

Freedom Rising

Human Empowerment and the Quest for Emancipation

This book presents a comprehensive theory of why human freedom gave way to increasing oppression since the invention of states – and why this trend began to reverse itself more recently, leading to a rapid expansion of universal freedoms and democracy. Drawing on a massive body of evidence, Christian Welzel tests various explanations of rising freedoms, providing convincing support for a well-reasoned theory of emancipation. The study demonstrates multiple trends toward human empowerment – a process through which people gain control over their lives. Most important among these trends is the spread of "emancipative values," which emphasize free choice and equal opportunities. The author identifies the desire for emancipation as the single source of the various human empowerment trends and shows when and why this desire grows strong; why it is the source of democracy; and how it vitalizes civil society, feeds humanitarian norms, enhances happiness, and helps redirect modern civilization toward sustainable development.

Christian Welzel is chair of political culture research at the Center for the Study of Democracy, Leuphana University Lüneberg, Germany, and president of the World Values Survey Association. He is also special foreign consultant to the Laboratory of Comparative Social Research at the Higher School of Economics, St. Petersburg, Russia, and a permanent affiliate of the Center for the Study of Democracy at the University of California, Irvine. A repeated recipient of large-scale grants from the German Science Foundation, Welzel is the author of more than one hundred scholarly publications in international peer-reviewed journals in sociology, political science, and psychology. His recent books include Modernization, Cultural Change, and Democracy (with Ronald Inglehart, Cambridge University Press, 2005); Democratization (with Christian Haerpfer, Patrick Bernhagen, and Ronald Inglehart, 2009); and The Civic Culture Transformed (with Russell J. Dalton, Cambridge University Press, forthcoming).





World Values Surveys Books from Cambridge University Press

- Russell J. Dalton and Christian Welzel (eds.), *The Civic Culture Transformed:* From Allegiant to Assertive Citizenries, forthcoming.
- Doh Chull Shin, Confucianism and Democratization in East Asia, 2012.
- Pippa Norris and Ronald Inglehart, Sacred and Secular: Politics and Religion Worldwide, 2011.
- Pippa Norris and Ronald Inglehart, Cosmopolitan Communications: Cultural Diversity in a Globalized World, 2009.
- Ronald Inglehart and Christian Welzel, *Modernization*, *Cultural Change*, and *Democracy: The Human Development Sequence*, 2005.
- Ronald Inglehart and Pippa Norris, *Rising Tide: Gender Equality and Cultural Change around the World*, 2003.





Freedom Rising

Human Empowerment and the Quest for Emancipation

CHRISTIAN WELZEL

Leuphana University, Lüneberg, Germany





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107664838

© Christian Welzel 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Welzel, Christian, 1964-

Human empowerment and the contemporary quest for emancipation / Christian Welzel.

pages cm

ISBN 978-1-107-03470-9 (hardback)

1. Social values. 2. Liberty. 3. Democracy. I. Title.

2013015876

HM681.W453 2013 303.3′72-dc23

0 11 11 1

ISBN 978-1-107-03470-9 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-66483-8 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To AMY, the Love and Inspiration of My Life





Contents

List of Tables	page xi
•	
List of Figures	xiv
List of Boxes	xix
Abbreviations	xxi
Preface	xxiii
Acknowledgment	xxvii
Introduction	I
PART A: UNDERSTANDING EMANCIPATIVE VALUES	
1 A Theory of Emancipation	37
2 Mapping Differences	57
3 Multilevel Drivers	105
4 Tracing Change	140
PART B: EMANCIPATIVE VALUES AS A CIVIC FORCE	
5 Intrinsic Qualities	173
6 Benign Individualism	191
7 Collective Action	215
PART C: DEMOCRATIC IMPULSES OF EMANCIPATIVE VALUES	
8 Entitling People	249
9 The Rights Revolution	278
10 The Paradox of Democracy	307

ix



x	Contents
PART D: EMANCIPATIVE VALUES IN HUMAN CIVILIZAT	TION
11 The Redirection of Civilization	335
12 The Sustainability Challenge	376
Conclusion	393
References	409
Index	429



