

Psychology and History

As disciplines, psychology and history share a primary concern with the human condition. Yet historically, the relationship between the two fields has been uneasy, marked by a long-standing climate of mutual suspicion. This book engages with the history of this relationship and the possibilities for its future intellectual and empirical development. Bringing together internationally renowned psychologists and historians, it explores the ways in which the two disciplines could benefit from a closer dialogue. Thirteen chapters span a broad range of topics, including social memory, prejudice, stereotyping, affect and emotion, cognition, personality, gender and the self. Contributors draw on examples from different cultural contexts - from eighteenth-century Britain, to apartheid South Africa, to conflict-torn Yugoslavia - to offer fresh impetus to interdisciplinary scholarship. Generating new ideas, research questions and problems, this book encourages researchers to engage in genuine dialogue and place their own explorations in new intellectual contexts.

CRISTIAN TILEAGĂ is Senior Lecturer in Social Psychology and a member of the Discourse and Rhetoric Group at Loughborough University.

JOVAN BYFORD is Senior Lecturer in Psychology at The Open University.





Psychology and History

Interdisciplinary Explorations

Edited by
Cristian Tileagă
and
Jovan Byford





AMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107034310

© Cambridge University Press 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Psychology and history: interdisciplinary explorations / edited by Cristian Tileaga, Loughborough University and Jovan Byford, The Open University. pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-03431-0 (hardback)

1. Psychohistory. 2. Psychology. 3. History. 4. Psychoanalysis and history. I. Tileaga, Cristian, 1975- II. Byford, Jovan. D16.16.P88 2014 901.9-dc23

2013033848

ISBN 978-1-107-03431-0 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	List of figures	page v11
	Notes on contributors	viii
	Foreword by Kenneth J. Gergen	xii
	Acknowledgements	XV
	Introduction: psychology and history – themes, debates, overlaps and borrowings CRISTIAN TILEAGĂ AND JOVAN BYFORD	1
	Part I Theoretical dialogues	13
1	History, psychology and social memory GEOFFREY CUBITT	15
2	The incommensurability of psychoanalysis and history JOAN W. SCOTT	40
3	Bringing the brain into history: behind Hunt's and Smail's appeals to neurohistory JEREMY T. BURMAN	64
4	The successes and obstacles to the interdisciplinary marriage of psychology and history PAUL H. ELOVITZ	83
5	Questioning interdisciplinarity: history, social psychology and the theory of social representations	109

V



vi

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-03431-0 - Psychology and History: Interdisciplinary Explorations Edited by Cristian Tileagă and Jovan Byford Frontmatter More information

	Contents	
	Part II Empirical dialogues: cognition, affect and the self	127
6	Redefining historical identities: sexuality, gender and the self CAROLYN J. DEAN	129
7	The affective turn: historicizing the emotions ROB BODDICE	147
8	The role of cognitive orientation in the foreign policies and interpersonal understandings of Neville Chamberlain, Winston Churchill and Franklin Delano Roosevelt, 1937–1941 MARK E. BLUM	166
9	Self-esteem before William James: phrenology's forgotten faculty GEORGE TURNER, SUSAN CONDOR AND ALAN COLLINS	187
	Part III Empirical dialogues: prejudice, ideology, stereotypes and national character	203
10	Two histories of prejudice KEVIN DURRHEIM	205
11	Henri Tajfel, Peretz Bernstein and the history of Der Antisemitismus MICHAEL BILLIG	223
12	Historical stereotypes and histories of stereotypes MARK KNIGHTS	242
13	Psychology, the Viennese legacy and the construction of identity in the former Yugoslavia CATHIE CARMICHAEL	268
	Conclusion: barriers to and promises of the interdisciplinar dialogue between psychology and history CRISTIAN TILEAGĂ AND JOVAN BYFORD	у 284
	Index	298



