

# THE CAMBRIDGE EDITION OF THE NOVELS OF THOMAS LOVE PEACOCK

CROTCHET CASTLE





# THE CAMBRIDGE EDITION OF THE NOVELS OF THOMAS LOVE PEACOCK

GENERAL EDITOR: Freya Johnston, University of Oxford SENIOR EDITORIAL ADVISOR: Nicholas A. Joukovsky, Pennsylvania State University

### VOLUMES IN THIS SERIES

- 1. Headlong Hall
  - 2. Melincourt
- 3. Nightmare Abbey
  - 4. Maid Marian
- 5. The Misfortunes of Elphin
  - 6. Crotchet Castle
  - 7. Gryll Grange





# THOMAS LOVE PEACOCK CROTCHET CASTLE

Edited by
Freya Johnston and
Matthew Bevis





# **CAMBRIDGE**UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107030725

© Cambridge University Press 2016

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2016

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-03072-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



## CONTENTS

List of il	llustrations	page ix
General	Editor's preface	xi
Acknowledgements		xxi
Chronology		xxiii
List of abbreviations		lv
Introduction		lix
CROT	CHET CASTLE	
I	The Villa	5
II	The March of Mind	13
III	The Roman Camp	23
IV	The Party	34
V	Characters	42
VI	Theories	52
VII	The Sleeping Venus	64
VIII	Science and Charity	75
IX	The Voyage	82
X	The Voyage, continued	89
XI	Correspondence	96
XII	The Mountain Inn	103
XIII	The Lake. The Ruin	107
XIV	The Dingle	III
XV	The Farm	116
XVI	The Newspaper	121
XVII	The Invitation	132
XVIII	Chainmail Hall	138

vii



#### Contents

Appendix A: Peacock's Preface of 1837	152
Appendix B: Holograph fragment of Chapter 4 (c. 1830)	155
Appendix C: Holograph fragment of Chapter 5 (c. 1830)	156
Appendix D: Holograph manuscript of 'Touchandgo'	
(watermark 1827)	158
Appendix E: Holograph manuscript of 'Touchandgo'	
(watermark 1828)	164
Appendix F: Holograph fragment of Chapter 16 (c. 1830)	
Appendix G: 'The Fate of a Broom: An Anticipation' (1831,	
1837)	170
Note on the text	172
Emendations and variants	177
Ambiguous line-end hyphenations	189
Explanatory notes	190
Select bibliography	316

viii



#### **ILLUSTRATIONS**

- 1 Title page of *Crotchet Castle* (1831) (Dunston B. 1428a, Title Page, the Bodleian Libraries, University of Oxford).

  page 1
- Holograph manuscript of 'Touchandgo' (TLP 55,
   Holograph poem (watermark 1828), Carl H.
   Pforzheimer Collection, The New York Public Library).

For kind permission to reproduce the images, and for supplying photographs, the editors would like to thank the Bodleian Libraries, University of Oxford, and the New York Public Library.





#### GENERAL EDITOR'S PREFACE

'That Peacock is a classic', declared the scholar and editor R. W. Chapman in 1924, 'now needs no proof; he has passed his century, and his reputation grows.' Such a judgement might have appeared sanguine even in the year in which *The Works of Thomas Love Peacock*, edited by H. F. B. Brett-Smith and C. E. Jones (1924–34), also known as the Halliford Edition, began to be published. During the early 1920s, Oxford University Press steadfastly resisted proposals for works by and about Peacock. But Chapman – learned, urbane Secretary to Delegates of the Press from 1920 to 1942 – was eager to see the novels back in print. He remarked in his Introduction to the World's Classics edition of *The Misfortunes of Elphin and Crotchet Castle* that the 'experiment' of publishing them, shortly after the initial five volumes of his ground-breaking edition of Jane Austen (1923) had appeared, might transform Peacock into a 'popular classic'.<sup>1</sup>

The present editors hope, in part, to realize that frustrated ambition. It seems fitting that the Cambridge Edition of the Novels of Thomas Love Peacock should appear not long after the Cambridge Edition of the Works of Jane Austen (2005–8). That the decades since the 1920s have been kinder to Austen than to

хi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Thomas Love Peacock, *The Misfortunes of Elphin and Crotchet Castle*, introd. R. W. Chapman, World's Classics, CCXLIV (1924), p. ix. On Oxford University Press and proposals for works relating to Peacock, see *Register of the Orders of the Delegates of the Clarendon Press* (Nov. 1913–June 1924), p. 308 (21 Oct. 1921), item 5227; p. 315 (4 Nov. 1921), item 5314. An edition of *Headlong Hall and Nightmare Abbey* was published as World's Classics, CCCXXXIV in 1929.