Tables

1,1	Guarantee Domains.	page 20
I.2	Technological Advancement and Democratic Achievement in the Mid-1990s (ninety-five societies covered by the World Values Surveys/European Values Study).	2.1
I.3	Culture Zones and Stages of Human Empowerment (for ninety-five societies covered by the World Values Surveys/ European Values Study).	23
2.1	Measuring Secular Values with World Values Surveys/ European Values Study Data.	68
2.2	Dimensional Uniformity of Secular Values (within-societal variance).	69
2.3	Measuring Emancipative Values with World Values Surveys/ European Value Study Data.	71
2.4	Dimensional Uniformity of Emancipative Values (within-societal variance).	72
2.5	Explaining the Coherence of Emancipative Values.	77
2.6	Correlation of Emancipative Values and Their Components with Assumed Antecedents and Consequences.	80
2.7	Correlations of Cultural with Noncultural Indicators of Development.	83
3.1	Material, Intellectual, and Connective Empowerment as Explanations of Emancipative Values.	115

xi



xii		List of Tables
3.2	Variance in National Mean Scores in Emancipative Values Explained by Clustering within Culture Zones (before and after "instrumentation").	122
3.3	Explaining Culture Zone's Clustering Power over Emancipative Values (two-stage least-squares regression).	135
4.1	A Reciprocal System of Shifts in Material Wealth and Emancipative Values (seemingly unrelated regression [SUR]).	
4.2	Long-Term Temporal Order Test among the Three	152
•	Components of Human Empowerment (using proxies).	164
5.1	Multilevel Model Explaining Well-Being Priorities.	181
5.2	Multilevel Model Explaining General Well-Being.	185
6.1	Effects of Emancipative Values on Individualism and Unselfishness (multilevel models).	207
6.2	Effects of Emancipative Values on Trust and Humanism (multilevel models).	213
7 . I	Reanalyzing the Standard Model of Social Movement Activities with World Values Surveys Round Five Data (nonrival models).	230
7.2	Reanalyzing the Standard Model of Social Movement Activity with World Values Surveys Round Five Data (rival models).	-
7.3	The Role of Repressive Threats (multilevel models).	236
7.4	Dynamic Model of Rising Social Movement Activity.	245
8.1	Correlations of Empowering Social Conditions in 1995 with Civic Entitlements in 2005.	
8.2	Effects of Empowering Social Conditions on Subsequent Civic Entitlements (before and after eliminating	, -
	endogeneity).	273
9.1	A Reciprocal System of Dynamic Relationships (long-term shift model with citizen rights).	295
9.2	A Reciprocal System of Dynamic Relationships (short-term shift model with citizen rights).	297
9.3	A Reciprocal System of Dynamic Relationships (long-term shift model with women's rights).	299
9.4	A Reciprocal System of Dynamic Relationships (short-term shift model with women's rights).	300



List c	f Tables	xiii
10.1	Empirical Dimensions in Popular Definitions of Democracy.	313
10.2	Effects of Emancipative Values on Popular Views of Democracy (multilevel models).	326
11.1	Testing the Predictive Power of the Cool-Water Condition on Technological Advancement against Alternative Predictors (bivariate correlations).	345
11.2	Testing the Predictive Power of the Cool-Water Condition on Technological Advancement against Alternative Predictors (multivariate regressions).	346
11.3	Examining the Developmental Endogeneity of Fertility Control (two-stage least-squares regressions).	352
11.4	The Diminishing Impact of Natural Conditions and the Rising Impact of Globalization on Technological Advancement.	372
12.1	The Impact of the Components of Human Empowerment on Different Aspects of Ecological Sustainability.	381
12.2	The Effects of Emancipative Values on Environmental Activism (multilevel models).	388



