

Reputation and Judicial Tactics

This book argues that national and international courts seek to enhance their reputations through the strategic exercise of judicial power. Courts often cannot enforce their judgments and must rely on reputational sanctions to ensure compliance. One way to do this is for courts to improve their reputation for generating compliance with their judgments. When the court's reputation is increased, parties will be expected to comply with its judgments, and the reputational sanction on a party that fails to comply will be higher. This strategy allows national and international courts, which cannot enforce their judgments against states and executives, to improve the likelihood that their judgments will be complied with over time. This book describes the judicial tactics that courts use to shape their judgments in ways that maximize their reputational gains.

DR. SHAI DOTHAN is a senior researcher with the Global Trust Project at Tel Aviv University and an adjunct professor in the faculty of law at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.



COMPARATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND POLICY

Series Editors:

Tom Ginsburg University of Chicago

Zachary Elkins University of Texas at Austin

> Ran Hirschl University of Toronto

Comparative constitutional law is an intellectually vibrant field that encompasses an increasingly broad array of approaches and methodologies. This series collects analytically innovative and empirically grounded work from scholars of comparative constitutionalism across academic disciplines. Books in the series include theoretically informed studies of single constitutional jurisdictions, comparative studies of constitutional law and institutions, and edited collections of original essays that respond to challenging theoretical and empirical questions in the field.

Volumes in the Series

- Comparative Constitutional Design edited by Tom Ginsburg (2012)
- Consequential Courts: Judicial Roles in Global Perspective edited by Diana Kapiszewski, Gordon Silverstein, and Robert A. Kagan (2013)
- Social and Political Foundations of Constitutions edited by Denis J. Galligan and Mila Versteeg (2013)
- Presidential Legislation in India: The Law and Practice of Ordinances by Shubhankar Dam (2014)
- Constitutions in Authoritarian Regimes edited by Tom Ginsburg and Alberto Simpser (2014)
- $Social\ Difference\ and\ Constitutionalism\ in\ Pan\text{-}Asia\ edited}$ by Susan H. Williams (2014)
- Constitutionalism in Asia in the Early Twenty-First Century edited by Albert Chen (2014)
- Constitutions and Religious Freedom Frank Cross (2014)
- Reputation and Judicial Tactics: A Theory of National and International Courts by Shai Dothan (2015)



Reputation and Judicial Tactics

A Theory of National and International Courts

SHAI DOTHAN

Tel Aviv University, Faculty of Law





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107031135

© Shai Dothan 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Dothan, Shai, author.

Reputation and judicial tactics : a theory of national and international courts / Shai Dothan.

pages cm – (Comparative constitutional law and policy)

ISBN 978-1-107-03113-5 (Hardback)

1. Courts. 2. Judges. 3. Reputation (Law) I. Title.

K2100.D68 2014

347'.01-dc23 2014021012

ISBN 978-1-107-03113-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To my parents





Contents

Acknowledgments		page xii
1	Introduction	1
	A. Courts as long-term strategic actors that	
	seek to maximize their reputations	3
2	A theory of the reputation of courts	7
	A. What is courts' reputation?	8
	B. Why courts want to increase their	
	reputation	9
	C. The reputation of parties facing the court	t 12
	D. How courts improve their reputations	23
	1. Demanding judgments	30
	2. Discretionary reasoning	35
	3. Dissent	39
	E. Reputation and public support	46
	F. Methods for measuring judicial reputatio	n 47
	G. What this theory can and cannot explain	. 52
	H. Conclusion	60

| vii



Contents

3	Co	nstraints on courts	62
	A.	Legal-internal constraints	63
	В.	External constraints on national courts	69
		1. Noncompliance	70
		2. Criticism	76
		3. Curbing the court's jurisdiction	78
		4. Changing the law	80
		5. Establishing new courts	80
		6. Influencing judicial selection and	
		sanctions against judges	83
		7. Manipulating the court's budget	86
	C.	External constraints on international	
		courts	87
		1. Noncompliance	89
		2. Criticism	90
		3. Exiting the court's jurisdiction	92
		4. Changing the treaty	95
		5. Establishing new courts	97
		6. Influencing judicial selection and	
		sanctions against judges	98
		7. Manipulating the court's budget	101
	D.	Comparing the constraints on national and	
		international courts	102
	E.	The influence of third parties on judicial	
		constraints	105
	F.	Conclusion and the tradeoff between	
		external and internal constraints	119

viii |



		Contents
4	Tactics to increase courts' reputation	114
	A. Walking on the brink of noncompliance	117
	B. Matching the remedy to the court's	
	reputation	122
	C. Matching the reasoning to the court's	
	reputation	124
	D. Matching the remedy to the reasoning	128
	E. Incrementalism	131
	F. Distinguishing remedy and precedent	136
	G. Matching the demands to the party	140
	H. Pleasing both parties	148
	I. Spending reputation	150
	J. Conclusion	155
5	National court case study:	
	Israeli Supreme Court	157
	A. Background	158
	B. The court's strategy	163
	1. Shifting to discretionary reasoning as	;
	the court's reputation increases	164
	2. Matching demanding judgments with	Ļ
	constrained reasoning	170
	3. Incrementally progressing doctrine	177
	4. Matching demanding precedents with	ı
	nondemanding remedies	181
	C. The executive's response	183
	D. The court's adaptation	191
	E. Conclusion	211