### General Editor's preface

Peacock is no surprise; unlike Austen, Peacock is habitually, wilfully arcane. Nora Crook and Derek Guiton observe that 'His writings contain references as inaccessible to the common reader as medieval graffiti in cathedral towers'; the historical and architectural contexts are appropriate, as is the flavour of irreverence suggested by 'graffiti'.<sup>2</sup> Even if his comic fictions abound, like Austen's, with clever, good-looking women and with sparkling dialogue that culminates in marriage, Peacock's repartee can be hard to follow. On a first, unmediated encounter with him, many readers will feel, with Captain Fitzchrome (in Chapter 6 of Crotchet Castle), that 'the pleasantry and the obscurity go together'. Peacock does not aspire to the portrayal of interiority - perhaps the most cherished aspect of Austen's novels. Rather, his characters, both male and female, exist primarily in order to share, voice and test the limits of their ideas. His fictions, rebuffing intimacy, are inescapably political and intellectual. To approach the nineteenth-century novel via Peacock is therefore to see it as an outward-facing genre indebted to philosophical tracts, lectures, classical dialogues and the rhythms of parliamentary debate.

It would have amused Peacock, who tended to write contemptuously of academics and their institutions, that in 1921 Professor Herbert G. Wright's proposal for a new edition of *The Misfortunes of Elphin* was rejected by Oxford University Press, whereas the Snowdon Mountain Tramroad and Hotels Company, 'being desirous to provide holiday reading for visitors to the Principality', successfully lobbied for the same work's appearance in the World's Classics series, alongside *Crotchet Castle*, three years later.<sup>3</sup> Making the case for Peacock can be a tricky, unpredictable business. According to J. B. Priestley, he is 'a treacherous subject for

xii

Nora Crook and Derek Guiton, Shelley's Venomed Melody (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986), p. 13.

Register of the Orders of the Delegates of the Clarendon Press [Nov. 1913–June 1924], p. 308 (21 Oct. 1921), item 5227.



General Editor's preface

criticism'. An erudite, eclectic and fastidious reader, possessed of an excellent memory, Peacock is a daunting prospect for editors, too; as Stephen Gill puts it, 'he was a bibliographer of sorts and a textual critic of some severity'. One of the most striking things about his fastidious and omnivorous novels is just how many ancient and modern writers they lightly touch upon, in such a way as to reveal their author's delighted saturation in literature. To gloss his works therefore requires more than a few notes. 'Doing so much', thought Chapman, the Halliford editors 'might well have done a little more' in this regard: 'In the process of verification they must have traced many of Peacock's adespotic quotations; readers would have been grateful if they had given the references. It would be interesting, too, to know if Peacock often misquoted.'6 'Adespotic', in the hyper-abstruse sense in which Chapman uses it here (i.e. relating to classical, especially Greek, literature which is not attributed to any particular author), is so rare as not to appear in the Oxford English Dictionary, or indeed in Peacock's fiction, but there are plenty of other terms and allusions in his novels that will baffle the modern reader. Peacock's head, like Taliesin's (in Chapter 16 of The Misfortunes of Elphin), was 'brimfull of Pagan knowledge', sometimes misquoted. Volume editors have tried to keep in view the reader's need for information about and explanation of Peacock's myriad sources, and his relationship to them, while remaining conscious that annotations of his works are potentially limitless. Peacock wrote in a letter to Lord Broughton that he believed 'the author of an inscription always knows what he means, however difficult of apprehension his

xiii

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> J. B. Priestley, *Thomas Love Peacock* [1927], reissued with introduction by J. I. M. Stewart (London, Melbourne, Toronto: Macmillan; New York: St Martin's Press, 1966), p. 195.