Figures

3.1	What is the relation that maps identical conceptual				
	frameworks across individuals?', reproduced from Paul				
	Churchland, 'Neurosemantics: On the Mapping of Minds				
	and the Portrayal of Worlds', in Neurophilosophy at Work				
	(Cambridge University Press, 2007), p. 127. Reprinted				
	with author's permission.	page 77			
12.1	James Gillray, The Tree of Liberty (1798). BM Satires				
	9214 © Trustees of the British Museum.	257			
12.2	Thomas Rowlandson, The Contrast (1792). BM Satires				
	8149 © Trustees of the British Museum.	258			
12.3	William Dent, A Right Hon. Democrat Dissected (1793).				
	BM Satires 8291 © Trustees of the British Museum.	259			
12.4	George Cruikshank, Death or Liberty! or Britannia &				
	the Virtues of the Constitution in danger of Violation from				
	the gr[ea]t Political Libertine. Radical reform! (1819)				
	BM Satires 13279 © Trustees of the British Museum.	260			
12.5	The Reformers' Attack on the Old Rotten Tree (1831)				
	BM Satires 16650 © Trustees of the British Museum.	261			
12.6	Charles Jameson Grant, The Managers Last Kick, or,				
	the Distruction of the Boroughmongers (c.1830–5) BM				
	Satires 17342 © Trustees of the British Museum.	262			
12.7	Anonymous print of 1832. BM Satires 16924				
	© Trustees of the British Museum.	263			

vii



Contributors

MICHAEL BILLIG is Professor of Social Sciences at Loughborough University, UK. Originally he trained as an experimental social psychologist under the supervision of Henri Tajfel. He has been interested in developing a more qualitative form of social psychology, which looks at language and ideology. He has written books on a number of topics including rhetoric, Freudian theory, nationalism, fascism and the history of rock 'n' roll. His book *The Hidden History of Critical Psychology* (2008) looks at the similarities between some neglected eighteenth-century theories of mind and modern critical psychological ideas.

MARK E. BLUM is Professor of History in the Department of History at the University of Louisville, Kentucky, USA. He was a Fellow at Carl Rogers Center for Studies of the Person in La Jolla, California from 1970–1. His publications include: The Austro-Marxists, 1890–1918: a Psychobiographical Study (1985) and Continuity, Quantum, Continuum, and Dialectic: the Foundational Logics of Western Historical Thinking (2006). More recently he wrote three chapters on national historical logics and generational change in historical logics in the volume Political Economy, Linguistics and Culture, edited by Jürgen Georg Backhaus (2008).

ROB BODDICE is Assistant Professor (Wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter) at the Friedrich-Meinecke-Institut, Freie Universität, Berlin, Germany. He is the author of A History of Attitudes and Behaviours Toward Animals in Eighteenth- and Nineteenth-century Britain: Anthropocentrism and the Emergence of Animals (2009) and editor of a collection entitled Anthropocentrism: Humans, Animals, Environments (2011). He is currently working on a history of sympathy in the context of early Darwinism.

JEREMY T. BURMAN is the Norman S. Endler Research Fellow in the Department of Psychology and Pierre Elliott Trudeau Fellow at York University in Toronto, Canada. His research blends developmental

viii



Notes on contributors

ix

psychology, history and the public understanding of science. (He is currently working on a book about how the works of Jean Piaget were imported into American Psychology, and their arguments reconstructed in translation, following his "rediscovery" in the 1960s.) He also aims to advance historical research using scientific methods, but without sacrificing historicism, context, thick description, or the devotion to primary sources.

JOVAN BYFORD is Senior Lecturer in psychology at The Open University, UK. His research interests include conspiracy theories, Holocaust survivor testimonies and anti-Semitism. He is the author of four books: Conspiracy Theories: a Critical Introduction (2011), Denial and Repression of Antisemitism: Post-Communist Remembrance of the Serbian Bishop Nikolaj Velimirović (2008), Conspiracy Theory: Serbia vs. the New World Order (2006, in Serbian) and Staro sajmište: a Site Remembered, Forgotten, Contested (2011, in Serbian).

CATHIE CARMICHAEL is Professor of History at the University of East Anglia, UK. She is an editor of the Journal of Genocide Research, on the International Advisory Board of Europe-Asia Studies and on the executive committee of the British Association of Slavonic and East European Studies. Her publications include Slovenia and the Slovenes (with James Gow, 2000), Language and Nationalism in Europe (with Stephen Barbour, 2000), Ethnic Cleansing in the Balkans (2002), Genocide before the Holocaust (2009) and A Concise History of Bosnia (in press).