Figures

I.1	Quality of Life Trends by Global Regions (1970–2010).	page 4
1.1	The Human Empowerment Framework.	44
1.2	Cycles of Empowerment and Disempowerment.	53
2.1	The Effect of Technological Advancement on the Coherence of Emancipative Values (before and after controlling for societies' belonging to the West and their democratic tradition).	-/
		76
2.2	Emancipative Values' Single-Peaked and Mean- Centered Distributions.	86
2.3	The New Cultural Map of the World.	87
2.4	Culture Zones on the World Cultural Map.	89
2.5	Cohort Traces on the World Cultural Map.	91
2.6	Global Value Differences by Democratic Achievement and Technological Advancement.	92
2.7	Group-Specific Emancipative Values by Society (income and education opposites).	98
2.8	Group-Specific Emancipative Values by Society (ethnicity, language, religion).	100
3.1	1 ,	
	Technological Advancement.	107
3.2	The Effect of Education on Emancipative Values by Culture	
	Zone.	109
2 2	The Concept of Social Cross-Fertilization	TTT

xiv



List	of Figures	xv
3.4	The Effect of Education on Emancipative Values by Technological Advancement.	112
3.5	The Cool-Water Condition and Technological	
- (Advancement.	125
3.6 3.7	The Cool-Water Condition across Culture Zones. Observed and Predicted Culture Zone Scores in	126
3./	Emancipative Values.	136
3.8	Temporally Ordered Path Model of the Source Thesis of the Emancipation Theory.	138
4.1	The Rise of Emancipative Values between and within	
	Cohorts (knowledge economies, ca. 1980–2005).	143
4.2	The Effect of Economic Growth on Rising Emancipative Values.	T 47
1 2	The Effects of Economic Growth and Rights	147
4.3	Extensions on Rising Emancipative Values (mutually	
	controlled).	153
4.4	Culture Zone Differences in Backward Estimates of Emancipative Values (with and without trend	160
4.5	adjustment). Longitudinal Coevolution of the Three Elements of Human	160
4.3	Empowerment by Culture Zone (1940–2000).	162
4.6	Partial Effects of Prior Action Resources and Civic Entitlements on Subsequent Emancipative Values (time- pooled cross-sectional regression with panel-corrected	
	standard errors).	165
4.7	Asymmetry between the Reciprocal Effects of Prior Civic Entitlements on Subsequent Emancipative Values and Prior Emancipative Values on Subsequent Civic Entitlements (time-pooled cross-sectional regression with panel-corrected	
	standard errors).	167
4.8	Comparing the Reciprocal Effects of Emancipative Values and Civic Entitlements from Alternative Specifications of the	168
5 T	Three-Equation System in Table 4.2. The Effect of Emancipative Values on Well-Being	100
5.1	Priorities.	183
5.2	The Determination and Impact of Intrinsic Well-Being	-
	Priorities (controlling for levels of well-being).	188
6.1	The Personal Value Space (dimensional analyses).	198



xvi		List of Figures
6.2	The Trace of Emancipative Values in the Personal Value Space.	204
6.3	Multilevel Effects of Emancipative Values on Unselfish Orientations.	205
6.4	Multilevel Effects of Emancipative Values on Generalized Trust.	211
6.5	Multilevel Effects of Emancipative Values on Humanistic Orientations.	212
7.1	Multilevel Effects of Emancipative Values on Social Movement Activity.	234
7.2	Partial Effects of the Risk of Repression and Emancipative Values on Social Movement Activity.	238
7.3	Rising Social Movement Activity in Knowledge Economies (ca. 1980–2005).	=
7.4	The Effect of Change in Emancipative Values on Change in Social Movement Activities.	•
8.1	Institutionalized People Power as the Scope of Citizen Rights	5. 255
8.2	A Regime Typology by Autonomy Rights and Participation Rights.	258
8.3	Distribution of Regimes over the Two Domains of Rights (in 2000).	261
8.4	The Citizen Rights Index.	263
8.5	Regime Types and Their Scores on the Democratic/ Autocratic Zones of the Citizen Rights Index	
	(year 2000).	264
8.6	Tracing the Global Democratization Wave on the Citizen Rights Index (1981–2010).	266
8.7	The Shell Model of Human Empowerment.	268
8.8	Partial Effects of Grounded and Ungrounded Preferences fo	
0.0	Democracy on Civic Entitlements.	276
9.1	Emancipative Values and Misevaluations of Rights.	284
9.2	The Link between Emancipative Values and Citizen Rights over Time.	292
9.3	The Link between Emancipative Values and Women's Right over Time.	es 293
9.4	Estimated Link between Emancipative Values and Citizen Rights in 1975.	303
	g +//j•	, , ,