<sup>5</sup> Stephen Gill, review of Nicholas A. Joukovsky, ed., The Letters of Thomas Love Peacock, Review of English Studies, New Series, 53 (2002), 449–51 (p. 449).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> R. W. Chapman, review of the Halliford Edition of *The Works of Thomas Love Peacock*, vols. 2–5 (1924), *Review of English Studies*, 1 (1925), 239–42 (p. 241).



#### General Editor's preface

meaning may be to others'. His comment suggests a puzzling quality to the epigraphs and other forms of quotation in the novels and elsewhere; but it also suggests that we might recover the author's meanings, if we will only persist in hunting for them. The Cambridge Edition aims to reveal his locally apposite, imaginative use of out-of-the-way sources and analogues. The appearance in 2001 of Nicholas A. Joukovsky's definitive edition of Peacock's *Letters*, incorporating details of the books Peacock read while composing his fiction, has paved the way for many new attributions. The seven novels he wrote between 1815 and 1861 have been enriched in the present edition by ampler cross-referencing to his other works, published and unpublished, and to their relevant literary, historical and cultural contexts, than has previously been attempted.

In his essay on 'French Comic Romances', Peacock remarked of Pigault le Brun that 'his successive works are impressed with the political changes of the day: they carry their eras in their incidents'.8 The same might be said of Peacock's fiction, but he was equally interested in the capacity of his works to outlive their moment. Looking back on Melincourt some thirty-nine years after the novel first appeared in print, its author pointed out that 'Many of the questions, discussed in the dialogues, have more of a general than of a temporary application, and still have their advocates on both sides.' Some things might not be true, some decades later, but they had 'worthy successors' in the present. As Alexander Pope reflected with malicious complacency that his dunces would be perennially replaced with a fresh stock of dud writers, generation after generation, so Peacock envisaged his satires living beyond their original moment, as well as being marked by it (and needing some explanation accordingly).9

xiv

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Peacock to Lord Broughton (13 May 1861), *Letters*, 2.413.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Halliford, 9.255.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Peacock's reflections on the changes appeared in his Preface to the 1856 edition of *Melincourt*, while the reference to 'worthy successors' appeared in his Preface of 1837. In 'The Publisher to the Reader', Pope asserts of *The* 



General Editor's preface

The numerous quotations from and allusions to other writers in Peacock's fiction suggest the company he chose to keep and in which he wished to be recorded. He would have agreed with Samuel Johnson that citing ancient writers, far from being mere pedantry, 'is a good thing; there is a community of mind in it. Classical quotation is the *parole* of literary men all over the world.'<sup>10</sup> That phrase, 'community of mind', sums up the sociable disputes to which Peacock's novels play host, and explains the gravitation within them towards the library as well as to the dining table. In *Crotchet Castle*, for instance, the library is a suite of interlinked apartments in which games, words and music are shown to be continuous with one another. The library is therefore structurally representative of the novel, revealing adjacency, sequence, continuity and difference between play, talk, literature and song.

There is a further sense in which Peacock's books might be viewed as miniature libraries: they share certain characteristics with commonplace books of quotation gathered around different subjects. It can be hard to differentiate a quotation from an allusion in Peacock; to tell why certain sources are named and flagged while others are left implicit or indeed almost entirely submerged. But one way of reading the novels might be as anthologies of classical material, as well as of the state of political life and reviewing culture at a given nineteenth-century moment (at one point in Chapter 16, *Melincourt* quotes an issue of the *Edinburgh Review* in place of a character's speech). A new edition of Peacock's fiction therefore requires attentiveness to old and contemporary orthodoxies, and to the bridges between them. He is a writer who manages to rehearse highly acrimonious debates without himself becoming either angry or jaded.

Dunciad that 'the Poem was not made for these Authors, but these Authors for the Poem: And I should judge that they were clapp'd in as they rose, fresh and fresh, and chang'd from day to day; in like manner as when the old boughs wither, we thrust new ones into a chimney.' Pope, Poems, vol. 5, pp. 205–6.

James Boswell, Boswell's Life of Johnson, ed. George Birkbeck Hill, rev. L. F. Powell, 6 vols. (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1934–50), vol. 4, p. 102.

