

Pragmatic Markers in British English

Fundamental to oral fluency, pragmatic markers facilitate the flow of spontaneous, interactional and social conversation. Variously termed 'hedges', 'fumbles' and 'conversational greasers' in earlier academic studies, this book explores the meaning, function and role of well, I mean, just, sort of, like and you know in British English. Adopting a sociolinguistic and historical perspective, Beeching investigates how these six commonly occurring pragmatic markers are used and the ways in which their current meanings and functions have evolved. Informed by empirical data from a wide range of contemporary and historical sources, including a small corpus of spoken English collected in 2011–14, the British National Corpus and the Old Bailey Corpus, Pragmatic Markers in British English contributes to debates about language variation and change, incrementation in adolescence and grammaticalisation and pragmaticalisation. The volume makes fascinating reading for researchers and students in linguistics and English, as well as non-specialists intrigued by this speech phenomenon.

KATE BEECHING is Associate Professor in Applied Linguistics at UWE, Bristol, and Director of the Bristol Centre for Linguistics.





Pragmatic Markers in British English

Meaning in Social Interaction

Kate Beeching

University of the West of England, Bristol





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107032767

© Kate Beeching 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Beeching, Kate, author.

Pragmatic markers in British English: meaning in social

interaction / Kate Beeching.

Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, [2016] LCCN 2015037454 | ISBN 9781107032767 (hardback)

 $LCSH: English\ language-Great\ Britain-Grammar.\ |$

Discourse markers – Social aspects. | Pragmatics – Social aspects. | Pragmatics – Variation. | Linguistic change. | Interlanguage (Language

learning) - Social aspects. | Intercultural communication - Social aspects. |

Great Britain - Languages. | Sociolinguistics - Research.

LCC P302.35 .B44 2016 | DDC 427/.941-dc23

LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2015037454

ISBN 978-1-107-03276-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Lis	t of j	figures	page vii
List of tables			viii
Acknowledgements			xiii
List of abbreviations			XV
		ription conventions	xvi
114	irisci	iption conventions	AVI
1	·Wε	ell I mean I just sort of like you know'	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	What are pragmatic markers? Terms, categories and functions	3
	1.3	Pragmatic markers and their functions in conversation	4
	1.4	Polysemous, multifunctional and open to interpretation	6
	1.5	Sociolinguistic aspects of pragmatic markers	10
	1.6	The historical development of pragmatic markers	18
2	Cor	pus approaches, choice of markers and methodology	27
	2.1	Corpus approaches and data investigated	27
	2.2	Synchronic corpora	28
	2.3	Choice of markers	31
	2.4	Commonly occurring markers, D-values and collocations	32
	2.5	Exploring attitudes to pragmatic markers	38
	2.6	Methodologies for exploring discourse variation and change	41
	2.7	Previous historical studies and diachronic corpora	45
	2.8	Overview of the methodology	49
3	Wel	1	51
	3.1	Introduction	51
	3.2	Functions in social interaction	52
	3.3	Sociolinguistic features	60
	3.4	Historical semantic change	70
	3.5	Conclusion	74
4	Just		76
	4.1	Introduction	76
	4.2	Functions	76
	4.3	Sociolinguistics	83
	4.4	Historical semantic change	93
	4.5	Conclusion	96



vi		Contents	
5	You	ı know	97
	5.1	Introduction	97
	5.2	Functions	98
	5.3	Sociolinguistic features	106
	5.4		116
	5.5	Conclusion	124
6	Lik	e	126
	6.1	Introduction	126
	6.2	Functions	127
	6.3	Sociolinguistics	136
	6.4	Historical semantic change	152
	6.5	Conclusion	154
7	Sor	t of	156
	7.1	Introduction	156
	7.2	Functions	156
	7.3	Sociolinguistics	160
	7.4	Historical semantic change	174
	7.5	Conclusion	182
8	I m	ean	183
	8.1	Introduction	183
	8.2	Functions	183
	8.3	Sociolinguistic features	190
	8.4	Historical semantic change	203
	8.5	Conclusion	208
9	Coı	nclusion	210
	9.1	Introduction	210
	9.2	Pragmatic markers and social interaction	210
	9.3	Macro sociolinguistic features	214
	9.4	Situational variation	222
	9.5	Historical semantic change	223
	9.6	The impact of social interaction on meaning: politeness-induced semantic	
		change	228
Αp	pend	lix A	230
Appendix A Appendix B		231	
Appendix C		234	
	ferei	ices	236
In	lov		252