ALAN COLLINS is Senior Lecturer in Psychology at Lancaster University, UK. His main research interest is the history of psychology, especially the history of psychological concepts and the history of psychology in Britain. He is currently working on the history of memory and on the emergence and consolidation of neuropsychology in Britain. He is the former Chair of the British Psychological Society's History and Philosophy section.

SUSAN CONDOR is Professor of Social Psychology at Loughborough University, UK. Her research interests concern everyday understandings of the social world and the dialogic construction of social reality. Funded research projects involve the construction of national identities in the mass media, young people's orientations to EU membership and changing understandings of nationality, citizenship and civil society in the aftermath of UK constitutional change.

GEOFFREY CUBITT is Reader in the Department of History at the University of York, UK. His research interests embrace issues of history



x Notes on contributors

and memory, and the political and social uses of the past, as well as nineteenth-century French history. He is the author of *The Jesuit Myth: Conspiracy Theory and Politics in Nineteenth-Century France* (1993) and *History and Memory* (2007) and editor of *Imagining Nations* (1998), *Heroic Reputations and Exemplary Lives* (with Allen Warren, 2000), and *Representing Enslavement and Abolition in Museums: Ambiguous Engagements* (with Laurajane Smith, Ross Wilson and Kalliopi Fouseki, 2011).

CAROLYN J. DEAN is Professor of History at Yale University, USA. She is the author of several books, including *The Frail Social Body: Pornography, Homosexuality and Other Fantasies in Interwar France* (University of California Press, 2000) and most recently *The Fragility of Empathy after the Holocaust* (2004) and *Aversion and Erasure: the Fate of the Victim after the Holocaust* (2010).

KEVIN DURRHEIM is a Professor of Psychology at the University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, where he teaches social psychology and research methods. He obtained his Ph.D. in political psychology from the University of Cape Town in 1995. He writes on topics related to racism, segregation and social change. He has co-authored two books, *Race Trouble* (with Xoliswa Mstose, Lyndsay Brown, 2011) and *Racial Encounter* (with John Dixon, 2005); and is co-editor (with Martin Terre Blanche) of *Research in Practice* (1999, 2006) and (with Colin Tredoux) *Numbers*, *Hypotheses and Conclusions* (2002).

PAUL H. ELOVITZ is the Associate Professor of History, Psychohistory and Interdisciplinary Studies at the Ramapo College of New Jersey, USA. He is a historian, lay psychoanalyst and a founding member of the International Psychohistorical Association (IPA) and the International Society for Political Psychology (ISPP). He serves on the editorial board of the *Journal of Psychohistory* and in 1994 became the founding editor of *Clio's Psyche*, a quarterly psychohistory journal. He is the author of more than 290 publications, mostly on applied psychohistory, biography, methodology, political psychology, psychobiography and teaching.

KENNETH J. GERGEN is Senior Research Professor of Psychology at Swarthmore College, and affiliate professor at Tilburg University, The Netherlands. He has published extensively on social constructionism and psychological theory, including *An Invitation to Social Construction* (2009), *Realities and Relationships: Soundings in Social Construction* (1997), and *Relational Being* (2011).



Notes on contributors

χi

MARK KNIGHTS is Professor of History at the University of Warwick, UK. He is the author of (amongst other works) Representation and Misrepresentation in Later Stuart Britain: Partisanship and Political Culture (2005) and The Devil in Disguise (2011), both of which consider partisan publics and the construction of stereotypes in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.

- IVANA MARKOVÁ is Emeritus Professor at the University of Stirling, UK. She has been a visiting Professor at the Universities of Oslo, Dundee, Berne, Paris, Linköping, Mexico and London. She has published in the field of epistemology of social psychology, language and communication, and has carried out research in political and health psychology. She is a fellow of the British Academy, of the Royal Society of Edinburgh and of the British Psychological Society.
- JOAN W. SCOTT is Harold F. Linder Professor in the School of Social Science at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, USA. She is widely known as the author of *Gender and the Politics of History* (1988 and 1999). Her most recent books are *The Politics of the Veil* (2007) and the *Fantasy of Feminist History* (2012).
- CRISTIAN TILEAGĂ is Senior Lecturer in social psychology at Loughborough University, UK. His research interests concern the critical social psychology of racism, political discourse analysis, ideological justifications of polity and history. His current research explores how official (controversial) political imaginary and histories of the (Romanian) communist past are constructed in talk and text. He is the author of *Discourse Analysis and Reconciliation with the Recent Past* (in Romanian, 2012) and *Political Psychology: Critical Perspectives* (2013).
- GEORGE TURNER is a research student at Lancaster University, UK, where he is writing a history of the term 'self-esteem' from the first half of the sixteenth century to the second half of the twentieth century. He holds a doctorate in education from the University of East Anglia.