List o	f Figures	xvii
9.5	Change in Values and Rights as a Function of the Values-Rights Misfit at the Beginning.	304
9.6	Emancipative Values and Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBT) Rights (stock and flow effects).	305
10.1	Summarizing Popular Definitions of Democracy.	312
10.2	Notions and Assessments of Democracy by Culture Zone (before and after qualification).	319
10.3	Desires for Democracy by Culture Zone (before and after qualification).	320
10.4	Multilevel Effects of Emancipative Values on Liberalness in Notions and on Criticalness in Assessments of Democracy.	323
10.5		324
10.6	Democratic Deficits and Deficits in Critical-Liberal Mindedness.	329
11.1	The Impact of the Cool-Water Condition on Technological Advancement.	342
11.2	The Impact of Disease Security and the Cool-Water Condition on Technological Advancement over Time and across the World (from the beginning of the modern era until today).	343
11.3	The Impact of Disease Security and the Cool-Water Condition on Per Capita Incomes over Time and across the World (before and after the Great Redirection).	354
11.4	Causal Flow from Prehistoric Environmental Conditions to Current Technological Advancement (source thesis).	355
11.5	The Initial Delay and Subsequent Acceleration of Development by the Cool-Water Condition (the anthropological record).	362
11.6	The Historic Impact of the Cool-Water Condition on Market Exchange (controlling for age of society).	363
11.7	The Historic Impact of the Cool-Water Condition on Family Formation Patterns (controlling for state formation and urbanization).	365
11.8	Juxtaposing the Emancipatory and Exploitative Configurations of Civilization.	367



xviii		List of Figures
11.9	A Stylized Depiction of the History of Civilization.	370
11.10	Diminishing Impact of Natural Conditions and the Rising Impact of Globalization on Technological Advancement	-
12.1	The Effect of Technological Advancement on a Society's Environmental Impact.	383
12.2	The Effect of Emancipative Values on a Society's Environmental Quality.	384
12.3	The Effect of Human Empowerment on a Society's Ecological Sustainability.	386
12.4	Emancipative Values as an Amplifier of the Impact of Ecological Awareness on Environmental Activism.	390
С.1	Summary Evidence of Emancipative Values' Role in the Human Empowerment Process.	394
C.2	The Transformative Force of Emancipative Values: Replacing Captivating with Liberating Social Capital.	405



Boxes

2.1	Dimensional and Compository Index Construction.	page 60
2.2	Advantages of o-1.0 Score Normalization.	64
3.1	Social Cross-Fertilization and Reciprocal Goods.	110
Яτ	Conditional and Complementary Indicator Combinations	250

xix





Abbreviations

CRI Citizen Rights Index

CW Cool Water

EV Emancipative Values

EVI Emancipative Values IndexEVS European Values StudyGDP Gross Domestic Product

LGBT Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender

SMA Social Movement Activity

SV Secular Values SVI Secular Values Index WA Water Autonomy WVS World Values Surveys





Preface

This book is indebted to the lifetime work of Ronald Inglehart. Over the past fifteen years, I had the privilege of becoming one of Ron's closest collaborators and friends. Apart from our work on dozens of publications, Ron is a continuous source of inspiration in our frequent conversations about social change, human values, and the role of evolution in the civilization process. I know his work on postmaterialism since my days in college and followed the debate about this concept with fascination. Despite the criticism, I remain convinced that the basic logic holds: fading existential pressures open people's minds, making them prioritize freedom over security, autonomy over authority, diversity over uniformity, and creativity over discipline. By the same token, persistent existential pressures keep people's minds closed, in which case they emphasize the opposite priorities. I am equally convinced that the further implications of this logic hold as well: the existentially relieved state of mind is the source of tolerance and solidarity beyond one's in-group; the existentially stressed state of mind is the source of discrimination and hostility against out-groups.