| ix



Contents

6	International court case study:	
	European Court of Human Rights	212
	A. Background	215
	B. The court's strategy	220
	1. Increasing the demands from the states	223
	2. Progressing incrementally	236
	C. Facing the states' strategy	239
	1. Demanding more from low-reputation	
	states	242
	D. Conclusion and the falsifiability of	
	conflicting tendencies	257
7	When compliance is irrelevant	262
	A. Almost certain compliance	264
	B. Almost certain noncompliance	270
	C. No tangible compliance required	281
	D. Other unique situations	285
	E. Conclusion	290
8	Conclusions	291
	A. Summary	291
	B. Normative implications	295
Biblio	graphy	303
$B\epsilon$	Books	
Articles		
$J\iota$	udgments	315
Is	raeli Supreme Court	315
E^{i}	uropean Court of Human Rights	318

x |



	Contents
U.S. Supreme Court	321
International Court of Justice	321
European Court of Justice	322
Index	323



Acknowledgments

The issues discussed in this book arose while I was writing my PhD dissertation "Reputation and Judicial Strategy -Tactics of National and International Courts" under the guidance of Prof. Eyal Benvenisti at Tel Aviv University Faculty of Law. Parts of this book were written while I was a post-doctoral fellow at the University of Chicago Law School and later at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem Faculty of Law at the Research Group on Effective International Adjudication directed by Prof. Yuval Shany and funded by a European Research Council Starting Grant for Frontier Research. Other parts were written later while I was a senior researcher at Tel Aviv University Faculty of Law at the Global Trust Research Project directed by Eyal Benvenisti and funded by an ERC Advanced Grant. I owe an immense debt of gratitude to Eyal Benvenisti, who invested countless hours in helping me develop my research. I also owe special thanks to Lisa Bernstein, Tom Ginsburg, and Yuval Shany for their guidance, criticism, and support.

xii |



Acknowledgments

This book benefited from the comments of many colleagues: Barak Atiram, Ian Ayres, Patrick Barry, Or Bassok, Rafi Biton, Thorbjorn Bjornsson, Ziv Bohrer, Jianlin Chen, Rishad Chowdhury, Avinoam Cohen, John J. Donohue III, William Eskridge, Jr., Talia Fisher, Courtney Flowers, Olga Frishman, Daniela Gabbay, Rotem Giladi, Alon Gildin, Joe Glasrud, Alon Harel, Simon Hentrei, Sigall Horovitz, Guy Hyman, Neli Iltcheva, Saggi Katz, Michal Lavi, Jonathan Lewy, Adi Leibovitch, James T. Lindgren, Kate Lindgren, Henry Lovat, Lilach Lurie, Tal Mimran, Robin Mordfin, Gilad Noam, Elad Oreg, Victor Peskin, Ariel Porat, Mariana Mota Prado, W. Michael Reisman, Susan Rose-Ackerman, Susan Rosenberg, Moran Sadeh, Sigal Shahav, Yahli Shereshevsky, Sivan Shlomo-Agon, Maxwell L. Stearns, Alex Stein, Maria Varaki, Jason Varuhas, Ingo Venzke, Uri Weiss, Omri Yadlin, and Amotz Zahavi.

Parts of this book draw on two previous publications: Judicial Tactics in the European Court of Human Rights, 12 Chicago Journal of International Law 115 (2011); How International Courts Enhance Their Legitimacy, 14 Theoretical Inquiries in Law 455 (2013).

Papers based on the theory developed in this book were presented at the Tel Aviv University Law Faculty Doctoral colloquium (2008, 2010), the Tel Aviv University Law and Economics/ IO workshop, the Aspiring Scholars Symposium at Yale Law School, the Fox Fellows Seminar, the Annual Conference of the Israeli Supreme Court clerks, the Siena/Tel-Aviv/Toronto Workshop in Law & Economics

xiii



Acknowledgments

(2010), the European Association of Law and Economics 2010 and 2011 Annual Meetings, the Legal Scholarship Workshop at the University of Chicago Law School, and seminars at the Hebrew University Law School, the Bar Ilan University Law School, the Haifa University Law School and the Tel Aviv University Law School.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support of Yehuda and Civana Kahani (née Goitein), who donated the scholarship that supported me during my PhD studies in memory of Israeli Supreme Court Justice David Goitein (1900–1961), and of the Fox International Fellowship, which supported me during a research period at Yale University.

My biggest debt of gratitude, in this book as well as in all other endeavors, is to my parents: Youv and Ilana Dothan. I dedicate this book to them.

xiv |