Sugar Refining Co. v. American Sugar Refining Co 166 F 254 (CA2 1908) 126

Pepper v. United States 562 US _____, 131 S Ct 1229 (2 March 2011) 70, 162
Reiter v. Sonotone Corp 442 US 330, 99 S Ct 2326 (1979) 154, 165, 260
Richardson v. Buhl 6 LRA 457, 77 Mich 632, 43 NW 1102 (Mich SC 1889) 122, 123

Schechter Poultry Corp v. United States 295 US 495, 55 S Ct 837 (1935) 221 Standard Oil Co. of California v. United States 337 US 293, 69 S Ct 1051 (1949) 144

Standard Oil Co. of Indiana v. United States, see United States v Standard Oil Co of Indiana

Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey v. United States 221 US 1, 31 S Ct 504 (1911) 132-4, 143-5, 154, 156

Standard Oil Co. of Indiana v. Missouri 218 Mo 1, 116 SW 902 (SC Mo 1908), 224 US 270, 32 S Ct 406 (1911) 45, 116

State ex inf Crow v. Armour Packing Co. 173 Mo 356, 73 SW 645 (1903) 117

State ex re Astor v. Schlitz Brewing Co. 104 Tenn 715, 59 SW 1033 (Tenn SC 1900) 118

Straight Side Basket Corporation v. Webster Basket Co 4 F Supp 644 (WDNY, August 14, 1933) 138

Taylor v. *Blanchard* 13 Allen 370, 95 Mass 370, 1866 WL 6386 (Mass SC 1866) 114



TABLE OF CASES

xxv

Tigner v. Texas 310 US 141, 60 S Ct 879 (1940) 119

Timken Roller Bearing Co. v. United States 341 US 593, 71 S Ct 971 (1951) 145

United States v *Addyston Pipe and Steel Co.* 85 F 271 (1898), 175 US 211, 20 S Ct 96 (1899) 131–2, 145

United States v. Aluminum Co. of America 148 F 2d 416 (CA2 1945) 262 United States v. American Radiator and Standard Sanitary Corporation 433 F 2d 173 (CA3 1970) 73

United States v. Andreas 216 F 3d 645 (CA7 2000) 107

United States v. Arnold, Schwinn and Co. et al 388 US 365, 87 S Ct 1856 (1967) 51

United States v. Automated Medical Laboratories Inc 770 F 2d 399 (CA4 1985) 72

United States v. Bank of New England 821 F 2d 844 (CA1 1987), cert denied 484 US 943 (1987) 67

United States v. Booker 543 US 220, 125 S Ct 738 (2005) 70, 162

United States v. Brown University 5 F 3d 658 (CA3 1993) 155

United States v. Carroll Towing Co. Inc. 159 F 2d 169 (CA2 1947) 56

United States v. *Carter* 311 F 2d 934 (CA6 1963), cert denied 373 US 915 (1963) 72

United States v. E C Knight Co. 156 US 1, 15 S Ct 249 (1895) 125, 129, 132
 United States v. Hilton Hotels 467 F 2d 1000 (CA9 1972), cert denied 409
 US 1125 (1973) 72

United States v. Joint Traffic Association 171 US 505, 19 S Ct 2 (1898) 131, 134

United States v. Lopez 514 US 549, 115 S Ct 1624 (1995) 126 United States v. Sealy Inc 388 US 350, 87 S Ct 1847 (1967) 14

United States v. Socony-Vacuum Oil 310 US 150, 60 S Ct 811 (1940) 140

United States v. Standard Oil Co. of Indiana 155 F 305 (N D III 1907), reversed Standard Oil Co. of Indiana v. United States 164 F 376, 90 CCA 364 (CA 7 1908) cert denied United States v. Standard Oil Co. 212 US 579, 29 S Ct 689, 53 L Ed 659 (1909) 45–6

United States v. Sun-Diamond Growers Of California 138 F 3d 961 (DCCA 1998) 72

United States v. Swift and Company 196 US 375, 25 S Ct 276 (1905) 117

United States v. *Topco Associates Inc* 405 US 596, 92 S Ct 1126 (1972) 145–7, 151, 305



xxvi

TABLE OF CASES

United States v. Trans Missouri Freight Association 53 F 440 (1892), 58 F 58 (1893), 17 S Ct 540 (1897) 126, 131

United States v. *Trenton Potteries Co.* 273 US 392, 47 S Ct 377 (1927) 138–40, 150, 157, 304

United States v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation 882 F 2d 656 (CA2 1989) 72

United States v. United Shoe Machinery Corporation 110 F Supp 295 (D Mass 1953), aff'd, 347 US 521 (1954) 160, 262

United States v. Van Schaick et al 134 F 592, 602 (CCNY 1904) 73

Verizon Communications v. Law Offices of Curtis V Trinko 540 US 398, 124 S Ct 872 (2004) 165, 289, 304

Wabash, St Louis and Pacific Railway v. Illinois 118 US 557, 7 S Ct 4 (1886) 120-1

Waters-Pierce Oil Co v. State 48 Tex Civ App 162, 106 SW 918 (Tex Civ App 1907), 212 US 86, 29 S Ct 22 (1909) 115–16

West v. Randall 2 Mason 181, 29 Fed Cas 718 (CCDRI 1820) 161



TABLE OF TREATIES, STATUTES AND LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Treaties