These propositions assume a universal logic of how the human mind copes with existential conditions. This book describes this logic as the *utility ladder of freedoms*. The more existential pressures recede, the more does the nature of life shift from a source of threats into a source of opportunities. As this happens, societies ascend the utility ladder of freedoms: practicing and tolerating freedoms becomes increasingly useful to take advantage of what a more promising life offers. Since evolution favors utility-realizing capacities, it has "programmed" humans to seek freedoms – in as much as these are useful to thrive under given circumstances. Culture does not have the power to turn off this logic. Instead, the taboos that culture imposes and the choices that it tolerates are themselves selected by the utility of freedoms: when fading existential pressures make freedoms more useful, cultures shift from denying freedoms to guaranteeing them. This happens because people change their mind in this direction –

xxiii



xxiv Preface

recognizing that improving living conditions move them up the utility ladder of freedoms. These individual adaptations reinforce each other through mutual recognition. Reciprocally reinforced adaptations generate mass trends that follow their own evolutionary logic; they are *not* the result of propaganda, indoctrination, and other elite-fabricated manipulations. As this book demonstrates, representative survey data from the World Values Surveys and European Values Study confirm an evolutionary logic of cultural change.

In a sense, this book is a sequel to my joint work with Ron in *Modernization*, Cultural Change, and Democracy (2005). The approach to analyze culture and development is basically the same, and various previous findings are updated with more recent data. Nevertheless, this book makes seven contributions that move our understanding of societal development ahead from where we were. To begin with, I explicate in a systematic way the evolutionary theory of emancipation that is implicit in our previous work (Chapter 1). Emancipation theory refers to everyone's freedoms; it is a theory of the utility of universal freedoms and the evolutionary origin of this utility. The theory explains when universal freedoms become useful and when people recognize this and begin to desire these freedoms, and when not. The logic behind this manifests itself in two opposing configurations, both of which shape the entire fabric of societies. Under existential pressures, universal freedoms have little utility, so people place little value on them. It is unlikely in this situation that elites would guarantee universal freedoms, and, when they do it against the odds, the guarantees are likely to be ineffective. This pattern describes where democracy does not take root or remains a façade of authoritarian practice. In contrast, fading existential pressures increase the utility of universal freedoms and people begin to value these freedoms accordingly. With the utility and value of freedoms rising, social pressures to guarantee them mount until the denial becomes too costly. Once guarantees are granted, pressures on elites to adhere to them continue, resulting in effective guarantees. This pattern describes where democracy emerges and thrives. Taken together, these propositions condense in the sequence thesis of emancipation theory: if freedoms grow, they grow in a chain of order from utilities to values to guarantees. Institutions that guarantee universal freedoms are the outcome, not the cause of this process – in contradiction to the prominent "institutions first" view.

Second, the theory of emancipation situates value change in a framework that focuses on human empowerment as the lead theme. In so doing, the theory rises to a higher level of generalization. What were separate fragments in our prior theorizing are now integrated into a coherent framework in which every aspect derives from a single root principle: the utility ladder of freedoms. The pervasiveness of this principle surfaces in juxtaposition of two opposing cycles that shape the entire fabric of societies. For one, when universal freedoms have little utility, low value, and no effective guarantee, a society is trapped in a cycle of human disempowerment: ordinary people have little control over their lives and their society's agenda. Conversely, when universal freedoms have great utility, a



Preface xxv

high value, and effective guarantees, a society thrives in a cycle of human empowerment: ordinary people are in control.

Third, I identify "emancipative values" as the mindset that arises as human empowerment proceeds. The major thrust of emancipative values is an emphasis on freedom of choice and equality of opportunities. Emancipative values are reminiscent of "self-expression values" in our prior work. However, as I show in Chapter 2, the concept of emancipative values is theoretically better grounded, and more consistently operationalized, and it has better measurement quality than its precursor self-expression values.