XV



#### General Editor's preface

Quotations serve, too, as forms of evidence, anchoring the claims made in the text, so that they contribute to the authority and probability that Peacock, following in Henry Fielding's wake, claimed was necessary in all kinds of fiction - however outrageous and in the teeth of such historically incoherent works as Thomas Moore's The Epicurean (1827), which Peacock reviewed with majestic scorn. 11 In nineteenth-century reviews, lengthy quotations are often provided in order to ridicule and condemn a work, as well as to offer a representative selection from it. Perhaps the long footnotes quoting (for instance) Lord Monboddo in Melincourt combine these roles. They serve to establish a genuine basis for Sylvan Forester's arguments about his captured creature in Monboddo's own outlandish claims about orangutans, and in so doing they also poke fun at the nature of those arguments. In fact, the quotations are so substantial that, like the Edinburgh Review, they invade the text, forming part of Forester's speech in Chapter 6 - a chapter which amounts to a miniature encyclopaedia of arguments in favour of natural man. Such quotations are both seriously meant - they show attentive fidelity to source material - and satirically driven, since they also show how far from common sense such arguments may be taken. In other words, they resemble the notes to Pope's Dunciad.

Having said all this, and acknowledging Peacock's remarkable allusiveness, scholarly editing is not only about commentary and explanatory annotation. We have benefited handsomely from more than a century of sophisticated textual enquiry into Peacock, and from the formidable legacy of earlier bibliographical investigators. The Cambridge Edition is indebted to the diligence and skill of H. F. B. Brett-Smith and C. E. Jones, who set a very high standard in terms of the accuracy and completeness of their work. The first collected edition of Peacock, published in 1874 (dated 1875), was in three volumes; Brett-Smith and Jones oversaw the publication of ten. Their bibliographical retrievals and discoveries were legion; the

xvi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Peacock's review of Moore's *Epicurean*, Halliford, 9.3–4.



General Editor's preface

dearth of explanatory notes accompanying the texts was dictated by prevailing trends in editorial practice, rather than by their own preferences. Supplemented by David Garnett's two-volume edition of the novels (1948, 1963), and by Nicholas A. Joukovsky's numerous textual, critical and biographical gleanings, Halliford continues to offer the best and fullest selection of Peacock's writings as a whole.

Unlike Brett-Smith and Jones, who, in accordance with editorial thinking at the time, gave the preference to Peacock's revised lifetime texts, the Cambridge Edition of the Novels of Thomas Love Peacock employs as copytexts the first editions, in book-length form, of his fictions. This policy has been adopted partly because it seems to accord better with Peacock's authorial character; when given the opportunity to do so, he made few revisions to his novels. The first editions of those works also serve as the best witnesses of Peacock's satirical topicality, a vital source of his appeal and interest, and a distinctive aspect of his contribution to nineteenth-century fiction. In the case of *Nightmare Abbey*, for instance, now Peacock's best-known and most widely studied work, the text as first published in 1818 not only reflected but also directly participated in the literary and political debates of his time.

Our texts remain as close to the copytexts as possible. Spelling and punctuation have not been modernized and inconsistencies in presentation, titles (such as Dr. and Doctor) and grammatical forms have generally been left as they were found. Peacock's own footnotes are an essential part of his mock-explicatory, Scriblerian style; they are also a means, like his epigraphs, of displaying his literary allegiances and antagonisms. In this edition they remain at the bottom of the page – signalled by asterisks and daggers – as in the copytexts. The presence of editorial endnotes is contrastingly indicated by superscript numbers in the text.

The few corrections and emendations we have made to the texts, other than replacing dropped or missing letters, have been permitted only when an error is very plain, or where its retention might impede comprehension of the passage. For instance, missing

xvii



### General Editor's preface

quotation marks have been supplied, run-on words have been separated, repeated words have been excised and unclosed parentheses have been closed. Occasionally, where the copytext is corrupt and clearly does not reflect Peacock's intentions at the time of writing, it has been emended. For instance, at the beginning of Chapter 13 of Nightmare Abbey, the 1818 text reads 'or of a waggon, or of a weighing-bridge'. In this case, the 1837 correction 'or of a waggon on a weighing-bridge' appears to be a restoration of what he must have originally written or intended to write. All such changes to the texts have been noted in the final apparatus. Where relevant, in each volume surviving draft manuscript fragments have been transcribed, with explanatory headnotes indicating both their nature and their relationship to the printed text, in an appendix or series of appendices. All manuscript materials have been transcribed with their changes or erasures either reproduced or noted. Variant readings of such materials are not incorporated into the textual apparatus.