European

Consolidated versions of the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union [2010] OJ C85/1 5, 13, 97, 174, 180, 193, 194, 200, 203

Protocol No. 27 on the internal market and competition, [2008] OJ C115/309 202

Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community, signed at Lisbon, 13 December 2007 [2007] OJ C306/1 197, 200–4, 207, 306–9

Other

Havana Charter of the International Trade Organization in the Final Act and Related Documents of United Nations Conference on Trade and Employment held at Havana, Cuba from November 21, 1947 to March 24, 1948, available at: http://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/havana_e.pdf 225-6

North American Free Trade Agreement 32 ILM 289, 605 (1993) 256, 270

Statutes

Canada

Competition Act RS 1985 c C-34 5, 255, 270 (Ontario) Class Proceedings Act 1992 SO 1992 c 6 161

England and Wales

Coal Act 1938 223, 224 Coal Industry Nationalisation Act 1946 223

xxvii



XXVIII TREATIES, STATUTES AND LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Coal Mines Act 1930 212, 220

Companies Act 2006 55

Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986 102

Competition Act 1980 230-1

Competition Act 1998 96, 102, 212, 231-3, 236, 243, 245, 247

Competition Act 1998 (Determination of Turnover for Penalties) Order 2000 (SI 2000/309) (as amended by the Competition Act 1998 (Determination of Turnover for Penalties) (Amendment) Order 2004 (SI 2004/1259)) 96

Enterprise Act 2002 3, 15, 101, 102, 211, 233, 234, 238–9, 244, 247, 249, 252, 264–5, 294, 309, 311

Enterprise and Regulatory Reform HC Bill 2012–13 Bill 61 xv, 16, 244–50, 264–5, 289–90, 309–10

Import Duties Act 1932 224

Insolvency Act 1985 56

Landlord and Tenant Act 1954 60

Merchant Shipping Act 1894 58

Monopolies and Restrictive Practices Act 1948 212, 227

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 237

Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 237

Trade Descriptions Act 1968 61, 64

United States

Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Extension Act (ACPERA) Pub L No. 108–237, § 213, 118 Stat 666, 667 (2004) codified 15 USC § 1 162–3

Clayton Act 15 USC § 12–22, 19 USC §§ 52–53 3, 143–4, 158–9, 221, 261, 268

Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1984 Pub L 98–473, 98 Stat 1976, S 1762 162

Currency Transaction Reporting Act 31 USCA §§ 5311–22 67

Interstate Commerce Act (Ch 104, 25 Stat 379 February 4, 1887) 120

National Industrial Recovery Act (1933) 48 Stat 195, 221

Sherman Act 15 USC §§ 1–7 1, 3, 5, 14, 72–3, 97, 107, 115, 118, 120, 122–5, 128–9, 131–3, 138–40, 142–8, 150–7, 159, 165, 205, 211, 221, 227, 236, 255, 259–62, 268, 270, 283, 289, 304–7

Tennessee, Antitrust Statute, Acts 1897 Chapter 94 117

US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 28 USCA 160-1



TREATIES, STATUTES AND LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS XXIX

Other Jurisdictions

Australia, Commonwealth Criminal Code Act 1995 69–70
France, Code de Commerce 5
Germany, Gesetz gegen Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen (GWB) (Act against Restraints of Competition, ARC) 5, 179
Hong Kong, Ordinance No. 4 of 2012 259
Indonesia Law, 5 of 1999 259
Ireland, Competition Act 2002 315
Mexico, Ley Federal De Compentencia Económica 270

EU - Regulations

Regulation No. 17 First Regulation implementing Articles 85 and 86 of the Treaty, OJ 21/02/1962 pp. 0204 – 0211, English special edition: Series I Chapter 1959–1962 p. 0087 181, 195, 205, 231, 268

Council Regulation (EC) No. 1/2003 of 16 December 2002 on the implementation of the rules on competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty [2003] OJ L1/1 193–5, 232, 243, 256

Council Regulation (EC) No. 139/2004 of 20 January 2004 on the control of concentrations between undertakings (the EC Merger Regulation) [2004] OJ L24/1 193

EU - Guidelines and Notices

Guidelines on the method of setting fines imposed pursuant to Article 23(2)(a) of Regulation No. 1/2003 (2006/C 210/02) [1996] OJ C210/2 96, 195

Commission notice on immunity from fines and reduction of fines in cartel cases [1996] OJ C298/17 101, 163, 194, 196

Commission notice on the non-imposition or reduction of fines in cartel cases [1996] OJ C207/4 163, 194

Guidelines on the method of setting fines imposed pursuant to Article 15(2) of Regulation No. 17 and Article 65(5) of the ECSC Treaty [1998] OJ C9/3 195

Commission notice on immunity from fines and reduction of fines in cartel cases [2002] OJ C45/3 101, 163, 194-6

Commission notice on the co-operation between the Commission and the courts of the EU Member States in the application of Articles 81 and 82 EC [2004] OJ C104/54 194



XXX TREATIES, STATUTES AND LEGISLATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Commission notice on cooperation within the Network of Competition Authorities [2004] OJ C 101/43 194

Guidelines on the application of Article 81(3) of the Treaty [2004] OJ C101/97 204

Commission notice on Immunity from fines and reduction of fines in cartel cases [2006] OJ C298/17 101, 163, 196