Fourth, I document in more nuanced ways and with broader evidence how emancipative values emerge. Generally speaking, these values grow as ordinary people gain control over *action resources*. Action resources include tools, skills, and opportunities that enable people to do things at will (Chapter 3). Furthermore, the action resources that people have in common with most other members of their society strengthen their emancipative values much more than the resources that people have on top of others. Hence, the utility of freedoms resides in *joint* utilities, creating solidarity in values and actions among those who share these utilities. And because action resources tend to become more widely shared in all of the world's culture zones, we see a ubiquitous rise of emancipative values over the generations (Chapter 4).

Fifth, this book demonstrates the consequences of emancipative values more broadly. As I show, emancipative values involve stronger intrinsic motivations (Chapter 5), nurture greater trust and humanism (Chapter 6), encourage social movement activity (Chapter 7), strengthen commitment to democratic norms (Chapter 10), and enhance environmental activism (Chapter 12). Quite naturally, values that radiate into so many domains have systemic consequences as well, most notably more extensive and effective guarantees of freedoms (Chapter 8), including those of specific subgroups such as women and homosexuals (Chapter 9). Moreover, the rise of emancipative values elevates a society's overall sense of well-being (Chapter 5). Finally, rising emancipative values contribute to better environmental quality (Chapter 12), helping to make societies more sustainable.

Sixth, this book develops a theory that is "complete" in the sense that it situates human empowerment and emancipative values in the entire process of civilization. In Chapter II, I describe the Great Redirection through which the process of civilization has been diverted from perfecting human exploitation to advancing human empowerment. I show that this diversion happened recently on the time scale of history, and I explain both the redirection itself and its recentness by the utility ladder of freedoms. Urban civilization matured late in areas in which universal freedoms *naturally* have higher utility, due to an environment that harbors an original form of existential autonomy: easy and permanent access to water resources for everyone ("water autonomy"). This is what I call the *source thesis* of emancipation theory. According to the source thesis, water autonomy is an exceptional feature of areas characterized by moderately



xxvi Preface

cold temperatures and continuous rainfall over all seasons: the "cool-water zones" (CW zones). Across the globe, the first civilization to reach urban maturity in a CW zone was Western Europe in about 1450–1500 CE. This is when and where the human empowerment process started and from where it diffused to the other CW areas of the world, most notably North America, the southeast of Australia, New Zealand, and Japan. These are still the areas that are most advanced in human empowerment. Yet, the era of globalization shows signs of decoupling human empowerment from its confinement to CW areas. As societies in Asia, Latin America, and – more recently – Africa are catching up, the human empowerment process is globalizing. This is the contagion thesis of emancipation theory.

Seventh, as this happens, human civilization faces the challenge of sustainability: the life improvements that come with human empowerment inflict unprecedented damage on the environment. But even though human empowerment causes the sustainability challenge, it also holds the key to its solution: emancipative values. As Chapter 12 demonstrates, these values stimulate environmental activism, which helps to redirect human empowerment to a path of "green" technologies. Sustainable human empowerment becomes a real possibility.

In light of these new explorations, I hope the scientific community will consider this book a useful theoretical, conceptual, and empirical extension of the work on which it builds.

Christian Welzel
Centers for the Study of Democracy
UC Irvine and Leuphana University
and
Laboratory for Comparative Social Research
Higher School of Economics, St. Petersburg
February 6, 2013



Acknowledgment

Over the past ten to fifteen years, I had the privilege of discussing my ideas with numerous colleagues, many of whom gave me important feedback. I am grateful for the time and energy that these colleagues have invested. These lines are written to acknowledge this.