Foreword

KENNETH J. GERGEN

One might suppose that in this time when the idea of consilience – the unity of scientific knowledge – sweeps across the disciplines, that an integration of psychological and historical studies would be untroubled. After all, they both employ the most sophisticated methods available to generate knowledge of human activity. And yet, if one were to select a key demonstration of the impediments to a unified science of human activity, the alienated relationship between history and psychology would be exemplary. The roots are deep, traceable at least to the late nineteenthcentury debates between those favouring a model of the human sciences as Naturwissenschaft as opposed to Geisteswissenschaft, essentially the difference between claims to an observational versus hermeneutic grounds for knowledge. Closely related to this is the distance between psychologists' penchant for general laws or principles on the one hand, and historians' focal concern with the unique and particular. Indeed the central goal of the psychologist – for prediction and control – stands in contrast to the predominant concern among historians for contextual understanding.

Standing over these multiple estrangements is the implicit fear that each orientation, when extended to its fullest, can eliminate the other. If we fully accept the goals of the psychologist, then historical research is nothing more than a search for instances bearing on general laws, a second-rate enterprise at best, in its lack of experimental methods and predictive capacities. Yet, if we accept the orientation of the historian, the psychologists' claims to trans-historical knowledge are destroyed. We find that whatever psychologists claim to be true about human nature is nothing more than their application of historically and culturally fashioned concepts to the ever-shifting conventions of the times. This was the import of my 1973 paper, 'Social Psychology as History', and a major reason for its vociferous negation among my peers.¹

xii

¹ K. J. Gergen, 'Social psychology as history', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 26 (1973), 309–20.



Foreword xiii

It is against this background that the present volume is a welcome addition. To be sure, strong echoes remain of these longstanding differences. Yet, as the editors so keenly realize, there are significant cracks in the walls of the disciplines. Curious scholars wander across the territories, and, as they do, creative hybrids emerge. One discerns, for example, that virtually all historical accounts contain at least an implicit psychology, unarticulated assumptions about why people act as they do. And one sees that even within the cause-and-effect paradigm of psychology – and its realization in the experimental method – there is a rudimentary narrative at play. Extend the cause–effect sequence into the past and you are soon doing history. The blurring of genres is nowhere as evident as in the recent interest in social memory. Here the laminations of connection are rich indeed. At the outset, this work functions meta-theoretically. That is, it concerns itself with how our understanding of history is socially constituted. At the same time, these accounts are teaming with broadly applicable generalizations about the nature of this process. And as well, the particular cases used to support these ideas are typically the result of research into a specific period of history.

Thus, in the present volume we are treated to a rich array of boundary-crossing adventures. We come to see how psychoanalysis can introduce alternative conceptions of time into historical analysis, the limits and potentials of 'neurohistory,' the historical location of conceptions of self, the wrestling of historians with issues of emotion, the potentials of cognitive psychology for historical understanding, and the blending of disciplines in social representation research. And we are also introduced to ways in which history and psychology can draw from each other in their shared concerns with race prejudice, ideology, national character and self-esteem. Of special note, one sees in these chapters how a given psychological concept (e.g. motivation, emotion, memory, prejudice) can function productively in both psychology and historical inquiry, but in different ways.

