

## UNITED STATES - DEFINITIVE ANTI-DUMPING AND COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON CERTAIN PRODUCTS FROM CHINA

### Report of the Appellate Body WT/DS379/AB/R

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body  
 on 25 March 2011*

China, *Appellant*  
 United States, *Appellee*  
 Argentina, *Third Participant*  
 Australia, *Third Participant*  
 Bahrain, *Third Participant*  
 Brazil, *Third Participant*  
 Canada, *Third Participant*  
 European Union<sup>1</sup>, *Third Participant*  
 India, *Third Participant*  
 Japan, *Third Participant*  
 Kuwait, *Third Participant*  
 Mexico, *Third Participant*  
 Norway, *Third Participant*  
 Saudi Arabia, *Third Participant*  
 Separate Customs Territory of Taiwan,  
 Penghu, Kinmen and Matsu, *Third  
 Participant*  
 Turkey, *Third Participant*

Present:

Ramírez-Hernández,  
 Presiding Member  
 Bautista, Member  
 Van den Bossche, Member

---

<sup>1</sup> This dispute began before the entry into force of the *Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community* (done at Lisbon, 13 December 2007) on 1 December 2009. On 30 November 2009, the World Trade Organization received a Verbal Note (WT/L/779) from the Council of the European Union and the Commission of the European Communities stating that, by virtue of the *Treaty of Lisbon*, as of 1 December 2009, the "European Union" replaces and succeeds the "European Community". On 13 July 2010, the World Trade Organization received a Verbal Note (WT/Let/679) from the Council of the European Union confirming that, with effect from 1 December 2009, the European Union replaced the European Community and assumed all the rights and obligations of the European Community in respect of all Agreements for which the Director-General of the World Trade Organization is the depositary and to which the European Community is a signatory or a contracting party. We understand the reference in the Verbal

---

 Report of the Appellate Body
 

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	2883
II. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTICIPANTS AND THE THIRD PARTICIPANTS.....	2891
A. Claims of Error by China – Appellant .....	2891
1. Article 1.1(a)(1) of the SCM Agreement: Public Bodies .....	2892
(a) The Ordinary Meaning of the Terms of the Treaty.....	2893
(i) Dictionary Definitions.....	2893
(ii) Context .....	2893
(iii) Object and Purpose of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> .....	2895
(iv) Interpretation by the Appellate Body in Canada – Dairy.....	2896
(v) Reference to Municipal Laws.....	2897
(b) The ILC Articles.....	2898
(i) Status of the ILC Articles.....	2899
(ii) Relevance of the ILC Articles .....	2900
(c) The USDOC's "Public Body" Determinations...	2901
2. Article 2 of the SCM Agreement: Specificity.....	2902
(a) Article 2.1(a) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> : "Subsidy" and "Explicitly" .....	2903
(i) Interpretation .....	2904
(ii) Application .....	2905
(b) Article 2.1(a) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> : "Certain Enterprises" .....	2906
(c) Article 2.2 of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> .....	2907
3. Article 14 of the SCM Agreement: Calculation of the Benefit.....	2908
(a) Article 14(d) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> : Input Benchmarks .....	2908

---

Notes to the "European Community" to be a reference to the "European Communities". Thus, although the European Communities reserved its right to participate in the Panel proceedings as a third party, and the Panel referred to the European Communities in its Report, the European Union filed its third participant's submission in this appeal after the entry into force of the *Treaty of Lisbon*, and we will thus refer to the European Union in this Report.

US - Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties (China)	
(i)	Interpretation of Article 14(d) of the SCM Agreement ..... 2909
(ii)	Application of Article 14(d) of the SCM Agreement ..... 2913
(iii)	The USDOC's Rationale for Finding Distortion ..... 2915
(b)	Article 14(b) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> : Loan Benchmarks ..... 2916
(i)	Rejection of Interest Rates in China as Benchmarks under Article 14(b) of the SCM Agreement ..... 2917
(ii)	Consistency with Article 14(b) of the Benchmarks Actually Used by the USDOC ..... 2921
4.	Articles 10, 19.3, 19.4, and 32.1 of the SCM Agreement and Article VI:3 of the GATT 1994: "Double Remedies" ..... 2924
(a)	The Purpose of Countervailing Duties ..... 2925
(b)	Interpretation of Article 19.4 of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> and Article VI:3 of the GATT 1994 ..... 2926
(c)	Interpretation of Article 19.3 of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> ..... 2931
(d)	Consequential Claims under Articles 10 and 32.1 of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> ..... 2932
(e)	Completion of the Analysis ..... 2932
B.	Arguments of the United States – Appellee ..... 2933
1.	Article 1.1(a)(1) of the SCM Agreement: Public Bodies ..... 2933
(a)	The Ordinary Meaning of the Terms of the Treaty ..... 2933
(i)	Dictionary Definitions ..... 2933
(ii)	Context ..... 2934
(iii)	Object and Purpose of the SCM Agreement ..... 2937
(iv)	Reference to Municipal Laws ..... 2938
(b)	The ILC Articles ..... 2938
(i)	Status of the ILC Articles ..... 2939
(ii)	Relevance of the ILC Articles ..... 2939
(c)	Findings by Previous Panels ..... 2941