Peacock appears to have been sparing in the changes he made to the four novels (Headlong Hall, Nightmare Abbey, Maid Marian and Crotchet Castle) that were re-published by Richard Bentley in his Standard Novels series in 1837, but countless tiny alterations were introduced to this text. For many of those, Peacock is unlikely to have been responsible, although the concern he showed when correcting or altering orthography in the cases of characters' names, locations, dialect and pronunciation makes it generally unwise to attempt to determine which are his, and which are not. In the case of Headlong Hall, for instance, along with a number of misprints, there are some new substantive readings in 1837, one of which appears to be authorial. The Cambridge Edition accepts that many decisions about spelling, punctuation, capitalization, spacing, italicizing and paragraphing may not have been Peacock's, either in the copytexts or in subsequent lifetime texts, but we have no way of knowing for certain that they were not. All volume editors have therefore undertaken a complete collation of the copytext with other lifetime editions, but not all the accidental variants have been printed. Instead, we have

xviii



General Editor's preface

reproduced all substantive variants between the copytext and other lifetime editions, and a number of variants in accidentals, including all those in the spelling of proper names.

Introductions to each volume are substantial and have a common basic structure. They incorporate original discussion of each work's genesis and composition, its publication history, reception and afterlife. An extensive chronology of Peacock's life, revised by Nicholas A. Joukovsky from his edition of the *Letters*, is also provided in every volume.

Modern readers may ask what Peacock hoped to achieve through the elegant representation of opposing views in his imaginative, dialogic and dramatic prose. The answer is probably something akin to what he admired in French comic fiction: its capacity, by 'presenting or embodying opinion' through characters that are 'abstractions or embodied classifications', or representatives 'of actual life', to direct 'the stream of opinion against the mass of delusions and abuses' in the public arena.<sup>12</sup> Peacock commented of Paul de Kock that the author very rarely expressed a political opinion ('never', he says in 'French Comic Romances', modified to a 'very slight' indication of such opinion in 'The Épicier'); this elusive quality evidently puzzled and interested him.<sup>13</sup> What sort of a writer pursues opinion without committing himself? Does it make him tantamount to a mere reviewer? What kind of public is interested in opinions, and why? What is the status of literature in relation to public opinion? In a letter to Thomas L'Estrange (11 July 1861), Peacock wrote that 'In the questions which have come within my scope, I have endeavoured to be impartial, and to say what could be said on both sides.' Around the same time (20 June 1861), he suggested to Lord Broughton what talking heads might, at their best, have to offer:

The dialogues of Plato and Cicero are made up of discussions among persons who differed in opinion. Neither they nor their heroes would

<sup>12</sup> Halliford, 9.259. <sup>13</sup> Halliford, 9.256.

xix



#### General Editor's preface

have been content to pass eternity in the company of persons who merely thought as they did. They were enquirers. They did not profess to have found truth. They might have expected to find it in another life: but then they would no longer think, as they had thought, with those who agreed with them in this. <sup>14</sup>

Freya Johnston

<sup>14</sup> Letters, 2.425, 419.



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank the team at Cambridge University Press – Linda Bree, Anna Bond, Maartje Scheltens and Victoria Parrin – for their patience, encouragement and adaptability throughout our work on *Crotchet Castle*. In countless ways we have relied upon and benefited from the generosity and scholarship of our Senior Editorial Adviser, Nicholas Joukovsky. Kathryn Sutherland has been another source of expertise and of clear, sound advice. Keble College, St Anne's College, the Faculty of English at Oxford and the John Fell Fund provided very generous financial and administrative support.

We have gathered many other debts. Stephanie Dumke has worked meticulously and intelligently on the text and explanatory annotations; every aspect of this volume has benefited from her critical acuity. Henry Mason gave us a wealth of information about Peacock's classical allusions. Robert Stagg helped with the collation, and Rebecca Bullard with queries about Welsh. For their assistance with interpreting manuscript material and with various aspects of the volume's textual apparatus and annotations, we are grateful to Cathal Dowling, Peter Garside, Andrew Honey, James McLaverty, Anthony Mandal and Dale Thatcher. We would also like to thank Martin Maw, archivist at Oxford University Press.