The first person to be mentioned is Ronald Inglehart. I cooperated most intensely with Ron in the years leading up this book. The ways that he encouraged and helped me improve my ideas are invaluable. Thank you, Ron. Next, I must mention Hans-Dieter Klingemann, the former director of the department for Institutions and Social Change at the Science Center for Social Research Berlin (WZB). Hans-Dieter has been a mentor in various ways. His support of my scholarship brought me into contact with Ron and initiated my relationship with the Center for the Study of Democracy at the University of California (UC), Irvine. I received valuable feedback from the following former colleagues and guests at the WZB: Simon Bornschier, Thomas Cusack, David Easton, Sybille Frank, Dieter Fuchs, Philipp Harfst, Thomas Koenig, Hanspeter Kriesi, Annette Legutke, Seymour M. Lipset, Friedhelm Neidhardt, Kenneth Newton, Guillermo O'Donnell, Barbara Pfetsch, Edeltraud Roller, Kai-Uwe Schnapp, Carsten Schneider, Gunnar Folke Schuppert, Andrea Volkens, and Bernhard Wessels.

Among German colleagues, I received feedback from Jens Alber, Stefan Bergheim, Dirk Berg-Schlosser, Klaus von Beyme, Hermann Duelmer, Juergen Gerhards, Stefan Hradil, Wolfgang Jagodzinski, Werner Jann, Wolfgang Kersting, Helmut Klages, Markus Klein, Hans-Joachim Lauth, Steffen Mau, Wolfgang Merkel, Heiner Meulemann, Ekkehard Mochmann, Ingvill Mochmann, Gert Pickel, Susanne Pickel, Markus Quandt, Sigrid Rossteutscher, Friedbert Rueb, Fritz Scharpf, Wolfgang Schluchter, Rainer Schmalz-Bruns, Manfred G. Schmidt, Peter Schmidt, Siegmar Schmidt, Ruediger Schmidt-Beck, Hans-Juergen Schupp, Fritz Strack, and Jan van Deth.

xxvii



xxviii

Acknowledgment

Among international colleagues, I received input from Paul Abramson, Peter Anselm, Winton Bates, Jeannette Sinding Bentzen, Michael H. Bond, Dan Brandstrom, Michael Bratton, Renske Dorenspleet, Ronald Fischer, Joe Foweraker, Bruce Gilley, Herbert Ginthis, Gary Goertz, Axel Hadenius, Jacob Gerner Hariri, Soren Holmberg, Nicolai Kaarsen, Daniel Kahneman, Hans Keman, Odbjorn Knutsen, Todd Landman, Staffan Lindberg, Frederik Lundmark, Robert Matthes, Pippa Norris, Pamela Paxton, Anna Maria Pinna, Bo Rothstein, Jeffrey Sachs, Francesco Sarracino, Shalom Schwartz, Richard Sennett, Doh Chull Shin, Paul Sniderman, Dietlind Stolle, Roger Stough, Christian Thoeni, Jacques Thomassen, Nicolas Valentino, Evert van de Vliert, Peter Whybrow, and Asger Moll Wingender.

At my former workplace, Jacobs University Bremen, I repeatedly exchanged my ideas with Klaus Boehnke, Matthijs Bogaards, Hilke Brockmann, Jan Delhey, Juan Díez-Medrano, Jens Foerster, Freia Hardt, Max Kaase, Arvid Kappas, Ulrich Kuehnen, Marion Mueller, Klaus Schoemann, Ursula Staudinger, Marco Verweij, and Adalbert Wilhelm.

At the Institute for Future Studies, the host of the World Values Survey Association's secretariat, I had a particularly fruitful and intense exchange about my work with Peter Hedstrom, Bi Puranen, Victoria Spaiser, and David Sumpter.

I have had an especially intense exchange with people in the World Values Surveys network and the European Values Study. In this context, I received frequent comments from Fares al-Braizat, Marita Carballo, Jaime Díez-Nicolas, Juan Díez-Nicolas, Yilmaz Esmer, Christian W. Haerpfer, Jacques Hagenaars, Loek Halman, Ola Listhaug, Hennie Kotze, Martha Lagos, Bernhard Lategan, Ruud Luijx, Mansoor Moaddel, Alejandro Moreno, Neil Nevitte, Thorleif Pettersson, Catalina Romero, Ursula van Beeck, Birol Yesilada, and Ephraim Yuchtman-Yaar – as well as from Bi Puranen and her wonderful family who deserve special mention for all their encouragement in the past ten or so years.