Report of the Appellate Body

	(d)	The USDOC's "Public Body" Determinations...	2942
2.		Article 2 of the SCM Agreement: Specificity.....	2942
	(a)	Article 2.1(a) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> : "Subsidy" and "Explicitly" .....	2942
	(i)	Interpretation .....	2942
	(ii)	Application .....	2944
	(b)	Article 2.1(a) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> : "Certain Enterprises" .....	2945
	(c)	Article 2.2 of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> .....	2947
3.		Article 14 of the SCM Agreement: Calculation of the Benefit.....	2948
	(a)	Article 14(d) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> : Input Benchmarks .....	2948
	(i)	Interpretation of Article 14(d) of the SCM Agreement.....	2949
	(ii)	Application of Article 14(d) of the SCM Agreement.....	2951
	(iii)	The USDOC's Rationale for Finding Distortion.....	2952
	(b)	Article 14(b) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> : Loan Benchmarks .....	2953
	(i)	Rejection of Interest Rates in China as the Benchmark under Article 14(b) of the SCM Agreement.....	2954
	(ii)	Consistency with Article 14(b) of the Benchmarks Actually Used by the USDOC .....	2958
4.		Articles 10, 19.3, 19.4, and 32.1 of the SCM Agreement and Article VI:3 of the GATT 1994: "Double Remedies".....	2960
	(a)	China's Accession Protocol .....	2960
	(b)	Interpretation of Article 19.4 of the <i>SCM</i> <i>Agreement</i> and Article VI:3 of the GATT 1994 .....	2960
	(c)	Interpretation of Article 19.3 of the <i>SCM</i> <i>Agreement</i> .....	2962
	(d)	Context of the Relevant Terms of the <i>SCM</i> <i>Agreement</i> .....	2963
	(e)	Consequential Claims under Articles 10 and 32.1 of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> .....	2966

---

 US - Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties (China)
 

---

	(f) Completion of the Analysis.....	2966
C.	Arguments of the Third Participants .....	2967
	1. Argentina.....	2967
	2. Australia.....	2968
	3. Brazil.....	2971
	4. Canada.....	2972
	5. European Union .....	2973
	6. India .....	2977
	7. Japan.....	2978
	8. Mexico .....	2980
	9. Norway.....	2981
	10. Saudi Arabia.....	2982
	11. Turkey .....	2983
III.	ISSUES RAISED IN THIS APPEAL .....	2984
A.	Introduction .....	2986
IV.	ARTICLE 1.1(A)(1) OF THE <i>SCM AGREEMENT</i> : PUBLIC BODIES.....	2988
A.	Introduction .....	2988
B.	Article 1.1(a)(1) of the SCM Agreement.....	2990
	1. The Meaning of the Term "Public Body" .....	2990
	2. Further Allegations of Error.....	3006
	3. Application of Article 1.1 of the SCM Agreement to the USDOC's Determinations.....	3011
	4. China's Consequential Claims under Articles 10 and 32.1 of the SCM Agreement .....	3018
C.	Conclusion.....	3018
V.	ARTICLE 2 OF THE <i>SCM AGREEMENT</i> : SPECIFICITY.....	3019
A.	Article 2.1(a) of the SCM Agreement .....	3019
	1. Interpretation of Article 2.1 of the SCM Agreement ....	3020
	2. Application of Article 2.1(a) of the SCM Agreement... 3027	
	(a) Whether the Panel erred in finding that the USDOC identified an explicit limitation on access to the subsidy .....	3027
	(b) Whether the Panel erred in finding that the USDOC had a sufficient basis on which to determine that the subsidy was limited to "certain enterprises" .....	3031
B.	Article 2.2 of the SCM Agreement.....	3038