The following booksellers and auctioneers were quick to respond to questions about their copies of the first edition of *Crotchet Castle*: James Burmester (Rare Books); Ed Lake (Jarndyce Booksellers); Ted Hofmann (Bernard Quaritch); Tom Linton-Mole (Antiquates). The Brett-Smith family of Princeton opened their parental home up to searches for a manuscript fragment of *Crotchet Castle*, which

xxi



#### Acknowledgements

we sadly failed to recover; we appreciate their friendliness and generosity.

We have relied on the knowledge, efficiency and kindness of several librarians and specialists in rare books and manuscripts, especially Elizabeth Denlinger at the New York Public Library. Many thanks are also due to the staff of the English Faculty Library and of the Bodleian Library in Oxford; to Liam Sims at Cambridge University Library; to the staff of the Pierpont Morgan Library and the British Library; and to Sandra Stelts at the Pennsylvania State Special Collections Library.

Freya Johnston Matthew Bevis

xxii



# CHRONOLOGY THOMAS LOVE PEACOCK, 1785-1866 NICHOLAS A. JOUKOVSKY

### 1785

18 Oct.

Born at Weymouth, or Melcombe Regis, Dorset, the only child of Samuel Peacock (born 1722/3), a London glass merchant whose father, Josiah Peacock, had been a linen draper and grocer at Taunton, Somerset, and Sarah Love (born 10 Nov. 1754), daughter of Thomas Love, a retired master in the Royal Navy from Topsham, Devon, who lost a leg as Master of HMS *Prothée* in the battle of the Saints, Rodney's great victory off Dominica, on 12 Apr. 1782. (His parents were married at St Luke's, Chelsea, on 29 Mar. 1780.)

?Dec.-Jan. 1786

Baptized by Henry Hunter, DD, minister of the Scots Presbyterian church, London Wall. (The Loves were Presbyterians, while the Peacocks were Independents.)

#### 1786

Autumn–
Winter 1787

His father stops attending the Court of the Pewterers' Company (of which he is an Assistant) and apparently transfers his interest in his glass warehouse at 46 Holborn Bridge to his brother George (his brother Thomas having previously become a junior partner in the firm).

xxiii



Thomas Love Peacock, Edited by Freya Johnston, Matthew Bevis

Frontmatter

More Information

Chronology

1791

before 31 Dec. His mother and her parents take separate

houses at Chertsey. (His uncle William Love also settles his family at Chertsey in 1793.)

1792

Winter-Spring Sent to a private school kept by John Harris

Wicks at Englefield Green, where he remains for six and a half years, spending his vacations at Chertsey and often visiting a schoolfellow

named Charles at the Abbey House.

1793

early Feb. Death of his father (buried 5 Feb. at the Elim

Baptist Chapel, Fetter Lane), after the purchase of two small annuities for his widow

and one for his son.

1 Mar. Birth of his cousin Henry Ommanney Love

(died 16 Sept. 1872) at Chertsey.

1794

Apr. His uncle William Love (born early Apr.

1764) promoted to the rank of lieutenant in the Royal Navy, having been a midshipman

since 1778.

1 June His uncle Thomas Love (born 29 May 1752)

serves as Master of HMS Alfred in Howe's

great victory over the French.

Nov. Death of his uncle Richard Love (baptized

1 Mar. 1761) at Bombay, after having served

in the Russian navy.

1795

4–14 Feb. Writes his first known poem, an epitaph for a

schoolfellow named Hamlet Wade.

1797

before 24 Apr. Birth of his cousin Harriet Blagrave Deane

Love (died 14 Feb. 1881) at Chertsey.

xxiv



Thomas Love Peacock, Edited by Freya Johnston, Matthew Bevis

Frontmatter

More Information

Chronology

1798

June Writes a poem on his 'Midsummer Holidays'. before 18 Oct. Removed from school, possibly due to failure

of one of his mother's annuities. From this

time he is entirely self-educated.

1800

before 11 Feb.— Employed as a clerk for Ludlow, Fraser, & ?1805 or 1806 Company, merchants in the City of London,

while residing with his mother on the firm's premises at 4 Angel Court, Throgmorton Street. During these years he has a circle of friends in the neighbourhood of Hackney, including William de St Croix of Homerton and, perhaps later, Thomas Forster of Lower

Clapton.