Figures

2.1	Rates of occurrences of Like, Just, Well, You know, I mean and	
	Sort of per 10,000 words in the corpora selected for detailed	
	study as part of the pilot	page 37
4.1	Mean scores for the younger (student) subjects and the older	
	(choir/book group) subjects	93
5.1	A synchronic/diachronic map of the polysemy of you know	124
9.1	Increasing with age: Well, You know, I mean	219
9.2	Incrementation: Just, Like and Sort of	219
9.3	Average attitudinal scores on 'polite', 'educated' and 'friendly'	
	(PEF) for the six markers	221

vii



Tables

1.1	Labels used to designate forms like well, just, you know, sort of,	
	like and I mean	page 3
1.2	Gender differences in the use of hedges (Coates 2013: 48)	12
1.3	Layering of indexicality (adapted from Johnstone 2010: 32)	16
1.4	Figure–Ground shift (reproduced from Waltereit 2006: 68)	21
1.5	Verb-form reanalysis (reproduced from Waltereit 2006: 69)	21
2.1	Raw frequencies of occurrence of pragmatic marker forms in	
	the spoken corpora	34
2.2	Frequently occurring pragmatic markers with high cluster rates	
	in the spoken corpora	35
2.3	Commonly occurring clusters in the spoken corpora in	
	descending order of frequency	36
3.1	Raw numbers and rates of occurrence of well in different	
	genres in the BNC Sampler + UWE Role-play data	57
3.2	The functions of well in 100 representative occurrences	
	per sub-genre contained in the BNC Sampler + UWE	
	Role-play data	59
3.3	The distributional frequency of well in the BNC according to	
	social class	60
3.4	The distributional frequency of well in the BNC according to	
	gender	61
3.5	The distributional frequency of well in the BNC according	
	to age	61
3.6	Rates of occurrence of well in different genres in the British	
	National Corpus	64
3.7	Average scores for well on the Likert scales, with modes in	
	brackets	69
3.8	Older and younger respondents' average scores for well	70
4.1	The distribution of the meaning of <i>just</i> in informal	
	conversation in LLC (reproduced Aijmer 2002: 157) + my	
	recategorisation indicated in the column on the right	80

viii



	List of tables	ix
4.2	Rates of <i>just</i> usage in the BNC Sampler and UWE Role-play Corpus	80
4.3	The functions of <i>just</i> in 100 representative occurrences per sub-genre contained in the BNC Sampler + UWE	
	Role-play data	81
4.4	The distributional frequency of <i>just</i> in the BNC according to	
	social class	83
4.5	The distributional frequency of <i>just</i> in the BNC according to	
	gender	85
4.6	The distributional frequency of <i>just</i> in the BNC according	0.5
4.7	to age	85
4.7	The distributional frequency of <i>just</i> in the 15–24-year-old age group according to gender	85
4.8	Rates of occurrence of <i>just</i> in 15–24-year-old females, as a	83
7.0	function of social class	86
4.9	Distributional frequency of <i>just</i> across different spoken genres	88
4.10	Average scores for <i>just</i> on the Likert scales, with modes in	00
	brackets	90
4.11	'Mode': Frequency of scores for 'just-direct'	91
4.12	'Mode': Frequency of scores for 'just-friendly'	91
5.1	Raw numbers and rates of occurrences of you know in different	
	genres in the BNC Sampler + UWE Role-play data	104
5.2	The functions of <i>you know</i> in 100 representative occurrences	
	per sub-genre contained in the BNC Sampler + UWE	105
<i>5</i> 2	Role-play data	105
5.3	The distributional frequency of <i>you know</i> in the BNC according to social class	107
5.4	The distributional frequency of <i>you know</i> in the BNC	107
J. 4	according to gender	108
5.5	The distributional frequency of <i>you know</i> in the BNC	100
0.0	according to age	109
5.6	Distributional frequency of <i>you know</i> in different genres in the	
	British National Corpus	110
5.7	Average attitudinal scores for you know on the Likert scales,	
	with modes in brackets	114
5.8	Average attitudinal scores for you know in younger and older	
	respondents	115
5.9	Figure-Ground shift (modelled on Waltereit 2006: 68)	122
5.10	Verb-form reanalysis: <i>y'know</i> (modelled on Waltereit	122
6.1	2006: 69) Raw numbers and rates of occurrence of <i>like</i> in different genres	122
0.1	in the BNC Sampler + UWE Role-play data	134