Report of the Appellate Body

	1.	The Panel's Interpretation of the Term "Subsidy" in Article 2.2 .....	3040
	2.	The Panel's Statements Regarding a "Distinct Regime" .....	3041
VI.		ARTICLE 14 OF THE <i>SCM AGREEMENT</i> : CALCULATION OF THE BENEFIT .....	3045
	A.	Article 14(d): Benchmarks for Input Prices .....	3045
		1. Introduction.....	3045
		2. Interpretation of Article 14(d) of the SCM Agreement.....	3047
		3. The Panel's Assessment of the USDOC's Determination to Reject In-Country Private Prices in China as Benchmarks for HRS Inputs .....	3053
		4. Article 11 of the DSU .....	3056
	B.	Article 14(b): Benchmarks for Loans .....	3058
		1. Introduction.....	3058
		2. Interpretation of Article 14(b) of the SCM Agreement.....	3060
		3. The Panel's Assessment of the USDOC's Decision Not to Rely on Interest Rates in China as Benchmarks for SOCB Loans Denominated in RMB ..	3066
		4. The Panel's Assessment of the Proxy Benchmark Actually Used by the USDOC to Calculate the Benefit from RMB-Denominated SOCB Loans .....	3072
		(a) China's Claim under Article 11 of the DSU .....	3073
		(b) Completion of the Analysis Regarding the Consistency of the USDOC's Proxy Benchmark with Article 14(b) of the <i>SCM Agreement</i> .....	3078
VII.		ARTICLES 10, 19.3, 19.4, AND 32.1 OF THE <i>SCM AGREEMENT</i> AND ARTICLE VI:3 OF THE GATT 1994: "DOUBLE REMEDIES" .....	3081
	A.	Introduction.....	3081
	B.	Interpretation of Articles 19.3 and 19.4 of the SCM Agreement and Article VI:3 of the GATT 1994.....	3084
		1. Article 19.3 of the SCM Agreement.....	3085
		2. Article 19.4 of the SCM Agreement and Article VI:3 of the GATT 1994 .....	3100
		3. Conclusion .....	3102
	C.	Completion of the Analysis.....	3102

## US - Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties (China)

D.	Articles 10 and 32.1 of the SCM Agreement .....	3107
VIII.	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION .....	3108

ANNEX I Notification of an Appeal by China, WT/DS379/6

## CASES CITED IN THIS REPORT

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>Argentina – Footwear (EC)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Argentina – Safeguard Measures on Imports of Footwear</i> , WT/DS121/AB/R, adopted 12 January 2000, DSR 2000:I, 515
<i>Australia – Apples</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples from New Zealand</i> , WT/DS367/AB/R, adopted 17 December 2010
<i>Australia – Salmon</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon</i> , WT/DS18/AB/R, adopted 6 November 1998, DSR 1998:VIII, 3327
<i>Australia – Salmon (Article 21.5 – Canada)</i>	Panel Report, <i>Australia – Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon – Recourse to Article 21.5 of the DSU by Canada</i> , WT/DS18/RW, adopted 20 March 2000, DSR 2000:IV, 2031
<i>Brazil – Aircraft</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Brazil – Export Financing Programme for Aircraft</i> , WT/DS46/AB/R, adopted 20 August 1999, DSR 1999:III, 1161
<i>Brazil – Aircraft (Article 22.6 – Brazil)</i>	Decision by the Arbitrators, <i>Brazil – Export Financing Programme for Aircraft – Recourse to Arbitration by Brazil under Article 22.6 of the DSU and Article 4.11 of the SCM Agreement</i> , WT/DS46/ARB, 28 August 2000, DSR 2002:I, 19
<i>Canada – Aircraft</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Measures Affecting the Export of Civilian Aircraft</i> , WT/DS70/AB/R, adopted 20 August 1999, DSR 1999:III, 1377
<i>Canada – Autos</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Certain Measures Affecting the Automotive Industry</i> , WT/DS139/AB/R, WT/DS142/AB/R, adopted 19 June 2000, DSR 2000:VI, 2985

## Report of the Appellate Body

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>Canada – Continued Suspension</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute</i> , WT/DS321/AB/R, adopted 14 November 2008
<i>Canada – Dairy</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Canada – Measures Affecting the Importation of Milk and the Exportation of Dairy Products</i> , WT/DS103/AB/R, WT/DS113/AB/R and Corr.1, adopted 27 October 1999, DSR 1999:V, 2057
<i>China – Auto Parts</i>	Panel Reports, <i>China – Measures Affecting Imports of Automobile Parts</i> , WT/DS339/R, WT/DS340/R, WT/DS342/R and Add.1 and Add.2, adopted 12 January 2009, as upheld (WT/DS339/R) and as modified (WT/DS340/R, WT/DS342/R) by Appellate Body Reports WT/DS339/AB/R, WT/DS340/AB/R, WT/DS342/AB/R
<i>EC and certain member States – Large Civil Aircraft</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities and Certain Member States – Measures Affecting Trade in Large Civil Aircraft</i> , WT/DS316/R, circulated to WTO Members 30 June 2010 (not yet adopted)
<i>EC – Countervailing Measures on DRAM Chips</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Countervailing Measures on Dynamic Random Access Memory Chips from Korea</i> , WT/DS299/R, adopted 3 August 2005, DSR 2005:XVIII, 8671
<i>EC – Salmon (Norway)</i>	Panel Report, <i>European Communities – Anti-Dumping Measure on Farmed Salmon from Norway</i> , WT/DS337/R, adopted 15 January 2008, and Corr.1, DSR 2008:I, 3
<i>EC – Sardines</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>European Communities – Trade Description of Sardines</i> , WT/DS231/AB/R, adopted 23 October 2002, DSR 2002:VIII, 3359
<i>Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Japan – Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages</i> , WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R, adopted 1 November 1996, DSR 1996:I, 97