Feb. Receives an 'Extra Prize' from the *Monthly* 

Preceptor, or Juvenile Library for his first publication, a verse 'Answer to the Question: "Is History or Biography the More Improving

Study?"

1803

16 Nov. Presents a (lost) manuscript volume of poems

to Lucretia Oldham, 'the beauty of

Shacklewell Green', with a dedicatory poem

on the first leaf.

1804

Sept. Writes 'The Monks of St. Mark' (later

privately printed as a leaflet, probably in connection with the printing of the *Palmyra* 

volume in the autumn of 1805).

?Sept.-Oct. Collects most of his juvenile verse, except

the Lucretia Oldham poems, in a manuscript volume of 'Poems, by T. L.

Peacock'.

XXV



Thomas Love Peacock, Edited by Freya Johnston, Matthew Bevis

Frontmatter

More Information

Chronology

?Autumn Writes a verse drama entitled 'The Circle of

Loda'.

1805

Nov.-Dec. Palmyra, and Other Poems published by W. J.

& J. Richardson, with title page post-dated

1806.

10 Dec. Death of his grandfather, Thomas Love, at

Chertsey (buried 20 Dec. at the Presbyterian

meeting-house).

1806

Autumn Solitary walking tour in Scotland.

18 Oct. The annuity purchased for him by his father

expires on his coming of age.

1807

Feb. His uncle William Love promoted to the rank

of commander.

?Spring Returns to live with his mother at Chertsey.
3 Aug. Accepts a 'generous offer' of Edward Thomas

Hookham and his brother Thomas Hookham, Junior, to supply him with books from their father's extensive circulating library at 15 Old Bond Street and to publish a projected poem,

apparently in the same vein as Palmyra.

?Summer— Brief engagement to Fanny Falkner broken off Autumn by the interference of one of her relations. She

marries another man and dies the next year.

1808

14 May— Serves as Captain's Clerk to Sir Home Riggs 2 Apr. 1809 Popham and, after 18 Dec., to Capt. Andrew

Popham and, after 18 Dec., to Capt. Andrew King, aboard HMS *Venerable* in the Downs – 'this floating Inferno'. During this period he writes several prologues and epilogues for the

officers' amateur theatricals as well as 'Stanzas

xxvi



Thomas Love Peacock, Edited by Freya Johnston, Matthew Bevis

Frontmatter More Information

Chronology

Written at Sea' (published with The Genius of

the Thames).

1809

13 Mar. Sends Edward Hookham a 'little poem of the

Thames' and mentions 'a classical ballad or two now in embryo', perhaps 'Romance' and

'Remember Me'.

after 2 Apr. Having left the Venerable, walks from Deal to

Ramsgate and around the North Foreland to Margate, before proceeding to Canterbury and London, then eventually returning to live

at Chertsey.

?Apr.-Dec. Expands his 'little poem on the Thames' into

The Genius of the Thames.

29 May Begins a two-week expedition to trace the

course of the Thames on foot from its source to Chertsey, with a stay of two or three days at

Oxford.

1810

Jan. Travels to North Wales, visiting Tremadoc

before settling at Maentwrog, Merionethshire.

after 20 Jan. Sends Edward Hookham the Procemium to

The Genius of the Thames while the poem is

being printed.

Apr.-May Attracted to the Maentwrog parson's daughter

Jane Gryffydh, 'the Caernarvonshire nymph' – but by 12 June 'Richard is himself again'.

late May-early The Genius of the Thames: A Lyrical Poem, in

June Two Parts published by Thomas and Edward

Hookham.

late June-?early Affair with an unidentified 'Caernarvonshire

Oct. charmer' ('not a parson's daughter'), ending in

disillusionment.

xxvii



Thomas Love Peacock, Edited by Freya Johnston, Matthew Bevis

Frontmatter

More Information

Chronology

27 Dec. Death of his grandmother, Sarah Love, at

Chertsey (buried 3 Jan. 1811 at the

Presbyterian meeting-house).

1811

7 Apr. Leaves Maentwrog, after bidding farewell to

Jane Gryffydh, 'the most innocent, the most amiable, the most beautiful girl in existence'. On his walk home by way of South Wales, he climbs Cadair Idris and calls on Edward Scott at Bodtalog, near Towyn, before proceeding to Aberystwyth and the Devil's Bridge, near

Hafod.