X	List of tables	
6.2	The functions of <i>like</i> in 100 representative occurrences per sub-genre contained in the BNC Sampler + UWE	10.5
6.3	Role-play data The distributional frequency of <i>like</i> in the BNC according to	135
6.4	social class The distributional frequency of forms and functions of <i>like</i> in 50 randomly selected examples, according to class	138 139
6.5	The distributional frequency of <i>like</i> in the BNC according to gender	140
6.6	The distributional frequency of <i>like</i> in the BNC according to age	141
6.7	The distributional frequency of <i>like</i> in the 15–24-year-old age-group according to gender	141
6.8	Rates of occurrence of <i>like</i> in 15–24-year-old females, as a function of social class	143
6.9	Rates of occurrence of <i>like</i> in 15–24-year-old males, as a function of social class	143
6.10	Distributional frequency of like across different genres	144
6.11	Distributional frequency of <i>like</i> in the spoken context-governed texts in the BNC	145
6.12	Kelly (2005) Likert scale for matched-guise test on <i>like</i>	149
6.13	Average scores for <i>like</i> on the Likert scales, with modes in brackets	151
6.14	Average scores for <i>like</i> on the Likert scales, divided by age-group, unrounded scores in brackets	151
7.1	Raw numbers and rates of occurrence of <i>sort of</i> in different genres in the BNC Sampler + UWE Role-play data	160
7.2	The functions of <i>sort of</i> in 100 representative occurrences per sub-genre contained in the BNC Sampler + UWE Role-play data	161
7.3	The distributional frequency of <i>sort of</i> in the BNC according to social class	162
7.4	The distributional frequency of <i>sort of</i> in the BNC according to gender	163
7.5	The distributional frequency of <i>sort of</i> in the BNC according to age	166
7.6	Distributional frequency of <i>sort of</i> across different spoken genres	168
7.7	Average scores for <i>sort of</i> on the Likert scales, with modes in brackets	171
7.8	Comparison of attitudes to <i>sort of</i> in the younger and older age-groups	172



	List of tables	xi
7.9	Rates of <i>sort of</i> and <i>kind of</i> in the BNC, COCA and UWE Role-play Corpus	172
7.10	Relative frequencies of <i>sort(s)</i> of from 1150-PDE (drawn from	1/2
- 11	Brems and Davidse 2010: 193)	177
7.11	Relative frequency of <i>sort of</i> in the Old Bailey data (1675–1913)	179
8.1	Raw numbers and rates of occurrence of <i>I mean</i> in different	
0.2	genres in the BNC Sampler + UWE Role-play data The functions of I mean in 100^3 representative occurrences	189
8.2	per sub-genre contained in the BNC Sampler + UWE	
	Role-play data	191
8.3	The distributional frequency of <i>I mean</i> in the BNC according to	100
8.4	social class The distributional frequency of <i>know what I mean</i> in the BNC	192
0.1	according to social class	193
8.5	The distributional frequency of <i>I mean</i> in the BNC according to	100
8.6	gender The distributional frequency of <i>know what I mean</i> in the BNC	193
0.0	according to gender	196
8.7	The distributional frequency of <i>I mean</i> in the BNC according	
8.8	to age The distributional frequency of <i>know what I mean</i> in the BNC	198
0.0	according to age	198
8.9	Distributional frequency of I mean across different spoken	
8.10	genres Average scores for <i>I mean</i> on the Likert scales, with modes in	199
8.10	brackets	202
8.11	Comparison of attitudes to <i>I mean</i> in the younger and older	
0.12	age-groups	203
8.12	Rates of occurrence of <i>I mean</i> per 10,000 words in the Giessen OBC (1720–1913)	205
8.13	Meanings and functions of the 82 occurrences of <i>I mean</i> in the	
0.1	Giessen OBC (1870–1879)	207
9.1	The functions of the six markers, in relation to conversational factors	211
9.2	D-values for the six markers in the BNC Sampler and UWE	
0.2	Role-play Corpus	212
9.3	D-values for six markers in the UWE Role-play Corpus, as a function of gender	213
9.4	Rates of occurrence of well, just, you know, like, sort of	213
	and <i>I mean</i> in the BNC, according to social class	215



xii	List of tables	
9.5	Rates of occurrence of well, just, you know, like, sort of	
	and I mean in the BNC, according to gender	217
9.6	Rates of occurrence of well, just, you know, like, sort of	
	and <i>I mean</i> in the BNC, according to age	218
9.7	Form-function reanalysis (after Waltereit 2006: 69)	226



Acknowledgements

This volume arose, firstly, out of my interest in the role of pragmatic markers in the negotiation of meaning in social interaction in contemporary spoken English and French and, secondly, out of a curiosity as to how the markers developed new meanings and functions as they developed historically. I owe a great debt of gratitude to colleagues with similar interests who have supported me and inspired me to pursue different lines of inquiry.