## US - Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties (China)

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>Japan – DRAMs (Korea)</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Japan – Countervailing Duties on Dynamic Random Access Memories from Korea</i> , WT/DS336/AB/R and Corr.1, adopted 17 December 2007, DSR 2007:VII, 2703
<i>Japan – DRAMs (Korea)</i>	Panel Report, <i>Japan – Countervailing Duties on Dynamic Random Access Memories from Korea</i> , WT/DS336/R, adopted 17 December 2007, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS336/AB/R, DSR 2007:VII, 2805
<i>Korea – Commercial Vessels</i>	Panel Report, <i>Korea – Measures Affecting Trade in Commercial Vessels</i> , WT/DS273/R, adopted 11 April 2005, DSR 2005:VII, 2749
<i>Korea – Dairy</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Korea – Definitive Safeguard Measure on Imports of Certain Dairy Products</i> , WT/DS98/AB/R, adopted 12 January 2000, DSR 2000:I, 3
<i>Korea – Various Measures on Beef</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>Korea – Measures Affecting Imports of Fresh, Chilled and Frozen Beef</i> , WT/DS161/AB/R, WT/DS169/AB/R, adopted 10 January 2001, DSR 2001:I, 5
<i>US – Carbon Steel</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Countervailing Duties on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Germany</i> , WT/DS213/AB/R and Corr.1, adopted 19 December 2002, DSR 2002:IX, 3779
<i>US – Continued Suspension</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Continued Suspension of Obligations in the EC – Hormones Dispute</i> , WT/DS320/AB/R, adopted 14 November 2008, DSR 2008:X, 3507
<i>US – Continued Zeroing</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Continued Existence and Application of Zeroing Methodology</i> , WT/DS350/AB/R, adopted 19 February 2009
<i>US – Corrosion-Resistant Steel Sunset Review</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Sunset Review of Anti-Dumping Duties on Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan</i> , WT/DS244/AB/R, adopted 9 January 2004, DSR 2004:I, 3

## Report of the Appellate Body

Short title	Full case title and citation
<i>US – Cotton Yarn</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Transitional Safeguard Measure on Combed Cotton Yarn from Pakistan</i> , WT/DS192/AB/R, adopted 5 November 2001, DSR 2001:XII, 6027
<i>US – Countervailing Duty Investigation on DRAMS</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Countervailing Duty Investigation on Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors (DRAMS) from Korea</i> , WT/DS296/AB/R, adopted 20 July 2005, DSR 2005:XVI, 8131
<i>US – Countervailing Duty Investigation on DRAMS</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Countervailing Duty Investigation on Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors (DRAMS) from Korea</i> , WT/DS296/R, adopted 20 July 2005, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS296/AB/R, DSR 2005:XVII, 8243
<i>US – Countervailing Measures on Certain EC Products</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Countervailing Measures Concerning Certain Products from the European Communities</i> , WT/DS212/AB/R, adopted 8 January 2003, DSR 2003:I, 5
<i>US – Export Restraints</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Measures Treating Exports Restraints as Subsidies</i> , WT/DS194/R and Corr.2, adopted 23 August 2001, DSR 2001:XI, 5767
<i>US – FSC (Article 22.6 – US)</i>	Decision by the Arbitrator, <i>United States – Tax Treatment for "Foreign Sales Corporations" – Recourse to Arbitration by the United States under Article 22.6 of the DSU and Article 4.11 of the SCM Agreement</i> , WT/DS108/ARB, 30 August 2002, DSR 2002:VI, 2517
<i>US – Gambling</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Measures Affecting the Cross-Border Supply of Gambling and Betting Services</i> , WT/DS285/AB/R, adopted 20 April 2005, DSR 2005:XII, 5663 (Corr.1, DSR 2006:XII, 5475)
<i>US – Gambling</i>	Panel Report, <i>United States – Measures Affecting the Cross-Border Supply of Gambling and Betting Services</i> , WT/DS285/R, adopted 20 April 2005, as modified by Appellate Body Report WT/DS285/AB/R, DSR 2005:XII, 5797
<i>US – Hot-Rolled Steel</i>	Appellate Body Report, <i>United States – Anti-Dumping Measures on Certain Hot-Rolled Steel Products from Japan</i> , WT/DS184/AB/R, adopted 23 August 2001, DSR 2001:X, 4697