As a regular visitor of the Center for the Study of Democracy (CSD) at UC Irvine, I had stimulating exchanges with Deborah Avant, Catherine Bolzendahl, Thomas Doyle, Wang Feng, Reuben Kline, David Meyer, Marc Petracca, Wayne Sandholtz, Willi Schonfeldt, David Snow, Rein Tagepeera, Yulia Tverdova, Carol Uhlaner, and Steven Weldon. Among the people at the CSD, former directors Russell J. Dalton, Bernie Grofman, and Willi Schonfeldt deserve special mention for their generous support during my regular visits. I am grateful in particular to Russell J. Dalton with whom I had the privilege to work on another book project that is close to completion (Dalton & Welzel).

I could not have finished this book without the support of the team of my chair at Leuphana University's Center for the Study of Democracy. Thus, I thank Dajana Badenhup, Bjoern Buss, Markus Kronfeldt, Stefan Kruse, Helen Ludwig, Jan Mueller, Nikolas Napierala, and Maren Wulff. Beyond my chair's team, I am grateful to comments from many other members of the center, including



Acknowledgment xxix

Basil Bornemann, Sebastian Elischer, Dawid Friedrich, Florian Grotz, Ina Kubbe, Ferdinand Mueller-Rommel, Thomas Saretzki, Esther Seha, Charlotte Speth, and Ralf Tils.

In my role as a foreign advisor of the Laboratory for Comparative Social Research (LCSR) at the Higher School of Economics in St. Petersburg, I received intense and valuable feedback from Daniel Alexandrov, Evgenya Bystrov, Natalie Firsova, Olga Gryaznova, Ronald Charles Inglehart, Tatiana Karabchuk, Svitlana Khutka, Leonid Kosals, Veronika Kostenko, Anna Nemirenskova, Eduard Ponarin, Maria Ravlik, Andrey Sherback, Alexej Zakharov, Margarita Zavadskaya, Julia Zelikova, and Kyrill Zhirkov. Among these people, the director of the LCSR, Eduard Ponarin, and the assistant director, Tatiana Karabchuk, deserve special thanks for all their administrative and personal support during my stays in Russia.

The most intense exchange I had was with the people working in the DFG-funded project Drivers and Consequences of Postindustrial Value Change: Germany in Comparative Perspective. These people include, first of all, my associate project manager Franziska Deutsch, and our wonderful assistants: Jan Eichhorn, Eva Grzecznik, Maximilian Held, Jakob Hensing, and Julian Wucherpfennig.

Within this group, Stefanie Reher deserves her own thanks: she proofread an earlier version of the manuscript. Special thanks are also due to Roberto Foa, Chris Swader, Serban Tanasa, and Winton Bates who commented extensively on a draft version of the manuscript. Gary Goertz gave important feedback on some measurement issues. And I am indebted to Pippa Norris who shared with me her experience in preparing a book proposal and marketing information: thanks indeed.

Furthermore, I want to thank the German Science Foundation, the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation, the School of Humanities and Social Sciences at Jacobs University Bremen, the Centers for the Study of Democracy at UC Irvine and Leuphana University Lüneberg, and the Laboratory for Comparative Social Research at the Higher School of Economics in St. Petersburg, as well as the Institute for Future Studies in Stockholm for financial and administrative support. Special thanks indeed go to Lew Bateman, the chief social science editor of the U.S. branch of Cambridge University Press, and to Shaun Vigil, his associate. They did a formidable job in navigating me through the editing process. Their entire production team is just marvelous.

This book consumed a considerable proportion of my time and energy. Thus, I needed the sympathy of people in my personal environment, including my daughters (two real gems), Janika and Felipa Fe Welzel; my parents, Gisela and Peter Welzel; my parents- and brothers-in-law, Jeannette, Lynn, Sean, Mike, and Chris Alexander and their families; and my oldest and closest friend and his wife, Gert and Christine Schlossmacher. Thanks to all of you.

My last note of gratitude goes to Amy Alexander – my wife, friend, and colleague. From you, I received more feedback, inspiration, and encouragement than I could ever have hoped for. I dedicate this work to you.