On the historical side, I would like to thank colleagues who contributed to a special panel on 'Meaning in Diachrony' at the iMean conference, University of the West of England, Bristol, in 2009 and whose papers and discussions have contributed enormously to my understanding of semantic change: Liesbeth Degand, Ulrich Detges, Richard Ingham, Pierre Larrivée, Corinne Rossari, Elizabeth Traugott, Jacqueline Visconti and Richard Waltereit. I would also like to acknowledge my indebtedness to Maj-Britt Mosegaard Hansen, whose intellectual acumen has been an inspiration to me.

My thanks go to my faculty for granting me research leave in early 2011, which permitted me to write the proposal for the volume, and to Sonia Branca and the Université de Paris-3 for welcoming me as a research scholar in February and March of that year.

More recently, discussions with colleagues in the DiPVaC (Discourse-Pragmatic Variation and Change) group, led by Heike Pichler, and which held its first meeting in Salford, Manchester, in 2012, have been instrumental in shaping not only my own thinking but the way that this exciting and burgeoning new field is developing. Special thanks go not only to Heike Pichler but also to Gisle Andersen, Jenny Cheshire, Alexandra D'Arcy, Stephen Levey, Sali Tagliamonte and Cathleen Walters.

Particular thanks go to Karin Aijmer, Laurel Brinton, Alexandra D'Arcy and Andreas Jucker for their comments on the first drafts of particular chapters and to anonymous reviewers for their overview of the book as a whole. The volume has been considerably improved on the basis of those comments. Remaining shortcomings are of course my own responsibility.

I would like to thank Qun Zheng, my PhD student, who successfully completed her thesis in 2012, for her enthusiasm and diligence and for collecting the

xiii



xiv Acknowledgements

2011 corpus of UWE Role-plays. I would also like to express my gratitude to the undergraduate students at UWE who agreed to have their voices recorded and to fill in attitudinal questionnaires, and to my Book Group and Bath Cantata Group, who also agreed to fill in questionnaires on their attitudes to the markers. Without them, the book would not have been possible.

Finally, many thanks to all my colleagues in the Bristol Centre for Linguistics for insightful comments on oral presentations of ongoing work and to friends and family who have supported me and kept me supplied with regular meals and cups of coffee throughout the writing of this volume.



Abbreviations

AB BNC social class category: refers to top or middle management,

administrative or professional classes

BNC British National Corpus

C1 BNC social class category: refers to junior management, supervisory

or clerical workers

C2 BNC social class category: refers to skilled manual workers

COCA Corpus of Contemporary American English
COLT Corpus of London Teenage Language

DE BNC social class category: refers to semi-skilled or unskilled workers

EModE Early Modern English

FTA Face-Threatening Act (Brown and Levinson [1978] 1987)

GCI Generalised Conversational Implicature

ICE-GB The British component of the International Corpus of English
IITSC Invited Inferencing Theory of Semantic Change (Traugott and

Dasher 2002)

LLC London-Lund Corpus

M1 Meaning 1
M2 Meaning 2
ME Middle English
OBC Old Bailey Corpus
OE Old English

OED Oxford English Dictionary

PCI Particularised Conversational Implicature

PEF Average score for 'Polite', 'Educated' and 'Friendly' on the attitu-

dinal questionnaires



Transcription conventions

Transcription conventions in the UWE Role-play data (adapted from Coates (ed.) 1998; Cameron 2001)

/ a slash indicates the end of a tone group or chunk of talk in the middle

of a turn.

[...] square brackets are used to indicate overlapping speech (where

speakers talk simultaneously).

an equals sign is used to indicate latching (where there is no gap or

pause between speakers' turns and one speaker's utterance 'latches'

directly onto the previous speaker's utterance).

(.) a full-stop in round brackets indicates a short pause.

fantASTic capital letters refer to words/syllables uttered with emphasis.

summer? a question mark indicates the end of a chunk of talk which is being

analysed as a question.

XXX is used to anonymise any names used in the text.

((I mean)) double round brackets indicate that there is doubt about the accuracy

of the transcription.

((xxxxx)) double round brackets enclosing several 'x's indicate untranscrib-

able material.

<gonna> single angled brackets after the word show that it is pronounced in a

particular way, for example, 'going to <goin' to>' or 'going to

<gonna>'; 'birthday <birfday>' 'kind of <kinda>'.

double angled brackets are used to give extralinguistic material such

as laughter, groaning or yawning.

Symbols used in discourse transcriptions drawn from the ICE-GB Corpus (Aijmer 2013: front matter)

<,> short pause
... short pause
<,,> long pause
... long pause

xvi

<<laughter>>



Transcription conventions

xvii

=	lengthening
<.> .	incomplete words
<0> 0	untranscribed text
[]	speech overlap
<x x=""></x>	uncertain hearing
(H)	inhalation
%	glottal stop
(TSK)	type of vocal noise