?May-July A 'long abode in Covent-Garden'.

Autumn His mother's remaining annuity having

expired at Michaelmas, she is forced by creditors to leave Chertsey. He and his mother are enabled by friends to occupy

Morven Cottage, Wyrardisbury, near Staines.

?Autumn Writes The Philosophy of Melancholy – 'in ten

days', according to Edward Hookham.

before 14 Nov. Revises The Genius of the Thames, Palmyra and

'Fiolfar, King of Norway' for a new edition, to which he adds 'Inscription for a Mountain Dell'. Consigns all his other poems 'to the

tomb of the Capulets'.

18 Dec. Grant of £21 from the Literary Fund.

1812

Winter-Spring Writes a (lost) farce entitled 'Mirth in the

Mountains', which is read by James Grant Raymond, the actor-manager of the Drury

Lane Company.

?Winter— Translates passages from Greek tragedies, Autumn which he thinks of publishing under the title

'Fragments of Greek Tragedy'. Around this

Trugillorito of Orock Trugody . Thousa this

xxviii



Thomas Love Peacock, Edited by Freya Johnston, Matthew Bevis

Frontmatter More Information

Chronology

time he probably also writes and privately prints his Aristophanic Greek anapaests on

Christ (no known copy).

late Feb. The Philosophy of Melancholy: A Poem in

Four Parts, with a Mythological Ode published by Thomas and Edward

Hookham.

early Apr. Second edition of *The Genius of the Thames*,

Palmyra, and Other Poems published by

Thomas and Edward Hookham.

before 20 May Forced temporarily to leave Morven Cottage,

Wyrardisbury, by his inability to pay local

tradesmen's bills.

20 May Grant of £30 from the Literary Fund. Edward

Hookham, in his letter of application, expresses fears that 'the fate of Chatterton

might be that of Peacock'.

20 May Cosigns an East India Company bond for

Peter Auber in the amount of £500.

?Summer— Writes, with Raymond's encouragement, two Spring 1813 more farces, 'The Dilettanti' and 'The Three

> Doctors', but neither is performed at Drury Lane. Other dramatic projects of this period include two Roman tragedies entitled 'Otho'

and 'Virginia'.

July-Aug. Thomas Forster visits him for a week at

Wyrardisbury.

before 18 Aug. Thomas Hookham sends Peacock's two

recent volumes of poetry to Shelley at

Lynmouth, Devon.

late Aug.- Visits Thomas Forster at Tunbridge Wells.

early Sept.

?Sept.–Dec. In love with Clarinda Knowles at Englefield

Green - 'this goddess of my idolatry'.

xxix



Thomas Love Peacock, Edited by Freya Johnston, Matthew Bevis

Frontmatter

More Information

Chronology

17–30 Sept. Walking and sailing tour of the Isle of Wight

with Joseph Gulston of Englefield Green, during which he visits his uncle William Love at Yarmouth and finds his cousin Harriet

'grown into a fine girl'.

4 Oct.–13 Nov. Introduced to Shelley by Thomas Hookham

in London.

late Nov. Thomas Hookham sends Peacock's poem

'Farewell to Meirion' to Shelley at Tan-yr-allt,

near Tremadoc.

1813

?Winter-Spring Writes, and possibly prints, a prospectus

outlining his educational theories and proposing 'to receive eight pupils, in a beautiful retirement in the county of

Westmoreland'.

?Winter-Spring Writes Sir Hornbook, which is illustrated by

Henry Corbould before 1 June.

12 Mar. Writes the poem 'Al mio primiero amore!' to

an unidentified 'first love'.

?Apr.–June Sees Shelley several times in London and meets

Thomas Jefferson Hogg and William Godwin.

11 June His epilogue to Lumley Skeffington's comedy

Lose No Time is recited at Drury Lane, then printed in the Morning Post on 14 June.

16 June Grant of £10 from the Literary Fund. late June–late Second visit to Wales, during which he

Aug. wanders through Radnorshire, Cardiganshire

and Merionethshire. Tentatively engages 'a very beautiful place in Radnorshire'. Returns

by way of Bath.

Sept. Visits Shelley at Bracknell, where he meets

John Frank Newton, Harriet de Boinville and

their circle.

XXX