I join with the editors of this work in the hope that these chapters will serve as the beginning of a new and more vital relationship between psychologists and historians. Each tradition requires the other in order to reflect wisely on the limits and potentials of its otherwise taken-forgranted assumptions. More importantly, disciplinary boundaries are invariably an impediment to creative scholarship. However, in my view the possibility for future dialogue will be vastly enhanced by casting away the realist/empiricist assumptions still pervading both the disciplines of psychology and history. As long as psychologists and historians continue to believe that their descriptions and explanations are capturing the contours of the world as it is, the alienating tensions will remain. If it is an



xiv Foreword

objective fact that the world of human activity is made of unique composites of action and circumstance, there is no place for general laws or principles. If world leaders are, in fact, free to make decisions, and moral deliberation may change the course of one's action, then the discourse of psychological mechanics is mystifying. Yet, if it is objectively true that the world is composed of continuous repetitions of cause–effect patterns, there is little place for history as we know it. If psychoanalysis, social evolution, sociobiology, or neuro-behaviourism are true, then traditional historical study will perish.

In my view, it is far more promising to adopt a constructionist alternative to the realist/empiricist tradition. If we give up the idea that discourse serves as a potentially accurate map or picture of an independent world, and begin to understand the way in which our forms of representation shape the outcomes of our inquiry, we move into a new space of evaluating our endeavours. To be sure, historians would have to give up 'the noble dream' of an objective history.2 And psychologists would have to abandon their view of infinite progress in prediction. However, we could begin to ask more generative questions of both pragmatic and moral/ ideological import. We would not ask whether any given account – either historical or experimental - is transcendentally true, but what contribution such accounts make to the human condition. Historical accounts are often valuable in stimulating critical and/or appreciative reflection on our traditions, contributing to moral and ideological deliberation, and providing a sense of how we came to be as we are. Psychological accounts can provide an array of lenses through which we can appraise our actions, and thus consider multiple policies and practices of broad utility. At certain times and places, our capacities for prediction may even be improved. All this may occur without claims to obdurate objectivity. Most importantly for the present volume, without the impediments of such claims, we are liberated to create novel amalgams of unlimited variety - merging, borrowing, translating, reducing, and so forth. Removing the quest for transcendent truth, we may cross boundaries and combine resources to generate the kinds of inquiry that contribute to futures of value to humankind. It is neither to the past nor the eternal present that our inquiries in history and psychology properly contribute, but to our future lives together. Such endeavours may bring us all into productive and impassioned deliberation.

² P. Novick, That Noble Dream: the "Objectivity Question" and the American Historical Profession (Cambridge University Press, 1988).



Acknowledgements

Our thanks are due, first of all, to the contributors for the enthusiasm with which they responded to our invitation and for their continuing support and encouragement. We are especially grateful to Ken Gergen for the illuminating and thought-provoking foreword, which sets the scene for the book.

The contributions by Joan W. Scott, Jeremy T. Burman, Carolyn J. Dean and Ivana Marková have previously appeared elsewhere, either in their entirety or in part. The editors are grateful to the following journals or publishers for the permission to include in this volume work previously published under their auspices. Weslevan University and Wiley-Blackwell Publishing for Joan W. Scott, 'The incommensurability of psychoanalysis and history' History and Theory 51, 1 (February 2012), 63-83 (reproduced in full in Chapter 2); The American Psychological Association for Jeremy T. Burman, 'History from within? Contextualizing the new neurohistory and seeking its methods', History of Psychology 15 (2012), 84-99 (published in modified form in Chapter 3); Springer for Ivana Marková, 'Method and explanation in history and in social representations', Integrative Psychological and Behavioral Science 46, 4 (2012), 457-74 (amended version published in Chapter 5) and Blackwell Publishers for Carolyn J. Dean, 'Redefining historical identities: sexuality, gender, and the self', in L. Kramer and S. Maza (eds.), A Companion to Western Historical Thought (Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2002), pp. 357-71 (amended version published in Chapter 6).

We would also like to thank Paul Churchland for the permission to reproduce the figure in Chapter 3, and the British Museum for the permission to publish the illustrations that feature in Chapter 12.

We are grateful to the editors at Cambridge University Press and especially Hetty Marx, Carrie Parkinson and Fleur Jones for supporting our project, to Alison Walker for her meticulous copy-editing, and Rob Wilkinson for the efficient management of the production process.

Finally, we would like to thank the family of Vladan Čokić from Mladenovac in Serbia for the exquisite, home-made slivovitz that we enjoyed while poring over the merits of interdisciplinarity.

XV